

Neural Operators

Stephen Baek

Machine Learning on Function Spaces

- Different ways of learning PDEs



Flow snapshots: Cheng et al. (2024). IJMF

Machine Learning on Function Spaces

- Different ways of learning PDEs



$u(t, x, y) \approx$



"Learning the solution function"

Flow snapshots: Cheng et al. (2024). IJMF

Machine Learning on Function Spaces

- Different ways of learning PDEs



$u(t, x, y) \approx$



"Learning the solution function"

$\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \approx$??

"Learning the operator"
(= mapping btw functions)

Flow snapshots: Cheng et al. (2024). IJMF

Recap on Terminology

- Function
  - Mapping from value to value.
  - "The usual ones"
  - For instance,
    - $f(x) = \sin(x)$ maps a scalar to a scalar ($\sin: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$)
    - Linear function $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} + b$ maps a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to a vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$
    - The solution function $u(t, x, y)$ of a PDE maps a given time t and location x, y to a physical quantity u.

Recap on Terminology

- Function
  - Mapping from value to value
  - "The usual ones"
  - For instance,
    - $f(x) = \sin(x)$ maps a scalar to a scalar ($\sin: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$)
    - Linear function $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} + b$ maps a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to a vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$
    - The solution function $u(t, x, y)$ of a PDE maps a given time t and location x, y to a physical quantity u.

- Operator
  - Mapping from function to function.
  - May not be familiar with the term, but you may already know it.
  - For instance,
    - Differential operator d/dx maps the function $f(x) = 3x^2 + 2$ to the function $f'(x) = 6x$.
    - Integral operator $\int$ maps the function $\sin(x)$ to the function $-\cos(x) + C,\ C \in \mathbb{R}$.
    - Convolution operator T maps a function $f(x)$ to $(Tf)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)g(x-y)dy$.

Formal Definition

***Banach Space**
= complete normed vector space

We have a way to measure the "length" and "distance" of arrows.

If you have a sequence of arrows $v_1, v_2, \cdots$ that get closer and closer to some limit $v = \lim v_i$, the limit v is also an arrow in the same vector space.

- Generic family of PDEs:

$$(\mathrm{L}_a u)(x) = f(x), \qquad x \in D,$$
$$u(x) = 0, \qquad x \in \partial D$$

  - $a \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is the Banach space* of physics parameters
  - $u: D \to \mathbb{R}$: solution function that lives in the Banach space $\mathcal{U}$
  - $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$: source term in the dual $\mathcal{U}^*$ of $\mathcal{U}$.
  - $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$: a bounded physics domain
  - $\mathrm{L}_a: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{U}^*)$: mapping from the parameter Banach space $\mathcal{A}$ to the space of linear operators that map $\mathcal{U}$ to its dual $\mathcal{U}^*$

**See Kovachki et al. (2021) for more details.

Formal Definition

***Banach Space**
= complete normed vector space

We have a way to measure the "length" and "distance" of arrows.

If you have a sequence of arrows $v_1, v_2, \cdots$ that get closer and closer to some limit $v = \lim v_i$, the limit v is also an arrow in the same vector space.

- Generic family of PDEs:

$$(\mathrm{L}_a u)(x) = f(x), \qquad x \in D,$$
$$u(x) = 0, \qquad x \in \partial D$$

  - $a \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is the Banach space* of physics parameters
  - $u: D \to \mathbb{R}$: solution function that lives in the Banach space $\mathcal{U}$
  - $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$: source term in the dual $\mathcal{U}^*$ of $\mathcal{U}$.
  - $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$: a bounded physics domain
  - $\mathrm{L}_a: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{U}^*)$: mapping from the parameter Banach space $\mathcal{A}$ to the space of linear operators that map $\mathcal{U}$ to its dual $\mathcal{U}^*$
- Solution operator to this PDE $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{U}^* \to \mathcal{U}$

**See Kovachki et al. (2021) for more details.

Formal Definition

***Banach Space**
= complete normed vector space

We have a way to measure the "length" and "distance" of arrows.

If you have a sequence of arrows $v_1, v_2, \cdots$ that get closer and closer to some limit $v = \lim v_i$, the limit v is also an arrow in the same vector space.

- Generic family of PDEs:

$$(\mathrm{L}_a u)(x) = f(x), \qquad x \in D,$$
$$u(x) = 0, \qquad x \in \partial D$$

  - $a \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is the Banach space* of physics parameters
  - $u: D \to \mathbb{R}$: solution function that lives in the Banach space $\mathcal{U}$
  - $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$: source term in the dual $\mathcal{U}^*$ of $\mathcal{U}$.
  - $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$: a bounded physics domain
  - $\mathrm{L}_a: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{U}^*)$: mapping from the parameter Banach space $\mathcal{A}$ to the space of linear operators that map $\mathcal{U}$ to its dual $\mathcal{U}^*$
- Solution operator to this PDE $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{U}^* \to \mathcal{U}$
- Parametrize $\mathcal{G}$ with a neural network! i.e. $\mathcal{G} \approx \mathcal{G}_\theta$
  (universal approximation theorem of operators says you can do it**)

**See Kovachki et al. (2021) for more details.

In Simple Terms: Image-to-Image Problem

- "Operator learning can be taken as an image-to-image problem."
  - Zongyi Li website.
- …where an "Image" could be:
  - A snapshot of the solution function at a given time t.
  - Initial condition/configuration of a physical system. (snapshot at t=0)
  - Boundary geometry.
  - Distribution of system coefficients.
  - Etc.

Image-to-Image Problem

- For instance, a solution operator:
  - Consider the Darcy equation describing the flow of a fluid through a porous medium:

$$-\mathrm{div}(a\nabla u) = f$$

  - ..., where
    - $u \in \mathcal{U}$: temperature or pressure
    - $a \in \mathcal{A}$: conductance or permeability
    - $f \in \mathcal{U}^*$: source term

$f = 0$
Assumed in this example

×

a: Permeability coefficients

$\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{U}^* \to \mathcal{U}$

solution operator



u: Pressure distribution

Image-to-Image Problem



Image-to-Image Problem



If that's the case, what's so special about the neural operators?

Machine Learning on Functions



Machine Learning on Functions



Discretization:

$$a_i := a(x_i)$$
$$u_i := u(y_i)$$

Machine Learning on Functions



Discretization:

$$a_i := a(x_i)$$
$$u_i := u(y_i)$$

Machine Learning on Functions



Discretization:

$$a_i := a(x_i)$$
$$u_i := u(y_i)$$

a_0	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	$\cdots$	a_n

u_0	u_1	u_2	$\cdots$	u_m

Machine Learning on Functions



Discretization:

$$a_i := a(x_i)$$
$$u_i := u(y_i)$$



Linear neural network layer

$$u_j = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} K_{ji} a_i\right)$$



Machine Learning on Functions

What if the discretization changes?



K_{j0}	K_{j1}	K_{j2}	K_{j3}	K_{j4}	$\cdots$	K_{jn}

→ Are these still useful?

Machine Learning on Functions



Instead, consider "indexing" the weight K with x_i and y_j

$$u_j = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} K_{ji} a_i\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad u(y_j) = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} K(y_j, x_i) a(x_i)\right)$$

Machine Learning on Functions



Instead, consider "indexing" the weight K with x_i and y_j

$$u_j = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} K_{ji} a_i\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad u(y_j) = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} K(y_j, x_i) a(x_i)\right)$$

$$= \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \kappa(y_j, x_i) a(x_i) \Delta x_i\right)$$

Riemann Sum

Machine Learning on Functions



Instead, consider "indexing" the weight K with x_i and y_j

$$u_j = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} K_{ji} a_i\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad u(y_j) = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} K(y_j, x_i) a(x_i)\right)$$

$$= \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \kappa(y_j, x_i) a(x_i) \Delta x_i\right)$$

Riemann Sum

$$\Rightarrow \quad u(y) = \sigma\left(\int \kappa(y, x) a(x) dx\right)$$

Machine Learning on Functions



Instead, consider "indexing" the weight K with x_i and y_j

$$u_j = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} K_{ji} a_i\right)$$

Linear matrix operator acting on fixed discretization

$$\Rightarrow \quad u(y_j) = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} K(y_j, x_i) a(x_i)\right)$$

$$= \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \kappa(y_j, x_i) a(x_i) \Delta x_i\right)$$

Riemann Sum

Linear integral operator acting on continuous functions

$$\Rightarrow \quad u(y) = \sigma\left(\int \kappa(y, x) a(x) dx\right)$$

Kernel function

Assuming we learned the kernel function…



$$u(y) = \sigma\left(\int \kappa(y,x)a(x)dx\right)$$



Assuming we learned the kernel function…



Assuming we learned the kernel function…



Assuming we learned the kernel function…



Assuming we learned the kernel function…



Works great in theory, but how?

$$u(y) = \sigma\left(\int \kappa(y,x)a(x)dx\right)$$

- Practically, we need to compute (in each neural network layer):
  - Continuous kernel function $\kappa(y,x)$
  - Continuous integral $\int \cdot\, dx$

- ...which are computationally expensive!

Works great in theory, but how?

- Kovachki, Li, et al. (2022) – Different versions of the linear integral operator

Graph Neural Operator



Low-rank Neural Operator



Fourier Neural Operator



Images from Kovachki et al. ICML 2022.

Fourier Neural Operators

- Convolution Theorem:
  - The convolution $f * g$ of two functions f and g is equivalent to a simple element-wise (Hadamard) product $\odot$ in the Fourier (spectral) domain*:

$$\mathcal{F}(f * g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \odot \mathcal{F}(g)$$

*Sun et al. (2020). ZerNet: Convolutional Neural Networks on Arbitrary Surfaces via Zernike Local Tangent Space Estimation. Computer Graphics Forum. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1812.01082

Fourier Neural Operators

- Convolution Theorem:
  - The convolution $f * g$ of two functions f and g is equivalent to a simple element-wise (Hadamard) product $\odot$ in the Fourier (spectral) domain*:

$$\mathcal{F}(f * g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \odot \mathcal{F}(g)$$

- If the integral operator was the convolution operator, i.e.:

$$u(y) = \sigma\left(\int \kappa(y,x)a(x)dx\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad u(y) \coloneqq \sigma\big((\kappa * a)(y)\big) = \sigma\left(\int \kappa(y-x)a(x)dx\right)$$

  - Then by the convolution theorem:

$$(\kappa * a)(y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(R \odot \mathcal{F}(a)\big)(y)$$

  - $\mathcal{F}(a)$: Fourier transform of the input function $a(x)$
  - $R \coloneqq \mathcal{F}(\kappa)$: Kernel function learned as Fourier coefficients (no need to evaluate κ directly)

*Sun et al. (2020). ZerNet: Convolutional Neural Networks on Arbitrary Surfaces via Zernike Local Tangent Space Estimation. Computer Graphics Forum. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1812.01082

Fourier Neural Operators

- FNO Layer
  1. Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(a)$
  2. Linear transform R
  3. Inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(R \odot \mathcal{F}(a)\big)(y)$$



For a uniform grid, fast Fourier transform is available. Otherwise, discrete Fourier transform (slow).

Images from Kovachki et al. ICML 2022.

Fourier Neural Operators

- The Fourier Filters



Zongyi Li, ICLR Presentation (2021). https://iclr.cc/media/iclr-2021/Slides/3281.pdf

Fourier Neural Operators

- The full architecture of FNO



W: Local (point-wise) linear operator (skip connection)
to "keep the track of the location information and non-periodic boundary"*

Images from Kovachki et al. ICML 2022.

*Zongyi Li, ICLR Presentation (2021). https://iclr.cc/media/iclr-2021/Slides/3281.pdf

Graph Neural Operators

- Message Passing Neural Networks (Gilmer et al. 2017)
  - A graph G with node features $v_i \in V$ and edge features $e_{i,j} \in E$.



Diagrams from https://projects.volkamerlab.org/teachopencadd/talktorials/T035_graph_neural_networks.html

Graph Neural Operators

- Message Passing Neural Networks (Gilmer et al. 2017)
  - A graph G with node features $v_i \in V$ and edge features $e_{i,j} \in E$.



  - Message Passing Phase—Runs for K time steps. Update hidden states $h_i^{(k)}$ at each node based on messages $m_i^{(k+1)}$ according to:

$$m_i^{(k)} = \sum_{j \in N(i)} M^{(k)}\left(h_i^{(k-1)}, h_j^{(k-1)}, e_{i,j}\right)$$

$$h_i^{(k)} = U^{(k)}\left(h_i^{(k-1)}, m_i^{(k)}\right)$$



  ..., where $M^{(k)}$ is a message function (typically a neural net layer), $U^{(k)}$ is vertex update function (again, a neural network layer), and $N(i)$ is the neighbors of v_i in G.

Diagrams from https://projects.volkamerlab.org/teachopencadd/talktorials/T035_graph_neural_networks.html

Graph Neural Operator

- Graph Neural Operator using Message Passing Graph Networks

$$v_{t+1}(x) = \sigma\left(W v_t(x) + \frac{1}{|N(x)|}\sum_{y \in N(x)} \kappa_\phi\big(e(x,y)\big)\, v_t(y)\right)$$

  - $\kappa_\phi\big(e(x,y)\big)$: A message passing neural network taking edge features as input.
  - Edge features $e(x,y)$: For example, the edge weight can be defined as

$$e(x,y) = \big(\underbrace{x, y}_{\text{Positions}}, \underbrace{a(x), a(y)}_{\text{Coefficients/physics parameters at those positions}}\big)$$

Examples & Use Cases

- Supervised learning of dynamics



https://zongyi-li.github.io/neural-operator/

Examples & Use Cases

- Spherical Fourier Neural Operators (SFNO)





https://zongyi-li.github.io/neural-operator/

Examples & Use Cases

- Neural operators can be combined with physics-informed loss



https://zongyi-li.github.io/neural-operator/

Takeaways

- Operator learning than solving
  - Learn a family of PDE instead of solving one instance at a time.
  - Doesn't require he explicit form, although a similar physics-loss could also be introduced (e.g. PINO)
- Learning in the function space
  - Map the values to e.g. Fourier space for continuous inputs and outputs.
  - Resolution invariant, mesh-invariant
- More accurate than other deep learning methods (discuss why?)