Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1.	URL of site evaluated:	https://stephchinn.github.io/ISLT	7360/unit5/chinn	<u>pilot.html</u>	_
2.	Author of site evaluated:	Stephanie Chinn			
3.	Evaluator's first name:	Carol			

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

- Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):
 Dell Optiplex 7020, Windows 10
- 2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0) Google Chrome Version 72.0.3626.121
- 3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional): Wired, institutional

III Design and Development

<u>Instruction</u>: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Design Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. Please explain your feedback Looks like a CV	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
 The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. Please explain your feedback Pretty colors 	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
 Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement. Please explain your feedback Easy to navigate 	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. Please explain your feedback Yes	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
 Multimedia elements have a clear purpose. Please explain your feedback Yes, images of papers to download 	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
 Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download. Please explain your feedback No file size 	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5
 Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large. Please explain your feedback Yes 	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
Text is easy to read and contrasts with background. Please explain your feedback Yes	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
 Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made. Please explain your feedback Yes 	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CONTENT					
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	<u>2</u>	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Titles don't make sense, probably assignment name?					
Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5
Please explain your feedback Can't tell paper images are links					
Links are up-to-date and functional. Please explain your feedback Yes	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep. Please explain your feedback Yes	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
 Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return. Please explain your feedback If looking for more details 	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5
6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
Please explain your feedback Yes					
 Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience. 	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5
Please explain your feedback					

Could have more images or something to break up text

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	
CREDIBILITY	Ţ.				ŭ	
 Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials. 	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>	
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Yes						
2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
Please explain your feedback Only email contact info is given						
3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>	
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Yes, CV						
Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.	1	2	<u>3</u>	4	5	
Please explain your feedback Probably not all images?						
 Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail. Please explain your feedback Spelling error on education page 	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>	
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Yes						