Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
000000000

Monads
000000

COMP3141

Software System Design and Implementation

Functors, Applicatives, and Monads

Liam O'Connor
University of Edinburgh LFCS (and UNSW)
Term 2 2020

Recap
○●○○

Applicative Functors
○○○○○○○○○

Monads
○○○○○○

Motivation

We'll be looking at three very common abstractions:

- used in functional programming and,
- increasingly, in imperative programming as well.

Unlike many other languages, these abstractions are reified into bona fide type classes in Haskell, where they are often left as mere "design patterns" in other programming languages.

Kinds

Recall that terms in the type level language of Haskell are given *kinds*.
The most basic kind is written as *.

- Types such as Int and Bool have kind *.
- Seeing as Maybe is parameterised by one argument, Maybe has kind * -> *:
  given a type (e.g. Int), it will return a type (Maybe Int).

Question: What's the kind of State?

Recap
○○○●

Applicative Functors
○○○○○○○○○

Monads
○○○○○○

Functor

Recall the type class defined over type constructors called `Functor`.

```
class Functor f where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

> **Functor Laws**
>
> ❶ `fmap id == id`
>
> ❷ `fmap f . fmap g == fmap (f . g)`

We've seen instances for lists, `Maybe`, tuples and functions.

Other instances include:

- `IO` (how?)
- `State s` (how?)
- `Gen`

Demonstrate in live-coding

Recap
○○○●

Applicative Functors
○○○○○○○○○

Monads
○○○○○○

QuickCheck Generators

Recall the `Arbitrary` class has a function:

`arbitrary :: Gen a`

The type `Gen` is an abstract type for QuickCheck generators. Suppose we have a function:

`toString :: Int -> String`

And we want a generator for `String` (i.e. `Gen String`) that is the result of applying `toString` to arbitrary `Int`s.
Then we use `fmap`!

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
●00000000

Monads
000000

Binary Functions

Suppose we want to look up a student's zID and program code using these functions:

```
lookupID :: Name -> Maybe ZID
lookupProgram :: Name -> Maybe Program
```

And we had a function:

```
makeRecord :: ZID -> Program -> StudentRecord
```

How can we combine these functions to get a function of type
`Name -> Maybe StudentRecord`?

```
lookupRecord :: Name -> Maybe StudentRecord
lookupRecord n = let zid     = lookupID n
                     program = lookupProgram n
                 in ?
```

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
0●0000000

Monads
000000

Binary Map?

We could imagine a binary version of the `maybeMap` function:

```
maybeMap2 :: (a -> b -> c)
          -> Maybe a -> Maybe b -> Maybe c
```

But then, we might need a trinary version.

```
maybeMap3 :: (a -> b -> c -> d)
          -> Maybe a -> Maybe b -> Maybe c -> Maybe d
```

Or even a 4-ary version, 5-ary, 6-ary...

this would quickly become impractical!

Recap
○○○○

Applicative Functors
○○●○○○○○○

Monads
○○○○○○

Using Functor

Using `fmap` gets us part of the way there:

```
lookupRecord' :: Name -> Maybe (Program -> StudentRecord)
lookupRecord' n = let zid     = lookupID n
                      program = lookupProgram n
                  in fmap makeRecord zid
                     -- what about program?
```

But, now we have a function inside a Maybe.

We need a function to take:

- A `Maybe`-wrapped fn `Maybe (Program -> StudentRecord)`
- A `Maybe`-wrapped argument `Maybe Program`

And apply the function to the argument, giving us a result of type
`Maybe StudentRecord`?

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
000●00000

Monads
000000

Applicative

This is encapsulated by a subclass of Functor called Applicative:

```
class Functor f => Applicative f where
  pure :: a -> f a
  (<*>) :: f (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

Maybe is an instance, so we can use this for lookupRecord:

```
lookupRecord :: Name -> Maybe StudentRecord
lookupRecord n = let zid     = lookupID n
                     program = lookupProgram n
                 in fmap makeRecord zid <*> program
               -- or pure makeRecord <*> zid <*> program
```

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
0000●0000

Monads
000000

Using Applicative

In general, we can take a regular function application:

$$f\ a\ b\ c\ d$$

And apply that function to `Maybe` (or other `Applicative`) arguments using this pattern (where `<*>` is left-associative):

$$\text{pure } f \text{ <*> ma <*> mb <*> mc <*> md}$$

Recap
oooo

Applicative Functors
oooooo●ooo

Monads
oooooo

Relationship to Functor

All law-abiding instances of `Applicative` are also instances of `Functor`, by defining:

`fmap f x = pure f <*> x`

Sometimes this is written as an infix operator, `<$>`, which allows us to write:

$$\texttt{pure f <*> ma <*> mb <*> mc <*> md}$$

as:

$$\texttt{f <\$> ma <*> mb <*> mc <*> md}$$

Proof exercise: From the applicative laws (next slide), prove that this implementation of `fmap` obeys the functor laws.

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
000000●00

Monads
000000

Applicative laws

```
-- Identity
pure id <*> v = v


-- Homomorphism
pure f <*> pure x = pure (f x)


-- Interchange
u <*> pure y = pure ($ y) <*> u


-- Composition
pure (.) <*> u <*> v <*> w = u <*> (v <*> w)
```

These laws are a bit complex, and we certainly don't expect you to memorise them,
but pay attention to them when defining instances!

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
000000●0

Monads
000000

Applicative Lists

There are two ways to implement `Applicative` for lists:

`(<*>) :: [a -> b] -> [a] -> [b]`

1. Apply each of the given functions to each of the given arguments, concatenating all the results.
2. Apply each function in the list of functions to the corresponding value in the list of arguments.

Question: How do we implement `pure`?

The second one is put behind a `newtype` (`ZipList`) in the Haskell standard library.

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
00000000●

Monads
000000

Other instances

- QuickCheck generators: `Gen`
  Recall from Wednesday Week 4:
  ```
  data Concrete = C [Char] [Char]
    deriving (Show, Eq)

  instance Arbitrary Concrete where
    arbitrary = C <$> arbitrary <*> arbitrary
  ```
- Functions: `((->) x)`
- Tuples: `((,) x)` We can't implement `pure` without an extra constraint!
- `IO` and `State s`:

14

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
000000000

Monads
●00000

On to Monads

- Functors are types for containers where we can `map` pure functions on their contents.
- Applicative Functors are types where we can combine *n* containers with a *n*-ary function.

The last and most commonly-used higher-kinded abstraction in Haskell programming is the `Monad`.

Monads

Monads are types `m` where we can *sequentially compose* functions of the form `a -> m b`

Recap
○○○○

Applicative Functors
○○○○○○○○○

Monads
○●○○○○○

Monads

```haskell
class Applicative m => Monad m where
  (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
```

Sometimes in old documentation the function `return` is included here, but it is just an alias for `pure`. It has nothing to do with `return` as in C/Java/Python etc.

Consider for:

- `Maybe`
- `Lists`
- `(x ->)` (the Reader monad)
- `(x,)` (the Writer monad, assuming a `Monoid` instance for `x`)
- `Gen`
- `IO, State s` etc.

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
000000000

Monads
000●000

Monad Laws

We can define a composition operator with (>>=):

```
(<=<) :: (b -> m c) -> (a -> m b) -> (a -> m c)
(f <=< g) x = g x >>= f
```

Monad Laws

```
f <=< (g <=< x) == (f <=< g) <=< x  -- associativity
pure <=< f       == f                -- left identity
f <=< pure       == f                -- right identity
```

These are similar to the monoid laws, generalised for multiple types inside the monad.
This sort of structure is called a *category* in mathematics.

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
000000000

Monads
000●00

Relationship to Applicative

All `Monad` instances give rise to an `Applicative` instance, because we can define `<*>` in terms of `>>=`.

```
 mf <*> mx = mf >>= \f -> mx >>= \x -> pure (f x)
```

This implementation is already provided for `Monad`s as the ap function in `Control.Monad`.

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
000000000

Monads
000●●0

Do notation

Working directly with the monad functions can be unpleasant.
As we've seen, Haskell has some notation to increase niceness:

```
do x <- y
   z
```
becomes
```
y >>= \x -> do z
```

```
do x
   y
```
becomes
```
x >>= \_ -> do y
```

We'll use this for most of our examples.

19

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
000000000

Monads
00000●

Examples

Example (Dice Rolls)

Roll two 6-sided dice, if the difference is < 2, reroll the second die. Final score is the difference of the two die. What score is most common?

Example (Partial Functions)

We have a list of student names in a database of type [(ZID, Name)]. Given a list of zID's, return a Maybe [Name], where Nothing indicates that a zID could not be found.

Example (Arbitrary Instances)

Define a Tree type and a generator for search trees:

```
  searchTrees :: Int -> Int -> Generator Tree
```

Recap
0000

Applicative Functors
000000000

Monads
●00000

Homework

1. Next programming exercise is out now, due in Week 8.
2. This week's quiz is also up, due in Friday of Week 8.