

POLYA'S ENUMERATION THEOREM

STEPHANIE WU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we examine some basic group theory and Burnside's Lemma. Burnside's Lemma leads to the broader Polya's Enumeration Theorem, which has interesting applications in coloring problems.

1. GROUP THEORY BASICS

Definition 1.1. [Jin] A **group** is a set G with an operation $*$ that satisfies associativity, identity, and inverses:

- Associativity: For any $a, b, c \in G$, $ab(c) = a(bc)$.
- Identity: There exists identity element e such that $eg = ge$.
- Inverses: For any $g \in G$, g^{-1} exists such that $gg^{-1} = e$.

Definition 1.2. Let G be a group and X be a set. A **group action** is a function $\phi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\phi(e, x) = x$ and $\phi(g, \phi(h, x)) = \phi(gh, x)$.

Definition 1.3. Let G be a group acting on X . The **orbit** of an element $x \in X$ is the set $G.x = \{gx | g \in G\}$. The orbits partition the set X . The set of orbits over X is denoted X/G . The **stabilizer** of $x \in X$ is $G_x = \{g \in G : gx = x\}$.

Definition 1.4. For an element $g \in G$, the **fixed point** of X is an element $x \in X$ such that $gx = x$, denoted by X^g .

Definition 1.5. Let G be a group and $H \leq G$ a subgroup, and let $g \in G$ be some element. Then the set $gH = \{gh : h \in H\}$ is the **left coset** of H , and Hg is a **right coset** of H .

2. BURNSIDE'S LEMMA

Given G is a group acting on set X , Burnside's Lemma states

$$|X/G| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |X^g|$$

Proof. [Zha] First we prove a related theorem:

Theorem 2.1. Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem Let G be a finite group of permutations on set X . The orbit-stabilizer theorem gives $|G| = |G_x| * |G.x|$.

Proof. For element $x \in X$, let $G.x$ be the orbit of x , and G_x be the stabilizer of x . Let L_x be the set of left cosets of G_x . The function f is defined $f : G.x \rightarrow L_x$.

We have that f is surjective by definition, because it is defined to be the function from $G.x$ to the left coset.


We also have $gG_x = g'G_x$, then for some $h \in G_x$, $g = g'h$. This means $gx = (g'h)x = g'(hx) = g'x$, which gives f is injective. Hence, we have the function f is a bijection, which proves Orbit-Stabilizer. ■

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X/G| &= \sum_{x \in X} \frac{1}{|G \cdot x|} \\ &= \sum_{x \in X} \frac{|G_x|}{|G|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in X} |G_x| \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |X_g|. \end{aligned}$$

■

Example of Burnside's Lemma: [Jin] Consider the different colorings of points on a square with 2 colors, up to rotation.



We define a group action G acting on X such that gx is the rotation of some configuration x by some transformation g . Hence, the orbits of this action represent distinct configurations up to rotation. The four transformations $g \in G$ are

- (1) *Rotate* 0° The number of fixed points X^g given g is a 0° rotation is the total amount of possible colors $= 2^4$.
- (2) *Rotate* 90° The only fixed points would be coloring all vertices the same color $= 2$.
- (3) *Rotate* 180° The two corresponding vertices of a pair must be the same color, so the number of fixed points is 2^2 .
- (4) *Rotate* 280° Similar to the 90° rotation so we have 2 colorings.

Hence, Burnside's Lemma gives the total number of distinct colorings to be

$$|X/G| = \frac{1}{4}(2^4 + 2 + 2^2 + 2) = 6.$$

3. POLYA'S ENUMERATION

Polya's Enumeration provides a generalization of Burnside's Lemma, but moreover it allow us to weight "colors". First, we introduce some necessary definitions:

Definition 3.1. Let p be a permutation on X . The **type** of p is the set $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ such that b_i is the number of cycles of length i in the cycle decomposition of p .

Definition 3.2. Let the colors $c \in C$ have positive integer weights $w(c)$. The **weight** of a coloring q is the sum of the weights of the colors used:

$$w(q) = \sum_{x \in X} w(q(x)).$$

Definition 3.3. Cycle index Let G be a permutation group. The cyclic index of the group is defined as

$$Z_G(t_1, t_2, \dots) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} t_1^{b_1} t_2^{b_2} \dots,$$

where t_i denotes the cycle length and b_i denotes the number of cycles of length i in the cycle decomposition of g .

Definition 3.4. We also have that the generating function for a set of colors of

$$f(t) = f_0 + f_1 t + f_2 t^2 + \dots,$$

where f_i is the number of colors with weight i .

Now that we've covered the prerequisite knowledge of Polya's Enumeration, note that there are two versions of the theorem: namely the unweighted and the weighted versions. We first state the unweighted:

Theorem 3.5. [ZF] Polya's Enumeration Theorem (Unweighted). Let X be a set with group action induced by a permutation group G on X . Let C be a set of colors on X , and let C^X be the set of functions $f : X \rightarrow C$. Then

$$|C^X/G| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |C|^{c(g)},$$

where $c(g)$ is the number of cycles of g on X .

Proof. This is equivalent to Burnside's Lemma because $|C|^{c(g)}$ is the number of points fixed by g . A point is "fixed" if all elements in the cycle has the same color, which is exactly what Burnside's Lemma states. ■

We now state the weighted version:

Theorem 3.6. [ZF] Polya's Enumeration Theorem (Weighted). The generating function of the number of colored arrangements by weight is given by

$$F(t) = Z_G(f(t), f(t^2) \dots).$$

Proof. It can be shown that

$$\sum_{\text{colorings fixed by } g} t^{w(g)} = \prod_i f(t^i)^{m_i(g)}.$$

We can then show that

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \prod_i f(t^i)^{m_i(g)} = F(t) = Z_G(f(t), f(t^2), \dots).$$

Applying Burnside's on the set of colorings of weight i and summing for all i gives us the desired result. ■

Now let's put everything together to see how cycle index, generating functions, and Polya's Enumeration Theorem work together:

Example. Count the number of graphs with 4 vertices.

We want the cycle index of S_4 , because the permutation group of the graph is S_4 . We count the different cycle lengths:

- (1) *6 edges of length 1* This is simply the permutation group $()$, giving us 1 possibility.
- (2) *2 edges of length 1, 2 cycles of length 2* We have 3 different cycles of the form $(1x)$, and $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ possibilities of the form $(1a)(bc)$, giving us 9 possibilities.
- (3) *2 cycles of length 3* There are $\binom{4}{3} = 4$ ways to choose the elements in the cycle, and 2 different cycles we can form from any 3 elements, giving us 8 possibilities.
- (4) *1 cycle of length 2, 1 cycle of length 4* If the cycle takes the form $(1abc)$, any permutation of the remaining 3 give us a new cycle, giving us $3! = 6$ possibilities.

Hence, we have the cycle index

$$Z_{S_4}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \frac{1}{24}(t_1^6 + 9t_1^2t_2^2 + 8t_3^2 + 6t_2t_4).$$

From Definition 3.4, we have the generating function of the graph is

$$f(t) = 1 + t$$

From Polya's Enumeration Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= Z_G(1 + t, 1 + t^2, 1 + t^3, 1 + t^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{24}((1 + t)^6 + 9(1 + t)^2(1 + t^2)^2 + 8(1 + t^3)^2 + 6(1 + t^2)(1 + t^4)) \\ &= t^6 + t^5 + 2t^4 + 3t^3 + 2t^2 + t + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have 1 graph with 6 edges, 1 graph with 5 edges, 2 graphs with 4 edges, 3 graphs with 3 edges, 2 graphs with 2 edges, 1 graph with 1 edge, and 1 graph with 0 edges.

REFERENCES

- [Jin] Jenny Jin. Analysis and applications of burnside's lemma. *MIT*.
- [ZF] Sebastian Zhu and Vincent Fan. Polya enumeration theorem. *MIT*.
- [Zha] Alec Zhang. Polya's enumeration. *University of Chicago*.