Smart Home Ambient Intelligence: voice assistants

a new limit for our freedom

Stefano Brandoli

Politecnico di Milano Computer Ethics 2017/2018

December 12, 2017

Presentation Outline

Smart Home Ambient Intelligence: voice assistants a new limit for our freedom

- 1 Introduction
 - Technological Mediation
 - Definitions
- 2 Case Study: Google Home Google Assistant Actions
 - Applied concepts of Technological Mediation limiting our freedom
 - Ethical concerns arising from loss of freedom
- 3 Possible Remedies



Technological Mediation

While fulfilling their function, technologies do much more: they give shape to what we do and how we experience the world. And in doing so they contribute actively to the ways we live our lives (Verbeek 2011)

- Technologies are not neutral intermediaries
- Technologies play an actively mediating role
- Artifacts are bearers of morality (Latour 1992)
- Morality is a matter of human-technology associations
- ► Two perspectives of technological mediation:
 - Perception
 - Action: I will focus on human freedom

Definitions I

Ambient Intelligence

Ambient Intelligence is an approach that combines two major technologies: Ubiquitous Computing and Intelligent User Interfaces (IUIs) (Brey 2005)

Voice Assistant

A voice assistant is a digital assistant that uses voice recognition, natural language processing and speech synthesis to provide aid to users through phones and voice recognition applications (WhatIs 2017)

Definitions II

Freedom

Two forms (Brey 2005, 2006):

- Negative Freedom:
 - act without obstruction or interference by others
 - absence of limits and external constraints


example: artifact refusing to perform an action

- Positive Freedom (Human Autonomy): I will focus on this
 - mastery over your own life
 - think freely, make your own decisions to act

Case Study: Google Home - Google Assistant Actions



Google Assistant Actions



Starting from the **Actions on Google documentation** I will show:

- 1. Applied concepts of Technological Mediation limiting our freedom
- 2. Ethical concerns arising from loss of freedom

Applied concepts of Technological Mediation

limiting our freedom

Script: make your own decisions

Script

A **script** is a prescription of how to act when using the artifact (Verbeek 2011)

Interactions can be built in two ways (Google 2017):

- With templates
 - build apps without writing a single line of code . . .
 - build apps quickly without worrying about designing conversations . . .
 - Google decides which interactions are good and what aren't
- Without templates
 - Dialogflow
 - machine learning
 - natural language understanding
 - extract parameters (data) from the user input
 - developers can decide the whole conversational interaction

Script: make your own decisions



Figure: Actions On Google Console

Invitation/Inhibition: make your own decisions

Invitation/Inhibition

The scripts of artifacts suggest specific actions and discourage others (Verbeek 2011)

- Developers while creating the application logic (fulfillment) enable some actions and disable some others
- ► The conversational interaction doesn't go on if the user hasn't answered with all the required parameters

Invitation/Inhibition: make your own decisions




Figure: DialogFlow interactions

Behaviour Steering: think freely I

Suggestion Chips

Use suggestion chips to **hint at responses** to continue or **pivot the conversation** (Google 2017)

This happens in vocal interactions, but can be more easily visualized on mobile phones



Behaviour Steering: think freely II

Smart objects could become intermediaries between businesses and consumers, using their intelligence to persuade customers to buy products . . . Such influence could already be exerted at the design stage . . . (Brey 2005)

Advertisements

- Google Assistant advertised "Beauty and the Beast" film, but Google claimed it was not an ad (AndroidPolice 2017)
- ► In future Google Assistant will include ads
 - make money by promoting e-commerce from partners (Recode 2017)
 - forecasted ad-spend of 19 billions globally by 2022 (Juniper 2017)
 - what if developers shape our behaviour based on analytics?

Behaviour Steering: think freely III


Agent-based dialogue systems can be included in IUI's to monitor users and make assumptions about their intentions and the task they are trying to perform (Brey 2005)

Implicit Invocation

The Assistant opts to invoke an app because it can fulfill the user's intent, without users calling it by name (Google 2017)

example: "Hey Google, book an appointment to fix my bike"

What about Technological Mediation?



Google Actions Policies are designed towards: privacy, content, branding . . .

following the Guidance For Conversation Design is enough?

Ethical concerns arising fro	om loss of freedom

Fear of Technocracy

- Experts will shape our mediations
 - Antidemocratic
 - ▶ How to make it more democratic?
 - Unforeseen mediations
 - ▶ Not humans but technologies are in control (Verbeek 2011)
- Opposite problem: people without enough technical background may design Google Assistant Actions and publish them
 - today are very limited mediations
 - what about the future?

Moral Laziness

- Charge of immorality or amorality
- ▶ People may delegate all moral decisions to machines (Verbeek 2011)
- Commodification of morality (Borgmann 1984)
 - ► Things that used to require effort to acquire have become available with the push of a button
 - today we don't even need buttons . . .
 - voice assistants are a new step in this process of commodification?

Moral Responsibility

- Designing is "materializing morality" (Verbeek 2011)
- ➤ To what extent can designers be held morally responsible for undesirable forms of mediation?
 - The responsibility should not be left to designers alone (Verbeek 2011)
 - For the Google Actions Policies developers are legally responsible for the Actions they create
 - What about their moral responsibility?

Possible Remedies

Possible Remedies

Bibliography I

- AndroidPolice (2017). Google Assistant promoting "Beauty and the Beast". URL:
 - http://www.androidpolice.com/2017/03/16/google-assistant-promoting-beauty-and-the-beast/ (visited on 11/12/2017).
- Borgmann, Albert (1984). Technology and the Character of Contemporary Life: A Philosophical Inquiry. University of Chicago Press.
- Brey, Philip (2005). "Freedom and privacy in ambient intelligence". In: *Ethics and Information Technology* 7.3, pp. 157–166.
- Brey, Philip (2006). "Ethical aspects of behavior-steering technology". In: *User Behavior and Technology Development*, pp. 357–364.
- Google (2017). Actions On Google Developers Documentation. URL: https://developers.google.com/actions/ (visited on 11/12/2017).

Bibliography II

- Juniper (2017). Amazon Echo & Google Home To Reside In Over 50% Of US Households By 2022. URL:
 - https://www.juniperresearch.com/press/press-releases/amazon-echo-google-home-to-reside (visited on 11/12/2017).
- Latour, B. (1992). "Where Are the Missing Masses? The Sociology of a Few Mundane Artifacts". In: Shaping Technology-Building Society: Studies in Sociotechnical Change. Ed. by Wiebe Bijker and John Law, pp. 225–258.
- Recode (2017). Google Assistant e-commerce revenue. URL: https://www.recode.net/2017/5/23/15681596/google-assistant-ecommerce-revenue (visited on 11/12/2017).
- Verbeek, Peter-Paul (2011). Moralizing technology: Understanding and designing the morality of things. University of Chicago Press.
- WhatIs (2017). Definition: voice assistant. URL: http://whatis.techtarget.com/definition/voice-assistant (visited on 11/12/2017).