

## CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

**REVIEWED BY:** *WhozDat*

**Team name:** Notorious PHP

**Team leader:** Jason Otter

**Team members:** Jason Otter, Jazmin Esqueda, Omar Ramirez

**Repository (print):** [https://github.com/ j-otterbox/349-group-project](https://github.com/j-otterbox/349-group-project)

### Scoring

#### Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

**Total 30**

**General comments:** Video a little choppy, but that is probably because of zoom sharing interference. Good explanation. Design looks nice. Front end for the most part looks clean. Includes a functioning login system

## CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

**REVIEWED BY:** *WhozDat*

**Team name:** BandBlog

**Team leader:** Brandon Papin

**Team members:** Brandon Papin, Kyle Ear, Karl Nguyen

**Repository (print):** [https://github.com/](https://github.com/bpapin19/BandBlog) bpapin19/BandBlog

### Scoring

#### Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

**Total 30**

**General comments:** Video a little choppy probably because of zoom interference. Presentation was nice. Included some quality of life utilities (such as updating post as opposed to straight up deleting and making a new one). Website design looks basic but is effective and straight to the point.

# CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

**REVIEWED BY:** *WhozDat*

**Team name:** Gamble

**Team leader:** Zakee Khattak

**Team members:** Zakee Khattak, Chukwudi Ikem, and Rene Ortiz

**Repository (print):** [https://github.com/ 349-team-5/349-project-1](https://github.com/349-team-5/349-project-1)

## Scoring

### Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 <u>3</u> 4 5
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

**Total** 27

**General comments:** Could use some more styling. Text is pretty plain as well as display design. Presentation was mostly spoken by team leader.

## CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

**REVIEWED BY:** *WhozDat*

**Team name:** Definitely Not Malware

**Team leader:** Tyler Goodman

**Team members:** Billie Thompson, Tyler Goodman, Waleed Ali

**Repository (print):** [https://github.com/](https://github.com/technicallyty/Self.Card) technicallyty/Self.Card

### Scoring

#### Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 <u>3</u> 4 5

**Total** 27

**General comments:** Presentation was okay. Could've reworded create end-point to like create url to make it more user friendly term, who are not computer scientists. I only heard two speakers in the presentation but I have three members listed in the documentation. Where is the third member?

# CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

**REVIEWED BY:** *WhozDat*

**Team name:** Foodgram

**Team leader:** Stephanie Nguyen

**Team members:** Brandon Chenze, David Haung, Loc Nguyen, Stephanie Nguyen

**Repository** (*print*): [https://github.com/](https://github.com/Roaster/Foodgram) Roaster/Foodgram

## Scoring

### Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

**Total** 30

**General comments:** Good presentation, looks like there was good organization. Nice design. Good explanation. Good initial hook into the project with the mini slides. Some html pages look rather plain (although that isn't necessarily a negative). Organized slides to include snippets of code, rather than looking for them on the spot which helped keep the presentation flowing nicely.

## CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

**REVIEWED BY:** *WhozDat*

**Team name:** CPSC A-Team

**Team leader:** Andrew Lam

**Team members:** Andrew Lam, Katherine Correa, Paul Paguio, Steven Pham

**Repository (print):** [https://github.com/](https://github.com/lamcode/ProjectSubmission) lamcode/ProjectSubmission

### Scoring

#### Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	<u>1</u> 2 3 4 5
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

**Total** 26

**General comments:** No documentation at the moment. Hopefully, they'll add it by Sunday. Besides that, great presentation and project. Very nice web page design. Primarily one speaker.

## CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

**REVIEWED BY:** *WhozDat*

**Team name:** Quickboard

**Team leader:** Antonio Lopez

**Team members:** Antonio Lopez

Javier Melendrez

Brianna Sharpe

Jose Alvarado

**Repository (print):** [https://github.com/](https://github.com/antonio-lopez/cpsc-349-project-one) antonio-lopez/cpsc-349-project-one

### Scoring

#### Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members) 1 2 3 4 5

Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application 1 2 3 4 5

Project scope and functionality 1 2 3 4 5

Code quality 1 2 3 4 5

Innovation and web design 1 2 3 4 5

Teamwork 1 2 3 4 5

**Total 30**

**General comments:** Nice styling. Very neat. Instead of refreshing, maybe have a button so users know how to find new people. Users may not know that refreshing changes the list. Majority of the website is straightforward to use. Good use of wrapping new rows to keep a consistent look / layout despite how many new lists are added.

## CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

REVIEWED BY: *WhozDat*

Team name: Team 4

Team leader: Aedan Valle

Team members: Aedan Valle

Brent Pfefferle

Adrian Lawson

Matthew Busch

Repository (print): [https://github.com/](https://github.com/aedanvalle/Group-Project-1---349) aedanvalle/Group-Project-1---349

### Scoring

#### Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	<u>1</u> 2 3 4 5
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

**Total 26**

**General comments:** Design looks very nice. Implementation is very nice. Would be nice to have more options like letting users select their own fonts and colors instead of premade templates. No documentation. Hopefully, they'll add it by Sunday.



## CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

**REVIEWED BY:** *WhozDat*

**Team name:** Food Battle

**Team leader:** Jessica Moreira

**Team members:** Yi Wei Lee, Wonyik Cho, Jessica Moreiera, Eric Chen

**Repository (print):** <https://github.com/16ericchen/349-Project1>

### Scoring

#### Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5
Teamwork	1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5

**Total** 27

**General comments:** Styling seems like it could be better. Second speaker was unsure of what to talk about in presentation at the start but got into code. Comment widget seems incomplete. Comments were outside the box and there is a lot of space/margin between the picture and comment widget. Also should probably not call it comment widget, but just comments or "Which food do you like better?" Could maybe implement choosing a list of food fight rather than just one randomized. Also maybe have an option to update/modify/view user's own food submission(s). But those are just some extra features that are not required from the project I'm guessing.