

14. (Aside) Johnson-Lindenstrauss

Friday, February 9, 2024

3:16 PM

Note: these notes use different notation

Fact If $X_i \sim N(0, 1)$ are independent, then $Z = \sum_{i=1}^M X_i^2 \sim \chi_M^2$ ("chi-squared")

and if $Z \sim \chi_M^2$ then $\mathbb{E}[Z] = M$ and $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$

$$\mathbb{P}[|Z - M| \geq \varepsilon \cdot M] \leq 2 \cdot \exp(-\frac{M}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^3))$$

could also use Bernstein's ineq. for sub-exponential r.v.

Lemma Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ be random w/ $A_{ij} \sim N(0, 1)$ iid

let $y = Ax$, then w.p. $\geq 1 - 2 \exp(-\frac{M}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^3))$,

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|x\|^2 \leq \|\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} y\|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x\|^2$$

proof wlog let $\|x\| = 1$.

- y_i is also a Gaussian, as it is a weighted sum of Gaussians

(Recall: if $X \sim \mathcal{Z}_1$ and $Z \sim \mathcal{Z}_2$ then $X + Y \sim \mathcal{Z}_1 * \mathcal{Z}_2$ convolution)

The sum of normal distributions (i.e. multimodal) isn't Gaussian, it's a mixture model

- so it's completely characterized by its mean + variance

$$\mathbb{E}[y_i] = \sum_j x_j \mathbb{E}[a_{ij}] = 0$$

$$\text{Var}[y_i] = \mathbb{E}[y_i^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij}^2 x_j^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{j' \neq j} a_{ij} a_{ij'} x_j x_{j'}\right]$$

$$\text{and } y_i \perp y_{j'} \text{ (i} \neq j') \quad = \|x\|^2 + 0 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \|y\|^2 \sim \chi_M^2$$

$$\text{and } \left| \|y\|^2 - M \right| \geq \varepsilon \cdot M \Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{1}{M} \|y\|^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \stackrel{= \|x\|^2}{=} \text{so use Fact above.}$$

Thm (Johnson-Lindenstrauss 1984) [one of many variants]

Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$. If $M \geq \frac{16}{\varepsilon^2} \log(k)$

then \exists a Lipschitz continuous map $f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$ s.t. $\forall x, y \in X$

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|x - y\|^2 \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x - y\|^2 \quad (*)$$

proof Draw $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ as before, think of $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \cdot Ax$

For a fixed x, y then (via linearity) $(*)$ holds w.p. $\geq 1 - 2 \cdot \exp(-\frac{M}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^3))$

and we have $\binom{k}{2} = \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ pairs, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[\text{any pair } x, y \text{ fails } (*)] &\leq \sum_{\text{all pairs}} \mathbb{P}[\text{fixed pair } x, y \text{ fails } (*)] \\ &\leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \exp(-\frac{M}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^3)) \end{aligned}$$

So choose $M = \frac{16}{\varepsilon^2} \log(k) \dots$

$$= \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \exp(-\frac{16}{4\varepsilon^2}(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^3) \log(k))$$

14a. Johnson-Lindenstrauss

Monday, February 12, 2024 11:04 AM

$$\begin{aligned} &= k \cdot (k-1) \cdot k^{(-4(1-1/2))} \quad \text{and } \varepsilon < 1/2 \Rightarrow 1-1/2 > -1/2 \\ &< k \cdot (k-1) \cdot k^{-2} = \frac{k-1}{k} < 1 \quad \text{or } -4(1-1/2) < -2 \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathbb{P}[(*) \text{ holds } \forall \text{ pairs}] > 0$

via the probabilistic method this means such a map f must exist. \square

Discussion

- In practice, for a specific A that we draw, we want it to be very likely, so make M larger in that case
- $O(1/\varepsilon^2)$ dependence grows quickly so it's best for low accuracy ... but analysis is tight up to a $\log(1/\varepsilon)$ factor
 $\log(k)$ dependence is "correct"
- Independent of original dimension N !] Big deal!
- Works if A_{ij} Sub-Gaussian
- Faster "JL-inspired" transforms exist... ask me about them?
- See David Woodruff's 2014 monograph for an example of a chaining argument to use classical JL to apply to a whole subspace.