

candy-data

Ann (PID: A16028103)

538 candy data: PCA and more

```
candy <- read.csv("candy-data.csv", row.names = 1)
head(candy)
```

	chocolate	fruity	caramel	peanutyalmondy	nougat	crispedricewafer
100 Grand	1	0	1	0	0	1
3 Musketeers	1	0	0	0	1	0
One dime	0	0	0	0	0	0
One quarter	0	0	0	0	0	0
Air Heads	0	1	0	0	0	0
Almond Joy	1	0	0	1	0	0

	hard	bar	pluribus	sugarpercent	pricepercent	winpercent
100 Grand	0	1	0	0.732	0.860	66.97173
3 Musketeers	0	1	0	0.604	0.511	67.60294
One dime	0	0	0	0.011	0.116	32.26109
One quarter	0	0	0	0.011	0.511	46.11650
Air Heads	0	0	0	0.906	0.511	52.34146
Almond Joy	0	1	0	0.465	0.767	50.34755

Q1. How many different candy types are in this dataset?

```
nrow(candy)
```

```
[1] 85
```

Q2. How many fruity candy types are in the dataset?

```
sum(candy$fruity)
```

```
[1] 38
```

What are these fruity candies specifically? We can use ==

```
rownames ( candy[candy$fruity == 1, ] )
```

```
[1] "Air Heads"           "Caramel Apple Pops"
[3] "Chewey Lemonhead Fruit Mix" "Chiclets"
[5] "Dots"                "Dum Dums"
[7] "Fruit Chews"         "Fun Dip"
[9] "Gobstopper"          "Haribo Gold Bears"
[11] "Haribo Sour Bears"    "Haribo Twin Snakes"
[13] "Jawbusters"          "Laffy Taffy"
[15] "Lemonhead"           "Lifesavers big ring gummies"
[17] "Mike & Ike"           "Nerds"
[19] "Nik L Nip"           "Now & Later"
[21] "Pop Rocks"           "Red vines"
[23] "Ring pop"            "Runts"
[25] "Skittles original"    "Skittles wildberry"
[27] "Smarties candy"       "Sour Patch Kids"
[29] "Sour Patch Tricksters" "Starburst"
[31] "Strawberry bon bons"  "Super Bubble"
[33] "Swedish Fish"         "Tootsie Pop"
[35] "Trolli Sour Bites"    "Twizzlers"
[37] "Warheads"             "Welch's Fruit Snacks"
```

How often does my favorite candy win?

```
candy["Twix", ]$winpercent
```

```
[1] 81.64291
```

Q3. What is your favorite candy in the dataset and what is it's winpercent value?
Nestle Crunch

```
candy["Nestle Crunch", ]$winpercent
```

```
[1] 66.47068
```

Q4. What is the winpercent value for “Kit Kat”?

```
candy["Kit Kat", ]$winpercent
```

```
[1] 76.7686
```

Q5. What is the winpercent value for “Tootsie Roll Snack Bars”?

```
candy["Tootsie Roll Snack Bars", ]$winpercent
```

```
[1] 49.6535
```

New skim function

You can use the `::` function if you don't want to download the whole package. The library will only apply to this code chunk.

```
skimr::skim(candy)
```

Table 1: Data summary

Name	candy
Number of rows	85
Number of columns	12
Column type frequency:	
numeric	12
Group variables	None

Variable type: numeric

skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	mean	sd	p0	p25	p50	p75	p100	hist
chocolate	0	1	0.44	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	
fruity	0	1	0.45	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	
caramel	0	1	0.16	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	

skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	mean	sd	p0	p25	p50	p75	p100	hist
peanutyalmondy	0	1	0.16	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
nougat	0	1	0.08	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
crispedricewafer	0	1	0.08	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
hard	0	1	0.18	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
bar	0	1	0.25	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
pluribus	0	1	0.52	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
sugarpercent	0	1	0.48	0.28	0.01	0.22	0.47	0.73	0.99	
pricepercent	0	1	0.47	0.29	0.01	0.26	0.47	0.65	0.98	
winpercent	0	1	50.32	14.71	22.45	39.14	47.83	59.86	84.18	

Q6. Is there any variable/column that looks to be on a different scale to the majority of the other columns in the dataset?

The “hist” / winpercent column is not numeric; instead it is a visual bar graph from 0-100.

Q7. What do you think a zero and one represent for the candy\$chocolate column?


One means that the candy does have chocolate, while zero means that it does not.

Data analysis

Q8. Plot a histogram of winpercent values

```
library(ggplot2)

ggplot(candy, aes(winpercent)) +
  geom_histogram(binwidth = 8, fill = blues9)
```



Q9. Is the distribution of winpercent values symmetrical? No

Q10. Is the center of the distribution above or below 50%?

```
mean(candy$winpercent)
```

```
[1] 50.31676
```

Q11. On average is chocolate candy higher or lower ranked than fruit candy?

```
# Chocolate candy
```

```
# Filters, gets row values, calculates mean
```

```
mean ( candy$winpercent[as.logical(candy$chocolate)] )
```

```
[1] 60.92153
```

```
# Fruity candy
```

```
mean ( candy$winpercent[as.logical(candy$fruity)] )
```

```
[1] 44.11974
```

On average, chocolate candy is ranked higher than fruit candy.

Q12. Is this difference statistically significant?

```
chocolate <- candy$winpercent[as.logical(candy$chocolate)]
fruity <- candy$winpercent[as.logical(candy$fruity)]

t.test(chocolate, fruity)
```

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data: chocolate and fruity
t = 6.2582, df = 68.882, p-value = 2.871e-08
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 11.44563 22.15795
sample estimates:
mean of x mean of y
 60.92153  44.11974
```

Yes, the difference is statistically significant.

Overall candy rankings

Use `sort` to sort vectors.

```
# For example
x <- c(5, 2, 10)

# sort(x, decreasing = TRUE) inverses the sort
sort(x)
```

```
[1] 2 5 10
```

The buddy function to `sort()` that is often more useful is called `order()`. It returns the “indices” of the input that would result in it being sorted.

```
order(x) # Shows position of sorted values
```

```
[1] 2 1 3
```

```
x[ order(x) ] #Sorted values
```

```
[1] 2 5 10
```

Q13. What are the five least liked candy types in this set?

```
head(candy[order(candy$winpercent),], n=5)
```

	chocolate	fruity	caramel	peanut	almond	nougat
Nik L Nip	0	1	0		0	0
Boston Baked Beans	0	0	0		1	0
Chiclets	0	1	0		0	0
Super Bubble	0	1	0		0	0
Jawbusters	0	1	0		0	0

	crisped	rice	wafer	hard	bar	pluribus	sugar	percent	price	percent
Nik L Nip				0	0	0	1	0.197		0.976
Boston Baked Beans				0	0	0	1	0.313		0.511
Chiclets				0	0	0	1	0.046		0.325
Super Bubble				0	0	0	0	0.162		0.116
Jawbusters				0	1	0	1	0.093		0.511

	winpercent
Nik L Nip	22.44534
Boston Baked Beans	23.41782
Chiclets	24.52499
Super Bubble	27.30386
Jawbusters	28.12744

Q14. What are the top 5 all time favorite candy types out of this set?

```
ord <- order(candy$winpercent, decreasing = TRUE)
head(candy[ord, ], n=5)
```

	chocolate	fruity	caramel	peanut	almond	nougat
Reese's Peanut Butter cup	1	0	0		1	0
Reese's Miniatures	1	0	0		1	0
Twix	1	0	1		0	0
Kit Kat	1	0	0		0	0
Snickers	1	0	1		1	1


	crisped	rice	wafer	hard	bar	pluribus	sugar	percent
Reese's Peanut Butter cup		0	0	0		0		0.720
Reese's Miniatures		0	0	0		0		0.034
Twix		1	0	1		0		0.546
Kit Kat		1	0	1		0		0.313
Snickers		0	0	1		0		0.546

	price	percent	winpercent
Reese's Peanut Butter cup	0.651	84.18029	
Reese's Miniatures	0.279	81.86626	
Twix	0.906	81.64291	
Kit Kat	0.511	76.76860	
Snickers	0.651	76.67378	

Q15. Make a first barplot of candy ranking based on winpercent values. **Q16.** This is quite ugly, use the `reorder()` function to get the bars sorted by winpercent?

```
# Color according to category
my_cols=rep("black", nrow(candy))
my_cols[as.logical(candy$chocolate)] = "chocolate"
my_cols[as.logical(candy$bar)] = "brown"
my_cols[as.logical(candy$fruity)] = "pink"

ggplot(candy) + aes(winpercent, reorder(rownames(candy), winpercent)) +
  geom_col(fill=my_cols)
```

Q17. What is the worst ranked chocolate candy? Sixlets


Q18. What is the best ranked fruity candy? Starburst

Looking at pricepercent

What is the best candy for the least money?


```
my_cols[as.logical(candy$fruity)] = "red"
```

```
# How about a plot of price vs win
ggplot(candy) +
  aes(winpercent, pricepercent) +
  geom_point(col=my_cols)
```



Add some labels?

```
ggplot(candy) +
  aes(winpercent, pricepercent, label=rownames(candy)) +
  geom_point(col=my_cols) +
  geom_text()
```



To deal with overlapping labels, we instal the **ggrepel** package.


```
installed.packages("ggrepel")
```

```
Package LibPath Version Priority Depends Imports LinkingTo Suggests
Enhances License License_is_FOSS License_restricts_use OS_type Archs
MD5sum NeedsCompilation Built
```

```
library(ggrepel)

ggplot(candy) +
  aes(winpercent, pricepercent, label=rownames(candy)) +
  geom_point(col=my_cols) +
  geom_text_repel(max.overlaps = 5, col=my_cols) # Default: 10
```

Warning: ggrepel: 74 unlabeled data points (too many overlaps). Consider increasing max.overlaps



Q19. Which candy type is the highest ranked in terms of winpercent for the least money - i.e. offers the most bang for your buck? Reese's Miniatures

Q20. What are the top 5 most expensive candy types in the dataset and of these which is the least popular? Nik L Nip

Exploring correlation structure


Pearson correlation goes between -1 and 1, with 0 indicating no correlation. Values close to 1 are considered to be highly correlated.

```
install.packages("corrplot")
```

```
library("corrplot")
```

```
corrplot 0.92 loaded
```

```
cij <- cor(candy)
corrplot(cij)
```



Q22. Examining this plot what two variables are anti-correlated (i.e. have minus values)? Chocolate and fruit are anti-correlated.

Q23. Similarly, what two variables are most positively correlated? Chocolate and winpercent are positively correlated.

Principle Component Analysis

The base R function for PCA is called `prcomp()` and we can set “scale = TRUE/FALSE”.

```
pca <- prcomp( candy, scale = TRUE)
summary(pca)
```

Importance of components:

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6	PC7
Standard deviation	2.0788	1.1378	1.1092	1.07533	0.9518	0.81923	0.81530
Proportion of Variance	0.3601	0.1079	0.1025	0.09636	0.0755	0.05593	0.05539
Cumulative Proportion	0.3601	0.4680	0.5705	0.66688	0.7424	0.79830	0.85369


	PC8	PC9	PC10	PC11	PC12
Standard deviation	0.74530	0.67824	0.62349	0.43974	0.39760
Proportion of Variance	0.04629	0.03833	0.03239	0.01611	0.01317
Cumulative Proportion	0.89998	0.93832	0.97071	0.98683	1.00000

The main result of PCA - ie. the new PC plot (projection of candy on our new PC axis) is contained in `pca$x`.

```
pc <- as.data.frame(pca$x)


library(ggplot2)
library(ggrepel)

ggplot(pc) + aes(PC1, PC2, label = rownames(pc)) +
  geom_point(col=my_cols) #+
```



```
# geom_text_repel(max.overlaps = 5)

par(mar=c(8,4,2,2))
barplot(pca$rotation[,1], las=2, ylab="PC1 Contribution")
```



Q24. What original variables are picked up strongly by PC1 in the positive direction? Do these make sense to you? The above barplot visualizes correlation in a different way (horizontal / vertical). It is another way to view the correlation plot.