

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

REVIEWED BY: *WhozDat*

Team name: Notorious PHP

Team leader: Jason Otter

Team members: Jason Otter, Jazmin Esqueda, Omar Ramirez

Repository (print): [https://github.com/ j-otterbox/349-group-project](https://github.com/j-otterbox/349-group-project)

Scoring

Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

Total 30

General comments: Video a little choppy, but that is probably because of zoom sharing interference. Good explanation. Design looks nice.

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

REVIEWED BY: *WhozDat*

Team name: BandBlog

Team leader: Brandon Papin

Team members: Brandon Papin, Kyle Ear, Karl Nguyen

Repository (print): [https://github.com/](https://github.com/bpapin19/BandBlog) bpapin19/BandBlog

Scoring

Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

Total 30

General comments: Video a little choppy probably because of zoom interference. Presentation was nice.

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

REVIEWED BY: *WhozDat*

Team name: Gamble

Team leader: Zakee Khattak

Team members: Zakee Khattak, Chukwudi Ikem, and Rene Ortiz

Repository (print): [https://github.com/ 349-team-5/349-project-1](https://github.com/349-team-5/349-project-1)

Scoring

Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 <u>3</u> 4 5
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

Total 27

General comments: Could use some more styling. Text is pretty plain as well as display design. Presentation was mostly spoken by team leader.

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

REVIEWED BY: *WhozDat*

Team name: Definitely Not Malware

Team leader: Tyler Goodman

Team members: Billie Thompson, Tyler Goodman, Waleed Ali

Repository (print): [https://github.com/](https://github.com/technicallyty/Self.Card) technicallyty/Self.Card

Scoring

Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 <u>3</u> 4 5

Total 27

General comments: Presentation was okay. Could've reworded create end-point to like create url to make it more user friendly term, who are not computer scientists. I only heard two speakers in the presentation but I have three members listed in the documentation. Where is the third member?

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

REVIEWED BY: *WhozDat*

Team name: Foodgram

Team leader: Stephanie Nguyen

Team members: Brandon Chenze, David Haung, Loc Nguyen, Stephanie Nguyen

Repository (*print*): [https://github.com/](https://github.com/Roaster/Foodgram) Roaster/Foodgram

Scoring

Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

Total 30

General comments: Good presentation. Nice design. Good explanation.

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

REVIEWED BY: *WhozDat*

Team name: CPSC A-Team

Team leader: Andrew Lam

Team members: Andrew Lam, Katherine Correa, Paul Paguio, Steven Pham

Repository (print): [https://github.com/](https://github.com/lamcode/ProjectSubmission) lamcode/ProjectSubmission

Scoring

Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	<u>1</u> 2 3 4 5
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

Total 26

General comments: No documentation at the moment. Hopefully, they'll add it by Sunday. Besides that, great presentation and project.

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

REVIEWED BY: *WhozDat*

Team name: Quickboard

Team leader: Antonio Lopez

Team members: Antonio Lopez

Javier Melendrez

Brianna Sharpe

Jose Alvarado

Repository (print): [https://github.com/](https://github.com/antonio-lopez/cpsc-349-project-one) antonio-lopez/cpsc-349-project-one

Scoring

Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members) 1 2 3 4 5

Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application 1 2 3 4 5

Project scope and functionality 1 2 3 4 5

Code quality 1 2 3 4 5

Innovation and web design 1 2 3 4 5

Teamwork 1 2 3 4 5

Total 30

General comments: Nice styling. Very neat. Instead of refreshing, maybe have a button so users know how to find new people. Users may not know that refreshing changes the list.

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

REVIEWED BY: *WhozDat*

Team name: Team 4

Team leader: Aedan Valle

Team members: Aedan Valle

Brent Pfefferle

Adrian Lawson

Matthew Busch

Repository (print): [https://github.com/](https://github.com/aedanvalle/Group-Project-1---349) aedanvalle/Group-Project-1---349

Scoring

Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	<u>1</u> 2 3 4 5
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>

Total 26

General comments: Design looks very nice. Implementation is very nice. Would be nice to have more options like letting users select their own fonts and colors instead of premade templates. No documentation. Hopefully, they'll add it by Sunday.

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02)

REVIEWED BY: *WhozDat*

Team name: Food Battle

Team leader: Jessica Moreira

Team members: Yi Wei Lee, Wonyik Cho, Jessica Moreira, Eric Chen

Repository (print): <https://github.com/16ericchen/349-Project1>

Scoring

Factor Score

Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Code quality	1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5
Teamwork	1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5

Total 27

General comments: Styling seems like it could be better. Second speaker was unsure of what to talk about in presentation at the start but got into code. Comment widget seems incomplete. Comments were outside the box and there is a lot of space/margin between the picture and comment widget. Also should probably not call it comment widget, but just comments or "Which food do you like better?" Could maybe implement choosing a list of food fight rather than just one randomized. Also maybe have an option to update/modify/view user's own food submission(s). But those are just some extra features that are not required from the project I'm guessing.