

UiO : University of Oslo

IN3140

Evolutionary robotics

Kyrre Glette

Today: Evolutionary robotics

- Why evolutionary robotics
- Basics of evolutionary optimization
  - IN3050 will discuss algorithms in detail
- Illustrating examples
  - ROBIN in-house robotic platforms and experiments
- Research challenges
  - Reality gap

Future robots & scenarios







Why evolutionary robotics?

- Adaptation to changes in environment or robot
  - Robot may break or deteriorate
  - Environment may change unexpectedly
- Optimizing for efficiency
  - Energy, speed weight, actuators
- Unconventional, complex designs
  - New materials and actuators make it more challenging with conventional design approaches

Adaptation, optimization, exploration

DyRET:
Dynamic Robot for Embodied Testing

Adapting body and behavior through evolutionary learning





Evolutionary Algorithm (EA)



Simulation

- Evolution on a real robot is impractical
  - Time consuming
  - Requires supervision: can get stuck, fall over
  - Mechanical wear
- Simulation should help
  - Allows automated evaluation
  - Can be much faster
    - especially with parallel computation

Example: Quadratot



Quadratot: Hardware and model





3D printed parts
AX12/18 servos
Silicone rubber socks

NVIDIA PhysX
Revolute motor joints
Rigid bodies (boxes)



Quadratot: Parameterized control (mapping)

For each joint:

- Curve shape parameters (4)
- Phase
- Amplitude
- Center angle



Quadratot: Genetic algorithm (GA)

Genome length	314 bits
Population size	200
Number of generations	300
Mutation rate	1/314
Crossover rate	0.2



200 x 300 =
60 000 tests per evolutionary run!

Quadratot: Evolved gait





Challenge: Reality gap

- A simulator cannot capture all aspects of reality
- Evolved solutions may exploit features of the simulator not present in reality

→ The solutions evolved in simulation behave differently when applied to the real robot!

Quadratot: Reality gap





How to deal with the reality gap?

- Ideas?

How to deal with the reality gap

1. Increase simulation fidelity
   - Manually: do more precise measurements, increase solver accuracy
   - Automatically: measure deviation simulation-reality, auto-tune simulator for smaller deviation
2. Do not allow for solutions using badly simulated behaviour
   - Manually: E.g. Encourage slow, static movements, add noise
   - Automatically: Avoid solution types that transfer poorly
3. Online learning after deployment on real robot
   - Can use more evolution, reinforcement learning, or other method

3. Adaptation after transferral (VIDEO)

- Reality gap is «accepted»
- Adaptation algorithm is carried out on the real robot
- Needs to take into account lower number of tests and more noise





Evolving shape and control

- Physics simulation allows evolution of shape and control simultaneously
  - More efficient designs for complex problems?
  - New designs for new environments?
  - Allows for offloading computation to the body?







- Sims: http://youtu.be/JBgG_VSP7f8
- GOLEM: http://youtu.be/sLtXXFw_q8c
- Soft robot: http://youtu.be/z9ptOeByLA4

Example: «hox» body evolution

- Generative approach
  - A program builds the robot plan rather than all parameters directly coded
  - Allows a variety of bodies from a compact code
- Designed for production with 3D printer and commercial servos



Results: different bodies



Example MSc project: Karkinos

- Hybrid automatic / engineered design of robot shape and control





Example MSc project: Reality gap



(a) baseline, flat



(b) baseline, obstacles





(c) restricted, flat



(d) restricted, obstacles



Summary

- Evolutionary robotics can be useful for adaptation, optimization, design exploration
- Simulation is useful for evolutionary search
- The reality gap remains a research challenge
  - Simulator tuning, transferability, online adaptation
- Co-evolution of body and control gives new possibilities