

# STATE SPACE MODELS FOR REGIONAL EPIDEMIOLOGICAL INDICATORS

STEFAN HEYDER

October 2023 – Draft v 0.1



## ABSTRACT

---

Short summary of the contents in English...

## ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

---

Kurze Zusammenfassung des Inhaltes in deutscher Sprache...

## PUBLICATIONS AND CONTRIBUTIONS

---

This thesis consists of mostly unpublished work. During my time as a PhD student I have, however, been fortunate to collaborate with many scientists on problems in mathematical epidemiology with a focus on COVID-19, which resulted in several publications. In this section I want to clarify what my contributions to these publications were and which contributions of the present thesis are new.

- [1] J. Bracher et al. “A Pre-Registered Short-Term Forecasting Study of COVID-19 in Germany and Poland during the Second Wave.” In: *Nature Communications* 12.1 (1 Aug. 27, 2021), p. 5173. ISSN: 2041-1723. DOI: [10.1038/s41467-021-25207-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25207-0). URL: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-021-25207-0> (visited on 09/30/2021).
- [2] Johannes Bracher et al. “National and Subnational Short-Term Forecasting of COVID-19 in Germany and Poland during Early 2021.” In: *Communications Medicine* 2.1 (1 Oct. 31, 2022), pp. 1–17. ISSN: 2730-664X. DOI: [10.1038/s43856-022-00191-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43856-022-00191-8). URL: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s43856-022-00191-8> (visited on 11/16/2022).
- [3] Jan Pablo Burgard, Stefan Heyder, Thomas Hotz, and Tyll Krueger. “Regional Estimates of Reproduction Numbers with Application to COVID-19.” Aug. 31, 2021. arXiv: [2108.13842](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.13842) [stat]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2108.13842> (visited on 09/30/2021).
- [4] Sara M. Grundel, Stefan Heyder, Thomas Hotz, Tobias K. S. Ritschel, Philipp Sauerteig, and Karl Worthmann. “How to Coordinate Vaccination and Social Distancing to Mitigate SARS-CoV-2 Outbreaks.” In: *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems* 20.2 (Jan. 1, 2021), pp. 1135–1157. DOI: [10.1137/20M1387687](https://doi.org/10.1137/20M1387687). URL: <https://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/20M1387687> (visited on 01/21/2022).
- [5] Sara Grundel, Stefan Heyder, Thomas Hotz, Tobias K. S. Ritschel, Philipp Sauerteig, and Karl Worthmann. “How Much Testing and Social Distancing Is Required to Control COVID-19? Some Insight Based on an Age-Differentiated Compartmental Model.” In: *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 60.2 (Apr. 2022), S145–S169. ISSN: 0363-0129, 1095-7138. DOI: [10.1137/20M1377783](https://doi.org/10.1137/20M1377783). URL: <https://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/20M1377783> (visited on 11/16/2022).
- [6] Thomas Hotz, Matthias Glock, Stefan Heyder, Sebastian Semper, Anne Böhle, and Alexander Krämer. “Monitoring the Spread of COVID-19 by Estimating Reproduction Numbers over Time.” Apr. 18, 2020. arXiv: [2004.08557](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.08557) [q-bio, stat]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2004.08557> (visited on 07/20/2020).
- [7] K. Sherratt et al. *Predictive Performance of Multi-Model Ensemble Forecasts of COVID-19 across European Nations*. June 16, 2022. DOI: [10.1101/2022.06.16.22276024](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.16.22276024). URL: <http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.06.16.22276024> (visited on 11/28/2022). preprint.

*Du musst bereit sein Dinge zu tun.*  
— A meme on the internet, 2022.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

---

Put your acknowledgments here.

## CONTENTS

---

1	Introduction	1
2	Epidemiological Data & Models	2
3	State Space Models	3
4	State Space Models for Epidemiological Data	5
5	Conclusion	6
A	Appendix 01	7
A.1	Some Appendix Section	7

## INTRODUCTION

---





## STATE SPACE MODELS

State space models ...

**Definition 3.1** (State Space Model). A **state space model** is a discrete time stochastic process  $(X_t, Y_t)_{t=0, \dots, n-1}$  taking values in  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$  such that

1. The marginal distribution of the **states**  $(X_0, \dots, X_{n-1})$  is a discrete time Markov process, i.e. if  $s < t$  then  $X_t$  is conditionally independent of  $X_s$  given  $X_{t-1}$ .
2. Conditional on the state  $X_t$  and observation  $Y_{t-1}$ ,  $Y_t$  is independent of  $X_s$  and  $Y_{s-1}$ ,  $s < t$ .

For notational convenience we will write  $\mathbf{X}_{s:t} = (X_s, \dots, X_t)$  for the vector that contains all states from  $s$  to  $t$ , dropping the index  $s:t$  if we consider the whole set of observations, so  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{0:n-1}$ . Similarly we set  $\mathbf{Y}_{s:t} = (Y_s, \dots, Y_t)$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}_{0:n-1}$ .

*Remark.* Contrary to the standard definition of a state space model, our Definition 3.1 allows  $Y_t$  to depend on  $Y_{t-1}$ . This is not a limitation of the standard definition: given a state space model of the form in Definition 3.1 we can transform it to the standard form by choosing states  $(X_t, Y_t) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$  and observations  $Y_t \in \mathcal{Y}$  such that the state space model becomes a stochastic process on  $(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \times \mathcal{Y}$ . As Chapter 4 will make extensive use of state space models with this dependency structure we opt to use this non-standard definition here.

Given data  $(y_t)_{t=0, \dots, n-1}$  that may be modeled with a state space model the practitioner is confronted with several tasks:

1. Choosing a suitable, usually parametric, class of state space models that include the effects of interest.
2. Fitting such a parametric model to the data at hand by either frequentist or bayesian techniques.
3. Infer about the latent states  $(X_0, \dots, X_{n-1})$  from the observations by determining, either analytically or through simulation, the **smoothing distribution**  $\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}$  or various functionals thereof.

The first step, item 1, requires that the practitioner to specify a joint probability distribution for the states and observations. Due to the assumed dependency structure this boils down to specifying transition kernels for the states and observations .

Most models that I consider in this thesis will admit densities for the state transitions w.r.t. a common dominating measure  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$  and similar for the observations w.r.t. a (potentially different) domination measure  $\mu_{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

*Notation* (Densities, conditional densities). I will use the standard abuse of notation for densities that makes the type of density “obvious” from the arguments used. This means that  $p(x)$  is the density for all states  $\mathbf{X}$ ,  $p(x_t|x_{t-1})$  the conditional density of  $X_t|X_{t-1}$  and similaryl for observations:  $p(y|x)$  is the density of all observations  $\mathbf{Y}$  conditional on all states  $\mathbf{X}$ .

picutre of dependency structure

ausführlicher

check whether there are models that violate th

In this notation the joint density of the state space model factorizes

$$p(x, y) = p(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}) \quad (3.1)$$

$$= p(x_0) \prod_{t=1}^{n-1} p(x_t | x_{t-1}) \prod_{t=0}^{n-1} p(y_t | x_t, y_{t-1}) \quad (3.2)$$

Refer to Chapter 4 for constructing parametric state space models that capture relevant epidemiological effects.

Regarding the second step, item 2, a frequentist practitioner



## CONCLUSION

---

## APPENDIX 01

---

### A.1 SOME APPENDIX SECTION

## DECLARATION

---

Put your declaration here.

*Ilmenau, October 2023*

---

Stefan Heyder