

Longer vowel duration correlates with tongue root advancement in Italian and Polish: An ultrasound study

Stefano Coretta

The University of Manchester

LabPhon16, 19-22 June 2018, Lisbon, Portugal

Background

The voicing effect

 shorter vowels before voiceless stops, longer vowels before voiced stops

Heffner (1937); House & Fairbanks (1953); Belasco (1953); Peterson & Lehiste (1960); Halle & Stevens (1967); Chen (1970); Klatt (1973); Lisker (1974); Raphael (1975); Javkin (1976); Maddieson & Gandour (1976); Farnetani & Kori (1986); Kluender et al. (1988); Laeufer (1992); Fowler (1992); Hussein (1994); Esposito (2002); Lampp & Reklis (2004); Warren & Jacks (2005); Durvasula & Luo (2012)

Still **no consensus** on source!

Background

Proposed accounts:

production

- · constant articulatory force (Belasco, 1953; Delattre, 1962)
- · durational trade-off (Slis & Cohen, 1969; Lehiste, 1970)
- · laryngeal adjustment (Halle & Stevens, 1967)
- · closing gesture duration (Chen, 1970)

perception

- misperception (Javkin, 1976)
- · enhancement (Kluender et al., 1988)
- but problems (Maddieson & Gandour, 1976; Fowler, 1992)

Background

This talk:


- · Support for durational trade-off hypothesis of the voicing effect
- · Link between vowel duration and tongue root position

Methods


- Participants: 11 Italians (5 F, 6 M), 6 Polish (3 F, 3 M)
- Targets
 - $C_1V_1C_2V_1$ ($C_1 = /p/$, $V_1 = /a$, o, u/, $C_2 = /t$, d, k, g/)
 - · pata, pada, paka, ..., poto, podo, ...
- Frame sentence
 - Dico X lentamente, 'I say X slowly'
 - Mówię X teraz, 'I say X now'
- Measurements
 - Durational data from acoustics (Boersma & Weenink, 2016)
 - Tongue root position (frontness) from ultrasound tongue imaging (Articulate Instruments Ltd™, 2011, 2008)
- Reproducibility
 - https://github.com/stefanocoretta/2018-labphon

5


Methods: Acoustic landmarks




Methods: Tongue root position




Results: Vowel duration



Results: Closure duration



Results: Vowel and closure duration




Results: Interim summary

In Italian and Polish:


- · Vowels are 15 ms longer when followed by a voiced stop
- · Consonant closure is 16 ms shorter if it is a voiced stop
- Vowel duration is inversely correlated with closure duration

Durational trade-off?


Results: Interim summary




Results: Release to Release duration




Results: Release to Release duration



Discussion: Durational trade-off



Discussion: Vowel duration and tongue root position



Discussion: Vowel duration and tongue root position

- Voiced stops have a more advanced tongue root
 - Aereodynamic Voicing Constraint (Ohala, 2011)
 - tongue root advancement (Rothenberg, 1967; Westbury, 1983)
 - but no significant interaction between tongue root frontness and C2 voicing
- Voiced stops have a shorter closure duration
 - but no significant interaction between closure duration and voicing

Conclusions

- · Vowel duration and closure duration are inversely correlated
- Vowel duration and tongue root frontness are directly correlated
- Release to Release invariance supports a durational trade-off account for the voicing effect

The end

Thanks!

This project is funded by the School of Arts, Languages and Cultures at the University of Manchester. I would like to thank my supervisors, Ricardo Bermúdez-Otero and Patrycja Strycharczuk for the invaluable help and support, and all the members of the Phonetics Lab at the University of Manchester for the stimulating conversations about this project. All errors are my own.

References

Articulate Instruments Ltd™. 2008. Ultrasound stabilisation headset users manual: Revision 1.4. Edinburgh, UK: Articulate Instruments Ltd.

Articulate Instruments Ltd™. 2011. Articulate Assistant Advanced user guide. Version 2.16.

Belasco, Simon. 1953. The influence of force of articulation of consonants on vowel duration. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 25(5). 1015–1016.

- Boersma, Paul & David Weenink. 2016. Praat: doing phonetics by computer [Computer program]. Version 6.0.23. http://www.praat.org/.
- Chen, Matthew. 1970. Vowel length variation as a function of the voicing of the consonant environment. *Phonetica* 22(3). 129–159.
- Delattre, Pierre. 1962. Some factors of vowel duration and their cross linguistic validity. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 34(8). 1141–1143.
- Durvasula, Karthik & Qian Luo. 2012. Voicing, aspiration, and vowel duration in Hindi. *Proceedings of Meetings on Acoustics* 18. 1–10.
- Esposito, Anna. 2002. On vowel height and consonantal voicing effects: Data from Italian. *Phonetica* 59(4). 197–231.

- Farnetani, Edda & Shiro Kori. 1986. Effects of syllable and word structure on segmental durations in spoken Italian. *Speech communication* 5(1). 17–34.
- Fowler, Carol A. 1992. Vowel duration and closure duration in voiced and unvoiced stops: There are no contrast effects here. *Journal of Phonetics* 20(1). 143–165.
- Halle, Morris & Kenneth Stevens. 1967. Mechanism of glottal vibration for vowels and consonants. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 41(6). 1613–1613.
- Heffner, R.-M.S. 1937. Notes on the length of vowels. *American Speech* 12. 128–134.

- House, Arthur S. & Grant Fairbanks. 1953. The influence of consonant environment upon the secondary acoustical characteristics of vowels. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 25(1). 105–113.
- Hussein, Lutfi. 1994. Voicing-dependent vowel duration in Standard Arabic and its acquisition by adult american students: The Ohio State University dissertation.
- Javkin, Hector R. 1976. The perceptual basis of vowel duration differences associated with the voiced/voiceless distinction.

 Report of the Phonology Laboratory, UC Berkeley 1. 78–92.
- Klatt, Dennis H. 1973. Interaction between two factors that influence vowel duration. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 54(4). 1102–1104.

- Kluender, Keith R., Randy L. Diehl & Beverly A. Wright. 1988.
 - Vowel-length differences before voiced and voiceless consonants: An auditory explanation. *Journal of Phonetics* 16. 153–169.
 - Laeufer, Christiane. 1992. Patterns of voicing-conditioned vowel duration in French and English. *Journal of Phonetics* 20(4). 411–440.
 - Lampp, Claire & Heidi Reklis. 2004. Effects of coda voicing and aspiration on Hindi vowels. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 115(5). 2540–2540.
 - Lehiste, Ilse. 1970. Temporal organization of spoken language. In Working papers in linguistics, vol. 4, 96–114.
 - Lisker, Leigh. 1974. On "explaining" vowel duration variation. In *Proceedings of the Linguistic Society of America*, 225–232.
 - Maddieson, Ian & Jack Gandour. 1976. Vowel length before aspirated consonants. In *UCLA Working papers in Phonetics*, vol. 31, 46–52.

- Ohala, John J. 2011. Accommodation to the aerodynamic voicing constraint and its phonological relevance. In *Proceedings of the* 17th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences, 64–67.
- Peterson, Gordon E. & Ilse Lehiste. 1960. Duration of syllable nuclei in english. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 32(6). 693–703.
- Raphael, Lawrence J. 1975. The physiological control of durational differences between vowels preceding voiced and voiceless consonants in English. *Journal of Phonetics* 3(1). 25–33.
- Rothenberg, Martin. 1967. The breath-stream dynamics of simple-released-plosive production, vol. 6. Basel: Biblioteca Phonetica.
- Slis, Iman H. & Antonie Cohen. 1969. On the complex regulating the voiced-voiceless distinction II. *Language and speech* 12(3). 137–155.

- Warren, Willis & Adam Jacks. 2005. Lip and jaw closing gesture durations in syllable final voiced and voiceless stops. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 117(4). 2618–2618.
- Westbury, John R. 1983. Enlargement of the supraglottal cavity and its relation to stop consonant voicing. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 73(4). 1322–1336.