Ontology Based Description of Analytic Methods for Electrophysiology

Jan Stebetak, Roman Moucek

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of West Bohemia, Univerzitni 8, Pilsen, Czech Republic {stebjan, moucek}@kiv.zcu.cz

Keywords: neuroinformatics, electroencephalography, event-related potentials, analytic methods, metadata, semantic

web, ontology

Abstract: The growing electrophysiology research leads to the collection of large amounts of experimental data and con-

sequently to the broader application, eventually development of analytic methods, algorithms, and workflows. Then appropriate metadata definition and related data description is critical for long term storage and later identification of experimental data. Although a detailed description of electrophysiology data has not become a commonly used procedure so far, publicly available and well described data have started to appear in professional journals. The next reasonable step is to shift attention to the analysis of electrophysiology data. Since the analysis of this kind of data is rather complex, identification and appropriate description of used methods, algorithms and workflows would help reproducibility of the research in the field. This description would also allow developing automatic or semi-automatic systems for data analysis or constructing complex workflows in a more user friendly way. Based on these assumptions authors present a custom ontology for description of analytic methods and workflows in electrophysiology that is proposed to be discussed within the scientific

community.

1 INTRODUCTION

Our research group at (anonymous) specializes in the research of human brain activity. We use the methods and techniques of electroencephalography (EEG) and event-related potentials (ERP). As the electrophysiology research grows, larger amounts of data are collected and more complex methods and workflows are used for data analysis. Typically, electrophysiology workflows used in our laboratory include preprocessing methods (e.g. filtering, baseline correction), signal processing methods (for example feature extraction methods, clustering and classification methods), and postprocessing (usually statistical) methods. To make the application of complex analytic methods and workflows reproducible, a need for identification and sharing of their appropriate descriptions arises. These descriptions would also allow developing automatic or semi-automatic systems for data analysis or constructing complex workflows in a more user friendly way. Then by defining proper metadata structures for analytic methods and workflows and by using suitable technologies for machine-processing the workflow systems can ensure the following procedures:

• to check the syntactic compatibility of the used

methods (the output of the previous method is used as an input to the following method)

- to check the semantic compatibility of the used methods (connection of methods has sense in terms of their semantic usage within the processing chain and also semantic compatibility of transferred parameters)
- to suggest, which method is suitable to be put next into the workflow

In this paper, we present a definition of the metadata structure that describes the methods used in analytic processing of electrophysiology data in our laboratory. This structure thus helps to identify the semantic compatibility of the methods while constructing workflows. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section II describes the analytic methods that we use for processing of electrophysiology data. It also briefly presents the Semantic web technologies and existing ontologies. Section III deals with the metadata definition. The selection of a suitable technology is provided in Section IV and the proposed ontology is presented in Section V. This section is followed by conclusions and future work description.

2 STATE OF THE ART

This section brings an overview of existing approaches to description of analytic methods and workflows. Then it introduces the analytic methods suitable for electrophysiology research that we use for data analysis in our laboratory. Then the Semantic web technologies are briefly described.

2.1 Existing Approaches

The CARMEN Portal (Watson and Lord, 2007) (Code Analysis, Repository & Modelling for e-Neuroscience) developed by the British National Node allows neuroscientists to save and share experimental data and services. CARMEN provides storage of services. There is a number of public services available such as data filters, neural spike detection and spike sorting methods. No formal semantics or metadata structures are used for description of these methods, they are described in a natural language.

The Galaxy project (Goecks et al., 2010; ?; ?) is an open source workflows engine. A registered user is able to use methods and workflow tools provided by this system. Galaxy is focused on genome analysis; therefore, this system contains methods suitable for genome analysis. The methods are well described for the users with description of parameters and examples. It also includes OBI ontology (ontology for biomedical investigation) for formal description of semantic restrictions.

The Wings is an open source workflows engine (its source code is available at GitHub) and portal based system downloadable and runnable on local servers. The methods the engine works with are described by ontologies (in the Resource Description Framework). This description includes definition of semantic constraints such as allowed values, input/output cardinality, range, etc. The main disadvantage is a small community of developers and annoying bugs (e.g. difficulty with adding a new method into the Wings system) that we found while trying to deploy the system on a server.

This overview shows that the formal description of methods in terms of semantic constraints (e.g. allowed values) exists. However, the proper description of input/output parameters allowing semantic comparison of methods is still not satisfactorily solved. Therefore, we propose description of methods that allows such comparison in this paper.

2.2 Analytic Methods

In our laboratory we widely use the following signal preprocessing and processing methods: averaging, FIR filters, Matching Pursuit, Discrete and Continuous Wavelet transform, Fast Fourier transform, Hilbert-Huang transform, and various neural networks. This section briefly describes the basic principles of these algorithms since metadata definitions that follow will be proposed just for these methods. We admit that the methods described in this paper are only a subset of a larger set of methods that can be used in signal preprocessing and processing. This is taken into account and the proposed design will allow straightforward extension of metadata definitions.

Averaging (Sanei and Chambers, 2007) is a common method for highlighting ERP waveforms. During the averaging of the same kind of ERP waveforms, the noise is reduced and the waveform is highlighted. Since the background EEG has a higher amplitude then ERP waveforms, the averaging technique highlights the waveforms and suppress the background EEG (Vidal, 1977).

The Matching Pursuit method has been frequently used for continuous EEG processing. It decomposes any signal into a linear expansion of functions. At each iteration, a waveform is chosen in order to best match the significant structures of the signal. Typically, this part is approximated by a Gabor atom, which has the highest scalar product with the original signal, and then it is subtracted from the signal. This process is repeated until the whole signal is approximated by Gabor atoms with an acceptable error (Vareka, 2012). For displaying results we implemented the time-frequency transformation known as Wigner-Ville transformation (Quian, 2002). The input of this transformation is the set of chosen atoms.

Wavelet Transformation (WT) (Ciniburk, 2010) is a suitable method for analyzing and processing non-stationary signals such as EEG. WT has a good time and frequency localization, which is necessary for ERP detection. For EEG signal processing it is possible to use Continuous Wavelet Transformation or Discrete Wavelet Transformation. For visualization of wavelet results, the scalogram (Figure 1) is used.

Figure 1: Input signal and its scalogram. (Rondik, 2012)

The Fourier transform converts waveform data in the time domain into the frequency domain. Since artifacts usually have higher amplitude and basic frequency than a normal ERP component, this technique is useful for detecting artifacts within the EEG or ERP signal.

Independent Component Analysis (ICA) (Hyvarinen and Oja, 2001) is a method for blind signal separation and signal deconvolution. In the EEG/ERP domain, ICA can be used for artifact removal, ERPs detection, and, generally speaking, for detection and separation of every signal which is independent on EEG activity.

The Hilbert-Huang transform (HHT) was designed to analyze nonlinear and non-stationary signal. This also includes detection of ERP waveforms that is described in (Ciniburk, 2011).

2.3 Semantic Web Technologies

The Semantic Web is a layered architecture. The first layer is called Resource Description Framework (RDF). RDF is a simple metadata representation framework using URIs to identify web-based resources and a graph model for describing relationships between resources. Web ontology language (OWL) is a semantically richer language and provides more complex constraints on the types of resource and their properties. OWL comes with a larger vocabulary, greater machine interpretability and stronger syntax than RDF.

There are substantial differences between classic object-oriented languages such as Java or C# and Semantic Web technologies. The semantics of classes and instances in RDF Schema is open-world and description logics-based while object-oriented type systems are closed-world and constraint-based (A. Kalyanpur and Padget, 2002). The following list brings main differences between OOP and Semantic web (Oren and Decker, 2007)

- class membership: in object-oriented languages, an object is a member of exactly one class: its membershi is fixed and is defined during the object instantiation. In RDF Schema, a resource can belong to multiple classes: its membership is not fixed but defined by its rdf:type and the properties that belong to the resource.
- class hierarchy: in object-oriented type systems, classes can usually inherit from one superclass, while in RDF Schema classes can inherit from multiple superclasses.
- attribute vs. property: in the object-oriented model, attributes are defined locally inside their class, can be used only by instances of that class, and generally have single-typed values. In contrast, RDF properties are stand-alone entities that can be used by any resource of any class and that can have values of different types.

- structural inheritance: in object-oriented programming, objects inherit their attributes from their parent classes. In RDF Schema, since properties do not belong to a class, they are not inherited. Instead, property domains are propagated, but given their specific meaning indicating the class membership of resources using that property, domains propagate into the upwards direction of the class hierarchy.
- object conformance: in most object-oriented languages, the structure of instances must exactly follow the definition of their classes, whereas in RDF Schema, a class definition is not exhaustive and does not constrain the structure of its instances: any RDF resource can use any property.
- flexibility: object-oriented systems usually do not allow class definitions to evolve during runtime.
 In contrast, RDF is designed for integration of heterogeneous data with varying structure from varying sources, where both schema and data evolve during runtime.

The main advantage of Semantic Web technologies (e.g. RDF or OWL language) is the ability to evolve during runtime. Since newly created or added methods have to be well described, an extendable metadata definition is necessary. Easy reusability of classes and properties is also crucial, therefore the Semantic Web concept and technologies were chosen for description of the analytic methods described above.

3 METADATA DEFINITION AND ONTOLOGY DEVELOPMENT

Because there is no suitable description of the methods used in electrophysiology, we proposed their semantic description by using a set of metadata. Describing analytic methods at a more specific level for workflow construction requires a detailed analysis of the methods' operations in terms of semantics of their and inputs and outputs.

The metadata identification originated from our experience with data analysis, expertise of co-workers from cooperating institutions, books describing principles of EEG/ERP design and data recording (e.g. (Steven, 2005)), and numerous scientific papers describing processing of EEG/ERP data. We defined the following metadata and their structure:

 Method - It describes a method including its name and input/output types. It also includes definitions of restrictions (e.g. name is a string). Input/Output - It describes input/output types used by analytic methods. It includes signal such as EEG or ECG, and its restrictions (e.g. signal has values of double), coefficients from the Wavelet transform, or atom provided by the Matching Pursuit method (the atom is composed of scale, frequency, modulus, phase, and position represented as double values).

Figure 2 shows the structure of Signal and Atom input/output types.

Figure 2: a) Structure of Signal as an I/O type, b) Structure of Atom

Defining metadata we continued with the development of the ontology for analytic methods introduced in Section 2.2. Table 1 brings an overview of these methods enriched with input/output parameter(s) types.

Table 1: Overview of methods and	parameters
----------------------------------	------------

Method name	Input parame-	Output pa-	
	ter(s)	rameter(s)	
Detection of	EEG signal	Set of de-	
epochs		tected epochs	
Fourier	EEG/ERP	Detected fre-	
Transform	signal	quencies	
Wavelet	EEG/ERP	Computed co-	
Transform	signal	efficients	
Matching	EEG/ERP	List of se-	
Pursuit	signal	lected atoms	
ICA	EEG/ERP	ICA compo-	
	signal	nents	
Hilbert-	EEG/ERP	Set of In-	
Huang Trans-	signal	trinsic Mode	
form		Functions	
Neural net-	Featured vec-	Vector of	
work	tor	weights	

For the ontology development we used Protege, which is a free, open-source ontology editor and framework for building intelligent systems. The following terms were defined for the semantic group method:

- Class Method and its named individuals (DetectionOfEpochs, Averaging, CWT, DWT, FFT, FastICA, FIR, and MatchingPursuit)
- Class IOType representing general input/output type (any class or individual)

We also defined properties hasInput and hasOutput. The class Method is a domain class for these properties and the class IOType defines their range. The class IOType has the following subclasses:

- Class Signal (an example of this class is given below) represents a set of values (signal amplitudes) and individuals such as eegSignal, ecgSignal, ...). Epoch, FilteredSignal, and ReconstructedSignal are subclasses of this class. For these classes we defined an object property hasSignal-Value, which range is the class SignalValue leading to a data property named Value with the defined type xsd:double.
- Class Coefficient represents a generic type for a coefficient (for classes in this list that include coefficients as their object properties). The coefficient value defined as object property is of the double value.
- Classes CWTCoefficients and DWTCoefficients are computed coefficients that represent the return type of the methods Continuous Wavelet Transform resp. Discrete Wavelet Transform.
- Class ComplexFrequency represents composition of RealFrequency and ImaginaryFrequency classes. This type is typically used as an output of time-frequency analytic methods such as Fast Fourier Transform. It has two object properties: hasRealValue and hasImaginaryValue. The properties range are RealValue class resp. Imaginary-Value class.
- Class Atom represents the output type of the Matching Pursuit method. It includes five coefficient types (classes Frequency, Scale, Modulus, Position, and Phase) and five corresponding object properties (hasFrequency,...).

Below an RDF example containing the class Signal, the object property has Signal Value, and the data property Value is shown.

```
<owl:Class rdf:about=</pre>
"http://www.semanticweb.org/eegMethods#Signal">
  <rdfs:subClassOf>
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource=</pre>
        "http://www.semanticweb.org/
        eegMethods#hasSignalValue"/>
      <owl:onClass rdf:resource=</pre>
        "http://www.semanticweb.org/
        eegMethods#SignalValue"/>
      <owl:minQualifiedCardinality</pre>
        rdf:datatype="&xsd;
        nonNegativeInteger">1
      </owl:minQualifiedCardinality>
    </owl:Restriction>
  </rdfs:subClassOf>
</owl:Class>
<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about=</pre>
"http://www.semanticweb.org/
eegMethods#hasSignalValue">
  <rdfs:domain rdf:resource=
```

```
"http://www.semanticweb.org/
    eegMethods#ICAComponent"/>
  <rdfs:domain rdf:resource=
    "http://www.semanticweb.org/
    eegMethods#Signal"/>
  <rdfs:range rdf:resource=
    "http://www.semanticweb.org/
    eegMethods#SignalValue"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>
<owl:DatatypeProperty rdf:about=</pre>
"http://www.semanticweb.org/eegMethods#Value">
  <rdfs:domain rdf:resource=
    "http://www.semanticweb.org/
    eegMethods#CoefficientValue"/>
  <rdfs:domain rdf:resource=
    "http://www.semanticweb.org/ontologies/
    eegMethods#SignalValue"/>
  <rdfs:range rdf:resource="&xsd;double"/>
</owl:DatatypeProperty>
```

Figure 3 shows a tree of defined classes provided by the Protege.

Figure 3: Tree of classes in Protege

4 CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents a subset of methods suitable for EEG/ERP signal preprocessing and processing that are used in our laboratory. The basic principles of these methods as well as their use for ERP waveforms detection or artifacts removal are briefly described. Since complex data analyses often require using multiple methods sequentially, it is crucial to find suitable methods and reasonable workflows to achieve the goal. Therefore, we defined a set of metadata describing these analytic methods to help analyst to create such a workflow correctly and with comparably lower effort. Adding semantics to the methods in the form of metadata thus allows analysts or better appropriate software tools to check both syntactic and semantic compatibility. Therefore we developed the ontology that included a set of defined metadata. This brings an ability to develop an automatic or semi-automatic workflow system in future.

The presented ontology is also easily extendable. It allows reusing existing classes and properties as well as defining new classes and/or properties when necessary.

4.1 Future Work

We will annotate the input/output parameters of the methods used in our laboratory with the terms defined in the ontology. It allows us to ensure the compatibility of methods while constructing workflows. We also plan to develop a workflow suggestion system based on the presented ontology that will help users to find suitable methods while constructing workflows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work was supported by the UWB grant SGS-2013-039 Methods and Applications of Bio- and Medical Informatics.

REFERENCES

- A. Kalyanpur, D. Pastor, S. B. and Padget, J. (2002). Automatic mapping of owl ontologies into java. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering (SEKE)*.
- Ciniburk, J. (2011). Hilbert-huang transform for erp detection. ph.d. thesis. Technical report, University of West Bohemia, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Czech Republic.
- Ciniburk, J., M. R. M. P. R. T. (2010). Erp components detection using wavelet transform and matching pursuit algorithm. In *DCII*, Prague.
- Goecks, J., Nekrutenko, A., Taylor, J., and Team, T. G. (2010). Galaxy: a comprehensive approach for supporting accessible, reproducible, and transparent computational research in the life sciences. *Genome Biol*, 11(8):R86.
- Hyvarinen, A., K. J. and Oja, E. (2001). Independent component analysis. In *Adaptive and Learning Systems for Signal Processing*, Prague.
- Oren, E., D. R. G. S. H. A. and Decker, S. (2007). Activerdf: object-oriented semantic web programming. In WWW '07: Proceedings of the 16th international conference on World Wide Web, pages 817–824, New York, NY, USA.
- Quian, S. (2002). Introduction to time-frequency and wavelet transforms. Paris.
- Rondik, T. (2012). Methods for detection of erp waveforms in bci systems. state of the art and concept of ph.d. thesis. Technical report, University of West Bohemia, Department of Computer Science and Engineering.
- Sanei, S. and Chambers, J. A. (2007). Eeg signal processing. Chippenham (Wiltshire): Antony Rowe Ltd.
- Steven, J. L. (2005). An Introduction to the Event-Related Potential Technique (Cognitive Neuroscience). A Bradford Book.
- Vareka, L. (2012). Matching pursuit for p300-based brain computer interfaces. Prague.
- Vidal, J. J. (1977). Real-time detection of brain events in eeg. In *Proceedings of the IEEE*, volume 65, pages 633–641.
- Watson, P., J. T. P. G. G. F. A. J. F. M. L. B. and Lord, P. (2007). The carmen neuroscience server. In *Proceedings of the UK e-Science All hands Meeting*, pages 135–141.