Smart Home Ambient Intelligence: voice assistants

a new limit for our freedom?

Stefano Brandoli

Politecnico di Milano

December 12, 2017

Presentation Outline

Smart Home Ambient Intelligence: voice assistants a new limit for our freedom?

- 1 Introduction
 - Technological Mediation
 - Definitions
- 2 Case Study: Google Home Google Assistant Actions
 - Applied concepts of Techonological Mediation limiting our freedom
 - Ethical concerns arising from loss of freedom
- 3 Possible Remedies



Technological Mediation

While fulfilling their function, technologies do much more: they give shape to what we do and how we experience the world. And in doing so they contribute actively to the ways we live our lives (Verbeek 2011)

- Technologies are not neutral intermediaries
- Technologies play an actively mediating role
- Artifacts are bearers of morality (Latour 1992)
- Morality is a matter of human-technology associations
- Two perspectives of mediation:
 - Perception
 - Action: I will focus on human freedom

Definitions I

Ambient Intelligence

Ambient Intelligence is an approach that combines two major technologies: Ubiquitous Computing and Intelligent User Interfaces (Brey 2005)

Voice Assistant

A voice assistant is a digital assistant that uses voice recognition, natural language processing and speech synthesis to provide aid to users through phones and voice recognition applications (Whatls 2017)

Definitions II

Freedom

Two forms (Brey 2005, 2006):

- ► Negative Freedom:
 - act without obstruction or interference by others
 - absence of limits and external constraints

example:

- Positive Freedom (Human Autonomy): I will focus on this
 - mastery over your own life
 - think freely, make your own decisions and act based on those example:

Case Study: Google Home - Google Assistant Actions



Google Assistant Actions

I may talk very very briefly about the tools

Script

1) Developers design the whole conversational interaction. Pre-defined templates. Ok lo lascio cosi.

Invitation/Inhibition structure

1) Developers define what actions are possible and what are not. The scripts of artifacts suggest specific actions and discourage others. Idea: the flow won't go on until the user has included in the answers the parameters

Behaviour Steering

- 1) suggestion chips
- 2) In future Google Assistant will propose in the conversation also ADS!!! And developers can see analitycs to shape further the behaviour.
- 3) Idea: natural language "may hide" the behaviour steer but it is designed there! "Explicit vs implicit invocation".

Where	is	Technol	logical	Med	diation	1 ?
* * 11010		1 CCITITO	OSICUI	1110	aracioi	

1) Google Actions Policies and terms: designed towards privacy, content, branding, . . . : what about technological mediation?

Technocracy

+ we may have the opposite problem: non technical people designing actions without having enough background!!!

Moral Laziness

commodification of morality

Moral responsibility of designers

What if in the future developers will shape morality??? Responsibility vacuum

Possible Remedies

Possible Remedies

Bibliography I

- Brey, Philip (2005). "Freedom and privacy in ambient intelligence". In: Ethics and Information Technology 7.3, pp. 157–166.
- Brey, Philip (2006). "Ethical aspects of behavior-steering technology". In: User Behavior and Technology Development, pp. 357–364.
- Google (2017). Actions On Google Developers Documentation. URL: https://developers.google.com/actions/.
- Latour, B. (1992). "Where Are the Missing Masses? The Sociology of a Few Mundane Artifacts". In: Shaping Technology-Building Society: Studies in Sociotechnical Change. Ed. by Wiebe Bijker and John Law, pp. 225–258.
- Recode (2017). Google Assistant e-commerce revenue. URL: https://www.recode.net/2017/5/23/15681596/google-assistant-ecommerce-revenue.
- Verbeek, Peter-Paul (2011). Moralizing technology: Understanding and designing the morality of things. University of Chicago Press.
- WhatIs (2017). Definition: voice assistant. URL: http://whatis.techtarget.com/definition/voice-assistant.