Scantron

Brian Morsony]

Survey Evaluation Results

Dear Mr./Dear Ms,

In the attachment, you will find the evaluation results of the survey PHYS4530-001.

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO READ REPORT:
The overall indicator is followed by the individual average values of the scales.
In the second part of the analysis, the average values of all individual questions are listed.

If you have any further questions do not hesitate to contact the Academic Senate Office.

Thank you.

Brian Morsony

PHYS4530-001 (PHYS4530-001)
No. of responses = 3

Stanislaus State

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. About the Course and Instructor....

1.1) Assignments contributed to my learning (ex: research papers, homework, etc.)



n=2
av.=1.5
dev.=0.7

1.2) Activities contributed to my learning (ex:group work, discussion, presentations, field work/trips, etc.)



n=2
av.=1.5
dev.=0.7

1.3) The instructor provided feedback that supported my learning



n=2
av.=1.5
dev.=0.7

1.4) The instructor offered timely responses to questions and concerns



n=2
av.=2.5
dev.=2.1

1.5) The instructor encouraged communication among class members



n=2
av.=2
dev.=1.4

1.6) The instructor communicated concepts clearly



n=2
av.=1.5
dev.=0.7

1.7) Course requirements, procedures, and expectations are clearly stated in the syllabus



n=2
av.=1
dev.=0

1.8) The grading criteria for this course were clearly defined



n=2
av.=1
dev.=0

1.9) The grading criteria for this course were clearly applied



Profile

Subunit: Spring24 In-Person Surveys
Name of the instructor: Hello
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) PHYS4530-001

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. About the Course and Instructor....



1.1)	Assignments contributed to my learning (ex: research papers, homework, etc.)	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=2	av.=1.5	md=1.5 dev.=0.7
1.2)	Activities contributed to my learning (ex:group work, discussion, presentations, field work/ trips, etc.)	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=2	av.=1.5	md=1.5 dev.=0.7
1.3)	The instructor provided feedback that supported my learning	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=2	av.=1.5	md=1.5 dev.=0.7
1.4)	The instructor offered timely responses to questions and concerns	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=2	av.=2.5	md=2.5 dev.=2.1
1.5)	The instructor encouraged communication among class members	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=2	av.=2	md=2 dev.=1.4
1.6)	The instructor communicated concepts clearly	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=2	av.=1.5	md=1.5 dev.=0.7
1.7)	Course requirements, procedures, and expectations are clearly stated in the syllabus	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=2	av.=1	md=1 dev.=0
1.8)	The grading criteria for this course were clearly defined	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=2	av.=1	md=1 dev.=0
1.9)	The grading criteria for this course were clearly applied	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=2	av.=2.5	md=2.5 dev.=2.1

Comments Report

1. About the Course and Instructor....

1.10) What expectations did you have going into this course?

The expectation was to learn about thermal physics. Although the concepts are really difficult, Dr. Morsony did his best to make it easier.

I WANTED TO EXPAND MY KNOWLEDGE OF THERMAL PHYSICS

1.11) What contributed most to your learning in this course?

The homeworks helped out. The worksheets encouraged us to talk to each other and learn what we maybe didn't get the first time.

LECTURE

1.12) What grade did you expect to get in this course?

A passing grade.

B

1.13) What additional comments or feedback would you like to offer this instructor

More slides for lecture

THE ONLY NEGATIVE IS HOW LONG IT TOOK TO GET GRADES BACK, OTHER THAN THAT, IT WAS A THOROUGHLY ENJOYABLE CLASS.