

Article

Appendix A

Citation: . . Journal Not Specified 2024, 1, 0. https://doi.org/

Received: Revised:

Accepted:

Published:

Copyright: © 2024 by the author. Submitted to *Journal Not Specified* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).




Figure S1. Simplified directed acyclic graph (DAG) visualizing the hypothesised causal relationship between replacing red meat with legumes and liver cancer based on assumptions of biasing paths. Red nodes represent confounders. Square nodes represent the minimal sufficient adjustment set for estimating the effect of replacing red meat with legumes on liver cancer. Shadowed arrows represent biasing paths. DAG terminology demands visualisation of all hypothesized correlating relationships between variables, typically resulting in complex and hard-to-follow illustrations. To improve readability, inter-covariate arrows are hidden in the above DAG.

Table S1. Supplementary table 1. Summary of included foods for each food group.

Food group	Includes
Legumes	Soya-based desserts, Baked beans, pulses, Soya drinks (including calcium fortified), Tofu-based products, Hummus, Peas
Red meat	Beef, Lamb, Other meat including offal, Pork
Processed meat	Sausages, bacon (with and without fat), ham, liver pate
Animal-based	Poultry, fish, dairy, eggs, mixed dishes, and sauces and condiments
foods	
Healthy	Whole grains, fruits, nuts, plant oils, beverages (water, tea and coffee),
plant-based	vegetables
foods	
Unhealthy	Refined cereals, potatoes, fruit juice, mixed dishes (vegetarian), sweets &
plant-based	snacks, and sugar sweetened beverages
foods	
Alcoholic	Beer and cider, spirits and other alcoholic drinks, fortified wine, red and rose
beverages	wine, white wine

Table S2. Replacing 15/day of total meat, red meat and processed meat with legumes and hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for hepatocellular carcinoma and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

	Model 1 ¹	Model 2 ² HR (95% CI)	
15 g/day of legumes replacing:	HR (95% CI)		
Hepatocellular carcinoma			
Total red meat	1.02 (0.94-1.11)	1.06 (0.97-1.16)	
Unprocessed red meat	1.02 (0.93-1.11)	1.04 (0.95-1.15)	
Processed red meat	1.04 (0.90-1.19)	1.10 (0.96-1.27)	
Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma			
Total red meat	0.94 (0.87-1.02)	0.97 (0.89-1.05)	
Unprocessed red meat	0.92 (0.85-1.00)	0.94 (0.87-1.02)	
Processed red meat	1.03 (0.90-1.18)	1.07 (0.93-1.23)	

¹Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression model adjusted for age (as underlying timescale), other food groups, and total food intake.

Table S3. No intake of legumes vs. quartiles of daily legume intake and hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for primary liver cancer.

		Model 1^1	Model 2 ²	
Characteristic	Mean daily legume intake	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	
Categories:				
No intake	0.00	_	_	
Q1	6.3	0.59 (0.35-0.98)	0.60 (0.36-0.99)	
Q2	16	0.88 (0.57-1.35)	0.90 (0.58-1.38)	
Q3	34	0.73 (0.46-1.17)	0.74 (0.47-1.19)	
Q4	109	0.98 (0.64-1.52)	1.07 (0.69-1.66)	

¹Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression model adjusted for age (as underlying timescale), other food groups, and total food intake.

²Further adjusted for sex, educational level, Townsend deprivation index, living alone, physical activity, smoking, alcohol intake, and waist circumference.

²Further adjusted for sex, educational level, Townsend deprivation index, living alone, physical activity, smoking, alcohol intake, and waist circumference.

Table S4. Sensitivity analyses

15 g/day of legumes replacing:	High alcohol intake ¹	Implausible food intake ² HR (95% CI)	Liver disease before baseline ³ HR (95% CI)	Any cancer before baseline ⁴ HR (95% CI)	Fewer than 3 Oxford WebQs HR (95% CI)	Death register as source of liver cancer events HR (95% CI)	Exclusion of waist circumference from analysis HR (95% CI)
	HR (95% CI)						
Total red meat	1.00 (0.94-1.06)	1.01 (0.95-1.07)	0.99 (0.93-1.06)	1.03 (0.96-1.11)	1.04 (0.96-1.12)	1.02 (0.96-1.08)	1.00 (0.94-1.06)
Unprocessed red meat	0.98 (0.92-1.05)	0.99 (0.93-1.05)	0.97 (0.90-1.04)	1.00 (0.93-1.08)	1.02 (0.94-1.11)	1.00 (0.94-1.07)	0.98 (0.92-1.05)
Processed red meat	1.06 (0.95-1.18)	1.08 (0.98-1.20)	1.08 (0.96-1.20)	1.15 (1.01-1.30)	1.11 (0.97-1.27)	1.07 (0.98-1.18)	1.06 (0.96-1.17)

¹Exclusion of the upper decile of alcohol intake (g/day) by sex.

²Exclusion of participants below the 2.5th percentile and above the 97.5th percentile of energy intake (kJ/day) by sex.

³ICD10 codes: K70-79, B16-19, Z94.4, I85, I86.4, and E83.0-1. ICD9 codes: 5710-5745, 0700-0709, V427 and 2750-2751.

⁴ICD10 codes: C00-C97 and D00-D48. ICD9 codes: 140-239.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.