

School of ITEE CSSE2002/7023 — Semester 1, 2022 Assignment 1

Due: 9^{th} of September 2022 at 16:00 AEST Revision: 1.0.0

Abstract

The goal of this assignment is to implement and test a set of classes and interfaces¹.

Language requirements: Java version 17, JUnit 4.

Preamble

All work on this assignment is to be your own individual work. As detailed in Lecture 1, code supplied by course staff (from this semester) is acceptable, but there are no other exceptions. You are expected to be familiar with "What not to do" from Lecture 1 and https://www.itee.uq.edu.au/itee-student-misconduct-including-plagiarism. If you have questions about what is acceptable, please ask course staff.

Please carefully read the Appendix A document. It outlines critical mistakes which you should circumvent in order to avoid losing marks. This is being heavily emphasised here because these are critical mistakes which must be avoided. If at any point you are even slightly unsure, please check as soon as possible with course staff!

All times are given in Australian Eastern Standard Time. It is your responsibility to ensure that you adhere to this time-zone for all assignment related matters. Please bear this in mind, especially if you are enrolled in the External offering and may be located in a different time-zone.

Introduction

In this assignment, you will take the roll of an up and coming programming contractor. Your task will be to build a simple application. The script will take the form of a fictional story, to assist in your understanding of the task. This application is detailed in a specification given to you by the story's protagonist. For this scenario, you will need to build up a system of classes and interfaces that meet the requirements that you have been given. For this assignment you will be focusing on accessor methods (getter's and setter's) as well building an understanding of Lists and basic programming logic.

Story

Hello my dear programmer friend,

I have come to understand that you might be in a position to help me. You see, I feel as if I am in a bit of a rut and have decided that I need to make a life altering change. I am going to resign my position as the head of the Soup canning factory and pursue my dreams, taking on the role of a celebrity insect therapist, in a distant country.

¹From now on, classes and interfaces will be shortened to simply "classes"

Unfortunately, this means that I will have to move house, which is something I have very little experience in doing.

As such, I was hoping that I could enlist your skills to help me. I would like you to build a software application model to help me organise the packing of my life belongings, and to do so as efficiently as humanly possible.

Should you accept, there are a few things that I need you to model:

- To begin with I need something to store all of my items in. I have 3 different kinds of storage containers that I intend to use, and they come in various different sizes.
 - A moving truck that I will take all of my items in.
 - A cardboard box. This multi-purpose storage container be packed with many different items (including other boxes! How cool).
 - A duffle bag. I have a few of these that I will use to pack all of my personal items.
- I have some furniture that I would like to take to the next house with me too. This would go into the moving truck last as they are quite heavy.
- As mentioned before, I have some personal items that I would like to take with me. This includes items like clothes and laptops. I've also got some items that I will be storing for some friends so I need to know who owns what.

Because I am not the best at packing, (and why I am asking you to create this application) sometimes I may make mistakes and pack things in the wrong order. The application will need to be aware of this. Would you be able to implement a system where if I pack too much into a container or put something where it's not supposed to be, that the application will let me know?

Awesome, thanks so much!

- Protagonist

Supplied Material

- This task sheet.
- Code specification document (Javadoc).²
- Gradescope, a website where you will submit your assignment.³
- A starting template for your assignment code, available for download on Blackboard. The files in this template provide a minimal framework for you to work from, and build upon. These files have been provided so that you can avoid (some of) the critical mistakes described in Appendix A.

Each of these files:

- is in the correct directory (do not change this!)
- has the correct package declaration at the top of the file (do not change this!)
- has the correct public class or public interface declaration. Note that you may still need
 to make classes abstract, extend classes, implement interfaces etc., as detailed in the
 Javadoc specification.

²Detailed in the Javadoc section

³Detailed in the Submission section

As the first step in the assignment (after reading through the specifications) you should download the template code from Blackboard. Once you have created a new project from the files you have downloaded, you should start implementing the specification.

Javadoc

Code specifications are an important tool for developing code in collaboration with other people. Although assignments in this course are individual, they still aim to prepare you for writing code to a strict specification by providing a specification document (in Java, this is called Javadoc).

You will need to implement the specification precisely as it is described in the specification document.

The Javadoc can be viewed in either of the two following ways:

- 1. Open https://csse2002.uqcloud.net/assignment/1/ in your web browser. Note that this will only be the most recent version of the Javadoc.
- 2. Navigate to the relevant assignment folder under Assessment on Blackboard and you will be able to download the Javadoc .zip file containing HTML documentation. Unzip the bundle somewhere, and open doc/index.html with your web browser.

Tasks

- 1. Fully implement each of the classes and interfaces described in the Javadoc.
- 2. Write JUnit 4 tests for all the methods in the following classes:
 - Book (in a class called BookTest)
 - MovingTruck (in a class called MovingTruckTest)

Marking

The 100 marks available for the assignment will be divided as follows:

Symbol	Marks	Marked	Description
\overline{FT}	45	Electronically	Functionality according to the specification
CF	10	Electronically	Conformance to the specification
SL	10	Electronically	Code style: Structure and layout
\overline{CR}	15	By course staff	Code style: Design review
\overline{JU}	20	Electronically	Whether JUnit tests identify and distinguish be-
			tween correct and incorrect implementations

The overall assignment mark will be $A_1 = FT + CF + SL + CR + JU$ with the following adjustments:

- If FT is 0, then the manual code style review will not be marked. CR will be automatically 0.
- 2. If SL is 0, then the manual code style review will not be marked. CR will be automatically 0.
- 3. If SL + CR > FT, then SL + CR will be capped at a maximum of FT.
- For example: FT = 22, CF = 8, SL = 7, CR = 12, J = 13 $\Rightarrow A_1 = 16 + 8 + (7 + 12) + 13.$ $\Rightarrow A_1 = 16 + 8 + (19) + 13.$ Limitation will now be applied. $\Rightarrow A_1 = 16 + 8 + (16) + 13.$

The reasoning here is to place emphasis on good quality functional code.

Well styled code that does not implement the required functionality is of no value in a project, consequently marks will not be given to well styled code that is not functional.

Functionality Marking

The number of functionality marks given will be

$$FT = \frac{\text{Unit Tests passed}}{\text{Total number of Unit Tests}} \cdot 45$$

Conformance

Conformance is marked starting with a mark of 10.

Every single occurrence of a conformance violation in your solution then results in a 1 mark deduction, down to a minimum of 0. Note that multiple conformance violations of the same type will each result in a 1 mark deduction.

Conformance violations include (but are not limited to):

- Placing files in incorrect directories.
- Incorrect package declarations at the top of files.
- Using modifiers on classes, methods and member variables that are different to those specified in the Javadoc. Modifiers include private, protected, public, abstract, final, and static. For example, declaring a method as public when it should be private.
- Adding extra public methods, constructors, member variables or classes that are not described in the Javadoc.
- Incorrect parameters and exceptions declared as thrown for constructors.
- Incorrect parameters, return type and exceptions declared as thrown for methods.
- Incorrect types of public fields.

Code Style

Code Structure and Layout

The Code Structure and Layout category is marked starting with a mark of 10.

Every single occurrence of a style violation in your solution, as detected by CheckStyle using the course-provided configuration⁴, results in a 0.5 mark deduction, down to a minimum of 0. Note that multiple style violations of the same type will each result in a 0.5 mark deduction.

Note: There is a plugin available for IntelliJ which will highlight style violations in your code. Instructions for installing this plugin are available in the Java Programming Style Guide on Blackboard (Learning Resources \rightarrow Guides). If you correctly use the plugin and follow the style requirements, it should be relatively straightforward to get high marks for this section. IntelliJ will also give you hints in the correct direction. Ensure you know the difference between a checkstyle and IntelliJ hint.

⁴The latest version of the course CheckStyle configuration can be found at http://csse2002.uqcloud.net/checkstyle.xml. See the Style Guide for instructions.

Code Review

Your assignment will be style marked with respect to the course style guide, located under Learning Resources \rightarrow Guides. The marks are broadly divided as follows:

Metric	Marks Allocated
Commenting	5
Naming	4
Code Design	3
Programming	3
Practices	

Note that style marking does involve some aesthetic judgement (and the marker's aesthetic judgement is final).

Note that the plugin available for IntelliJ mentioned in the Code Structure and Layout section cannot tell you whether your code violates style guidelines for this section. You will need to manually check your code against the style guide.

The Code Review is marked in terms of generality rather than a deduction for each mistake.

Metric	How it is marked
Naming	Misnamed variables
	e.g.
	\rightarrow Non-meaningful or one-letter names
	• String temp; // bad naming
	• char a; // bad naming
	• int myVar, var, myVariable; // all bad naming
	 → Variable names using Hungarian notation • int roomInteger; // bad naming
	• List <gate> gateList; // bad naming ('gates' is better)</gate>
Commenting	Javadoc comments lacking sufficient detail
Commenting	e.g.
	\rightarrow Insufficient detail or non-meaningful Javadoc comments on (any) classes
	→ Insufficient detail or non-meaningful Javadoc comments on (any) methods
	\rightarrow Insufficient detail or non-meaningful Javadoc comments on (any) construc-
	tors
	→ Insufficient detail or non-meaningful Javadoc comments on (any) class vari-
	ables
	\rightarrow etc.
	Lack of inline comments, or comments not meaningful
	e.g.
	→ There needs to be sufficient comments which explain your code so that
	someone else can readily understand what is going. Someone should not need
	to guess or make assumptions.
	 → Lack of inline comments, or comments not meaningful in methods → Lack of inline comments, or comments not meaningful in constructors
	→ Lack of inline comments, or comments not meaningful in constructors → Lack of inline comments, or comments not meaningful for variables
	\rightarrow etc.
Code Design	Code design issues
	e.g.
	\rightarrow Using class member variables where local variables could be used
	\rightarrow Duplicating sections of code instead of extracting into a private helper
	method
	\rightarrow Having functions that exceed 100 liens in length
	→ Class content is laid out in a way which is not straightforward to follow
	→ Methods are laid out in Classes or Interfaces in a way which is not straight-
	forward to follow
	→ Method content is laid out in a way which is not straightforward to follow
Programming	→ Variables are not placed in logical locations Programming Best Practices issues
Practices	e.g.
Tacuicos	\rightarrow Using class member variables where local variables could be used
	→ Incorrect use of inheritance by duplicating code
	\rightarrow Incorrect exception handling
	→ Using magic numbers without explanatory comments
	• object.someMethod(50); // what does 50 mean? What is the
	unit/metric?

JUnit Test Marking

See Appendix B for more details.

The JUnit tests that you provide in BookTest and MovingTruckTest will be used to test both correct and incorrect implementations of the Book and MovingTruck classes. Marks will be awarded for test sets which distinguish between correct and incorrect implementations⁵. A test class which

⁵And get them the right way around

passes every implementation (or fails every implementation) will likely get a low mark. Marks will be rewarded for tests which pass or fail correctly.

The test program will first see how many of your tests pass against a correct solution. Then, out of those tests that did pass against the correct solution it will run them against one (or more) faulty solutions. A faulty solution is a complete solution apart from some small changes that go against the specification.

Your tests should fail more test cases against this faulty solution then the correct solution.

There will be some limitations on your tests:

- 1. If your tests take more than 20 seconds to run, or
- 2. If your tests consume more memory than is reasonable or are otherwise malicious,

then your tests will be stopped and a mark of zero given. These limits are very generous (e.g. your tests should not take anywhere near 20 seconds to run).

Electronic Marking

The electronic aspects of the marking will be carried out in a Linux environment. The environment will not be running Windows, and neither IntelliJ nor Eclipse (or any other IDE) will be involved. OpenJDK 17 will be used to compile and execute your code and tests.

It is critical that your code compiles.

If your submission does not compile, you will receive zero for Functionality (FT).

Submission

How/Where to Submit

Submission is via Gradescope.

Instructions for submitting to Gradescope will be made available on Blackboard (under Assessment \rightarrow Assignment 1) towards the start of week 4. You will not be able to submit your assignment before then.

You must ensure that you have submitted your code to Gradescope *before* the submission deadline. Code that is submitted after the deadline will **not** be marked (1 nanosecond late is still late).

You may submit your assignment to Gradescope as many times as you wish before the due date, however only your last submission made before the due date will be marked.

What to Submit

Your submission should have the following internal structure:

```
folders (packages) and .java files for classes described in the Javadoc test/ folders (packages) and .java files for the JUnit test classes
```

A complete submission would look like:

```
src/mms/display/SimpleDisplay.java
src/mms/exceptions/BadItemException.java
src/mms/exceptions/PackingException.java
src/mms/exceptions/PackingOrderException.java
src/mms/exceptions/StorageFullException.java
src/mms/furniture/Furniture.java
src/mms/furniture/FurnitureType.java
src/mms/personal/Book.java
src/mms/personal/Clothes.java
src/mms/personal/ClotheType.java
src/mms/personal/Laptop.java
src/mms/personal/Personal.java
src/mms/storage/Bag.java
src/mms/storage/Box.java
src/mms/storage/MovingTruck.java
src/mms/storage/Storage.java
src/mms/utility/Packable.java
src/mms/utility/Size.java
test/mms/personal/BookTest.java
                                      (must be test directory, not src!)
test/mms/storage/MovingTruckTest.java (must be test directory, not src!)
```

Ensure that your classes and interfaces correctly declare the package they are within. For example, MovingTruck.java should declare package mms.storage.

Do not submit any other files (e.g. no .class files).

Note that BookTest and MovingTruckTest will be compiled individually against a sample solution without the rest of your test files.

Provided set of unit tests

A small number of the unit tests (about 10-20%) used for assessing Functionality (FT) (not conformance, style, or JUnit tests) will be provided in Gradescope prior to the submission deadline, which you will be able to test your submission against.

The purpose of this is to provide you with an opportunity to receive feedback on whether the basic functionality of your classes is correct or not. Passing all the provided unit tests does **not** guarantee that you will pass all of the full set of unit tests used for functionality marking.

Instructions about the provided set of unit tests will be made available on Blackboard (under Assessment \rightarrow Assignment 1) towards the start of week 5. Instructions will not be provided before then. This will still give you 3 weeks to submit and check your work before the assignment is due.

Late Submission

Assignments submitted after the submission deadline of 16:00 on 9^{th} September 2022 (by any amount of time), will receive a mark of zero unless an extension is granted as outlined in the Electronic Course Profile — see the Electronic Course Profile for details.

Do not wait until the last minute to submit the final version of your assignment. A submission that starts before 16:00 but finishes after 16:00 will not be marked. Exceptions cannot be made for individual students, as this would not be fair to all other students.

Assignment Extensions

For assignment one, extensions longer than 7 calendar days will not be possible due to the dependency of assignment two on the work completed in assignment one. This matches what is outlined in the respective Electronic Course Profile.

All requests for extensions must be made via my.UQ as outlined in section 5.3 of the respective Electronic Course Profile. Please do not directly email the course coordinator seeking an extension (you will be redirected to my.UQ).

Remark Requests

To submit a remark of this assignment please follow the information presented here: https://my.uq.edu.au/information-and-services/manage-my-program/exams-and-assessment/querying-result.

Revisions

If it becomes necessary to correct or clarify the task sheet or Javadoc, a new version will be issued and an announcement will be made on the Blackboard course site.

Appendix A: Critical Mistakes which can cause loss in marks. Things you need to avoid!

This is being heavily emphasised here because these are critical mistakes which must be avoided.

The way assignments are marked has been heavily revised this semester to address many of these issues where possible, but there are still issues which can only be avoided by making sure the specification is followed correctly.

Code may run fine locally on your own computer in IntelliJ, but it is required that it also builds and runs correctly when it is marked with the electronic marking tool in Gradescope. Your solution needs to conform to the specification for this to occur.

Correctly reading specification requirements is a key objective for the course.

- Files must be in the exact correct directories specified by the Javadoc. If files are in incorrect directories (even slightly wrong), you may lose marks for functionality in these files because the implementation does not conform to the specification.
- Files must have the exact correct package declaration at the top of the file. If files have incorrect package declarations (even slightly wrong), you may lose marks for functionality in these files because the implementation does not conform to the specification.
- You must implement the public and protected members exactly as described in the supplied documentation (no extra public/protected members or classes). Creating public or protected data members in a class when it is not required will result in loss of marks, because the implementation does not conform to the specification.
 - Private members may be added at your own discretion.

- Never import the org.junit.jupiter.api package. This is from JUnit 5. This will automatically cause the marks for the JUnit section to be 0 because JUnit 5 functionality is not supported.
- Do NOT use any version of Java newer than 17 when writing your solution! If you accidentally use Java features which are only present in a version newer than 17, then your submission may fail to compile when marked. This will automatically cause the marks for associated files with this functionality to be 0.

Appendix B: How your JUnit unit tests are marked.

The JUnit tests you write for a class (e.g. Moving Truck Test.java) are evaluated by checking whether they can distinguish between a correct implementation of the respective class (e.g. Moving Truck.java) (made by the teaching staff), and incorrect implementations of the respective class (deliberately made by the teaching staff).

First, we run your unit tests (e.g. MovingTruckTest.java) against the correct implementation of the respective classes (e.g. MovingTruck.java).

We look at how many unit tests you have, and how many have passed. Let us imagine that you have 7 unit tests for BookTest.java and 4 unit tests for MovingTruckTest.java, and they all pass (i.e. none result in Assert.fail() in JUnit4).

We will then run your unit tests in both classes (MovingTruckTest.java, BookTest.java) against an incorrect implementation of the respective class (e.g. Book.java). For example, the isInBounds() method in the Book.java file is incorrect.

We then look at how many of your unit tests pass.

MovingTruckTest.java should still pass 4 unit tests. However, we would expect that Book.java would pass **fewer than** 7 unit tests.

If this is the case, we know that your unit tests can identify that there is a problem with this specific implementation of Book.java.

This would get you one identified faulty implementation towards your JUnit mark.

The total marks you receive for JUnit are the correct number of identified faulty implementations, out of the total number of faulty implementations which the teaching staff create.

For example, if your unit tests identified 60% of the faulty implementations, you would receive a mark of:

 $60\% \text{ of } 15 \rightarrow 9/15.$