CIS 511 Homework 6

Stephen Phillips, Dagaen Golomb

April 8, 2015

Problem 1

Let $TQBF_{CNF} = \{ \phi \mid \phi \text{ is a TQBF with the part after the quantifiers being in CNF} \}$. We show that $TQBF_{CNF}$ is PSPACE-Complete by reducing TQBF to it in polynomial time.

So we just need to show that any boolean formula can be converted to an equivalent CNF formula in polynomial time. We showed something similar to this in class in our reduction from SAT to 3SAT. Here we show this again.

Consider the formula like logical a gate network (unable to show diagram), with the operators acting as two input gates. We can attach intermediate variables to the wires of the gates to change the formula into CNF form. We can consider the network to only have AND, OR and NOT gates without loss of generality. We now find the formulas that convert the gates into an equivalent CNF form, with existance qualifiers:

• AND gate:

$$xy \iff \exists z: (z \implies (xy))(\bar{z} \implies \overline{(xy)})$$
 Equivalent definitions $\iff \exists z: (\bar{z}+(xy))(z+\bar{x}+\bar{y})$ DeMorgan's law and Definition of Implication $\iff \exists z: (\bar{z}+x)(\bar{z}+y)(z+\bar{x}+\bar{y})$ Distributive law

• OR gate:

$$\begin{array}{lll} x+y &\iff \exists z: (z\implies (x+y))(\bar{z}\implies \overline{(x+y)}) & \text{Equivalent definitions} \\ &\iff \exists z: (\bar{z}+x+y)(z+\bar{x}\bar{y}) & \text{DeMorgan's law and Definition of Implication} \\ &\iff \exists z: (\bar{z}+x+y)(z+\bar{x})(z+\bar{y}) & \text{Distributive law} \end{array}$$

• NOT gate:

$$\bar{x} \iff \exists z : (z \implies x)(\bar{z} \implies \bar{x})$$
 Equivalent definitions $\iff \exists z : (\bar{z} + x)(z + \bar{x})$ DeMorgan's law and Definition of Implication

Thus for each gate we add in at most 3 more variables. If there are n variables, and m gates, this means the new formula would be of size O(m+n) still. You can also think of this in terms of the original formula instead of the gates, There is a correspondence between gates and the + or \cdot operators, so it would still be the same factor of three blowup.

Problem 2

We are looking at the game of cat and mouse on a graph. The game is given an undirected graph G and nodes c and m, the starting nodes for the cat and mouse respectively, and a special 'hole' node h, the cat wants to get to the same position as the mouse, and the mouse wants to get to the whole before that happens. The language is:

 $HAPPY-CAT = \{\langle G,c,m,h\rangle \mid$ G,c,m,h form a game of cat and mouse and Cat has a winning strategy if it moves first}

Problem 3

We are considering $MIN - FORMULA = \{\phi \mid \phi \text{ has no equivalent formula smaller than it}\}$. We want to show that if P = NP, then $MIN - FORMULA \in P$.

Problem 4

We use the definition of MIN - FORMULA from above.

0.0.1 Part a

We want to show that $MIN - FORMULA \in PSPACE$

0.0.2 Part b

We will show that the following argument is wrong: $MIN - FORMULA \in coNP$ since if $\phi \notin MIN - FORMULA$ then ϕ has a smaller equivalent formula which a NTM can guess.

Problem 5

Show that the language of properly nested parenthesis and brackets is in L (e.g. ([()()]([])))

Problem 6

Show that $UCYCLE = \{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ is an undirected graph with a simple cycle} \}$ is in L.