← course home

You've built an inflight entertainment system with on-demand movie streaming.

⟨ Editor

Users on longer flights like to start a second movie right when their first one ends, but they complain that the plane usually lands before they can see the ending. So you're building a feature for choosing two movies whose total runtimes will equal the exact flight length.

Write a function that takes an integer flight_length (in minutes) and a list of integers movie_lengths (in minutes) and returns a boolean indicating whether there are two numbers in movie_lengths whose sum equals flight_length.

When building your function:

- Assume your users will watch exactly two movies
- Don't make your users watch the same movie twice
- Optimize for runtime over memory

Gotchas

We can do this in O(n) time, where n is the length of movie_lengths.

Remember: your users shouldn't watch the same movie twice. Are you sure your function won't give a false positive if the list has one element that is half flight_length?

⟨ Editor

Breakdown

How would we solve this by hand? We know our two movie lengths need to sum to flight_length. So for a given first_movie_length, we need a second_movie_length that equals flight_length - first_movie_length.

To do this by hand we might go through movie_lengths from beginning to end, treating each item as first_movie_length, and for each of those check if there's a second_movie_length equal to flight_length - first_movie_length.

How would we implement this in code? We could nest two loops (the outer choosing first_movie_length, the inner choosing second_movie_length). That'd give us a runtime of $O(n^2)$. We can do better.

To bring our runtime down we'll probably need to replace that inner loop (the one that looks for a matching second_movie_length) with something faster.

We could sort the movie_lengths first—then we could use $\underline{binary \, search}$ to find second_movie_length in $O(\lg n)$ time instead of O(n) time. But sorting would cost O(nlg(n)), and we can do even better than that.

⟨ Editor

Could we check for the existence of our second_movie_length in constant time?

What data structure gives us convenient constant-time lookups?

A set!

So we could throw all of our movie_lengths into a set first, in O(n) time. Then we could loop through our possible first_movie_lengths and replace our inner loop with a simple check in our

set. This'll give us O(n) runtime overall!

Of course, we need to add some logic to make sure we're not showing users the same movie twice...

But first, we can tighten this up a bit. Instead of two sequential loops, can we do it all in one loop? (Done carefully, this will give us protection from showing the same movie twice as well.)

Solution

We make one pass through movie_lengths, treating each item as the first_movie_length. At each iteration, we:

- 1. See if there's a matching_second_movie_length we've seen already (stored in our movie_lengths_seen set) that is equal to flight_length first_movie_length. If there is, we short-circuit and return True.
- 2. Keep our movie_lengths_seen set up to date by throwing in the current first_movie_length.

```
def can_two_movies_fill_flight(movie_lengths, flight_length):
    # Movie lengths we've seen so far
    movie_lengths_seen = set()

for first_movie_length in movie_lengths:
    matching_second_movie_length = flight_length - first_movie_length
    if matching_second_movie_length in movie_lengths_seen:
        return True
    movie_lengths_seen.add(first_movie_length)

# We never found a match, so return False
    return False
```

We know users won't watch the same movie twice because we check movie_lengths_seen for matching_second_movie_length before we've put first_movie_length in it!

Complexity

O(n) time, and O(n) space. Note while optimizing runtime we added a bit of space cost.

Bonus

- 1. What if we wanted the movie lengths to sum to something close to the flight length (say, within 20 minutes)?
- 2. What if we wanted to fill the flight length as nicely as possible with *any* number of movies (not just 2)?
- 3. What if we knew that movie_lengths was sorted? Could we save some space and/or time?

What We Learned

The trick was to use a set to access our movies by length, in O(1) time.

Using hash-based data structures, like dictionaries or sets, is *so common* in coding challenge solutions, it should always be your *first* thought. Always ask yourself, right from the start: "Can I save time by using a dictionary?"



⟨ Editor

⟨ Editor



← course home

Next up: Permutation Palindrome →

⟨ Editor

Subscribe to our weekly question email list »

Programming interview questions by company:

- Google interview questions
- Facebook interview questions
- Amazon interview questions
- Uber interview questions
- Microsoft interview questions
- Apple interview questions
- Netflix interview questions
- Dropbox interview questions
- eBay interview questionsLinkedIn interview questions
- Oracle interview questions
- PayPal interview questions
- Yahoo interview questions

Programming interview questions by topic:

- SQL interview questions
- Testing and QA interview questions
- Bit manipulation interview questions
- Java interview questions
- Python interview questions
- Ruby interview questions
- JavaScript interview questions
- C++ interview questions
- C interview questions
- Swift interview questions
- Objective-C interview questions
- PHP interview questions
- C# interview questions



Copyright © 2022 Cake Labs, Inc. All rights reserved. 228 Park Ave S #82632, New York, NY US 10003 (862) 294-2956 About | Privacy | Terms