



Applied Math
UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO BOULDER

Research in Applied Math

Professor Stephen Becker
Dept. of Applied Math
University of Colorado



coloradomathcircle

Part 1: Projects in Applied Math from my CU research group

My research group

Current and former PhD students in my group



Undergrads, MS students, student collaborators, students at Colorado School of Mines, etc.



Source separation

A lot of our work is on **optimization**, and how you can apply it to **image processing**




Image credit: NASA

Observation z_0

Sparse component x_0


DCT-sparse component y_0

(image from my friend Mike McCoy)

Source separation

Tools:
optimization


Artifacts



MODIS satellite
data

Source separation

Tools:
optimization



*After applying our technique...
artifacts are removed!*

Source separation

Tools:
optimization

Original



Background

Foreground



Ultrasound

Ultrasound imaging




with Prof. Nick Bottenus
Mechanical Engineering



Ultrasound

Ultrasound imaging



Tools:
optimization


Project: send out better signals

with Prof. Nick Bottenus
Mechanical Engineering




Microscopy

Superresolution fluorescent microscopy



Bovine Pulmonary Artery Endothelial Cells (BPAE)
labeled for actin



New method is mostly
better post-processing


Tools:
optimization



with Prof. Carol Cogswell
Electrical Engineering

Genetics

Does the parents height completely determine their child's height?




If not... *how much* effect do the parents have?

i.e., how **heritable** is height?

how **heritable** is schizophrenia?

Genetics

Tools:
optimization



Heritability is a tricky statistics problem
(many *confounding* factors)

Best approaches exploit new giant datasets


Difficulties: optimization in a million dimensions

My group focuses on the tools to enable these computations



Solar superstorms

Coronal Mass Ejection



"All models are wrong, but some are useful" (George Box)



Tools:
optimization,
differential equations

Solar superstorms

How to model a plasma?

	Magnetohydrodynamics	Two Fluids	Gyrokinetics	Kinetics	Everything
Description	The plasma is one continuous fluid - ions have all the mass, but electrons carry all the current.	Break the ions & electrons into two continuous, mingling fluids.	Only track superparticles' straight motion - and ignore the corkscrewing.	Assign particles a speed and location based on a distribution. Track super particles through space.	Track every particle, at all times.
Strengths	Easily solved.	Simple bulk effects like drift waves & reconnection can be understood.	Captures most of kinetic model, but much easier to solve - can model an entire Tokamak.	Many things captured, can get powerful results like the linear velocity-space instabilities.	Most accurate model possible.
Weakness	Most things not captured: most plasma waves, leakage, kinetic instabilities, structures etc.	Many things not captured: plasma instabilities, large effects & non-equilibrium effects. Assumes bell curves.	Non-physical behavior over long times: resonances & adiabatic invariants can be lost.	Tough to solve: hard to apply to full-size reactors. Loses some effects: like plasma microdensity and collective Thomson scattering.	Typically impossible to solve.
Mathematics	Navier-Stokes, Lorentz force, Maxwell's equations.	Navier-Stokes, Lorentz force, Maxwell's equations.	Vlasov-Maxwell Expansion Equation	Vlasov-Maxwell Equation	Klimontovich Model
Plasma as a fluid (Chalkboard)			Plasma as a gas (Computer Required)		
S i m p l i c i t y			D e t a i l		

Recent project: develop new reduced order models for plasmas (for space weather prediction)

Involves:



Brain imaging

Magnetoencephalography (MEG)




Detect magnetic fields created by neurons firing in the brain

Our job: from the sensor readings, determine *where* those neurons are

Applications:

- Human-Computer Interaction
- Research on how brain works
- Surgery
- Neurofeedback

... and mind reading (in 100 years maybe)



with Prof. Svenja Knappe
Mechanical Engineering



MRI

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Tools:
optimization, ML

MRI gives excellent resolution... but it's slow

If you want to image the heart, and it takes a minute, that's a problem!



Goal: imaging fetal twins *in utero* for interventions



Use optimization, modeling, statistics and machine learning to make do with fewer measurements ...so faster

with Prof. Nick Dwork
CU Anschutz




MRI


Fixing problems with Deep Learning methods

Hallucinating anatomies

Ground truth




Deep Learning




Eliminating pathologies

Ground truth



Sparse-SENSE




Deep Learning



Figure from Nicholas Dwork

False sulcus from ResoNNance model. cf. Buckley et al. "Results of the 2020 **fastMRI** challenge for machine learning MR image reconstruction," IEEE Trans. Medical Imaging '21

Quantum computing




Tools:
optimization
statistics

Quantum computers are thought to be fundamentally more powerful than classical computers

“Seeing” what you just made isn’t easy... quantum mechanics gives indirect and noisy measurements.

Our group use statistics and optimization to give a better diagnosis tool (a better “debugger”)




Thomas R. Cech
Eric A. Cornell
Carl E. Wieman
John L. Hall
David J. Wineland

Chemistry 1989
Physics 2001
Physics 2001
Physics 2005
Physics 2012

with my PhD student
Akshay Seshadri
(who also works at NIST)



Power Grid control



The power grid is old and prone to failure (blackouts), and ill-equipped to handle solar

One part of the solution is to **control** the grid on a faster time scale

(*control* can mean adjusting the output of a conventional power plant, typically done every 15 minutes)

Since solar output can change in less than a second (clouds!), we should control the grid every second

Our group helps with algorithms that can handle this fast pace

with Prof. Emilio Dall'Anese
Electrical Engineering






Training neural nets

Artificial neural nets are loosely inspired by our brain

In theory, if big enough, they can approximate any kind of relation...

... but training them is tricky. It's time consuming, and we don't understand why it works

The training is all about optimization (using random algorithms)



Some of our contributions are not new *tools* or *algorithms*, but **new theorems** (*guarantees*), e.g.,

Theorem: choose any $0 < \delta < 1$. Then with probability greater than $1 - \delta$, after T iterations, the accuracy will be on the order of $\log(\delta)/T$ if using [this particular algorithm].

Neural net applications

Style transfer



Deep fakes



Creating fake celebrity faces



ChatGPT

MI How are you today, ChatGPT?

MI As a language model trained by OpenAI, I don't have the ability to experience emotions like a human would. I am designed to assist with providing information and answering questions to the best of my ability. Is there something specific you would like to know?

MI What is the Capital of the Klingon homeworld, Qo'noS?

MI In the fictional Star Trek universe, the Klingon homeworld is called Qo'noS and its capital is called First City. It is the political and cultural center of the Klingon Empire and the location of the Klingon High Council. It is a city of great size and importance in Klingon society.

As well as many useful and serious applications:


- digital assistants (Alexa, Siri...), NLP
- scientific computing
- self-driving cars
- language translation
- healthcare
- fraud detection, cybersecurity, spam filtering

Randomized Linear Algebra




Tools:
linear algebra,
prob/stat

Classical (deterministic) framework



Works great... if you give it enough input

New (stochastic) framework



Good enough,
even with a
few inputs

Why?


- Faster computation (or easier to parallelize)
- For physical systems (quantum, or geology, etc.)

Scientific Simulation

Collaboration with CU's aerospace
engineering department



Tools:
optimization,
differential equations




Air is a fluid. Study **fluid dynamics** for understanding airplane wings etc. (and designing better ones)

Must solve these equations numerically (“Navier Stokes” differential equations). \$1,000,000 prize for proving properties about their solutions

Challenges:

- Most accurate simulations are too slow... use reduced models to speed things up
- Even so, we run on giant computers (recently gained access to Aurora)
- Storing the data is a problem... need specialized **compression algorithms**



\$500M, 2 exaFlops, 9000 multicore nodes (an i7 CPU is 289 gigaFlops, Playstation 5 is 10 teraFlops)

10^9

10^{12}

Scientific Simulation

880x compression


We have two main approaches to compression:

1. Randomized numerical linear algebra
2. Machine learning


both have advantages and disadvantages.

Our goal is to do the compression “on-the-fly”
during a simulation


Original



Compressed



Error



Part 2

Applied Mathematics
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Academics Research News & Events Organizations

Research

Research by members of the Department of Applied Mathematics mathematical modeling, analysis, and computation—in order to is identified with at least one of six primary research areas listed

Research Areas

- + Computational Mathematics
- + Mathematical Biology
- + Mathematical Geosciences
- + Applied Nonlinear PDEs and Dynamics
- + Statistics and Data Science
- + Stochastic Processes and Applications

my core interests

Most researchers span several subfields

Q: is there **math** behind **computing**? Isn't this just software development?

Part 2: What does a “computational mathematician” do or “numerical analyst”

A famous example, from Volker Strassen (1969)
[also a probabilist and “theoretical computer scientist”]



Matrix Multiplication

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 8 & 9 & 4 & 7 \\ \hline -6 & 5 & 2 & 18 & -4 \\ \hline 3 & 6 & 9 & -2 & 4 \\ \hline 9 & -6 & 78 & 23 & 8 \\ \hline 8 & 2 & -3 & 9 & 11 \\ \hline \end{array} \times \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 9 & 5 & 9 & 0 \\ \hline 8 & -2 & -6 & 8 & 99 \\ \hline 23 & 5 & 5 & -9 & 12 \\ \hline 48 & 6 & 8 & 9 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 1 & -5 & 7 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & ... & 52 & ... & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$3 \times 9 + 6 \times -2 + 9 \times 5 + -2 \times 6 + 4 \times 1 = 52$$

Matrix Multiplication

0 8 9 4 7	2 9 5 9 0	
-6 5 2 18 -4	8 -2 -6 8 99	
3 6 9 -2 4	23 5 5 -9 12	=
9 -6 78 23 8	48 6 8	
8 2 -3 9 11	2 1 -5	

Rank System Cores Rmax (PFlop/s) Rpeak (PFlop/s) Power (kW)

1	Frontier - HPE Cray EX235a, AMD Optimized 3rd Generation EPYC 64C 2GHz, AMD Instinct MI250X, Slingshot-11, HPE DOE/SC/Oak Ridge National Laboratory United States	8,699,904	1,206.00	1,714.81	22,786
2	Aurora - HPE Cray EX - Intel Exascale Compute Blade, Xeon CPU Max 9470 52C 2.4GHz, Intel Data Center GPU Max, Slingshot-11, Intel DOE/SC/Argonne National Laboratory United States	9,264,128	1,012.00	1,980.01	38,698
3	Eagle - Microsoft NDv5, Xeon Platinum 8480C 48C 2GHz, NVIDIA H100, NVIDIA Infiniband NDR, Microsoft Azure Microsoft Azure United States	2,073,600	561.20	846.84	

Why is this important?

It represents **linear transformations** and is the backbone of science

It's the building block of most **scientific codes** (e.g., climate modeling)

... as well as behind all **machine learning** training (ChatGPT...)



Matrix Multiplication

$$\begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad n \quad} \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{\begin{matrix} 0 & 8 & 9 & 4 & 7 \\ -6 & 5 & 2 & 18 & -4 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & -2 & 4 \\ 9 & -6 & 78 & 23 & 8 \\ 8 & 2 & -3 & 9 & 11 \end{matrix}} \end{array} \times \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad n \quad} \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{\begin{matrix} 2 & 9 & 5 & 9 & 0 \\ 8 & -2 & -6 & 8 & 99 \\ 23 & 5 & 5 & -9 & 12 \\ 48 & 6 & 8 & 9 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & -5 & 7 & 6 \end{matrix}} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\begin{matrix} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ \dots & 52 & \dots & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \end{matrix}} \end{array}$$

About how many operations are required?

e.g., $\mathcal{O}(2^n)$? $\mathcal{O}(e^n)$? $\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$?

$\mathcal{O}(n)$? $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$? $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$? $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$?

$\mathcal{O}(n!)$?

Aside: “big O” notation

$f(n) = \mathcal{O}(g(n))$ means (informally) that f “grows” no faster than a constant times g

e.g., $4n^2 + 3n - 2 = \mathcal{O}(n^2)$

$n^{100} \neq \mathcal{O}(2^n)$

Matrix Multiplication

$$\begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad n \quad} \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{\begin{matrix} 0 & 8 & 9 & 4 & 7 \\ -6 & 5 & 2 & 18 & -4 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & -2 & 4 \\ 9 & -6 & 78 & 23 & 8 \\ 8 & 2 & -3 & 9 & 11 \end{matrix}} \end{array} \times \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad n \quad} \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{\begin{matrix} 2 & 9 & 5 & 9 & 0 \\ 8 & -2 & -6 & 8 & 99 \\ 23 & 5 & 5 & -9 & 12 \\ 48 & 6 & 8 & 9 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & -5 & 7 & 6 \end{matrix}} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\begin{matrix} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ \dots & 52 & \dots & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \end{matrix}} \end{array}$$

About how many operations are required?

e.g., $\mathcal{O}(2^n)$? $\mathcal{O}(e^n)$? $\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$?

$\mathcal{O}(n)$? $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$? $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$? $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$?


$\mathcal{O}(n!)$?

And how many operations to add two matrices?


$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

(Matrix) addition is cheaper than (matrix) multiplication!

Matrix Multiplication... via recursion



Matrix Multiplication... via recursion



That's interesting, but it doesn't reduce the number of operations.
Say, we can multiply $n \times n$ matrices in exactly n^3 operations

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} \\ & \uparrow \\ & \frac{n}{2} \times \frac{n}{2} \text{ sized matrices} \\ \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^3 &= \frac{n^3}{8} \text{ operations to multiply} \end{aligned} \qquad \qquad \qquad 8 \times \frac{n^3}{8} = n^3$$

[activity]

Multiply two complex numbers

$$\underbrace{(a + ib)}_{z_1} \times \underbrace{(c + id)}_{z_2} = (ac - bd) + i(bc + ad)$$

The standard formula has **4** multiplies

Can you do it in less? *Hint: the standard formula has 2 additions. You'll need more*

[activity]

Multiply two complex numbers

$$\underbrace{(a + ib)}_{z_1} \times \underbrace{(c + id)}_{z_2} = (ac - bd) + i(bc + ad)$$

The standard formula has 4 multiplies


Can you do it in less? *Hint: the standard formula has 2 additions. You'll need more*

SOLUTION

$$(ac - bd) = \overbrace{a(c - d)}^w + \underbrace{d(a - b)}_x$$
$$(bc + ad) = \underbrace{b(c + d)}_y + \overbrace{d(a - b)}^x$$

Only 3 multiplies, but 5 additions

Matrix Multiplication... via recursion



Can we write this in fewer than 8 (block) multiplies?

$$M_1 = (A_{11} + A_{22}) \times (B_{11} + B_{22})$$

$$M_2 = (A_{21} + A_{22}) \times B_{11}$$

$$M_3 = A_{11} \times (B_{12} - B_{22})$$

$$M_4 = A_{22} \times (B_{21} - B_{11})$$

$$M_5 = (A_{11} + A_{12}) \times B_{22}$$

$$M_6 = (A_{21} - A_{11}) \times (B_{11} + B_{12})$$

$$M_7 = (A_{12} - A_{22}) \times (B_{21} + B_{22})$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 + M_4 - M_5 + M_7 & M_3 + M_5 \\ M_2 + M_4 & M_1 - M_2 + M_3 + M_6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Yes! 7 multiplies (though 18 instead of 4 additions)

(assuming n is a power of 2 for simplicity)

Complexity analysis

recursion relationship

$$w(n) = 7w\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn^2$$

a constant, e.g., 18

w is work (i.e., # of operations)




figure from <https://www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs/academic/class/15750-s17/ScribeNotes/lecture1.pdf>, scribe David Witmer, Lecturer: Gary Miller

$$w(n) = cn^2 \left(1 + \frac{7}{4} + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^3 + \dots + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2(n)} \right)$$

(assuming n is a power of 2 for simplicity)

Complexity analysis

$$w(n) = cn^2 \left(1 + \frac{7}{4} + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^3 + \dots + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2(n)} \right)$$

(assuming n is a power of 2 for simplicity)

Complexity analysis

$$w(n) = cn^2 \left(1 + \frac{7}{4} + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^3 + \dots + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2(n)} \right)$$

$$= cn^2 \frac{\frac{7}{4}^{\log_2(n)+1} - 1}{\frac{7}{4} - 1}$$

recall $\sum_{k=0}^m r = \frac{r^{m+1} - 1}{r - 1}$
if $r \neq 1$

(assuming n is a power of 2 for simplicity)

Complexity analysis

$$\begin{aligned} w(n) &= cn^2 \left(1 + \frac{7}{4} + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^3 + \dots + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2(n)} \right) \\ &= cn^2 \frac{\frac{7}{4}^{\log_2(n)+1} - 1}{\frac{7}{4} - 1} \\ &\leq \tilde{c}n^2 \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2(n)} \\ &= \tilde{c}7^{\log_2(n)} \quad \text{since } 4^{\log_2(n)} = 2^{2\log_2(n)} = \left(2^{\log_2(n)}\right)^2 = n^2 \end{aligned}$$

(assuming n is a power of 2 for simplicity)

Complexity analysis

$$\begin{aligned} w(n) &= cn^2 \left(1 + \frac{7}{4} + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^3 + \dots + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2(n)} \right) \\ &= cn^2 \frac{\frac{7}{4}^{\log_2(n)+1} - 1}{\frac{7}{4} - 1} \\ &\leq \tilde{c} n^2 \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2(n)} \\ &= \tilde{c} 7^{\log_2(n)} \\ &= \tilde{c} (2^{\log_2(7)})^{\log_2(n)} \\ &= \tilde{c} (2^{\log_2(n)})^{\log_2(7)} \end{aligned}$$

(assuming n is a power of 2 for simplicity)

Complexity analysis

$$\begin{aligned} w(n) &= cn^2 \left(1 + \frac{7}{4} + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^3 + \dots + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2(n)} \right) \\ &= cn^2 \frac{\frac{7}{4}^{\log_2(n)+1} - 1}{\frac{7}{4} - 1} \\ &\leq \tilde{c} n^2 \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2(n)} \\ &= \tilde{c} 7^{\log_2(n)} \\ &= \tilde{c} (2^{\log_2(7)})^{\log_2(n)} \\ &= \tilde{c} (2^{\log_2(n)})^{\log_2(7)} \\ &= \tilde{c} n^{\log_2(7)} \\ &\approx \tilde{c} n^{2.807} \end{aligned}$$

(assuming n is a power of 2 for simplicity)

Complexity analysis

$$\begin{aligned} w(n) &= cn^2 \left(1 + \frac{7}{4} + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^3 + \dots + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2(n)} \right) \\ &= cn^2 \frac{\frac{7}{4}^{\log_2(n)+1} - 1}{\frac{7}{4} - 1} \\ &\leq \tilde{c} n^2 \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2(n)} \\ &= \tilde{c} 7^{\log_2(n)} \\ &= \tilde{c} (2^{\log_2(7)})^{\log_2(n)} \\ &= \tilde{c} (2^{\log_2(n)})^{\log_2(7)} \\ &= \tilde{c} n^{\log_2(7)} \\ &\approx \tilde{c} n^{2.807} \end{aligned}$$

Complexity of Strassen multiplication is $\mathcal{O}(n^{2.807})$



Can also use the “Master Theorem” or
guess-and-prove-via-induction

Going further

There are even better algorithms than Strassen!

As of January 2024, the fastest known is $\mathcal{O}(n^{2.371})$

It's conjectured that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is an algorithm that runs in $\mathcal{O}(n^{2+\epsilon})$

- Most are not practical. Strassen is one of the more practical ones but still not used often in practice for various reasons
- Finding faster algorithms is related to **tensor factorization**
 - Intersection of *computer science* and *numerical analysis*
 - Prof. Josh Grochow in CS dept (and myself) work on it
- Recent hype over using machine learning (specifically, reinforcement learning) to find good algorithms!

nature

Explore content ▾ About the journal ▾ Publish with us ▾

nature > articles > article

Article | [Open access](#) | Published: 05 October 2022

Discovering faster matrix multiplication algorithms with reinforcement learning

Alhussein Fawzi  Matej Balog, Aja Huang, Thomas Hubert, Bernardino Romera-Paredes, Mohammadamin Barekatian, Alexander Novikov, Francisco J. R. Ruiz, Julian Schrittwieser, Grzegorz Swirszcz, David Silver, Demis Hassabis & Pushmeet Kohli

[Nature](#) **610**, 47–53 (2022) | [Cite this article](#)

593k Accesses | 192 Citations | 3580 Altmetric | [Metrics](#)