Implementing reproducibility in phonetic research: a computational workflow

> Stefano Coretta

Reference:

Implementing reproducibility in phonetic research: a computational workflow

Stefano Coretta

26/03/2017

Reproducible research

Implementing reproducibility in phonetic research: a computational workflow

> Stefano Coretta

Reference

[figure reproducible]

Reproducible research

Implementing reproducibility in phonetic research: a computational workflow

> Stefano Coretta

Reference



Why should we care?

Implementing reproducibility in phonetic research: a computational workflow

> Stefano Coretta

Reference

The problem (Sandve et al. 2013):

- difficulty of reproduction
- difficulty of replication
- retracted papers

The solution:

■ Reproducible Research

A case from linguistics

Implementing reproducibility in phonetic research: a computational workflow

> Stefano Coretta

Reference

The "Yokuts vowels" case (Weigel 2002):

- about **75%** of the data is contrieved (Weigel 2005:149)
- some of the generalisations are wrong (Blevins 2004)

Reproducible Research in linguistics

Implementing reproducibility in phonetic research: a computational workflow

> Stefano Coretta

Reference

- linked data (Bird & Simons 2003, Thieberger 2004)
- computational grammar (Maxwell & Amith 2005)
- glossbox (McDonnell & Hall 2017)
- RR in the Speech Sciences (Abari 2012)

Implementing reproducibility in phonetic research: a computational workflow

> Stefano Coretta

References

- Abari, Kálmán. 2012. Reproducible research in speech sciences. *International Journal of Computer Science Issues* 9(6). 43–52.
- Bird, Steven & Gary Simons. 2003. Seven dimensions of portability for language documentation and description. Language 557–582.
- Blevins, Juliette. 2004. A reconsideration of Yokuts vowels. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 70(1). 33–51.
- Maxwell, Michael & Jonathan D. Amith. 2005. Language documentation: the Nahuatl grammar. In A. Gelbukh (ed.), Computational Linguistics and Intelligent Text Processing, 474–485. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- McDonnell, Bradley & Patrick Hall. 2017. Developing methods for reproducible research in linguistics: a first step.

Implementing reproducibility in phonetic research: a computational workflow

> Stefano Coretta

References

- Sandve, Geir Kjetil, Anton Nekrutenko, James Taylor & Eivind Hovig. 2013. Ten simple rules for reproducible computational research. *PLoS Computational Biology* 9(10). 1–4.
- Thieberger, Nicholas. 2004. Documentation in practice:
 Developing a linked media corpus of South Efate. In
 Peter K. Austin (ed.), Language documenta and description,
 vol. 2, Hans Rausing Endangered Languages Project, School
 of Oriental and African Studies, University of London.
- Weigel, William. 2005. *Yowlumne in the Twentieth century*: University of California, Berkley dissertation.
- Weigel, William F. 2002. The Yokuts canon: A case study in the interaction of theory and description. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Linguistics Society of America, January 2002, San Francisco.