

## Heuristic Analysis

In this workshop, we designed classes and functions to solve deterministically logistics planning problems for Air\_Cargo transport system using the search algorithms like those that we use for navigation in order to find out the optimal solution plans for each problem. Three problems are sorted in the project

- Problem 1 initial\_state and goal:

Init( $\text{At}(\text{C1}, \text{SFO}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C2}, \text{JFK})$ )

$\wedge \text{At}(\text{P1}, \text{SFO}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{P2}, \text{JFK})$

$\wedge \text{Cargo}(\text{C1}) \wedge \text{Cargo}(\text{C2})$

$\wedge \text{Plane}(\text{P1}) \wedge \text{Plane}(\text{P2})$

$\wedge \text{Airport}(\text{JFK}) \wedge \text{Airport}(\text{SFO}))$

Goal( $\text{At}(\text{C1}, \text{JFK}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C2}, \text{SFO}))$ )

- Problem 2 initial\_state and goal :

Init( $\text{At}(\text{C1}, \text{SFO}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C2}, \text{JFK}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C3}, \text{ATL})$ )

$\wedge \text{At}(\text{P1}, \text{SFO}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{P2}, \text{JFK}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{P3}, \text{ATL})$

$\wedge \text{Cargo}(\text{C1}) \wedge \text{Cargo}(\text{C2}) \wedge \text{Cargo}(\text{C3})$

$\wedge \text{Plane}(\text{P1}) \wedge \text{Plane}(\text{P2}) \wedge \text{Plane}(\text{P3})$

$\wedge \text{Airport}(\text{JFK}) \wedge \text{Airport}(\text{SFO}) \wedge \text{Airport}(\text{ATL}))$

Goal( $\text{At}(\text{C1}, \text{JFK}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C2}, \text{SFO}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C3}, \text{SFO}))$ )

- Problem 3 initial\_state and goal :

Init( $\text{At}(\text{C1}, \text{SFO}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C2}, \text{JFK}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C3}, \text{ATL}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C4}, \text{ORD})$ )

$\wedge \text{At}(\text{P1}, \text{SFO}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{P2}, \text{JFK})$

$\wedge \text{Cargo}(\text{C1}) \wedge \text{Cargo}(\text{C2}) \wedge \text{Cargo}(\text{C3}) \wedge \text{Cargo}(\text{C4})$

$\wedge \text{Plane}(\text{P1}) \wedge \text{Plane}(\text{P2})$

$\wedge \text{Airport}(\text{JFK}) \wedge \text{Airport}(\text{SFO}) \wedge \text{Airport}(\text{ATL}) \wedge \text{Airport}(\text{ORD}))$

Goal( $\text{At}(\text{C1}, \text{JFK}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C3}, \text{JFK}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C2}, \text{SFO}) \wedge \text{At}(\text{C4}, \text{SFO}))$ )

Many functions like: load\_actions (), unload\_action (), fly\_actions (), have been implemented especially in “my\_air\_cargo\_problems.py” file

With this 3-problem set, *Breadth First Search* and *Uniform Cost Search* are the only two uninformed search strategies that systematically yield an optimal action plan. For problems 2 and 3, the *Depth First Graph Search* plan lengths are so much longer than the optimal path length that it wouldn't make sense to use this search strategy. *Greedy Best First Graph Search* is the best alternative. In problems 1 and 2, it manages to find the optimal path.

## **CONCLUSION**

Among the non-heuristic search functions, it is important to mention that the most optimal search algorithms are: *breadth first search* and *uniform cost search*.

Nonetheless, *Depth first graph search* is faster, it doesn't give an optimal solution. Similarly, we can see that depth limited. Also *A\* search* is not optimal because many nodes are visited multiple times as it doesn't keep track of the visited paths/nodes.

The Planning problem is solved with *A\* search* using 2 automatic heuristics - Ignore Preconditions and Level-sum heuristics. It is very clear that though both give plan length of equal size, the Level Sum (planning graph) heuristic is better in terms of node expansions and goal tests.

The most optimal solution in terms of node expansions is found using *A\* search* with planning graph and Level Sum heuristic.