CS420

NFA -> DFA

Tuesday, September 27, 2022

UMass Boston CS

A ***nondeterministic finite automaton*** is a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, where

1. Q is a finite set of states,
2. Σ is a finite alphabet,
3. $\delta: Q \times \Sigma_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$ is the transition function,
4. $q_0 \in Q$ is the start state, and
5. $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accept states.



A ***finite automaton*** is a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, where

1. Q is a finite set called the ***states***,
2. Σ is a finite set called the ***alphabet***,
3. $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \longrightarrow Q$ is the ***transition function***,
4. $q_0 \in Q$ is the ***start state***, and
5. $F \subseteq Q$ is the ***set of accept states***.

Announcements

- HW 1 in
  - ~~Due Sun 10/25 11:59pm EST~~
- HW 2 out
  - Due Sun 10/2 11:59pm EST
- Ask HW questions publicly on Piazza
  - So the entire class can participate and benefit from the discussion
  - (Make it anonymous if you want to)
- Remember: Designing a machine = programming
  - Make examples to understand problem
  - States = what the machine needs to remember
  - Check design with tests

Last Time: Is Concatenation Closed?

FALSE?

THEOREM

The class of regular languages is closed under the concatenation operation.

In other words, if A_1 and A_2 are regular languages then so is $A_1 \circ A_2$.

- Cannot **combine A_1 and A_2's machine because:**
  - **Not clear when to switch machines?** (can only read input once)
- **Requires a <u>new kind of machine</u>!**
- <u>But</u> **does this mean concatenation <u>is not closed</u> for regular langs?**

Last Time: Formal Definition of NFAs

DEFINITION

A ***nondeterministic finite automaton*** is a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, where

1. Q is a finite set of states,
2. Σ is a finite alphabet,
3. $\delta: Q \times \Sigma_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$ is the transition function,
4. $q_0 \in Q$ is the start state, and
5. $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accept states.

NFA transition may not read input, $\Sigma_\varepsilon = \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$

Transition results in a set of states

No empty transitions

Last Time: NFA Extended Transition Function

Define **extended transition function:** $\hat{\delta} : Q \times \Sigma^* \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$

Transition results in a set of states

Domain:
- Beginning state $q \in Q$
- Input string $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \quad \text{where } w_i \in \Sigma$

Range:
- Ending set of states

(Defined recursively, on length of input string)

- Base case: $\hat{\delta}(q, \epsilon) = \{q\}$
- Recursive case: $\hat{\delta}(q, w) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \delta(q_i, w_n)$

Last step / char

States right before last step

where: $\hat{\delta}(q, w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}) = \{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$

Last Time: Adding Empty Transitions

- Define **the set** $\varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(q)$
  - **… to be all states reachable from q via zero or more empty transitions**

(Defined recursively)

- **Base case:** $q \in \varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(q)$

- **Inductive case:**

$$\varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(q) = \{r \mid p \in \varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(q) \text{ and } r \in \delta(p, \varepsilon)\}$$

A state is in the reachable set if …

… there is an empty transition to it from another state in the reachable set

With empty transitions

Last Time: NFA Extended Transition Function

Define **extended transition function:** $\hat{\delta} : Q \times \Sigma^* \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$

Domain:

- Beginning state $q \in Q$
- Input string $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \quad \text{where } w_i \in \Sigma$

Range:

- Ending set of states

(Defined recursively, on length of input string)

- Base case: $\hat{\delta}(q, \epsilon) = \{q\}$ ~~$\{q\}$~~ $\varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(q)$
- Recursive case: $\hat{\delta}(q, w) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \delta(q_i, w_n)$ ~~$\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \delta(q_i, w_n)$~~ $\varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \delta(q_i, w_n))$

"For all current states, take single step, then follow all empty transitions"

where: $\hat{\delta}(q, w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}) = \{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$

Concatenation: $A \circ B = \{xy | \, x \in A \text{ and } y \in B\}$

Last Time: Concatenation is Closed?

THEOREM

The class of regular languages is closed under the concatenation operation.

In other words, if A_1 and A_2 are regular languages then so is $A_1 \circ A_2$.

Proof: Construct a new machine

- How does it know when to switch machines?
  - Can only read input once
- Construct an NFA!

Concatentation

DFA N_1



DFA N_2



Let N_1 recognize A_1, and N_2 recognize A_2.

Want: Construction of N to recognize $A_1 \circ A_2$

N is an NFA! It simultaneously:
- Keeps checking 1st part with N_1
  and
- Moves to N_2 to check 2nd part

NFA N

ε = "empty transition" = reads no input
Allows N to be in **both machines at once**



Concatenation is Closed for Regular Langs

PROOF

Let $N_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$ recognize A_1, and
$N_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ recognize A_2.

Construct $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_1, F_2)$ to recognize $A_1 \circ A_2$

1. $Q = Q_1 \cup Q_2$
2. The state q_1 is the same as the start state of N_1
3. The accept states F_2 are the same as the accept states of N_2



Concatenation is Closed for Regular Langs

Wait, is this true?

PROOF

Let $N_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$ recognize A_1, and
$N_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ recognize A_2.

Construct $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_1, F_2)$ to recognize $A_1 \circ A_2$

1. $Q = Q_1 \cup Q_2$
2. The state q_1 is the same as the start state of N_1
3. The accept states F_2 are the same as the accept states of N_2
4. Define δ so that for any $q \in Q$ and any $a \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$,

$$\delta(q,a) = \begin{cases} \delta_1(q,a) & q \in Q_1 \text{ and } q \notin F_1 \\ \delta_1(q,a) & q \in F_1 \text{ and } a \neq \varepsilon \\ \delta_1(q,a) \cup \{q_2\} & q \in F_1 \text{ and } a = \varepsilon \\ \delta_2(q,a) & q \in Q_2. \end{cases}$$



???

Flashback: A DFA's Language

- For DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$
- M ***accepts*** w if $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \in F$
- M ***recognizes language*** A if $A = \{w | \ M \text{ accepts } w\}$
- A language is a **regular language** if a DFA recognizes it

An NFA's Language

- For NFA $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$

intersection

accept states

- N ***accepts*** w if $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \cap F \neq \emptyset$

not empty

  - i.e., if the final states have at least one accept state

- Language of N = $L(N)$ = $\left\{ w \mid \hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \cap F \neq \emptyset \right\}$

Q: How does an NFA's language relate to regular languages?

All we know so far: A language is regular if a **DFA** recognizes it

So is Concatenation Closed for Reg Langs?

- Concatenation of DFAs produces an NFA
- But a language is only regular if a DFA recognizes it
- So to finish the proof that concatenation is closed …
  … we must prove that NFAs *also* recognize regular languages.

Specifically, we must prove:

NFAs ⇔ regular languages

How to Prove a Statement: $X \Leftrightarrow Y$

$X \Leftrightarrow Y$ = "X if and only if Y" = X iff Y = X <=> Y

Proof <u>at minimum</u> has 2 required parts:

1. $\Rightarrow$ if X, then Y
   - "**forward**" direction
   - assume X, then use it to prove Y
2. $\Leftarrow$ if Y, then X
   - "**reverse**" direction
   - assume Y, then use it to prove X

Proving NFAs Recognize Regular Langs

Theorem:

A language L is regular **if and only if** some NFA N recognizes L.

Proof:

⇒ If L is regular, then some NFA N recognizes it.

(Easier)

- We know: if L is **regular**, then a **DFA** exists that recognizes it.
- So to prove this part: Convert that DFA to an equivalent NFA! (see HW 2)

⇐ If an NFA N recognizes L, then L is regular.

(Harder)

- We know: for L to be **regular**, there must be a **DFA** recognizing it
- Proof Idea for this part: Convert given NFA N to an equivalent DFA

"equivalent" = "recognizes the same language"

How to convert **NFA→DFA**?

A ***finite automaton*** is a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, where

1. Q is a finite set called the ***states***,
2. Σ is a finite set called the ***alphabet***,
3. $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \longrightarrow Q$ is the ***transition function***,
4. $q_0 \in Q$ is the ***start state***, and
5. $F \subseteq Q$ is the ***set of accept states***.



A ***nondeterministic finite automaton*** is a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, where

1. Q is a finite set of states,
2. Σ is a finite alphabet,
3. $\delta: Q \times \Sigma_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$ is the transition function,
4. $q_0 \in Q$ is the start state, and
5. $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accept states.

Proof idea:
Let each "state" of the DFA be a set of states in the NFA



In a DFA, all these states at each step of NFA computation must be only **one** state

So encode:
a <u>set of NFA states</u>
as <u>one DFA state</u>

This is similar to the proof strategy from
"Closure of union" where:
a state = a pair of states

Convert **NFA→DFA**, Formally

- Let **NFA** N = $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$
- An equivalent DFA M has states Q' = $\mathcal{P}(Q)$ (power set of Q)

Example:



The NFA N_4



A DFA D that is equivalent to the NFA N_4

No empty transitions

NFA→DFA

Have: NFA $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$

Want: DFA $M = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q_0', F')$

1. $Q' = \mathcal{P}(Q)$ A state for M is a set of states in N

2. For $R \in Q'$ and $a \in \Sigma$, R = a state in M = a set of states in N

$$\delta'(R, a) = \bigcup_{r \in R} \delta(r, a)$$

Next state for DFA state R = next states of each NFA state r in R

3. $q_0' = \{q_0\}$

4. $F' = \{R \in Q' \mid R \text{ contains an accept state of } N\}$

Flashback: Adding Empty Transitions

- Define the set $\varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(q)$
  - … to be all states reachable from q via zero or more empty transitions

(Defined recursively)

- Base case: $q \in \varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(q)$

- Inductive case:

$$\varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(q) = \{r \mid p \in \varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(q) \text{ and } r \in \delta(p, \varepsilon)\}$$

A state is in the reachable set if …

… there is an empty transition to it from another state in the reachable set

With empty transitions

NFA→DFA

Have: NFA $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$

Want: DFA $M = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q_0', F')$

1. $Q' = \mathcal{P}(Q)$

2. For $R \in Q'$ and $a \in \Sigma$,

$$\delta'(R, a) = \bigcup_{r \in R} ~~\delta(r, a)~~ \; \varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(\delta(r, a))$$

3. $q_0' = ~~\{q_0\}~~ \; \varepsilon\text{-REACHABLE}(q_0)$

4. $F' = \{R \in Q' \mid R \text{ contains an accept state of } N\}$

Almost the same, except …

Requires extending the fn to sets of states (see HW 2)

Proving NFAs Recognize Regular Langs

Theorem:

A language L is regular **if and only if** some NFA N recognizes L.

Proof:

⇒ If L is regular, then some NFA N recognizes it.

- We know: If L is **regular**, then a **DFA** recognizes it.
- We show: How to convert a DFA to an equivalent NFA

⇐ If an NFA N recognizes L, then L is regular.

- We know: For L to be **regular**, there must be a **DFA** recognizing it
- We show: How to convert NFA N to an equivalent DFA …
- … using the NFA→DFA algorithm we just defined!

■

Union: $A \cup B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$

Flashback: Union is Closed For Regular Langs

THEOREM

The class of regular languages is closed under the union operation.

In other words, if A_1 and A_2 are regular languages, so is $A_1 \cup A_2$.

Proof:

- How do we prove that a language is regular?
  - Create a DFA or NFA recognizing it!
- Create machine combining the machines recognizing A_1 and A_2
  - Should we create a DFA or NFA?

Flashback: Union is Closed For Regular Langs

Proof

- Given: $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$, recognize A_1,
  $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$, recognize A_2,
- Construct: a new machine $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ using M_1 and M_2
- states of M: $Q = \{(r_1, r_2) | \, r_1 \in Q_1 \text{ and } r_2 \in Q_2\} = Q_1 \times Q_2$
  This set is the ***Cartesian product*** of sets Q_1 and Q_2

  State in M = M_1 state + M_2 state
- M transition fn: $\delta((r_1, r_2), a) = (\delta_1(r_1, a), \delta_2(r_2, a))$

  M step = a step in M_1 + a step in M_2
- M start state: (q_1, q_2)

  Accept if either M_1 or M_2 accept
- M accept states: $F = \{(r_1, r_2) | \, r_1 \in F_1 \text{ or } r_2 \in F_2\}$

Union is Closed for Regular Languages



Add new start state, and ε-transitions to old start states

Union is Closed for Regular Languages

PROOF

Let $N_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$ recognize A_1, and
$N_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ recognize A_2.

Construct $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ to recognize $A_1 \cup A_2$.

1. $Q = \{q_0\} \cup Q_1 \cup Q_2$.

2. The state q_0 is the start state of N.

3. The set of accept states $F = F_1 \cup F_2$.



Union is Closed for Regular Languages



PROOF

Let $N_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$ recognize A_1, and
$N_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ recognize A_2.

Construct $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ to recognize $A_1 \cup A_2$.

1. $Q = \{q_0\} \cup Q_1 \cup Q_2$.

2. The state q_0 is the start state of N.

3. The set of accept states $F = F_1 \cup F_2$.

4. Define δ so that for any $q \in Q$ and any $a \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$,

$$\delta(q,a) = \begin{cases} \delta_1(?, a) & q \in Q_1 \\ \delta_2(?, a) & q \in Q_2 \\ \{q_1 ? q_2\} & q = q_0 \text{ and } a = \varepsilon \\ \emptyset \quad ? & q = q_0 \text{ and } a \neq \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

Concatenation is Closed for Regular Langs

PROOF

Let $N_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$ recognize A_1, and
$N_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ recognize A_2.

Construct $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_1, F_2)$ to recognize $A_1 \circ A_2$

1. $Q = Q_1 \cup Q_2$
2. The state q_1 is the same as the start state of N_1
3. The accept states F_2 are the same as the accept states of N_2
4. Define δ so that for any $q \in Q$ and any $a \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$,

$$\delta(q,a) = \begin{cases} \delta_1(q,a) & q \in Q_1 \text{ and } q \notin F_1 \\ \delta_1(q,a) & q \in F_1 \text{ and } a \neq \varepsilon \\ \delta_1(q,a) \cup \{q_2\} & q \in F_1 \text{ and } a = \varepsilon \\ \delta_2(q,a) & q \in Q_2. \end{cases}$$



☑ ??? ■

List of Closed Ops for Reg Langs (so far)

- ☑ Union
- ☑ Concatentation
- Kleene Star (repetition)

Star: $A^* = \{x_1 x_2 \ldots x_k | \; k \geq 0 \text{ and each } x_i \in A\}$

Kleene Star Example

Let the alphabet Σ be the standard 26 letters $\{\mathtt{a}, \mathtt{b}, \ldots, \mathtt{z}\}$.

If $A = \{\mathtt{good}, \mathtt{bad}\}$ and $B = \{\mathtt{boy}, \mathtt{girl}\}$, then

$A^* = \{\varepsilon, \text{good}, \text{bad}, \text{goodgood}, \text{goodbad}, \text{badgood}, \text{badbad},$
$\text{goodgoodgood}, \text{goodgoodbad}, \text{goodbadgood}, \text{goodbadbad}, \ldots\}$

Note: repeat zero or more times

(this is an infinite language!)

Kleene Star


N
N_1
ε
ε
ε
New start (and accept) state, ε-transitions to old start state
Old accept states ε-transition to old start state

Kleene Star is Closed for Regular Langs

THEOREM

The class of regular languages is closed under the star operation.

Kleene Star is Closed for Regular Langs

PROOF Let $N_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$ recognize A_1.
Construct $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ to recognize A_1^*.

1. $Q = \{q_0\} \cup Q_1$

2. The state q_0 is the new start state.

3. $F = \{q_0\} \cup F_1$

Kleene star of a language must accept the empty string!



Kleene Star is Closed for Regular Langs

PROOF Let $N_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$ recognize A_1.
Construct $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ to recognize A_1^*.



1. $Q = \{q_0\} \cup Q_1$

2. The state q_0 is the new start state.

3. $F = \{q_0\} \cup F_1$

4. Define δ so that for any $q \in Q$ and any $a \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$,

$$\delta(q,a) = \begin{cases} \delta_1(q,a) & ? \quad q \in Q_1 \text{ and } q \notin F_1 \\ \delta_1(q,a) & ? \quad q \in F_1 \text{ and } a \neq \varepsilon \\ \delta_1(q,a) \cup \{q_1\} & q \in F_1 \text{ and } a = \varepsilon \\ \{q_1\} & ? \quad q = q_0 \text{ and } a = \varepsilon \\ \emptyset & ? \quad q = q_0 \text{ and } a \neq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Many More Closed Operations on Regular Languages!

- Complement
- Intersection
- Difference
- Reversal
- Homomorphism
- (See HW2)

Why do we care about these ops?

- Union
- Concat
- Kleene star

- The are sufficient to represent all regular languages!

- I.e., they define **regular expressions**

Check-in Quiz 9/27

On gradescope