
Analysis I

Theorems & Lemmas

Stephan Oseghale

Contents

1 Functions	1
2 The Real Numbers	3
2.1 Groups, Rings, Fields	3
2.2 Order Relation	5
2.3 Ordered Fields	6
2.4 Completeness Axiom	8
2.5 Intervals	9
2.6 Complex Numbers	10
2.7 Maximum and Supremum	13
2.7.1 Existence of the Supremum	13
2.8 Two-Point Compactification	15
2.9 Consequences of Completeness	16
2.9.1 Archimedean Principle	16
2.9.2 Uncountability	19
3 Sequences of Real Numbers	20
3.1 Convergence of Sequences	20
3.2 Convergent Subsequences and Accumulation Points	20
3.3 Addition, Multiplication and Inequalities	22
3.4 Superior and Inferior Limits	24
3.5 Cauchy Sequences	26
3.6 Improper Limits	27
3.7 Sequences of Complex Numbers	28
4 Functions of one Real Variable	28
4.1 Real valued functions	29
4.1.1 Boundedness and Monotonicity	29
4.1.2 Continuity	30
4.1.3 Sequential Continuity	32
4.2 Continuous Functions	33
4.2.1 Intermediate Value Theorem	33

1 Functions

Definition 1.1: Functions/Maps/Transformations

A **function** f from a set X to a set Y is an assignment of an element of Y to each element of X . The element $y \in Y$ to which $x \in X$ is assigned to is denoted $f(x)$. We write $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and sometimes also speak of a **map**, **mapping** or a **transformation**. The set X is the **domain** and the set Y is the **codomain**. We refer to the set X as **domain** or **domain of definition**, and the set Y as **domain of values** or **codomain**. The set

$$\{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in X\} \subseteq X \times Y$$

is called the **graph** of f . In the context of a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, an element of the domain of definition is also called **argument**, and an element $y = f(x) \in Y$ assumed by the function, is also called **value** of the function. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a function, one also writes

$$\begin{aligned} f : X &\rightarrow Y \\ x &\mapsto f(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $f(X)$ could be a concrete formula. We pronounce ' \mapsto ' as 'is mapped to'. Two functions $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ are said to be equal if $X_1 = X_2$, $Y_1 = Y_2$ and $f_1(x) = f_2(x) \quad \forall x \in X_1$.

Definition 1.2: Injective, Surjective and Bijective Functions

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. We call f :

1. **injective** (or an **injection**) if

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in X : x_1 \neq x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1) \neq f(x_2);$$

2. **surjective** (or a **sujection**) if

$$\forall y \in Y \exists x \in X : f(x) = y;$$

3. **bijective** (or a **bijection**) if f is both injective and surjective.

Thus, a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *not* injective if there exists distinct $x_1 \neq x_2 \in X$ such that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, and *not* surjective if there exists $y \in Y$ such that $f(x) \neq y$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1.3: Image and Preimage of a Function

For $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $A \subseteq X$, define the **image** of A under the function f as

$$f(A) := \{y \in Y \mid \exists x \in X : f(x) = y\}.$$

For $B \subseteq Y$, define the **preimage** of B under the function f as

$$f^{-1}(B) := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}.$$

Remark 1.4. Saying that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is surjective is equivalent to $f(X) = Y$. Equivalently, f is surjective if $f^{-1}(\{y\}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $y \in Y$.

Definition 1.5: Composition of Functions

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$. The **composition** is $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$, defined by $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$.

Associativity: If $f : W \rightarrow X$, $g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $h : Y \rightarrow Z$, then

$$h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f.$$

Indeed, for all $w \in W$, we have

$$h \circ (g \circ f)(w) = h((g \circ f)(w)) = h(g(f(w))) = (h \circ g)(f(w)) = ((h \circ g) \circ f)(w).$$

Therefore, we may omit parentheses and write $h \circ g \circ f : W \rightarrow Z$.

Definition 1.6: Identity and Inverse Function

Given a set X , the **identity function** $\text{id}_X : X \rightarrow X$ is defined by

$$\text{id}_X(x) = x \quad \forall x \in X.$$

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is bijective, then there exists a unique function $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that, for each $y \in Y$, the value $g(y)$ is the unique element $x \in X$ satisfying $f(x) = y$. With this definition,

$$g \circ f = \text{id}_X \quad \text{and} \quad f \circ g = \text{id}_Y.$$

The function g is called the **inverse function** (or **inverse mapping**) of f , and is denoted by f^{-1} .

Remark 1.7. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is bijective if and only if there exists a function $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $g \circ f = \text{id}_X$ and $f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$.

2 The Real Numbers

2.1 Groups, Rings, Fields

Definition 2.1: Groups

A **group** is a non-empty set G together with a rule (called an *operation*) denoted by $\star : G \times G \rightarrow G$ that combines any two elements of G into another element of G . This operation must satisfy three conditions:

- **Associativity:** No matter how you place parentheses, the result is the same for all $a, b, c \in G$,

$$(a \star b) \star c = a \star (b \star c).$$

- **Neutral element:** There is a special element $e \in G$ such that combining it with any $a \in G$ leaves a unchanged, i.e.,

$$\forall a \in G : a \star e = e \star a = a.$$

- **Inverse element:** Every $a \in G$ has a 'partner' $a^{-1} \in G$ that 'cancels it out', giving the neutral element, i.e.,

$$a \star a^{-1} = a^{-1} \star a = e.$$

Note that, in general, one does not require that $a \star b = b \star a$. If the order of the operation does not matter, i.e., $a \star b = b \star a$ for all $a, b \in G$, the group is called **commutative** or **abelian**.

Lemma 2.2: Basic Properties of Groups

Let (G, \star) be a group. Then:

1. The neutral element is unique.
2. The inverse of an element is unique.
3. The inverse of the inverse of an element is the element itself, namely $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$ for all $a \in G$.

Proof. 1. Assume that, in addition to $e \in G$, we have a second element e' with the property that $e' \star a = a \star e' = a$ for all elements $a \in G$. Then, we can choose $a = e$ to obtain

$$e \star e' = e.$$

Similarly, since e is a neutral element, we have

$$e \star e' = e'.$$

Combining the two identities, we get

$$e = e \star e' = e'.$$

This proves that $e' = e$, so we speak of *the* neutral element of a group.

2. Assume that for an element $a \in G$, there exists two elements $b, c \in G$ that are both the inverse

of a , namely

$$a \star b = b \star a = e, \quad a \star c = c \star a = e.$$

Then, using associativity, we observe that

$$b = b \star e = b \star (a \star c) = (b \star a) \star c = e \star c = c.$$

This proves that the inverse of an element a is unique, so we can speak of *the* inverse element, and the notation a^{-1} makes sense.

3. Since $a \star a^{-1} = e$, we deduce that a is the inverse element of a^{-1} , thus

$$(a^{-1})^{-1} = a. \quad (2.1)$$

□

Groups capture the idea of combining elements with a single operation. But to describe the arithmetic of numbers more faithfully, we also need a second operation (as we do with addition and multiplication). This leads us to the notion of *rings* and *fields*.

Definition 2.3: Rings and Fields

A **ring** is a non-empty set R in which we can both 'add' and 'multiply' elements with two operations ' $+$ ' and ' \cdot '. Also, these two operations are compatible with each other. More precisely:

- $(R, +)$ is a **commutative group**, with neutral element denoted 0.
- Multiplication \cdot is **associative**, has a **neutral element** (usually written as 1), and **distributes over addition**, i.e.,

$$a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c, \quad (b + c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a \quad \forall a, b, c \in R.$$

If multiplication is also commutative, we call $(R, +, \cdot)$ a **commutative ring**. Note that, unlike addition, we do not require that every element has an inverse for multiplication. A **field** is a special kind of commutative ring, i.e. every non-zero element has an inverse for multiplication. In other words, if $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring, then $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a field if $R \setminus \{0\}$ forms a commutative group under multiplication. Traditionally, we use the letter F to denote a field. We also write $F^* = F \setminus \{0\}$ for the set of all invertible elements of F .

Lemma 2.4: Basic Properties of Fields

Let $(F, +, \cdot)$ be a field and let $a, b \in F$. Then:

1. $0 \cdot a = a \cdot 0 = 0$.
2. $a \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot b) = (-a) \cdot b$. In particular $(-1) \cdot a = -a$.
3. $(-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b$. In particular, $(-a)^{-1} = -(a^{-1})$ whenever $a \neq 0$.

Proof. 1. Since 0 is the neutral element for the addition, we have $0+0=0$. Hence, using distributivity, we get

$$0 \cdot a = (0+0) \cdot a = (0 \cdot a) + (0 \cdot a).$$

Adding $-0 \cdot a$ (i.e., the inverse of $0 \cdot a$), we deduce that $0 \cdot a = 0$. The case of $a \cdot 0$ is analogous.

2. By the distributive law,

$$a \cdot b + a(-b) = a \cdot (b + (-b)) = a \cdot 0 = 0.$$

So $a \cdot (-b)$ is the additive inverse of $a \cdot b$, i.e., $-(a \cdot b) = a \cdot (-b)$. Taking $b = 1$ gives $-a = (-1) \cdot a$. The validity of $(-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$ follows by exchanging a and b in the argument above.

3. By 2. we know that $-(a \cdot b) = a \cdot (-b)$. Hence, recalling Equation 2.1,

$$a \cdot b = -(a \cdot (-b)).$$

On the other hand, applying 2. with $(-b)$ instead of b , we also have

$$-(a \cdot (-b)) = (-a) \cdot (-b).$$

Combining the two identities above, we conclude that $(-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b$. Finally, taking $b = a^{-1}$ yields $(-a) \cdot ((-a)^{-1}) = a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$, which gives the second assertion. \square

2.2 Order Relation

Definition 2.5: Cartesian Product

Let X and Y be two sets. The **cartesian product** $X \times Y$ is the set of ordered pairs of elements of X and Y , i.e.,

$$X \times Y := \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

Definition 2.6: Subsets

Let P and Q be sets. Then

- P is a **subset** of Q , written $P \subset Q$ (or $P \subseteq Q$), if every element of P also belongs to Q .
- P is a **proper subset** of Q , written $P \subsetneq Q$, if P is a subset of Q but $P \neq Q$.
- We write $P \not\subseteq Q$ if P is not a subset of Q .

Definition 2.7: Relations

Let X be a set. A **relation** on X is a subset $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times X$, that is, a collection of ordered pairs of elements of X . If $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ we write $x \mathcal{R} y$. Common symbols for relations include $<$, \leq , \sim , \equiv , \cong . If \sim is a relation on X , we write $x \nsim y$ if $x \sim y$ does not hold. A relation \sim may have the following properties:

1. **Reflexive:** if $x \sim x \quad \forall x \in X$.
2. **Transitive:** if $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$, then $x \sim z$.
3. **Symmetric:** if $x \sim y$, then $y \sim x$.
4. **Antisymmetric:** if $x \sim y$ and $y \sim x$, then $x = y$.

A relation is an **equivalence relation** if it is reflexive, transitive and symmetric. It is an **order relation** if it is reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric.

2.3 Ordered Fields

Definition 2.8: Ordered Field

Let F be a field, and let \leq be an order relation on F . We call (F, \leq) , or simply F , an **ordered field** if the following hold:

1. **Linearity of order:** for all $x, y \in F$, at least one of $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$ holds.
2. **Compatibility with addition:** for all $x, y, z \in F$,

$$x \leq y \Rightarrow x + z \leq y + z.$$

3. **Compatibility with multiplication:** for all $x, y \in F$,

$$0 \leq x \wedge 0 \leq y \Rightarrow 0 \leq x \cdot y.$$

Lemma 2.9: Ordered Field: Basic Consequences

Let (F, \leq) be an ordered field, and let $x, y, z, w \in F$. Then:

- (a) (*Trichotomy*) Either $x < y$, or $x = y$, or $x > y$.
- (b) If $x < y$ and $y \leq z$, then $x < z$. (Analogously, $x \leq y$ and $y < z$ imply $x < z$.)
- (c) (*Addition of inequalities*) If $x \leq y$ and $z \leq w$, then $x + z \leq y + w$. (Analogously, $x < z$ and $z \leq w$ imply $x + z < y + w$.)
- (d) $x \leq y$ if and only if $0 \leq y - x$.
- (e) $x \leq 0$ if and only if $0 \leq -x$.
- (f) $x^2 \geq 0$, and $x^2 > 0$ if $x \neq 0$.
- (g) $0 < 1$.
- (h) If $0 \leq x$ and $y \leq z$, then $xy \leq xz$.
- (i) If $x \leq 0$ and $y \leq z$, then $xy \geq xz$.
- (j) If $0 < x \leq y$, then $0 < y^{-1} \leq x^{-1}$.
- (k) If $0 \leq x \leq y$ and $0 \leq z \leq w$, then $0 \leq xz \leq yw$.
- (l) If $x + y \leq x + z$, then $y \leq z$.
- (m) If $xy \leq xz$ and $x > 0$, then $y \leq z$.

Lemma 2.10: Integers and Rationals Inside an Ordered Field

Let (F, \leq) be an ordered field, and denote by 0 and 1 the neutral elements for addition and multiplication, respectively. Then:

- (i) The elements $\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$ defined by

$$2 = 1 + 1, \quad 3 = 2 + 1, \dots, \quad -n = (-1) \cdot n$$

are all distinct and satisfy

$$\dots < -2 < -1 < 0 < 1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$$

We denote this set of elements by \mathbb{Z} , and we call them 'integers'

- (ii) Every fraction pq^{-1} with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \neq 0$, lies in F and the set of all such elements is denoted by \mathbb{Q} . Also,

$$\mathbb{Z} \subsetneq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq F.$$

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.9(g), we have that $0 < 1$. Then Lemma 2.9(c) yields $0 < 1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$, and taking negatives gives $\dots < -2 < -1 < 0$. Hence all these elements are distinct.

(ii) For $q \neq 0$, q is invertible in F ; define $\frac{p}{q} = pq^{-1}$. The set of such fractions is a field contained in F , which we denote by \mathbb{Q} .

To show that \mathbb{Q} strictly contains \mathbb{Z} , consider $\frac{1}{2}$ (the inverse of 2). Since $2 > 1$, it follows from Lemma 2.9(j) that $0 < \frac{1}{2} < 1$, so $\frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Definition 2.11: Absolute Value and Sign

Let (F, \leq) be an ordered field.

- The **absolute value** (or **modulus**) is the function $|\cdot| : F \rightarrow F$ defined by

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x, & x \geq 0, \\ -x, & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

- The **sign** is the function $\text{sgn} : F \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ defined by

$$\text{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & x < 0, \\ 0, & x = 0, \\ 1, & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.12: Absolute Value and Sign: Basic Properties

Let (F, \leq) be an ordered field and let $x, y \in F$. Then:

- (a) $x = \operatorname{sgn}(x)|x|$, $|-x| = |x|$, $\operatorname{sgn}(-x) = -\operatorname{sgn}(x)$.
- (b) $|x| \geq 0$, and $|x| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$ (by Trichotomy Lemma ??).
- (c) (Multiplicativity) $\operatorname{sgn}(xy) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)\operatorname{sgn}(y)$ and $|xy| = |x||y|$.
- (d) If $x \neq 0$, then $|x^{-1}| = |x|^{-1}$.
- (e) $|x| \leq y$ iff $-y \leq x \leq y$.
- (f) $|x| < y$ iff $-y < x < y$.
- (g) (Triangle inequality) $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$.
- (h) (Inverse triangle inequality) $||x| - |y|| \leq |x - y|$.

Proof. (g) Thanks to (e) we have $-|x| \leq x \leq |x|$ and $-|y| \leq y \leq |y|$. Adding these two inequalities we get

$$-(|x| + |y|) \leq x + y \leq |x| + |y|.$$

Applying (e) again yields the result.

- (h) From (g) we have $|x| \leq |x - y| + |y|$, therefore

$$|x| - |y| \leq |x - y|.$$

Exchanging the roles of x and y , we also have $|y| - |x| \leq |y - x| = |x - y|$. Combining these two inequalities yields

$$-|x - y| \leq |x| - |y| \leq |x - y|,$$

and the result follows by applying (e) again. □

2.4 Completeness Axiom

Definition 2.13: Completeness Axiom

Let (K, \leq) be an ordered field. We say that (K, \leq) is **complete** (or a **completely ordered field**) if the following statement holds:

Let X, Y be non-empty subsets of K such that $x \leq y$ for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Then there exists $c \in K$ lying between X and Y , in the sense that $x \leq c \leq y$ for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

The statement above is called the **completeness axiom**.

Definition 2.14: Real Numbers

We call the **field of real numbers**, any completely ordered field and denote it by \mathbb{R} .

2.5 Intervals

Definition 2.15: Intervals

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. We define:

- The **closed interval**

$$[a, b] := \{x \in R \mid a \leq x \leq b\};$$

- The **open interval**

$$(a, b) := \{x \in R \mid a < x < b\};$$

- The **half-open intervals**

$$[a, b) := \{x \in R \mid a \leq x < b\} \quad \text{and} \quad (a, b] := \{x \in R \mid a < x \leq b\};$$

- The **unbounded closed intervals**

$$[a, \infty) := \{x \in R \mid a \leq x\} \quad \text{and} \quad (-\infty, b] := \{x \in R \mid x \leq b\};$$

- The **unbounded open intervals**

$$(a, \infty) := \{x \in R \mid a < x\} \quad \text{and} \quad (-\infty, b) := \{x \in R \mid x < b\};$$

Definition 2.16: Set Operations

Let P, Q be sets. The **intersection** $P \cap Q$, the **union** $P \cup Q$, the **relative complement** $P \setminus Q$ and the **symmetric difference** $P \Delta Q$ are defined by

$$P \cap Q = \{x \mid x \in P \text{ and } x \in Q\},$$

$$P \cup Q = \{x \mid x \in P \text{ or } x \in Q\},$$

$$P \setminus Q = \{x \mid x \in P \text{ and } x \notin Q\},$$

$$P \Delta Q = (P \setminus Q) \cup (Q \setminus P) = (P \cup Q) \setminus (P \cap Q).$$

Definition 2.17: Union and Intersection of several Sets

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of sets (i.e., a set whose elements are sets). We define the **union** and **intersection** of the sets in \mathcal{A} as

$$\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A = \{x \mid \exists A \in \mathcal{A} : x \in A\}, \quad \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A = \{x \mid \forall A \in \mathcal{A} : x \in A\}.$$

If $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$, we also write

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \{x \mid \exists i \geq 1 : x \in A_i\}, \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \{x \mid \forall i \geq 1 : x \in A_i\}.$$

Definition 2.18: Neighborhoods

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. A **neighborhood** of x is a set containing an interval I such that $x \in I$. Given $\delta > 0$, the open interval $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ is called the δ -**neighborhood** of x .

Definition 2.19: Open and Closed Sets

A subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called **open** in \mathbb{R} if for every $x \in U$ there exists open interval I such that $x \in I$ and $I \subseteq U$. A subset $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called **closed** in \mathbb{R} if its complement $\mathbb{R} \setminus F$ is open.

Remark 2.20. The sets \emptyset and \mathbb{R} are both open in \mathbb{R} . Hence, they are also closed since $\emptyset^c = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^c = \emptyset$. We note that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are neither open nor closed.

Remark 2.21. Let \mathcal{U} be a family of open sets, and \mathcal{F} be a family of closed subsets of \mathbb{R} . Then the union and intersection

$$\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U, \quad \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$$

Are open and closed, respectively.

2.6 Complex Numbers

Starting from the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} , we define the set of **complex numbers** as

$$\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2 = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

We denote the elements $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{C}$ in the form $z = x + iy$, where i is the **imaginary unit**. Here $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the **real part** of z , written as $x = \operatorname{Re}(z)$, and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ is the **imaginary part**, written as $y = \operatorname{Im}(z)$. Elements with $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 0$ are called **real**, while those with $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 0$ are **purely imaginary**. Via the injective map $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto x + i \cdot 0 \in \mathbb{C}$, we identify \mathbb{R} with the subset of real numbers inside \mathbb{C} .

As you may expect from previous knowledge, we want to satisfy $i^2 = -1$. To achieve this, we define addition and multiplication on \mathbb{C} so that it becomes a field. Additionally, we want these operations to coincide with the usual addition and multiplication when considering real numbers.

Since $i^2 = -1$, using commutativity and distributivity we get

$$(x_1 + iy_1)(x_2 + iy_2) = x_1x_2 + ix_1y_2 + iy_2x_1 + i^2y_1y_2 = (x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) + i(x_1y_2 + y_1x_2).$$

This motivates the following definition

Definition 2.22: Addition and Multiplication on \mathbb{C}

On $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ we define **addition** and **multiplication** as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) &= (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2), \\ (x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) &= (x_1x_2 - y_1y_2, x_1y_2 + x_2y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.23: \mathbb{C} is a Field

With the operation of Definition 2.22, together with the zero element $(0, 0)$ and the unit element $(1, 0)$, the set \mathbb{C} is a field.

Definition 2.24: Complex Conjugation

For $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ we define its **conjugate** as $\bar{z} = x - iy$. The mapping $\mathbb{C} \ni z \mapsto \bar{z} \in \mathbb{C}$ is called **complex conjugation**.

Lemma 2.25: Properties of Complex Conjugation

For all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$(i) \ z\bar{z} = x^2 + y^2 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}. \text{ In particular, } z\bar{z} = 0 \text{ if and only if } z = 0.$$

$$(ii) \ \overline{z+w} = \bar{z} + \bar{w}.$$

$$(iii) \ \overline{zw} = \bar{z}\bar{w}.$$

Proof. Property (i) follows from the fact that, for $z = x + iy$, $(x + iy)(x - iy) = x^2 + y^2$. Also, $x^2 + y^2 = 0$ if and only if $x + iy = 0$. Properties (ii) and (iii) follow from a direct computation, writing $z = x_1 + iy_1$ and $w = x_2 + iy_2$, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{z+w} &= \overline{(x_1 + x_2) + i(y_1 + y_2)} = (x_1 + x_2) - i(y_1 + y_2) = (x_1 - iy_1) + (x_2 - iy_2) = \bar{z} + \bar{w}, \\ \overline{z \cdot w} &= \overline{(x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) + i(x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)} = (x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) - i(x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1) \\ &= (x_1 - iy_1) \cdot (x_2 - iy_2) = \bar{z} \cdot \bar{w}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Definition 2.26: Absolute Value

The **absolute value** (or **norm**) on \mathbb{C} is the map $|\cdot| : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$|z| = \sqrt{z\bar{z}} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \quad z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Lemma 2.27: Cauchy-Schwart Inequality

If $z = x_1 + iy_1$, and $w = x_2 + iy_2$, then

$$x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 \leq |z||w|. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |z|^2 |w|^2 - (x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2)^2 &= (x_1^2 + y_1^2)(x_2^2 + y_2^2) - (x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2)^2 \\ &= x_1^2 x_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 + y_1^2 x_2^2 + x_1^2 y_2^2 - (x_1^2 x_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 + 2x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2) \\ &= y_1^2 x_2^2 + x_1^2 y_2^2 - 2x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2 \\ &= (y_1 x_2 - x_1 y_2)^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $(x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2)^2 \leq |z|^2 |w|^2$, so it follows that

$$|x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2| \leq |z||w|.$$

Since $x \leq |x|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain Equation 2.2. \square

Proposition 2.28: Triangle Inequality

For all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, one has

$$|z + w| \leq |z| + |w|.$$

Proof. For $z = x_1 + iy_1$ and $w = x_2 + iy_2$, using Lemma 2.27, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z + w|^2 &= (x_1 + x_2)^2 + (y_1 + y_2)^2 \\ &= |z|^2 + |w|^2 + 2(x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) \\ &\leq |z|^2 + |w|^2 + 2|z||w| = (|z| + |w|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking square roots proves the result. \square

Definition 2.29: Circular Disks

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$, we define the **open disk** with radius $r > 0$ around z as

$$B(z, r) := \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - w| < r\},$$

and the **closed disk** with radius $r > 0$ around z as

$$\overline{B(z, r)} := \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - w| \leq r\}.$$

In other words, the open disk $B(z, r)$ is the set of points at distance strictly less than r from z . We note that this definition is compatible with the one of neighborhoods in \mathbb{R} : if $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r > 0$, then

$$B(x, r) \cap \mathbb{R} = (x - r, x + r).$$

Definition 2.30: Open and Closed Sets

A set $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is **open** if for every $z \in U$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $B(z, r) \subseteq U$. A set $C \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is **closed** if its complement $\mathbb{C} \setminus C$ is open.

2.7 Maximum and Supremum

2.7.1 Existence of the Supremum

Definition 2.31: Bounded Sets, Maxima and Minima

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a subset of real numbers.

- X is **bounded from above** if there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \leq s$ for all $x \in X$. Such a number s is called an **upper bound** of X . If s is an upper bound and also an element of X , we say that s is the **maximum** of X and write

$$s = \max(X).$$

- Analogously, X is **bounded from below** if there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r \leq x$ for all $x \in X$. Such a number r is called a **lower bound** of X . If r is a lower bound and also an element of X , we say that r is the **minimum** of X and write

$$r = \min(X).$$

- X is called **bounded** if it is both bounded from above and bounded from below.

Remark 2.32. If a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ has a maximum, then it is unique. Indeed, if $x_1, x_2 \in X$ are both maxima, then $x_1 \leq x_2$ (since x_2 is a maximum) and $x_2 \leq x_1$ (since x_1 is a maximum), so $x_1 = x_2$.

A closed interval $[a, b]$ with $a < b$ has both a minimum and maximum, i.e., $a = \min([a, b])$ and $b = \max([a, b])$. But not all sets have a maximum. For instance, the open interval (a, b) does not have a maximum because the endpoint b , though an upper bound, is not contained in the set. Similarly \mathbb{R} and unbounded intervals such as $[a, \infty)$ or (a, ∞) have no maximum.

Definition 2.33: Supremum

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a subset and let

$$A := \{a \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \leq a \quad \forall x \in X\}$$

be the set of all upper bounds of X . If A has a minimum, we call this minimum the **supremum** of X and write

$$\sup(X) = \min(A).$$

The **infimum** is defined analogously using the maximum of the set of all lower bounds.

In other words, the supremum of X is the smallest real number that is greater than or equal to every element of X . Note that we can describe the supremum $s = \sup(X)$ as follows

$$x \leq s \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{if } t < s, \text{ the } t \text{ is not an upper bound of } X. \quad (2.3)$$

This means that for every $t < s$, there exists some $x \in X$ such that $x > t$. That is,

$$x \leq s \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \text{and} \quad \forall t < s \exists x \in X : x > t. \quad (2.4)$$

The two characterizations 2.3 and 2.4 are equivalent.

Note that not every set has a supremum. If $X = \emptyset$ or if X is unbounded from above, then $\sup(X)$ does not exist. However, for any non-empty and bounded-above subset of \mathbb{R} , the supremum always exists.

Remark 2.34. *If a set X has a maximum, then this element is also the supremum. Indeed, the maximum is an upper bound of X , and since it lies in X , no smaller upper bound can exist.*

Theorem 2.35: Existence of Supremum

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be non-empty and bounded from above. Then $\sup(X)$ exists and is a real number.

Proof. Since X is bounded from above, the set $A := \{a \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \leq a \quad \forall x \in X\}$ of upper bounds is non-empty. Since $x \leq a$ for any $x \in X$ and $a \in A$, we can apply the completeness axiom (Definition 2.13) to find $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x \leq c \leq a \quad \forall x \in X, \forall a \in A.$$

The first inequality implies that c is itself an upper bound (so $c \in A$), while the second inequality tells us that c is smaller than or equal to every upper bound. Hence, $c = \min(A) = \sup(X)$. \square

Proposition 2.36: Supremum and Set Operations

Let X and Y be non-empty subsets of \mathbb{R} that are bounded from above. Define

$$X + Y := \{x + y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\} \quad \text{and} \quad X \cdot Y := \{x \cdot y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

The sets $X \cup Y$, $X \cap Y$, and $X + Y$ are also bounded from above. Moreover, if $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ (i.e., $x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$), then $X \cdot Y$ is bounded from above as well. In these cases, the following formulas hold:

- (1) $\sup(X \cup Y) = \max\{\sup(X), \sup(Y)\}$,
- (2) *If $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, then $\sup(X \cap Y) \leq \min\{\sup(X), \sup(Y)\}$,*
- (3) $\sup(X + Y) = \sup(X) + \sup(Y)$,
- (4) *If $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, then $\sup(X \cdot Y) = \sup(X) \cdot \sup(Y)$.*

Proof. (3) Let $x_0 = \sup(X)$ and $y_0 = \sup(Y)$. For any $z \in X + Y$, there exists $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ such that $z = x + y$. Since $x \leq x_0$ and $y \leq y_0$, we have

$$z = x + y \leq x_0 + y_0,$$

so $x_0 + y_0$ is an upper bound for $X + Y$. We now want to show that $x_0 + y_0 = \sup(X + Y)$.

Let $z_0 = \sup(X + Y)$ and suppose, by contradiction, that

$$\varepsilon := x_0 + y_0 - z_0 > 0.$$

Since $x_0 = \sup(X)$, by the characterization 2.4 there exists $x \in X$ such that $x > x_0 - \varepsilon/2$. Likewise, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $y > y_0 - \varepsilon/2$. Setting $z = x + y$, we obtain

$$z > x_0 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + y_0 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = x_0 + y_0 - \varepsilon = z_0,$$

contradicting the assumption that z_0 is an upper bound for $X + Y$. Therefore, $z_0 = x_0 + y_0$.

(4) The proof is analogous. If all elements of X and Y are non-negative, and we set $x_0 = \sup(X)$ and $y_0 = \sup(Y)$, then for any $z = x \cdot y \in X \cdot Y$, we have

$$z = x \cdot y \leq x_0 \cdot y_0,$$

which shows that $x_0 \cdot y_0$ is an upper bound for $X \cdot Y$. Using a similar ' ε -argument' as done above, when proving (3), one shows that this upper bound is sharp, i.e., $x_0 \cdot y_0$ is the least upper bound. \square

2.8 Two-Point Compactification

In this section, we extend the notions of **supremum** and **infimum** to arbitrary subsets of \mathbb{R} . To do so, we introduce two formal symbols

$$+\infty \quad \text{and} \quad -\infty,$$

which are not real numbers. We define the **extended real numbers line** (also called the **two-point compactification** of \mathbb{R}) by

$$\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}.$$

We extend the usual order relation \leq on \mathbb{R} to $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ by requiring that

$$-\infty < x < +\infty \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For simplicity, we often write ∞ instead of $+\infty$.

We now introduce some standard (but informal) computation rules involving these symbols. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we adopt the conventions:

$$\infty + x = \infty + \infty = \infty, \quad -\infty + x = -\infty - \infty = -\infty.$$

If $x > 0$, then

$$x \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot \infty = \infty, \quad x \cdot (-\infty) = \infty \cdot (-\infty) = -\infty,$$

while for $x < 0$ we have

$$x \cdot \infty = -\infty \cdot \infty = -\infty, \quad x \cdot (-\infty) = -\infty \cdot (-\infty) = \infty.$$

These rules are widely used as notational shorthand, but one must handle them with care. Expressions like

$$\infty - \infty, \quad 0 \cdot \infty, \quad \text{or similar}$$

are undefined and should be avoided.

Definition 2.37: Supremum and Infimum in the Extended Line

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

- If X is not bounded from above, we define $\sup(X) = \infty$.
- If $X = \emptyset$, we define $\sup(\emptyset) = -\infty$.
- If X is not bounded from below, we define $\inf(X) = -\infty$.
- If $X = \emptyset$, we define $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$.

In this context, we refer to ∞ and $-\infty$ as **indefinite values**.

In other words:

- Saying $\sup(X) = \infty$ means that X is not bounded from above, i.e.,

$$\forall x_0 \in X \exists x \in X : x > x_0.$$

- Saying $\sup(X) = -\infty$ means that X is empty.
- Similarly, $\inf(X) = -\infty$ means that X is not bounded from below, and $\inf(X) = \infty$ means X is empty.

2.9 Consequences of Completeness

2.9.1 Archimedean Principle

The archimedean principle states that for every real number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists an integer n greater than x . The following theorem, proved using the existence of suprema (and implicitly the completeness axiom), gives a precise formulation of this principle.

Theorem 2.38: Archimedean Principle

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists exactly one $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$n \leq x < n + 1.$$

Proof. We first treat the case $x \geq 0$. Fix $\mathbb{R} \ni x \geq 0$ and define

$$E = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \leq x\}.$$

Since $0 \in E$ and x is an upper bound, E is a non-empty subset of \mathbb{R} bounded from above. Hence, by Theorem 2.35, the supremum $s_0 = \sup(E)$ exists. From the definition of supremum we deduce:

- (i) $s_0 \leq x$ (because x is an upper bound);
- (ii) there exists $n_0 \in E$ with $s_0 - 1 < n_0$ (otherwise $s_0 - 1$ would also be an upper bound).

From (ii) we obtain $s_0 < n_0 + 1$, which implies

- (iii) $n_0 + 1 \notin E$ (otherwise s_0 would not be an upper bound for E).

Moreover, since $m \leq s_0$ for every $m \in E$, we have $m < n_0 + 1$ for all $m \in E$. As all elements of E are integers,

$$m < n_0 + 1 \Leftrightarrow m - n_0 < 1 \Leftrightarrow m - n_0 \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow m \leq n_0.$$

Thus, every $m \in E$ is less than or equal to n_0 , and since $n_0 \in E$, we conclude that $n_0 = \max(E)$. In particular, by Remark 2.34, the maximum is also the supremum, so $s_0 = n_0$.

Finally, recalling (iii) and the definition of E , we have $n_0 + 1 > x$. Together with (i), this shows

$$n_0 = s_0 \leq x < n_0 + 1,$$

establishing the claim for any $x \geq 0$.

Now, if $x < 0$, apply the previous argument to $-x > 0$. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$m \leq -x < m + 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$-m - 1 < x \leq -m.$$

If $x = -m$, then set $n = -m$. If $x < -m$, set $n = -m - 1$. In both cases, we obtain

$$n \leq x < n + 1.$$

Finally, for uniqueness, assume that $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ both satisfy $n_i \leq x < n_i + 1$. From $n_1 \leq x < n_2 + 1$ we deduce that $n_1 < n_2 + 1$, and therefore $n_1 \leq n_2$. Reversing the roles of n_1 and n_2 gives $n_2 \leq n_1$. Hence, $n_1 = n_2$. \square

Definition 2.39: Integer and Fractional Parts

The **integer part** $\lfloor x \rfloor$ of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ uniquely determined by Theorem 2.38 such that $n \leq x < n + 1$. The map $x \mapsto \lfloor x \rfloor$ from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{Z} is called the **rounding function**. The **fractional part** of x is defined as

$$\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor \in [0, 1).$$

Corollary 2.40: $\frac{1}{n}$ is Arbitrarily Small

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n \geq 1$, such that

$$\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 2.38 to $x = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} > 0$, we find $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$m \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} < m + 1.$$

Set $n := m + 1$. In this way we have $0 < \frac{1}{\varepsilon} < n$, which is equivalent to $n > 0$ (therefore, $n \geq 1$) and $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$. \square

Definition 2.41: Dense Sets

A subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called **dense** in \mathbb{R} if every open non-empty interval contains an element of X .

Corollary 2.42: Density of \mathbb{Q}

For every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, there exists $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $a < r < b$.

Proof. Set $\varepsilon = b - a$. By Corollary 2.40, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\frac{1}{m} < \varepsilon$. Then, by Theorem 2.38 applied with $x = ma$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$n \leq ma < n + 1,$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{n}{m} \leq a < \frac{n+1}{m}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{m} < \varepsilon$, by the two inequalities above, we obtain

$$a < \frac{n+1}{m} \leq a + \frac{1}{m} < a + \varepsilon = b.$$

Thus $r = \frac{n+1}{m}$ is a rational number between a and b . □

Corollary 2.43: Density of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$

For every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, there exists $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ such that $a < r < b$.

Proof. We want to show that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists an $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$a \in (x - \delta, x + \delta).$$

By Corollary 2.42, we find a $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $q \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$. By Corollary 2.40 we find an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{N} < \frac{(x + \delta) - q}{\sqrt{2}} \Rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N} < (x + \delta) - q.$$

This implies that

$$x - \delta < q < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N} + q < x + \delta.$$

Choosing $r = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N} + q$ proves the statement. □

2.9.2 Uncountability

Definition 2.44: Cardinality

Let X and Y be sets.

- We say X and Y have the **same cardinality**, written $X \sim Y$, if there is a bijection $f : X \rightarrow Y$.
- We write $X \preceq Y$ if there exists an injection $f : X \rightarrow Y$.
- The empty set has cardinality 0.
- A set X has **finite cardinality** $|X| = n$ if there exists a bijection with $\{1, \dots, n\}$.
- A set is **infinite** if it is not finite.
- A set is **countable** if it has a bijection to \mathbb{N} . Its cardinality is denoted \aleph_0 , pronounced Aleph-0.
- A set is **uncountable** if it is infinite but not countable.

If $X \preceq Y$ and $Y \preceq X$, then $X \sim Y$. In other words, if there exists an injective map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and an injective map $g : Y \rightarrow X$, then one can find a bijective map $h : X \rightarrow Y$. This non-trivial statement is the **Schröder-Bernstein Theorem**.

We will now list some statements about different sets of numbers from the lecture:

1. \mathbb{N} and the even numbers have the same cardinality.
2. \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} have the same cardinality.
3. \mathbb{Q} is countable, i.e., $\mathbb{N} \sim \mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 2.45: Uncountability of \mathbb{R}

The set \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

Extra Material

Definition 2.46: Power Set

Let X be a set. The **power set** $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of X is the set of all subsets of X , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}(X) := \{A \subseteq X\}.$$

Theorem 2.47: Cantor's Theorem

For any set X , the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ has strictly larger cardinality than X .

Proposition 2.48: The Reals have the same cardinality as $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$

$$|\mathbb{R}| = |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})|.$$

3 Sequences of Real Numbers

3.1 Convergence of Sequences

Definition 3.1: Sequences

A **sequence** is a function $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The image $a(n)$ of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is also written as a_n and is called the n -th element of a . Instead of $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ one often writes $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (a_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Definition 3.2: (Eventually) Constant Sequences

A sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is **constant** if $x_n = x_m \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. It is **eventually constant** if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n = x_m \forall n, m \geq N$.

Definition 3.3: Convergence of Sequences

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . We say that $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ **converges** (or is **convergent**) if $\exists A \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - A| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

In this case we write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = A \tag{3.1}$$

and call A the **limit** of $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

Lemma 3.4: Uniqueness of the Limit

A convergent sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ has exactly one limit.

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ be limits of $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $N_A, N_B \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n - A| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N_A$ and $|x_n - B| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N_B$. We define $N := \max\{N_A, N_B\}$. Then it holds that

$$|A - B| \leq |A - x_N| + |x_N - B| < \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 2\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that $A = B$. \square

3.2 Convergent Subsequences and Accumulation Points

Definition 3.5: Subsequences

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence. A **subsequence** is of the form $(x_{n_k})_{k=0}^{\infty}$, where $(n_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers, i.e., $n_{k+1} > n_k \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 3.6. Since $n_{k+1} > n_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it follows by induction that $n_k \geq k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For $k = 0$ we have that $n_0 \geq 0$, because $(n_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of non-negative integers. So the condition is fulfilled. For the inductive step we want to show that the condition holds for $k + 1$ under the assumption that the condition is true for k . Because $(n_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is also a strictly increasing sequence, we have that $n_{k+1} > n_k \geq k$. Additionally since $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $n_{k+1} \geq n_k + 1$. So it follows that $n_{k+1} \geq n_k + 1 \geq k + 1$, which proofs the condition for $k + 1$. \square

Lemma 3.7: Subsequences of Convergent Sequences are Convergent

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence converging to $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Then every subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k=0}^{\infty}$ also converges to A .

Proof. Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence converging to $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to A , there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n - A| < \varepsilon \forall n \geq N$. As by Remark 3.6 we know that $n_k \geq k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore for all $k \geq N$ it holds that $|x_{n_k} - A| < \varepsilon$. \square

Definition 3.8: Accumulation Points of Sequences

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . A point $A \in \mathbb{R}$ is an **accumulation point** of $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists n \geq N : |x_n - A| < \varepsilon.$$

Proposition 3.9: Subsequences and Accumulation Points

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . A point A is an accumulation point of $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ if and only if there exists a convergent subsequence of $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with limit A .

Proof. First assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}$ is an accumulation point of $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$. We construct $(n_k)_{k \geq 0}$ recursively:

- first, apply the definition of accumulation point with $N = 1$ and $\varepsilon = 1 = 2^0$ to find $n_0 \geq 1$ with $|x_{n_0} - A| \leq 2^0$,
- second, apply the definition of accumulation point with $N = n_0 + 1$ and $\varepsilon = 2^{-1}$ to find $n_1 \geq n_0 + 1$ with $|x_{n_1} - A| \leq 2^{-1}$,
- more in general given n_{k-1} , we apply the definition of accumulation point with $N = n_{k-1} + 1$ and $\varepsilon = 2^{-k}$ to find $n_k \geq n_{k-1} + 1$ with $|x_{n_k} - A| \leq 2^{-k}$.

Now given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose N such that $2^{-N} < \varepsilon$. Then for all $k \geq N$ we have that

$$|x_{n_k} - A| \leq 2^{-k} \leq 2^{-N} < \varepsilon,$$

so $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = A$.

Conversely, assume that there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converging to A . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = A$, there exists N_0 such that $|x_{n_k} - A| < \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq N_0$. Hence if we choose $k = \max\{N_0, N\}$, because $n_k \geq n$ (recall Remark 3.6) we have that $n_k \geq N$ and $|x_{n_k} - A| < \varepsilon$. Thus A is an accumulation point. \square

Corollary 3.10: Infinitely Many Terms Near an Accumulation Point

If $A \in \mathbb{R}$ is an accumulation point of $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are infinitely many n with $x_n \in (A - \varepsilon, A + \varepsilon)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.9, there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k=0}^{\infty}$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = A$. Hence for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists K such that $x_{n_k} \in (A - \varepsilon, A + \varepsilon)$ for all $k \geq K$, providing infinitely many elements of the sequence inside the interval $(A - \varepsilon, A + \varepsilon)$. \square

Corollary 3.11: Accumulation Points of Convergent Sequences

convergent sequence has exactly one accumulation point, namely its limit.

3.3 Addition, Multiplication and Inequalities

Proposition 3.12: Limits and Operations

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $(y_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be sequences converging to $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ respectively. Then:

1. The sequence $(x_n + y_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $A + B$.
2. The sequence $(x_n y_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to AB .
3. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the sequence $(\alpha x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to αA .
4. Suppose $x_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \neq 0$. Then the sequence $(x_n^{-1})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to A^{-1} .

Proposition 3.13: Limits and Inequalities

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $(y_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be sequences converging to $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ respectively.

1. If $A < B$, then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n < y_n$ for all $n \geq N$.
2. If there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \leq y_n$ for all $n \geq N$, then $A \leq B$.

Remark 3.14. In Proposition 3.13 even if we assume that $x_n < y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we cannot conclude that $A < B$. for example take

$$x_n = \frac{1}{n}, \quad y_n = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then we have that $x_n < y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ but $A = B = 0$.

Lemma 3.15: Sandwich Lemma

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(y_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(z_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be sequences such that for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$x_n \leq y_n \leq z_n \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Suppose that both $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $(z_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converge to the same limit. Then $(y_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ also converges, and we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n.$$

Proof. Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(y_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(z_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be sequences such that for some $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$x_n \leq y_n \leq z_n \quad \forall n \geq N_0.$$

Additionally suppose that $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $(z_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converge to $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(z_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converge to A there exists $N_x, N_z \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A - \varepsilon < x_n < A + \varepsilon & \quad \forall n \geq N_x \\ A - \varepsilon < z_n < A + \varepsilon & \quad \forall n \geq N_z. \end{aligned}$$

So we choose $N := \max\{N_0, N_x, N_z\}$. Then we have that

$$A - \varepsilon < x_n \leq y_n \leq z_n < A + \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N,$$

which shows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = A$. \square

Definition 3.16: Bounded Sequences

A sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is called **bounded** if there exists a real number $M \geq 0$ such that

$$|x_n| \leq M \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 3.17: Convergent Sequences are Bounded

Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof. Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence converging to $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varepsilon = 1$. Then, by convergence of $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, there exists N such that $|x_n - A| \leq 1$ for all $n \geq N$. So we have that

$$|x_n| = |x_n - A + A| \leq |x_n - A| + |A| \leq 1 + |A| \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

We choose

$$M = \max(|x_0|, |x_1|, \dots, |x_{N-1}|, 1 + |A|).$$

Then $|x_n| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as desired. \square

Definition 3.18: Monotone Sequences

A sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is called:

- **(monotonically) increasing** if $m > n \Rightarrow x_m \geq x_n$,
- **strictly (monotonically) increasing** if $m > n \Rightarrow x_m > x_n$,
- **(monotonically) decreasing** if $m > n \Rightarrow x_m \leq x_n$,
- **strictly (monotonically) decreasing** if $m > n \Rightarrow x_m < x_n$.

If a sequence is decreasing or increasing we call it monotone. If a sequence is strictly increasing or strictly decreasing then we call it strictly monotone.

Remark 3.19. An equivalent formulation of monotone sequences can be given using only successive terms:

- $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is increasing if $x_{n+1} \geq x_n$ for all n ,
- $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing if $x_{n+1} > x_n$ for all n ,
- $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is decreasing if $x_{n+1} \leq x_n$ for all n ,
- $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is strictly decreasing if $x_{n+1} < x_n$ for all n .

Theorem 3.20: Convergence of Monotone Sequences

A monotone sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges if and only if it is bounded. More precisely, let $X = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ denote the set of points in the sequence.

- If $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is increasing, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \sup(X)$,
- if $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ decreasing, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \inf(X)$.

Proof. If $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges Lemma 3.17 says that its bounded.

Conversely, let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a bounded monotone sequence. Wlog assume that $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is increasing (otherwise consider $(-x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$). Since $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded from above, the set $X = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has a supremum, that we'll call $A = \sup(X)$.

By definiton of A :

- (i) $x_n \leq A \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_N > A - \varepsilon$.

Then, for all $n \geq N$ using (ii) and monotonicity, we have that $x_n \geq x_N > A - \varepsilon$. Then using (i), we conclude that

$$A - \varepsilon < x_n < A + \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

□

3.4 Superior and Inferior Limits

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence. To study its behavior for large n its is useful to look at its tails

$$X_{\geq n} = \{x_k \mid k \geq n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

The concept of limits can be restated using the tails of a sequence, i.e., the sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $A \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X_N \subseteq (A - \varepsilon, A + \varepsilon)$.

However, since not every sequence has a limit we now introduce a related notion (the **superior** and **inferior limits**), which always exist for bounded sequences.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$s_n = \sup(X_{\geq n}) = \sup_{k \geq n} x_k, \quad i_n = \inf(X_{\geq n}) = \inf_{k \geq n} x_k.$$

Since $X_{\geq m} \subset X_{\geq n}$, whenever $m > n$, we have that

$$i_n \leq i_m \leq s_m \leq s_n \quad \forall m > n.$$

Thus, $(s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a monotonically decreasing sequence, while $(i_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a monotonically increasing sequence. Moreover, since $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded both $(s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $(i_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are bounded as well. Hence by Theorem 3.20, both sequences converge. Their limits will be called the *superior* and the *inferior limit* of $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ respectively.

Note that, since $x_n \in X_{\geq n}$, we have that

$$i_n \leq x_n \leq s_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.2}$$

Definition 3.21: Superior and Inferior Limits

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence in \mathbb{R} . The numbers

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{k \geq n} x_k \right), \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\inf_{k \geq n} x_k \right)$$

are called the **superior** and **inferior limit** of $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ respectively. From Equation 3.2 and Proposition 3.13, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n.$$

Lemma 3.22: Convergence and Superior/Inferior Limits

A bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in \mathbb{R} converges if and only if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n.$$

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$i_n = \inf_{k \geq n} x_k, \quad s_n = \sup_{k \geq n} x_k,$$

and set

$$I = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} i_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, \quad S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n.$$

First suppose that $I = S$. Since $i_n \leq x_n \leq s_n$ (see Equation 3.2), the Sandwich Lemma 3.15 implies that the sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges, and its limit equals $I = S$.

Conversely, assume that $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$A - \varepsilon < x_n < A + \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Then for all $n \geq N$, the same inequalities holds for i_n and s_n , i.e.,

$$A - \varepsilon \leq i_n \leq s_n \leq A + \varepsilon.$$

Taking limits and using Proposition 3.13, we obtain

$$A - \varepsilon \leq I \leq S \leq A + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that $A = I = S$, which proves the result. \square

Theorem 3.23: Superior and Inferior Limits are Accumulation Points

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence and let $A = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. Then A is an accumulation point of $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the following hold:

1. only finitely many elements satisfy $x_n \geq A + \varepsilon$;
2. infinitely many elements satisfy $A - \varepsilon < x_n < A + \varepsilon$.

An analogous statement holds for the inferior limit.

Proof. Since the sequence $(s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is monotonically decreasing and converges to A , given $\varepsilon > 0$, there

exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$A \leq s_n < A + \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N_0. \quad (3.3)$$

We first prove that A is an accumulation point.

Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $N_1 = \max\{N, N_0\}$. Since $s_{N_1} = \sup_{k \geq N_1} x_k$, there exists $n_1 \geq N_1 \geq N_0$ such that

$$s_{N_1} - \varepsilon < x_{n_1} \leq s_{N_1}.$$

Thus, combining this bound with Equation 3.3 we obtain

$$A - \varepsilon < s_{N_1} - \varepsilon < x_{n_1} \leq s_{N_1} < A + \varepsilon.$$

This construct shows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n_1 \geq N$ such that $A - \varepsilon < x_{n_1} < A + \varepsilon$. Thus A is an accumulation point for $(x_n)_{n=0}^\infty$.

We now prove 1. and 2.. From Equation 3.3 we have $x_n < A + \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N_0$, so only finitely many terms satisfy $x_n \geq A + \varepsilon$. This shows 1..

Also since A is an accumulation point, it follows from Corollary 3.10 that infinitely many terms of the sequence lie within any interval $(A - \varepsilon, A + \varepsilon)$. \square

Corollary 3.24: Bounded Sequences have Convergent Subsequences

Every bounded sequence has at least one accumulation point and therefore possesses a convergent subsequence.

Proof. By Theorem 3.23, the number

$$A = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$$

is always an accumulation point of $(x_n)_{n=0}^\infty$. Moreover, by Proposition 3.9, every accumulation point is the limit of a convergent subsequence. Hence every bounded sequence admits at least one convergent subsequence. \square

3.5 Cauchy Sequences

Definition 3.25: Cauchy Sequences

A sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is called a **Cauchy sequence** if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n, m \geq N.$$

Lemma 3.26: Cauchy Sequences are Bounded

Every Cauchy sequence is bounded.

Proof. By definition, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_n - x_N| \leq 1 \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Hence, for $n \geq N$, we have $|x_n| \leq 1 + |x_N|$. Now, define

$$M = \max\{|x_0|, |x_1|, \dots, |x_{N-1}|, 1 + |x_N|\}.$$

Then, $|x_n| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded. \square

Theorem 3.27: Convergence and Cauchy Sequences

A sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of real numbers converges if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. Suppose first that $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to some $A \in \mathbb{R}$, and let us prove that $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_n - A| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Then for all $n, m \geq N$, we have that

$$|x_n - x_m| \leq |x_n - A| + |x_m - A| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon,$$

hence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Viceversa, let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence. Since it is bounded (by Lemma 3.26), Corollary 3.24 implies that there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converging to some $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_n - x_m| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall n, m \geq N_0,$$

and choose $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_{n_k} - A| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall k \geq N_1.$$

Let $N = \max\{N_0, N_1\}$. Since $n_N \geq N$ (see Remark 3.6), for all $n \geq N$ we have

$$|x_n - A| \leq |x_n - x_{n_N}| + |x_{n_N} - A| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Thus, $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to A . \square

3.6 Improper Limits

We now extend the notion of limit to allow the **improper limit values** $+\infty$ (often abbreviated as ∞) and $-\infty$.

Definition 3.28: Improper Limits

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . We say $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ **diverges to $+\infty$** , and we write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = +\infty,$$

if for every $M > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n > M$ for all $n \geq N$.

Similarly, $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ **diverges to $-\infty$** if for every $M > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n < -M$ for all $n \geq N$. In both cases, we say that $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ has an **improper limit**.

An unbounded sequence doesn't need to diverge to $+\infty$ or $-\infty$. For instance, the sequence $x_n = (-1)^n n$, is unbounded but neither diverges to $+\infty$ nor to $-\infty$.

The notion of improper limit allows us to extend the definitions of superior and inferior limits to

unbounded sequences. If $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is not bounded from above, then

$$\sup_{k \geq n} x_k = +\infty \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and we write

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = +\infty.$$

If $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded from above but not from below, then we define

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{k \geq n} x_k),$$

where the right-hand side is a real limit if the decreasing sequence $\sup_{k \geq n} x_k$ is bounded, and the improper limit $-\infty$ otherwise. The definition of the inferior limit extends analogously.

3.7 Sequences of Complex Numbers

Informally, a **sequence of complex numbers** is just like a sequence of real numbers, except that each term is a complex number instead of a real one. Thus, we study ordered lists (z_0, z_1, \dots) , where $z_n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. As in the real case, we are mainly interested in their convergence, divergence and limit behavior.

To analyze sequences in \mathbb{C} , it is often sufficient to consider separately the corresponding sequences of real and imaginary parts in \mathbb{R} .

Definition 3.29: Sequences of Complex Numbers

A sequence of complex numbers $(z_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where

$$z_n = x_n + iy_n,$$

is said to **converge** to a limit $A + iB \in \mathbb{C}$ if the two sequences of real numbers $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $(y_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converge to A and B , respectively. In this case, we write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = A + iB.$$

We say that $(z_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ **diverges to ∞** if the sequence of moduli $(|z_n|)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ diverges to $+\infty$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |z_n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{x_n^2 + y_n^2} = +\infty.$$

Remark 3.30. As for sequences of real numbers, one can consider subsequences of sequences \mathbb{C} . Given a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers $(n_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$, the corresponding subsequence is

$$(z_{n_k})_{k=0}^{\infty} = (x_{n_k} + iy_{n_k})_{n=0}^{\infty}.$$

4 Functions of one Real Variable

In this chapter we study real-valued functions defined on subsets of \mathbb{R} , typically intervals. The central concept is *continuity*.

4.1 Real valued functions

4.1.1 Boundedness and Monotonicity

For a non-empty set $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, the set of **real-valued** functions on D is

$$\mathcal{F}(D) = \{f \mid f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}.$$

For $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \in D$ we define

$$(f_1 + f_2)(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x), \quad (\alpha f_1)(x) = \alpha f_1(x), \quad (f_1 f_2)(x) = f_1(x) f_2(x).$$

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $f \equiv \alpha$ for the constant function $x \mapsto \alpha$ on D .

Remark 4.1. With the operations above, $\mathcal{F}(D)$ is a commutative ring (the additive identity is $f \equiv 0$ and the multiplicative identity is $f \equiv 1$).

A point $x \in D$ is a **zero** of $f \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ if $f(x) = 0$. The **zero set** of f is $\{x \in D \mid f(x) = 0\}$. We order $\mathcal{F}(D)$ pointwise: for $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}(D)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 \leq f_2 &\Leftrightarrow f_1(x) \leq f_2(x) \quad \forall x \in D, \\ f_1 < f_2 &\Leftrightarrow f_1(x) < f_2(x) \quad \forall x \in D. \end{aligned}$$

We say that $f \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ is **non-negative** if $f \geq 0$, and **positive** if $f > 0$.

Definition 4.2: Bounded Functions

Let $D \neq \emptyset$ and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that f is **bounded from above** if there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$f(x) \leq M \quad \forall x \in D.$$

We say that f is **bounded from below** if there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$f(x) \geq -M \quad \forall x \in D.$$

We say that f is **bounded** if it is both bounded from above and from below. Equivalently, f is bounded if there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq M \quad \forall x \in D.$$

Definition 4.3: Monotone Functions

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The function f is:

1. **increasing** if $x < y \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in D$;
2. **strictly increasing** if $x < y \Rightarrow f(x) < f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in D$;
3. **decreasing** if $x < y \Rightarrow f(x) \geq f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in D$;
4. **strictly decreasing** if $x < y \Rightarrow f(x) > f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in D$.

We call f **monotone** if it is increasing or decreasing, and **strictly monotone** if it is strictly increasing or strictly decreasing.

4.1.2 Continuity

Definition 4.4: Continuous Functions

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that f is **continuous at $x_0 \in D$** if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in D, \quad |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon.$$

We say that f is **continuous on D** if it is continuous at every point of D .

Remark 4.5. It suffices to verify the implication above for small ε . Precisely, assume there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in D, \quad |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon.$$

Then f is continuous at x_0 .

Indeed, for $\varepsilon_0 > \varepsilon$ we can choose the number $\delta > 0$ corresponding to ε to get

$$\forall x \in D, \quad |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

In other words, if δ works for ε , then it works for all $\varepsilon_0 > \varepsilon$.

Definition 4.6: Restriction

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For any $D' \subseteq D$ the **restriction** of f to D' is the function $f|_{D'} : D' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f|_{D'}(x) = f(x) \quad \forall x \in D'.$$

We regard $f|_{D'}$ and f as different functions unless $D' = D$.

Proposition 4.7: Combination of Continuous Functions

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and let $f_1, f_2 : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous at $x_0 \in D$. Then $f_1 + f_2$, $f_1 f_2$, and αf_1 (for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) are continuous at x_0 .

Proof. We first prove the result for the sum. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f_1 and f_2 are continuous at x_0 , there exists $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that for all $x \in D$,

$$|x - x_0| < \delta_1 \Rightarrow |f_1(x) - f_1(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad |x - x_0| < \delta_2 \Rightarrow |f_2(x) - f_2(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

So, choosing $\delta = \min \delta_1, \delta_2$, for $|x - x_0| < \delta$ we get

$$|(f_1 + f_2)(x) - (f_1 + f_2)(x_0)| \leq |f_1(x) - f_1(x_0)| + |f_2(x) - f_2(x_0)| < \varepsilon,$$

which shows that $f_1 + f_2$ is continuous at x_0 .

For the product, note that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(x)f_2(x) - f_1(x_0)f_2(x_0)| &= |f_1(x)f_2(x) - f_1(x_0)f_2(x) + f_1(x_0)f_2(x) - f_1(x_0)f_2(x_0)| \\ &\leq |f_1(x)f_2(x) - f_1(x_0)f_2(x)| + |f_1(x_0)f_2(x) - f_1(x_0)f_2(x_0)| \\ &= |f_2(x)||f_1(x) - f_1(x_0)| + |f_1(x_0)||f_2(x) - f_2(x_0)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, first choose $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $|x - x_0| < \delta_0$ implies $|f_2(x) - f_2(x_0)| < 1$, so that

$$|x - x_0| < \delta_0 \Rightarrow |f_2(x)| < 1 + |f_2(x_0)|.$$

Then choose $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |x - x_0| < \delta_1 &\Rightarrow |f_1(x) - f_1(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1 + |f_2(x_0)|)}, \\ |x - x_0| < \delta_2 &\Rightarrow |f_2(x) - f_2(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1 + |f_1(x_0)|)}. \end{aligned}$$

So choosing $\delta = \min \delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2$, for $|x - x_0| < \delta$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(x)f_2(x) - f_1(x_0)f_2(x_0)| &< |f_2(x)| \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1 + |f_2(x_0)|)} + |f_1(x_0)| \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1 + |f_1(x_0)|)} \\ &< (1 + |f_2(x_0)|) \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1 + |f_2(x_0)|)} + |f_1(x_0)| \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1 + |f_1(x_0)|)} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

thus f_1f_2 is continuous at x_0 .

Finally, the statement about αf_1 follows by choosing $f_2 \equiv \alpha$ (a constant function) and using the product case proved above: since f_1 and f_2 are continuous at x_0 , their product $f_1f_2 = \alpha f_1$ is continuous at x_0 . \square

Definition 4.8: Sum and Product Notation

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$. We use the notation

$$\sum_{j=0}^n a_j = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n, \quad \prod_{j=0}^n a_0 \cdot a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n.$$

Here a_j is a **summand** in the sum and a **factor** in the product; j is the **index** (or **running variable**). If J is a finite set and numbers $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ are given, we write

$$\sum_{j \in J} a_j, \quad \prod_{j \in J} a_j.$$

By convention, for the empty index set \emptyset ,

$$\sum_{j \in \emptyset} a_j = 0, \quad \prod_{j \in \emptyset} a_j = 1.$$

Proposition 4.9: Composition of Continuous Functions

Let $D_1, D_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}, x_0 \in D_1$ and $f : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ be continuous at x_0 . If $g : D_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at $f(x_0)$, then $g \circ f : D_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at x_0 . In particular, the composition of continuous functions is continuous.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By continuity of g at $f(x_0)$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\forall y \in D_2, \quad |y - f(x_0)| < \eta \Rightarrow |g(y) - g(f(x_0))| < \varepsilon.$$

By continuity of f at x_0 , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in D_1, \quad |x - x_0| < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \eta.$$

Combining the implications gives, for any $x \in D_1$,

$$|x - x_0| < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \eta \quad \Rightarrow \quad |g(f(x)) - g(f(x_0))| < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

Remark 4.10. Applying Proposition 4.9 with $g(y) = |y|$, we see that if $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then $x \mapsto |f(x)|$ is continuous.

4.1.3 Sequential Continuity

Definition 4.11: Notation for Limits of Sequences

Let $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. We write

$$x_n \rightarrow \bar{x} \quad \text{or} \quad x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}$$

to mean

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \bar{x}.$$

Theorem 4.12: Continuity = Sequential Continuity

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\bar{x} \in D$. Then f is continuous at \bar{x} if and only if for every sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq D$ with $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$ we have $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(\bar{x})$.

Proof. ' \Rightarrow ' First Assume that f is continuous at \bar{x} . Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in D, \quad |x - \bar{x}| < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(x) - f(\bar{x})| < \varepsilon.$$

Also, since $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n \geq N \quad \Rightarrow \quad |x_n - \bar{x}| < \delta.$$

Thus,

$$n \geq N \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(x_n) - f(\bar{x})| < \varepsilon,$$

which implies that the sequence $(f(x_n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $f(\bar{x})$.

' \Leftarrow ' To prove the converse, assume that f is not continuous at x_0 . This means that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for every $\delta > 0$, there is $x \in D$ with

$$|x - \bar{x}| < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad |f(x) - f(\bar{x})| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we apply this property with $\delta = 2^{-n}$ to find a point $x_n \in D$ such that

$$|x_n - \bar{x}| < 2^{-n} \quad \text{and} \quad |f(x_n) - f(\bar{x})| \geq \varepsilon$$

Then the sequence constructed in this way satisfies $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$ but $f(x_n) \not\rightarrow f(\bar{x})$. \square

Remark 4.13. The proof above shows that if $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is not continuous at \bar{x} , then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq D$ with $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$ such that $|f(x_n) - f(\bar{x})| \geq \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is useful to show that a function f is not continuous at \bar{x} .

4.2 Continuous Functions

4.2.1 Intermediate Value Theorem

In this section we prove a fundamental theorem that formalizes the idea that the graph of a continuous function on an interval is a continuous curve, and thus cannot make any jumps.

Theorem 4.14: Intermediate Value Theorem

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with $f(a) \leq f(b)$. Then, for every real number c with $f(a) \leq c \leq f(b)$, there exists $\bar{x} \in [a, b]$ such that $f(\bar{x}) = c$.

Proof. Fix $c \in [f(a), f(b)]$. Then define

$$X = \{x \in [a, b] \mid f(x) \leq c\}.$$

Since $a \in X$ and $X \subseteq [a, b]$, the set is non-empty and bounded from above. By Theorem 2.35, its supremum

$$\bar{x} = \sup(X) \in [a, b]$$

exists. We now use the continuity of f at x_0 to show that $f(\bar{x}) = c$.

Since \bar{x} is the supremum of X , for each $n \geq 0$, we can find a point $x_n \in [\bar{x} - 2^{-n}, \bar{x}]$. Then $|x_n - \bar{x}| \leq 2^{-n}$, hence $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$. Also, by the definition of X , we have $f(x_n) \leq c$. Thus, by Theorem 4.12 (continuity of f along sequences),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(\bar{x}).$$

And Proposition 3.13 yields $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \leq c$. Therefore, $f(\bar{x}) \leq c$.

Suppose, by contradiction, $f(\bar{x}) < c$ and set $\varepsilon := c - f(\bar{x}) > 0$. By continuity at \bar{x} , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in [a, b]$

$$|x - \bar{x}| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(\bar{x})| < \varepsilon,$$

hence $f(x) < f(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon = c$. Therefore, by the definition of X ,

$$(\bar{x} - \delta, \bar{x} + \delta) \cap [a, b] \subseteq X.$$

Moreover, since $f(\bar{x}) < c \leq f(b)$, we cannot have $\bar{x} = b$; hence $\bar{x} < b$. Because $\bar{x} < b$, the interval $(\bar{x}, \bar{x} + \delta) \cap [a, b] \subseteq X$ is non-empty. Pick

$$y \in (\bar{x}, \bar{x} + \delta) \cap [a, b] \subseteq X.$$

Then $y \in X$ and $y > \bar{x}$, which contradicts the defining property of the supremum: \bar{x} is an upper bound of X , and X cannot contain elements larger than \bar{x} . This contradiction shows that $f(\bar{x}) \geq c$. Together with $f(\bar{x}) \leq c$ proved above, we conclude that $f(\bar{x}) = c$, as desired. \square

Theorem 4.15: Inverse Function Theorem

Let I be an interval and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous strictly monotone function. Then $f(I)$ is an interval, and the mapping $f : I \rightarrow f(I)$ has a continuous strictly monotone inverse function $f^{-1} : f(I) \rightarrow I$.

Proof. We may assume that I is non-empty and not a single point. Also, w.l.o.g, suppose f is strictly increasing (otherwise replace f with $-f$).

Let $J = f(I)$. Since f is strictly monotone it is injective. Also, since by definition $J = f(I)$, it is surjective, hence bijective. Therefore there exists a unique inverse $g = f^{-1} : J \rightarrow I$.

Because f is strictly increasing, we have

$$x_1 < x_2 \Leftrightarrow f(x_1) < f(x_2) \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in I. \quad (4.1)$$

(Note: here we have equivalence in the statements because f is both injective and strictly increasing)
Defining $y_1 = f(x_1)$ and $y_2 = f(x_2)$, this is equivalent to

$$y_1 < y_2 \Leftrightarrow g(y_1) < g(y_2) \quad \forall y_1, y_2 \in J$$

Thus, g is strictly increasing.

To show that J is an interval, $y_1, y_2 \in J$, and assume w.l.o.g that $y_1 < y_2$. Since, $J = f(I)$, Equation 4.1 implies that $y_1 = f(x_1), y_2 = f(x_2)$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in I$ with $x_1 < x_2$. Now by the Intermediate Value Theorem 4.14 applied to $f : [x_1, x_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have that all values $c \in [y_1, y_2]$ are in the image of $f : [x_1, x_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$[y_1, y_2] \subseteq f([x_1, x_2]) \subseteq J.$$

Since, y_1, y_2 were two arbitrary points in J , this proves that J is an interval.

It remains to show that $g = f^{-1}$ is continuous. Fix $\bar{y} \in J$ and suppose, by contradiction, that g is not continuous at \bar{y} . Then by Remark 4.13, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $(y_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq J$ such that

$$y_n \rightarrow \bar{y} \quad \text{but} \quad |g(y_n) - g(\bar{y})| \geq \varepsilon \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.2)$$

Set $x_n = g(y_n) \in I$ and $\bar{x} = g(\bar{y}) \in I$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, either $x_n \leq \bar{x} - \varepsilon$ or $x_n \geq \bar{x} + \varepsilon$. In particular, at least one of these cases must occur infinitely often. W.l.o.g, assume $x_n \leq \bar{x} - \varepsilon$ for infinitely many n , and extract a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k=0}^{\infty}$ with $x_{n_k} \leq \bar{x} - \varepsilon$ for all k . Since, I is an interval, $\bar{x} - \varepsilon \in I$, and by strict monotonicity of f we obtain

$$y_{n_k} = f(x_{n_k}) \leq f(\bar{x} - \varepsilon) < f(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}.$$

Then Proposition 3.13 gives (recall $y_n \rightarrow \bar{y}$, see 4.2)

$$\bar{y} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_k} \leq f(\bar{x} - \varepsilon) < f(\bar{x}) = \bar{y},$$

a contradiction. Hence, g is continuous. □