



Stephen Boerner <stephen.boerner@gmail.com>

---

**Bemer/Boerner**

6 messages

---

**Lafferty, Helen** <HLafferty@klehr.com>

Thu, Jun 5 at 12:43 PM

To: stephen.boerner@gmail.com <stephen.boerner@gmail.com>

Good afternoon, Mr. Boerner. Our firm has taken over representation of your wife, Melissa Bemer, with regard to your divorce matter. We will be entering our appearance in the matter and obtaining Carolyn Mirabile's file.

I understand that you were going to send some documentation to Ms. Mirabile in an effort to accelerate this matter. Please send same to me instead. Melissa and I are hopeful that we can achieve a mutually agreeable resolution of your matter in the near future.

Please note that I will be out of the office from tomorrow, June 6, 2025 through June 10, 2025.

Helen



**HELEN HANEY LAFFERTY | OF COUNSEL**

**KLEHR HARRISON HARVEY BRANZBURG LLP**

1835 Market Street | Suite 1400 | Philadelphia, PA 19103

t 215.569.2397 | f 215.568.6603 | m 215.569.2700

hlafferty@klehr.com | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

---

**Steve Boerner** <stephen.boerner@gmail.com>  
To: Lafferty, Helen <HLafferty@klehr.com>

Thu, Jun 5 at 5:28 PM

Helen,

Thank you for reaching out.

Please find the below summary to support the two attachments I'm providing in this email:

1. I have compiled the three emails I previously sent to Carolyn Mirabile.
  - The emails sent to Carolyn reference the Praeceptum to Correct the Defendant's Address, along with the Certificate of Service.
  - Both were bundled together and issued both by email and certified mail to Carolyn's office on May 21, 2025
    - Duplicate copies were issued via Certified Mail to Delaware County Family Court Division and appear in the C-Track Public Access Portal along with change of address correction.
2. As attached, you now have a copy of the Praeceptum to Correct the Defendant's Address / Certificate of Service.

You'll also note in the emails I sent to Carolyn that I have been aiming to dispatch a detailed defendant's position contingent upon scheduling a phone call between the two of us - there was never a response.

For context, I have documented my previous counsel's inability to communicate requests, and when they were communicated, they lacked the specificity I needed. When they were communicated to me, clarity was required, and clarity was never achieved ...or even attempted. Further, the defendant's requests for documentation and clarification were never issued to the plaintiff. Therefore, this case has continued to spin without motion in any direction.

I trust that the renewed attitudes and new faces can bring the cooperation needed\* to expedite a divorce that has lingered for too long.

I'd like to schedule a time to speak, and I will not issue my details until we have that scheduled. Then I can release it while you are out of the office. Please specify options for the days following your return. Then I can confidently issue the details to get the ball rolling, as I'm happy to state the defendant's position to begin a renewed approach to this divorce proceeding.

Sincerely,

Stephen Boerner  
Proceeding Pro Se  
[Stephen.Boerner@gmail.com](mailto:Stephen.Boerner@gmail.com)  
215-530-0545  
[Quoted text hidden]

PRAECEPTUM TO CORRECT THE ADDRESS-ADOBE-COMPRESSED.pdf, SB EM-Carolyn-Berner v. Stephen Boerner - Docket No. 2024-007234.pdf

---

**Steve Boerner** <stephen.boerner@gmail.com>  
To: Carol Boerner <carolboerner1@gmail.com>, Jeffrey Boerner <jeffreyboerner@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 5 at 5:35 PM

Melissa fired attorney. She hired a new one. Explains the non response . See below and attachments for updates  
[Quoted text hidden]

PRAECEPTUM TO CORRECT THE ADDRESS-ADOBE-COMPRESSED.pdf, SB EM-Carolyn-Berner v. Stephen Boerner - Docket No. 2024-007234.pdf

---

**Lafferty, Helen** <HLafferty@klehr.com>  
To: Steve Boerner <stephen.boerner@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 12 at 9:42 AM

Good morning, Steve. Thank you for your patience while I was out of the office. As I stated, Melissa would like to resolve this matter by agreement expeditiously if possible. Therefore, I welcome you sending me your position with regard to the divorce matter. I am available for a call next week Monday through Friday at a time convenient to you. This will give me time to review what you send in advance of the call. That being said, I am not sure that we will need 60 minutes for a call, but I will know better once I am able to review what you are sending to me.

On another matter, Melissa advises that the June mortgage payment for the Condo has not been made. Please advise as to whether you will be paying same.

Helen



**Helen Haney Lafferty** | Of Counsel  
**KLEHR HARRISON HARVEY BRANZBURG LLP**  
1835 Market Street | Suite 1400 | Philadelphia, PA 19103  
t 215.569.2397 | f 215.568.6603  
[hlafferty@klehr.com](mailto:hlafferty@klehr.com) | [LinkedIn](#)

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Steve Boerner** <stephen.boerner@gmail.com> Thu, Jun 12 at 1:38 PM  
To: Lafferty, Helen <HLafferty@klehr.com>  
Subject: Bemmer v. Boerner (CV-2024-007234) - Information Exchange and Scheduling

Helen,  
  
I acknowledge receipt of your June 12, 2025 communication.

**Required Documentation Review:**  
  
Before proceeding with substantive discussions, please obtain and review all correspondence between Melissa and me from June 2024 onward, including emails, attachments, and text messages. This will provide necessary context for productive discussions and prevent duplication of previous communications.

**Information Exchange:**  
  
Regarding your reference to documentation I was allegedly providing to Ms. Mirabile - please clarify what specific information you are requesting so I can respond appropriately.  
  
To expedite resolution, please provide:

1. Confirmation you have reviewed the historical correspondence referenced above

2. Specific information requests prioritized by importance
3. Plaintiff's primary objectives for resolution

**Scheduling:**

I can accommodate a call during the week of June 16th, contingent upon both parties being prepared with proper context and clearly defined positions.

Please confirm by Monday, June 16th:

1. Your completion of the correspondence review
2. Your information requests and plaintiff's position summary
3. Your availability: Thursday, June 19th at 2:00 PM, 3:00 PM, or 4:00 PM

Upon receiving the above, I will provide my comprehensive position statement.  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Lafferty, Helen** <HLafferty@klehr.com>  
To: Steve Boerner <stephen.boerner@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 12 at 1:49 PM

Steve, it was my understanding, based on your original email to me below, that upon scheduling a phone call with you, you would “dispatch a detailed defendant's position” to me. This is what I was referring to with regard to the information you were going to give to Carolyn Mirabile. It now appears that you want other conditions met before you will schedule a call with me or release your position to me.

If you have a proposal for resolution of this case, please send it to me and we can schedule a call to discuss same. Otherwise, I am not sure that a call will be productive.

[Quoted text hidden]