

The Identification Capacity of a Discrete Memoryless Classical-Quantum Channel

Stephen Diadamo

November 25, 2017

Definition. (DMCQC) For finite alphabet \mathcal{X} and finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , let $W : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ be a CQ channel. The discrete memoryless CQ channel (DMCQC) generated by W is given by the family $\{W^{\otimes n} : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where

$$W^{\otimes n}(x^n) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n W(x_i).$$

Definition. $((n, M)$ -code) For a finite alphabet \mathcal{X} and finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , an (n, M) -code for classical message transmission over DMCQC generated by CQ channel $W : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is a family $\mathcal{C} := (x_m, E_m)_{m=1}^M$, where $x_1, \dots, x_M \in \mathcal{X}^n$ and $\{E_m\}_{m=1}^M \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$ forms a POVM on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$.

For (n, M) -code \mathcal{C} we define maximum error $e(\mathcal{C}, W^{\otimes n})$ and $N(W, n, \lambda)$ such that

$$e(\mathcal{C}, W^{\otimes n}) := \max_{m \in [M]} \text{tr}[(1 - E_m)W^{\otimes n}(x_m)]$$

$$N(W, n, \lambda) := \max\{M \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists (n, M) \text{ - code } \mathcal{C} \text{ with } e(\mathcal{C}, W^{\otimes n}) \leq \lambda\}$$

Definition. (Holevo quantity) For a finite alphabet \mathcal{X} and finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , let $W : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ be a CQ channel and $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ a probability distribution on \mathcal{X} . The function

$$\chi(p, W) := S(\overline{W}_p) - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x)S(W(x))$$

with $\overline{W}_p := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x)W(x)$, is called the Holevo quantity of the tuple (p, W) .

Definition. (Classical Capacity over CQ Channel) For a finite alphabet \mathcal{X} and finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , let $W : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ be a CQ channel. We define the capacity of W as,

$$C_0 := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \chi(p, W)$$

Definition. $((n, M)$ -ID-code) For a finite alphabet \mathcal{X} and finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , let $W : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ be a CQ channel. An (n, M) -ID-code is a family $\mathcal{C}_{\text{id}} := (Q_m, D_m)_{m=1}^M$, where $Q_1, \dots, Q_M \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}^n)$ are probability distributions and for each $m \in [M]$, $D_m \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$, $0 \leq D_m \leq 1_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}$.

For an (n, M) -ID-code \mathcal{C}_{id} we define two types of errors,

$$e_1(\mathcal{C}_{\text{id}}, W^{\otimes n}) := \max_{m \in [M]} \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} Q_m(x^n) \text{tr}[(1 - D_m)W^{\otimes n}(x^n)]$$

$$e_2(\mathcal{C}_{\text{id}}, W^{\otimes n}) := \max_{\substack{m, m' \in [M] \\ m \neq m'}} \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} Q_{m'}(x^n) \text{tr}[D_m W^{\otimes n}(x^n)]$$

$$N_{\text{id}}(W, n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) := \max\{M \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists (n, M)\text{-ID-code } \mathcal{C}_{\text{id}} \text{ with } e_1(\mathcal{C}_{\text{id}}, W^{\otimes n}) \leq \lambda_1 \text{ and } e_2(\mathcal{C}_{\text{id}}, W^{\otimes n}) \leq \lambda_2\}$$

Definition. An (n, M) -ID-code $(Q_m, D_m)_{m=1}^M$ is called simultaneous if for $K \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a POVM $(E_i)_{i=1}^K$ and subsets $A_1, \dots, A_M \subseteq [K]$ such that for each $m \in [M]$, $D_m = \sum_{j \in A_m} E_j$. We define for CQ channel $W : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$N_{\text{id}}^{\text{sim}}(W, n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) := \max\{M \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists \text{ sim. } (n, M)\text{-ID-code } \mathcal{C}_{\text{id}}^{\text{sim}} \text{ with } e_1(\mathcal{C}_{\text{id}}^{\text{sim}}, W^{\otimes n}) \leq \lambda_1 \text{ and } e_2(\mathcal{C}_{\text{id}}^{\text{sim}}, W^{\otimes n}) \leq \lambda_2\}$$

It is clear that $N_{\text{id}} \geq N_{\text{id}}^{\text{sim}}$.

Theorem. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$. Then,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \log N_{id}^{sim}(W, n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \geq C_0$$

Lemma. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ be a finite number and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $\lambda \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1) > 2$. Then, there are at least $N \geq \frac{1}{M} 2^{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor}$ subsets $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N \subset [M]$ such that each \mathcal{A}_i has cardinality $\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor$. Further, the cardinalities of the pairwise intersections satisfy

$$|\mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j| \leq \lambda \lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor, \quad (\forall i, j \in [N], i \neq j).$$

Proposition. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta > 0$. Let $\lambda := \min(\lambda_1, \frac{\lambda_2}{2})$. Let $\epsilon > 0$, such that $\lambda \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1) > 2$. Then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$, there exists a simultaneous (n, M) -ID-code, \mathcal{C}_{id}^{sim} , with $e_1(\mathcal{C}_{id}^{sim}, W^{\otimes n}) \leq \lambda_1$ and $e_2(\mathcal{C}_{id}^{sim}, W^{\otimes n}) \leq \lambda_2$ with $M \geq 2^{\lfloor \epsilon 2^{(C_0 - \delta)n} \rfloor - n}$.

Proof. By the Holevo-Schumacher-Wesmoreland theorem, for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, there is a large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M := N(W, n, \lambda) \geq 2^{n(C_0 - \delta)}$. Therefore there exists an (n, M) -code $\mathcal{C} := (x_m, E_m)_{m=1}^M$ such that $e(\mathcal{C}, W^{\otimes n}) \leq \lambda$. From the above lemma, we have that there exists $N \geq \frac{1}{M} 2^{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor}$ subsets $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N \subset [M]$ such that for each $i, j \in [N]$, $|\mathcal{A}_i| = \lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor$ and for $i \neq j$, $|\mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j| \leq \lambda \lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor$. We have that for large n ,

$$N \geq \frac{1}{M} 2^{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \geq 2^{\lfloor \epsilon 2^{n(C_0 - \delta)} \rfloor - n}.$$

We can consider $[M]$ as an index set for the $(x_m)_{m=1}^M$ messages and therefore can define $\mathcal{X}_i := \{x_m \mid m \in \mathcal{A}_i\}$. With this, we can construct an (n, N) -ID-code. Let $Q_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_i)$ be the uniform distribution on \mathcal{X}_i such that $Q_i(x_m) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}_i|} \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{X}_i}(x_m)$ and define $D_i := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} E_m$. We can now show that the two types of errors are bounded by λ_1 and λ_2 respectively and can conclude that $N_{id}^{sim}(W, n, \lambda_1, \lambda_1) \geq N$. For $\mathcal{C}_{id}^{sim} := (Q_i, D_i)_{i=1}^N$, we have for a fixed $i \in [N]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in [M]} Q_i(x_m) \text{tr}[(1 - D_i)W^{\otimes n}(x_m)] &= \frac{1}{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \text{tr}[(1 - D_i)W^{\otimes n}(x_m)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \text{tr} \left[\left(1 - \sum_{m' \in \mathcal{A}_i} E_{m'} \right) W^{\otimes n}(x_m) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \underbrace{\text{tr}[(1 - E_m)W^{\otimes n}(x_m)]}_{\leq \lambda} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \lambda \lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor \\ &= \lambda \leq \lambda_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since i was chosen arbitrarily, it holds that $e_1(\mathcal{C}_{id}, W^{\otimes n}) \leq \lambda_1$. For the second type of error, again fix an $i, j \in [N]$ such that $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in [M]} Q_i(x_m) \text{tr}[D_j W^{\otimes n}(x_m)] &= \frac{1}{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \text{tr}[D_j W^{\otimes n}(x_m)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \text{tr} \left[\left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}_j} E_k \right) W^{\otimes n}(x_m) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}_j} \text{tr} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j} E_k W^{\otimes n}(x_m) + \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i \setminus \mathcal{A}_j} E_k W^{\otimes n}(x_m) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j} \underbrace{\text{tr} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}_j} E_k W^{\otimes n}(x_m) \right)}_{\leq 1} + \frac{1}{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i \setminus \mathcal{A}_j} \underbrace{\text{tr} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}_j} E_k W^{\otimes n}(x_m) \right)}_{\leq \lambda} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \lambda \lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor + \frac{1}{\lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor} \lambda \lfloor \epsilon M \rfloor \\ &= 2\lambda \leq \lambda_2 \end{aligned}$$

Since i, j are chosen arbitrarily, it holds that $e_2(\mathcal{C}_{id}, W^{\otimes n}) \leq \lambda_2$. □