A Maturity Model for Reparative Description

Prepared by Stephanie M. Luke and Sharon Mizota

Version 1 (July 2023)

Maturity Model for Reparative Description © 2023 by Stephanie M. Luke and Sharon Mizota is licensed under Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/

Information on this model is available on GitHub (https://github.com/stephanieluke/Maturity-Model-for-Reparative-Description).

Contact the creators at smluke2@illinois.edu and sharon@sharonmizota.com.

The authors developed a maturity model specific to the work of reparative description following interviews with 30 librarians and archivists at 19 institutions in the United States. This tool can be used for benchmarking, assessment, and continuous improvement both within and between institutions.

The categories for this model include:

Leadership

Process

Implementation

Documentation

Metrics and Impact

Community Relationships

Sustainability

Support

Leadership

The people or entities who initiate and/or assume responsibility for reparative description efforts

1	2	3	4	5
The work is being led by	There has been a	There are committees	There are committees	There are committees
one or two dedicated or	concerted effort to create	with clear leadership that	with clear leadership that	with clear leadership that
enthusiastic people. If	committees or task	have established their	have established their	have established their
these individuals left the	forces that will lead the	position, purpose, and	position, purpose, and	position, purpose, and
institution, the work	work, but there is a lack	processes as well as	processes within the	processes within the
would slow or stop.	of clear leadership and	defined mechanisms to	library.	organization at-large and
_	no or few processes to	approve projects and	-	within the larger
	approve projects and	decisions within or		community.
	decisions.	across departments.		

Process

The nature of processes and workflows that govern, plan, and direct reparative description efforts

1	2	3	4	5
Processes, workflows,	Processes, workflows,	Processes, workflows,	Processes, workflows,	Processes, workflows,
and priorities are	and priorities are	and priorities are driven	and priorities are driven	and priorities are driven
reactive and driven	reactive and driven	through a combination	primarily through	through strategic design.
primarily through need,	primarily through need,	of need and strategic	strategic design. There	Institutional and
particularly user	particularly feedback	design. While feedback	are short-term and	community partnerships
feedback.	from users and internal	may continue to	medium-term project	inform the work through
	stakeholders, such as	determine the majority	plans for the work.	long-term project plans
	affiliated departments or	of the work, there is	There is consideration	that give thoughtful
	content providers.	basic short-term project	for sustainability, but no	consideration for the
		planning that allows for	clear long-term	persistence and
		a more systematic	solutions.	sustainability of the
		approach.		work.

Implementation

The mechanisms and procedures by which reparative description gets done

1	2	3	4	5
Individuals, committees,	Implementation of	Implementation of	Implementation of	Implementation of
task forces, working	reparative description	reparative description is	reparative description is	reparative description is
groups, or other	happens on a small	systematic and enacted	systematically applied to	fully integrated with all
stakeholders primarily	scale, but lacks an	on a consistent schedule,	all projects and	processing and
function to review	established mechanism	although it may still be	collections, but is still a	descriptive processes.
collections and make	for more consistent and	limited to particular	separate process from	
recommendations for	sustained processes on a	projects or collections.	standard processing and	
changes but do not	larger scale.		description.	
implement these changes				
or must await approval				
for implementation.				

Documentation

Extent to which values, workflows, and procedures have been written down and shared

1	2	3	4	5
There is no	There is some local	There is local	There is both local and	There is formal
documentation of the	documentation of the	documentation of the	formal documentation of	documentation of the
work.	work, much of which is			1
	informal and internal.	make this formal and	is available to the public.	of the work as well as
		available to the public.		the processes,
				workflows, and
				decisions. These are
				available to the public.

Metrics and Impact

Extent to which outcomes are measured and evaluated and the nature of those measures

1	2	3	4	5
There are no current metrics to measure the success of the work.	There are no current metrics, but staff may seek informal or anecdotal feedback from users or other staff.	There are current metrics, but only on a basic level, including quantitative data from staff reporting and automated processes or qualitative data largely composed of user-submitted reporting.	There are comprehensive (quantitative and qualitative) metrics collected at all points of interaction, but no set procedure for informing reparative work.	There is a robust system of metrics that measure impact both quantitatively and qualitatively. These metrics actively inform ongoing reparative work.

Community Relationships

Extent to which communities impacted by reparative description are involved in the process

1	2	3	4	5
There are no or few		There are a few		There is a strongly
relationships with		relationships with		established culture of
underrepresented groups		underrepresented groups.		trust. There are
and no consultation with		There may be		established relationships
these groups about the		consultation with		with communities and
best way to describe		communities, but these		groups and an active
materials or identities.		are initiated by the		effort to cultivate new
		archive and don't allow		ones. Relationships are
		for communities to have		two sided, with sustained
		a sustained and		communication between
		permanent voice in		libraries/archives and
		description, processing,		communities.
		or collection		
		development.		

Sustainability

Extent to which the reparative description efforts can be continued and repeated over the long term

1	2	3	4	5
There is a recognition of	The work is largely	Formal committees are	Formal committees are	The work has fully been
the value of reparative	driven by work groups,	leading this work and	leading this work	integrated into the
description, but the work	task forces, or	establishing systems.	through established	day-to-day work duties
is only happening on a	exploratory or	There is a recognition	systems and processes.	of multiple employees. It
small scale or occurring	early-stage committees.	that this work is not	Some employees may	is no longer considered
without commitments to	There is interest in	terminal, yet there has	have begun to integrate	terminal but viewed as
expanding the scale of	expanding the scale of	been little effort to make	the work into existing	crucial to the success of
the work or creating	the work, but this is	it a more embedded part	job duties.	the institution.
systemic change.	largely through a view of	of permanent workflows		
	the work as terminal or	or staff duties.		
	project-based.			

Support

Extent and nature of financial support or staff time allocated for reparative description

1	2	3	4	5
There is no financial support or staff time allocated to reparative description.		There is short-term funding or staff time allocated to reparative description, such as grants or project/student positions.		Funding and staff time for reparative description is built into regular operating expenses.