

# Website Evaluation Form

## Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

*Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.*

## I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: [https://stephchinn.github.io/ISLT\\_7360/unit5/chinn\\_pilot.html](https://stephchinn.github.io/ISLT_7360/unit5/chinn_pilot.html)
2. Author of site evaluated: Stephanie Chinn
3. Evaluator's first name: Ron

## II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):  

Surface Pro 4, Windows 10, Intel Core i7-7660U @ 2.5Ghz, 16GB RAM
2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)  

Firefox Quantum 65.0.1
3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):  

cable modem

### III Design and Development

**Instruction:** For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
<b>DESIGN</b>					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
The site is logically organized into multiple pages.					
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
The site is clean and uncluttered and makes good use of a ccoordinated color scheme.					
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
Site navigation is very simple and effective. Every page is acessible from the navigation bar at the top of the page.					
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
There is a Home link on every page.					
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
The few multimedia elements in use are used properly and the purpose is obvious.					
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
The largest image is quite small (14.6 KB) and loads quickly. The thumbnails on the Papers page link to PDF documents, the largest of which is 945 KB but no file size is indicated.					
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
Images include alt attributes and height/width attributes in CSS.					
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
The text is an appropriate size and color.					
9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
The site consists of mostly text, so accessibility is not a major issue. You may want to change the ALT text on the MU and S&T logos to say "MU Logo" and "S&T logo" so it is clear what they are.					

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
<b>CONTENT</b>					
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	<b>3</b>	4	5

Please explain your feedback

The site has the same title throughout. The title of each page should be changed to describe the content of the page.

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	<b>4</b>	5
---	---	---	---	----------	---

Please explain your feedback

The purpose of most links is obvious, however the thumbnails on the Papers page have nothing to indicate that they are links to download a document.

3. Links are up-to-date and functional.	1	2	3	4	<b>5</b>
---	---	---	---	---	----------

Please explain your feedback

All of the links are functional.

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.	1	2	3	4	<b>5</b>
--	---	---	---	---	----------

Please explain your feedback

There are only two layers to this site; the home page and a series of second-level pages.

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	<b>5</b>
--	---	---	---	---	----------

Please explain your feedback

This site contains all of the content I would expect to find on a web version of a CV/resume.

6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	<b>5</b>
---	---	---	---	---	----------

Please explain your feedback

The information appears to be both accurate and current.

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	4	<b>5</b>
---	---	---	---	---	----------

Please explain your feedback

This site would be useful for anyone looking for information about Stephanie's education, work history and skills.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
<b>CREDIBILITY</b>					
1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.	1	2	3	4	<b>5</b>
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> This entire site contains information about the author, her affiliations and credentials.					
2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	2	<b>3</b>	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> A contact link is included in the footer of every page, but it is small and not obvious.					
3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	<b>5</b>
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> The information presented appears to be as unbiased as can be expected in a CV/resume.					
4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.	1	2	3	<b>4</b>	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> This site contains completely original content with the exception of the MU and Missouri S&T logos. I am not clear on how to properly use these logos and if attribution is required.					
5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.	1	2	3	4	<b>5</b>
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> I do not see any issues with punctuation, spelling or grammar.					
6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.	1	2	3	<b>4</b>	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> The site creation date is included in the footer, but there is no indication if it has been updated since then.					