Evaluation of Machine Translation in Languages

Stefan Liemawan Adji

July 6, 2024

1 Introduction

According to Ethnologue [1], 7,164 languages currently exist and in use today, with 40% of them considered endangered.

As of July 2024, 243 languages and languages varities are supported by Google Translate (according to Wikipedia [9])

Machine translation (MT) has emerged as a pivotal technology in facilitating cross-cultural communication and global information exchange. With the exponential growth of digital content in diverse languages, the demand for accurate and efficient translation systems has never been greater. This paper aims to evaluate and compare the performance of various machine translation models across multiple languages, shedding light on their effectiveness and limitations in real-world applications.

Effective machine translation hinges on the ability of algorithms to comprehend and accurately render meaning from source text in one language to target text in another. Traditionally, statistical methods dominated the landscape, employing linguistic rules and alignment techniques. However, the advent of neural machine translation (NMT) has revolutionized the field, leveraging deep learning architectures to achieve remarkable improvements in translation quality.

Statistical machine translation, which previously dominated MT research for many years with its reliance on various count-based models, has largely been surpassed by neural machine translation (NMT) [6].

The languages chosen for evaluation in this study represent a wide overview, striking a balance between resources and diversity.

The evaluation criteria encompass standard metrics such as BLEU (Bilingual Evaluation Understudy) [4], METEOR (Metric for Evaluation of Translation with Explicit ORdering) [2] to capture nuances in translation quality. These metrics not only quantify the fidelity of translations but also offer insights into the models' adaptability and robustness across different linguistic pairs.

Through simple experimentation and analysis, this paper aims to evaluate existing techniques across different languages and contribute valuable insights into the current state of machine translation technology. By comparing metrics among languages, I hope to inform future researchers of the effectiveness of machine translation on each individual languages that are included in this paper.

Evaluating languages enhances understanding of linguistic structures, phonetics, syntax, semantics, and other linguistic components. This knowledge contributes to the broader field of linguistics and helps in developing theories about language evolution, language families, and the cognitive processes involved in language acquisition and use.

Machine translation can be tracked to as early as 1949 [8]

2 Related Work

As is the case of most fields within Natural Language Processing (NLP), the current state-of-the-art (SOTA) in machine translation (MT) is characterised by the significant advancements brought by large language models (LLMs) like OpenAI's GPT-4. These models have surpassed traditional neural machine translation (NMT) systems in several areas, offering more nuanced and accurate translations, especially in handling context and idiomatic expressions.

mBART [3] is considered one of the State-of-the-Art as of this moment for multilingual translation. T5 [5]

3 Tatoeba Dataset

3.1 Overview

Tatoeba is a vast, continuously expanding database consisting sentences and their translations, built through the contributions of thousands of volunteers, offering a tool that allows users to see examples of how words are used in sentences [7]. They currently have 12,132,349 sentences and 423 supported languages, with around one to two thousand new sentences added daily, on average



Figure 1: Tatoeba languages repository with 10,000+ sentences and 100,000+ sentences [7]

Tatoeba English sentence dataset contains 1,905,089 sentences, the largest one in their repository, with Russian in the second place with 1,066,633 sentences. Some of the languages supported in the website is shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2, sorted from the biggest corpus. Low-resource languages such as Rendille, Southern Haida, and Cuyonon can be seen at the bottom of the list, having only a single sentence example. Ancestor languages such as Old Saxon and Old Turkish can also be seen in the list, subsequently with low number of examples.

3.2 Analysis

Sentences typically consist of everyday phrases such as 'I have to go to sleep', 'That is intriguing', and 'Where do you live?' They may also include single-word exclamations like 'Speak!' or 'Look!' Additionally, multiple sentences such as 'You may write in any language you want. On Tatoeba, all languages are considered equal', and 'Guns don't kill people. People kill people' can be found inside the corpus. A few of them also include human names, 'Compare your answer with Tom's', 'Muiriel is 20 now'. All of the sentences are straightforward and literal, without the use of linguistic devices such as metaphors or sarcasm. Therefore, machine translation process should be straightforward on this level.

4 Methodology

Take the list of most spoken language by population according to Wikipedia [10], then take one for each branch.

Sentences in English are downloaded, 1,898,494 sentences (it is unclear why it is less than the number stated in the Tatoeba website). Then for each languages, download sentence pairs compared to English. Merge every language sentences into one big dataframe, only keep where sentences exist for every language.

Target language will be English, with machine translation task defined as Many-to-English.



Figure 2: Tatoeba top 20 and bottom 20 languages based on sentences count [7]

No.	Language
1	Dutch
2	Finnish
3	French
4	German
5	Hebrew
6	Hungarian
7	Italian
8	Japanese
9	Mandarin Chinese
10	Polish
11	Portuguese
12	Russian
13	Spanish
14	Turkish
15	Ukrainian

Table 1: List of chosen languages for evaluation

Sentences dataset from Tatoeba is used ¹. Languages that has more than fifty thousand sentences are selected. Accordingly, languages that are available for mbart is also selected based on the list here ² For translation, all languages are translated into English as the target language. Then compare the true English sentence and the predicted one, calculated BLEU.

5 Evaluation

6 Conclusion

References

- [1] David M. Eberhard, Gary F. Simons, and Charles D. Fennig, eds. *Ethnologue: Languages of the World.* 27th. Dallas, Texas: SIL International, 2024. URL: http://www.ethnologue.com.
- [2] Alon Lavie and Abhaya Agarwal. "Meteor: an automatic metric for MT evaluation with high levels of correlation with human judgments". In: *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation*. StatMT '07. Prague, Czech Republic: Association for Computational Linguistics, 2007, 228–231.
- [3] Yinhan Liu et al. Multilingual Denoising Pre-training for Neural Machine Translation. 2020. arXiv: 2001.08210 [cs.CL]. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.08210.
- [4] Kishore Papineni et al. "BLEU: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation". In: Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics. ACL '02. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Association for Computational Linguistics, 2002, 311–318. DOI: 10.3115/1073083.1073135. URL: https://doi.org/10.3115/1073083.1073135.
- [5] Colin Raffel et al. Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer. 2023. arXiv: 1910.10683 [cs.LG]. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.10683.
- [6] Felix Stahlberg. "Neural Machine Translation: A Review". In: Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research 69 (Oct. 2020), 343-418. ISSN: 1076-9757. DOI: 10.1613/jair.1.12007. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1613/jair.1.12007.
- [7] Tatoeba Community. About Tatoeba. Accessed: 2024-07-06. 2024. URL: https://tatoeba.org/en.
- [8] Warren Weaver. "Memorandum on Translation". In: MT News International 22 (1999), pp. 5–6, 15.
- [9] Wikipedia contributors. Google Translate Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. [Online; accessed 6-July-2024]. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Google_Translate& oldid=1232822378.
- [10] Wikipedia contributors. List of languages by number of native speakers Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. [Online; accessed 5-July-2024]. 2024. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List_of_languages_by_number_of_native_speakers&oldid=1231985127.

¹https://tatoeba.org/

 $^{^2 \}verb|https://dl-translate.readthedocs.io/en/latest/available_languages/|$