Tongue root advancement and vowel duration: a gradient effect?

Stefano Coretta

The University of Manchester

13 April, BAAP 2018 (Canterbury)

Background

Background



Westbury 1983, Davidson 2016,
Abramson & Whalen 2017

Background


tongue root advancement
Wesbury 1983,
Ohala 2011
voicing
shorter VOT

Background



Background


tongue root advancement
voicing
shorter VOT
longer vowel duration

Background


tongue root advancement
voicing
!
shorter VOT
longer vowel duration

Background

- **Voicing effect** (VE): vowels are longer when followed by voiced stops (House & Fairbanks, 1953; Peterson & Lehiste, 1960; Chen, 1970; Klatt, 1973; Lisker, 1974; Fowler, 1992; Lampp & Reklis, 2004)
  - **Italian**: voicing effect of 35 msec (Farnetani & Kori, 1986)
  - **Polish**: mixed results
    - Keating (1984): no effect
    - Nowak (2006) PhD dissertation: 4.5 msec effect
- **Larger study**: relative timing of laryngeal and lingual activity
  - Simultaneous UTI + EGG + audio
- **This study**: exploratory, data driven

Methods (a summary)

- **Participants**: 4 Italians (2 F, 2 M), 4 Polish (2 F, 2 M)
- Targets
  - $C_1V_1C_2V_1$
  - C_1 = /p/, V_1 = /a, o, u/, C_2 = /t, d, k, g/
  - *pata*, *pada*, *paka*, ..., *poto*, *podo*, ...
- Frame sentence
  - *Dico X lentamente*, 'I say X slowly'
  - *Mówię X teraz*, 'I say X now'
- Data
  - Durational data from acoustics
  - Tongue contours from ultrasound tongue imaging
- Reproducibility
  - https://github.com/stefanocoretta/2018-baap

Results: Vowel duration



Results: Vowel duration

- Linear mixed-effects models (Bates et al., 2015; Kuznetsova et al., 2016)
- **Italian**: β = 22 msec, $\chi^2(3)$ = 15.8, p = 0.0012434
- **Polish**: β = 12 msec, $\chi^2(3)$ = 12.39, p = 0.0061556

Results: Vowel duration



Results: Tongue contours

- **Midsagittal tongue contours**
    - From *within consonant closure* (at maximum tongue displacement, Strycharczuk & Scobbie, 2015), polar coordinates (Heyne & Derrick, 2015b,a; Mielke, 2015)
- **Generalised additive mixed models (GAMMs)** (Wood, 2006; Sóskuthy, 2017; van Rij et al., 2017)
- **Polar GAMMs** with the `rticulate` R package (Coretta, 2018a,b)
- **General trends**
    - Idiosyncratic use of TRA
    - 2 speakers with relatively greater TRA

Results: Tongue contours



Results: Tongue contours



Results: Tongue contours



Results: Tongue contours



Discussion: Results summary

- **Effect of voicing on vowel duration**
  - Italian: +22 msec
  - Polish: +12 msec
- **Tongue contours**
  - 4 of 8 speakers (IT01, IT02, IT03, PL05) show TRA within closure
- **2 speakers** (IT02, PL05) with stronger VE and greater TRA

Discussion

- **TRA hypothesis**: Longer vowel duration allows for greater tongue root advancement.
    - Cf. with Halle & Stevens (1967): laryngeal adjustments
- If TRA hypothesis is correct:
    - TRA during the vowel
    - Greater TRA in IT02 and PL05

Discussion: TRA during the vowel



Discussion: Electromiography (EMG, Raphael, 1975)



Discussion

- Raphael (1975): **sustained muscular activity in voiced consonants**
    - extra time allows more tongue root advancement?
- Is this a gradual (linear) relationship?
    - We might see a **positive correlation between vowel duration and degree of TRA** (but caveat!)

Discussion: Vowel Duration ~ TRA



Conclusion

- Durational and ultrasound data from 8 speakers
  - Stronger VE ~ Greater TRA
  - TRA during the vowel
  - Vowel duration ~ TRA
- Future work
  - More speakers
  - Can the TRA gesture account for durational difference?

THANK YOU!

Aknowledgements: Research funded by the School of Arts, Languages and Cultures at the University of Manchester. Thanks go to Patrycja Strycharczuk, Ricardo Bermúdez-Otero, and the members of the Phonetics Lab at UoM.

References

Bates, Douglas, Martin Mächler, Ben Bolker & Steve Walker. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67(1). 1–48.

Chen, Matthew. 1970. Vowel length variation as a function of the voicing of the consonant environment. *Phonetica* 22(3). 129–159.

Coretta, Stefano. 2018a. rticulate: Ultrasound Tongue Imaging in R. R package version 1.3.1.9000. https://github.com/stefanocoretta/rticulate.

References ii

Coretta, Stefano. 2018b. Using generalised additive models (GAM) with polar coordinates for assessing tongue contours. https://github.com/stefanocoretta/rticulate.

Farnetani, Edda & Shiro Kori. 1986. Effects of syllable and word structure on segmental durations in spoken Italian. *Speech communication* 5(1). 17–34.

Fowler, Carol A. 1992. Vowel duration and closure duration in voiced and unvoiced stops: There are no contrast effects here. *Journal of Phonetics* 20(1). 143–165.

References iii

Halle, Morris & Kenneth Stevens. 1967. Mechanism of glottal vibration for vowels and consonants. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 41(6). 1613–1613.

Heyne, Matthias & Donald Derrick. 2015a. Benefits of using polar coordinates for working with ultrasound midsagittal tongue contours. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 137(4). 2302–2302.

Heyne, Matthias & Donald Derrick. 2015b. Using a radial ultrasound probe's virtual origin to compute midsagittal smoothing splines in polar coordinates. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 138(6). EL509–EL514.

References iv

House, Arthur S. & Grant Fairbanks. 1953. The influence of consonant environment upon the secondary acoustical characteristics of vowels. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 25(1). 105–113.

Keating, Patricia A. 1984. Universal phonetics and the organization of grammars. *UCLA Working Papers in Phonetics* 59.

Klatt, Dennis H. 1973. Interaction between two factors that influence vowel duration. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 54(4). 1102–1104.

References v

Kuznetsova, Alexandra, Per Bruun Brockhoff & Rune Haubo Bojesen Christensen. 2016. `lmerTest`: Tests in linear mixed effects models. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lmerTest. R package version 2.0-33.

Lampp, Claire & Heidi Reklis. 2004. Effects of coda voicing and aspiration on Hindi vowels. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 115(5). 2540–2540.

Lisker, Leigh. 1974. On "explaining" vowel duration variation. In *Proceedings of the Linguistic Society of America*, 225–232.

References vi

Mielke, Jeff. 2015. An ultrasound study of Canadian French rhotic vowels with polar smoothing spline comparisons. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 137(5). 2858–2869.

Nowak, Pawel. 2006. *Vowel reduction in Polish*: University of California, Berkeley dissertation.

Peterson, Gordon E. & Ilse Lehiste. 1960. Duration of syllable nuclei in english. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 32(6). 693–703.

Raphael, Lawrence J. 1975. The physiological control of durational differences between vowels preceding voiced and voiceless consonants in English. *Journal of Phonetics* 3(1). 25–33.

References vii

Sóskuthy, Márton. 2017. Generalised additive mixed models for dynamic analysis in linguistics: a practical introduction. arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.05339.

Strycharczuk, Patrycja & James M. Scobbie. 2015. Velocity measures in ultrasound data. Gestural timing of post-vocalic /l/ in English. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences*, 1–5.

van Rij, Jacolien, Martijn Wieling, R. Harald Baayen & Hedderik van Rijn. 2017. itsadug: Interpreting time series and autocorrelated data using GAMMs. R package version 2.3.

References viii

Wood, Simon. 2006. *Generalized additive models: An introduction with R*. CRC Press.