

For these exercises, we will consider the linear transport equation:

$$u_t + au_x = 0, \quad x \in [-1, 1], \quad t > 0 \quad (1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = f(x)$$

with periodic boundary conditions. The discontinuous Galerkin scheme is given by:

Find $u_h \in V_h^p$ such that

$$((u_h)_t, v_h)_{I_j} - (au_h, (v_h)_x)_{I_j} + (a\hat{u}_h v_h^-)|_{x_{j+1/2}} - (a\hat{u}_h v_h^+)|_{x_{j-1/2}} = 0 \quad (2)$$

for all $v_h \in V_h^p$. Here, V_h^p denotes an approximation basis consisting of piecewise polynomials of degree less than or equal to p . For these exercises the local Lax-Friedrichs flux will be used. This is defined as

$$\hat{f}_{j+1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(f(u_{j+1/2}^+) + f(u_{j+1/2}^-) - \alpha(u_{j+1/2}^+ - u_{j+1/2}^-)), \quad \alpha = \max_{u_{j+1/2}^+, u_{j+1/2}^-} |f'(u)|. \quad (3)$$

1. Prove the following

Proposition: Consider the linear transport equation given in Equation (1) and assume that the initial condition is smooth, $f \in C^{p+2}$. Then the approximation using DG scheme (2) with numerical flux (3) gives a $(p+1)^{th}$ order accurate solution. That is,

$$\|u - u_h\|_0 \leq C(\Delta x)^{p+1},$$

where $C = \tilde{C}\|f(x)\|_{p+2}$.

Considering the semi-discrete variational formulation in (2), we can sum over all elements I_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$ to result in

$$\sum_{j=1}^N ((u_h)_t, v_h)_{I_j} - \sum_{j=1}^N (au_h, (v_h)_x)_{I_j} + \sum_{j=1}^N \left[(a\hat{u}_h v_h^-)|_{x_{j+1/2}} - (a\hat{u}_h v_h^+)|_{x_{j-1/2}} \right] = 0.$$

If we sum up the flux terms, we can simplify the two terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N \left[(a\hat{u}_h v_h^-)|_{x_{j+1/2}} - (a\hat{u}_h v_h^+)|_{x_{j-1/2}} \right] &= a\hat{u}_{h,3/2} v_{h,3/2}^- - a\hat{u}_{h,1/2} v_{h,1/2}^+ + a\hat{u}_{h,5/2} v_{h,5/2}^- - a\hat{u}_{h,3/2} v_{h,3/2}^+ + \\ &\quad \dots + a\hat{u}_{h,N+1/2} v_{h,N+1/2}^- - a\hat{u}_{h,N-1/2} v_{h,N-1/2}^+, \\ &= -a\hat{u}_{h,1/2}^+ v_{h,1/2}^+ + \sum_{j=1}^N a\hat{u}_{h,j+1/2} \left(v_{h,j+1/2}^- - v_{h,j+1/2}^+ \right) + \\ &\quad \dots + a\hat{u}_{h,N+1/2} v_{h,N+1/2}^-, \\ &= -a\hat{u}_{h,1/2}^+ v_{h,1/2}^+ - \sum_{j=1}^N a\hat{u}_{h,j+1/2} [v_h]_{j+1/2} + a\hat{u}_{h,N+1/2} v_{h,N+1/2}^-, \end{aligned}$$

Given the periodic boundary conditions, we have $\hat{u}_{h,1/2} = \hat{u}_{h,N+1/2}$ and thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \left[(a\hat{u}_h v_h^-)|_{x_{j+1/2}} - (a\hat{u}_h v_h^+)|_{x_{j-1/2}} \right] = - \sum_{j=1}^N a\hat{u}_{h,j+1/2} \llbracket v_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2}.$$

The semi-discrete variational DG scheme for the entire domain is hence to find $u_h \in V_h^p$ such that

$$((u_h)_t, v_h)_\Omega - (au_h, (v_h)_x)_\Omega - \sum_{j=1}^N a\hat{u}_{h,j+1/2} \llbracket v_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} = 0$$

for all $v_h \in V_h^p$ with initial condition $(u_h, v_h)_\Omega = (f(x), v_h)_\Omega$. The variational formulation of the exact solution is

$$((u)_t, v_h)_\Omega - (au, (v_h)_x)_\Omega - \sum_{j=1}^N au(x_{j+1/2}, t) \llbracket v_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} = 0.$$

Taking the difference of the exact and approximation variational formulations, we have

$$((u - u_h)_t, v_h)_\Omega - (a(u - u_h), (v_h)_x)_\Omega - \sum_{j=1}^N a(u(x_{j+1/2}, t) - \hat{u}_{h,j+1/2}) \llbracket v_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} = 0.$$

As the difference between the exact solution and the approximation is the error, we define $e = u - u_h$ and thus

$$(e_t, v_h)_\Omega - (ae, (v_h)_x)_\Omega - \sum_{j=1}^N a(u(x_{j+1/2}, t) - \hat{u}_{h,j+1/2}) \llbracket v_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} = 0.$$

We can then define a projection Pu with the following conditions

$$\begin{cases} \int_{I_j} (Pu - u) v_h dx = 0 & \forall v_h \in \mathbb{P}^{p-1}(I_j) \\ Pu(x_{j+1/2}^-) = u(x_{j+1/2}). \end{cases}$$

We can also break down the error as

$$e = u - u_h = \underbrace{(u - Pu)}_{\varepsilon_h} + \underbrace{(Pu - u_h)}_{e_h}.$$

Finally we will consider our test function v_h be equal to the error between the projection and the approximation, i.e. $v_h = Pu - u_h = e_h$. We'll consider now the variational formulation

on e as follows, where we use $\hat{e}_h = u - \hat{u}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (e_t, e_h)_\Omega - (ae, (e_h)_x)_\Omega - \sum_{j=1}^N a(u(x_{j+1/2}, t) - \hat{u}_{h,j+1/2}) \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} &= 0, \\
 ((\varepsilon_h + e_h)_t, e_h)_\Omega - (a(\varepsilon_h + e_h), (e_h)_x)_\Omega - \sum_{j=1}^N a\hat{e}_h(x_{j+1/2}) \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} &= 0, \\
 \underbrace{((e_h)_t, e_h)_\Omega}_{i} - \underbrace{(ae_h, (e_h)_x)_\Omega}_{ii} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^N a\hat{e}_h(x_{j+1/2}) \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2}}_{iii} &= -\underbrace{((\varepsilon_h)_t, e_h)_\Omega}_{iv} + \underbrace{(a\varepsilon_h, (e_h)_x)_\Omega}_v.
 \end{aligned}$$

At this point, let's simplify the five terms:

i. $((e_h)_t, e_h)_\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (e_h, e_h)_\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e_h\|_0^2$

ii.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (ae_h, (e_h)_x)_\Omega &= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{I_j} ae_h (e_h)_x dx, \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{I_j} a \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{e_h^2}{2} \right) dx, \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^N a \frac{e_h^2}{2} \Big|_{x_{j-1/2}^+}^{x_{j+1/2}^-}, \\
 &= \frac{a}{2} [e_h^2|_{3/2^-} - e_h^2|_{1/2^+} + e_h^2|_{5/2^-} - e_h^2|_{3/2^+} + \dots + e_h^2|_{N+1/2^-} - e_h^2|_{N-1/2^+}], \\
 &= \frac{a}{2} \left[-e_h^2|_{1/2^+} + e_h^2|_{N+1/2^-} - \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h^2 \rrbracket_{j+1/2} \right], \\
 &= -\frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h^2 \rrbracket_{j+1/2}, \\
 &= -\frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \left((e_h^2)_{j+1/2}^+ - (e_h^2)_{j+1/2}^- \right), \\
 &= -\frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N (e_h^+ - e_h^-)_{j+1/2} (e_h^+ + e_h^-)_{j+1/2}, \\
 &= -\frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} (e_h^+ + e_h^-)_{j+1/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

iii. Combined with (ii):

$$\begin{aligned} -(ae_h, (e_h)_x) - \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{e}_h(x_{j+1/2}, t) \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} &= \frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} (e_h^+ + e_h^-)_{j+1/2} + \dots \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^N a(u(x_{j+1/2}, t) - \hat{u}(x_{j+1/2}, t)) \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} \end{aligned}$$

At this point, we need to evaluate the flux for either positive a or negative a . Either way, we have $f(u) = au$ and thus $f'(u) = a$ and $\alpha = |a|$. The flux evaluations are hence

$$a > 0 : \quad a\hat{u}_{j+1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(au_{j+1/2}^+ + au_{j+1/2}^- - a(u_{j+1/2}^+ - u_{j+1/2}^-) \right) = au_{j+1/2}^-,$$

$$a < 0 : \quad a\hat{u}_{j+1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(au_{j+1/2}^+ + au_{j+1/2}^- + a(u_{j+1/2}^+ - u_{j+1/2}^-) \right) = au_{j+1/2}^+.$$

For $-(ii) + (iii)$, we hence have

$$\begin{aligned} a > 0 : \quad -(ae_h, (e_h)_x) - \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{e}_h(x_{j+1/2}, t) \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} &= \frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} (e_h^+ + e_h^-)_{j+1/2} + \dots \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^N a(u(x_{j+1/2}, t) - \hat{u}(x_{j+1/2}, t)) \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2}, \\ &= \frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} (e_h^+ + e_h^-)_{j+1/2} + \dots \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^N a(u(x_{j+1/2}, t) - u(x_{j+1/2}^-, t)) \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2}, \\ &= \frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} (e_h^+ + e_h^-)_{j+1/2} + \dots \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^N ae_{h,j+1/2}^- \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2}, \\ &= a \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} ((e_h^+ + e_h^-)_{j+1/2} - e_h^-), \\ &= \frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 a > 0 : \quad & -(ae_h, (e_h)_x) - \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{e}_h(x_{j+1/2}, t) \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} = \frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} (e_h^+ + e_h^-)_{j+1/2} + \dots \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^N ae_{h_{j+1/2}}^+ \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2}, \\
 & = a \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} ((e_h^+ + e_h^-)_{j+1/2} - e_h^-), \\
 & = -\frac{a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

and overall for any a ,

$$-(ae_h, (e_h)_x) - \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{e}_h(x_{j+1/2}, t) \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2} = \frac{|a|}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2}^2$$

$$\text{iv. } ((\varepsilon_h)_t, e_h) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \|\varepsilon_h\|_0^2 + \|e_h\|_0 \right) \leq A(\Delta x)^{2(p+1)} + \|e_h\|_0^2$$

$$\text{v. } (a\varepsilon_h, (e_h)_x) = a \sum_{j=1}^N (u - Pu, (Pu - u_h)_x)_{I_j} = -a \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{I_j} (Pu - u) v_h dx = 0 \forall v_h \in \mathbb{P}^{p-1}$$

Bringing all the terms together, we hence result in

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e_h\|_0^2 + \frac{|a|}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2}^2 & \leq A(\Delta x)^{2(p+1)} + \|e_h\|_0^2, \\
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e_h\|_0^2 & \leq A(\Delta x)^{2(p+1)} + \|e_h\|_0^2 - \frac{|a|}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \llbracket e_h \rrbracket_{j+1/2}^2, \\
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e_h\|_0^2 & \leq A(\Delta x)^{2(p+1)} + \|e_h\|_0^2, \\
 \|e_h\|_0^2 & \leq \int_0^T 2A(\Delta x)^{2(p+1)} dt + \int_0^T 2\|e_h\|_0^2 dt, \\
 \|e_h\|_0^2 & \leq 2AT(\Delta x)^{2(p+1)} + \int_0^T 2\|e_h\|_0^2 dt, \\
 \|e_h\|_0^2 & \leq 2AT(\Delta x)^{2(p+1)} \exp \left(\int_0^T 2\|e_h(t)\|_0^2 dt \right), \\
 \|e_h\|_0^2 & \leq \bar{A}(\Delta x)^{2(p+1)}, \\
 \|e_h\|_0 & \leq \tilde{A}(\Delta x)^{p+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where I attempted Grönwall's inequality towards the end, but I may have employed it incorrectly. I am not sure if the integral argument of the exponential is correct and how it would lead to $\|u_0\|_{p+2}^2$. Ultimately, this yields a bound on the norm of e_h . Recall, $u - u_h = e = \varepsilon_h + e_h = (u - Pu) + (Pu - u_h)$. We have found the norm on e_h and $\|\varepsilon_h\|_0 \leq A(\Delta x)^{p+1}$, from the step in (iv) which I'm not sure how to show to be honest. Ultimately

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_h\|_0^2 &\leq \|u - Pu\|_0^2 + \|Pu - u_h\|_0^2, \\ &\leq A(\Delta x)^{p+1} + \tilde{A}(\Delta x)^{p+1}, \\ &\leq C(\Delta x)^{p+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \tilde{C}\|f(x)\|_{p+2}$ per the notes. I am not so sure about this last part, but it seems that we should not stop at the norm of e_h but go back to $e = u - u_h$.

2. In this exercise, we consider the case when $a > 0$ in Equation (1).

- (i) Use Mathematica to determine the dispersion and dissipation error for a $p = 2, 3$ DG approximation using the local Lax-Friedrichs flux.

Re-writing the variational formulation of the DG scheme in (2), we have : *Find $u_h \in V_h^p$ such that*

$$\int_{I_j} (u_h)_t v_h dx = \int_{I_j} a u_h (v_h)_x dx - (a \hat{u}_h v_h^-)|_{x_{j+1/2}} + (a \hat{u}_h v_h^+)|_{x_{j-1/2}}$$

for all $v_h \in V_h^p$.

Given $a > 0$, it was found above that $\hat{f}_{j+1/2} = a \hat{u}_{j+1/2} = a u_{j+1/2}^-$, resulting in the upwind flux. This gives us

$$\int_{I_j} (u_h)_t v_h dx = \int_{I_j} a u_h (v_h)_x dx - (a u_h^- v_h^-)|_{x_{j+1/2}} + (a u_h^- v_h^+)|_{x_{j-1/2}}$$

We'll consider the Legendre polynomial space and hence

$$u_h(x, t) \Big|_{I_j} = \sum_{k=0}^p u_j^{(k)}(t) P^{(k)}(\xi_j), \quad v_h(x) = P^{(m)}(\xi_j).$$

Once we go through the derivations similar to the ones shown in Homework 1, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}_j = \frac{1}{\Delta x} (\tilde{A} \mathbf{u}_j + \tilde{B} \mathbf{u}_{j-1}), \quad (4)$$

where the matrices are $\tilde{A} = 2aM^{-1}A$, $\tilde{B} = 2aM^{-1}B$ (where the factor of 2 comes from

the transformation from $x \mapsto \xi$ in the integration) with

$$\begin{aligned} M(m, k) &= \int_{-1}^1 P^{(k)}(\xi_j) P^{(m)}(\xi_j) d\xi_j, \\ A(m, k) &= \int_{-1}^1 P^{(k)}(\xi_j) \frac{d}{dx} P^{(m)}(\xi_j) d\xi_j - 1, \\ B(m, k) &= (-1)^m, \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } m, k = 0, 1, \dots, p.$$

To examine the dispersion and dissipation errors, let's examine the solution in frequency space and hence consider one Fourier mode, $\mathbf{u}_j(t) = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(t) e^{imx_j}$. Plugging this Fourier mode in (4), the equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(t) e^{imx_j} &= \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left(\tilde{A} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(t) e^{imx_j} + \tilde{B} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(t) e^{im(x_j - \Delta x)} \right), \\ \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(t) &= \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left(\tilde{A} + \tilde{B} e^{-im\Delta x} \right) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(t), \\ &= \frac{m}{m\Delta x} \left(\tilde{A} + \tilde{B} e^{-im\Delta x} \right) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(t), \\ &= G(\mu) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = m\Delta x$, $G(\mu) = \frac{m}{\mu} (\tilde{A} + \tilde{B} e^{-i\mu})$ is a $(p+1) \times (p+1)$ matrix. Additionally, the exact dispersion relation is $\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(t) = im \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(t)$. Following the approach from Exercise 2, we can find the dispersion and dissipation errors as calculated in the Mathematica notebook "HW2-Problem2.nb".

By finding the eigenvalues of the $G(\mu)$ matrix for $p = 2, 3$, we can find the dispersion and dissipation errors by calculating $im - \lambda_1$, where λ_1 is the physically relevant eigenvalue expanded as $im + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{2p+1})$ and the other eigenvalues expand as $C/\mu + \mathcal{O}(1)$. Here, the advection speed is set to $a = 1$. Referring to results in the notebook, the errors are:

$$\begin{aligned} p = 2 : & \quad \frac{m\mu^5}{7200} - \frac{im\mu^6}{42,000} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^7), \\ p = 3 : & \quad \frac{m\mu^7}{1,411,200} - \frac{im\mu^8}{11,113,200} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^9) \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) What does the real part of your error analysis represent? The imaginary part?

The real part of the error analysis above, or $\text{Re}(im - \lambda_1)$, represents the dissipation error (error in amplitude). For $p = 2, p = 3$, the dissipation error is hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dissipation Error}_{p=2} &= \frac{m\mu^5}{7200} = \mathcal{O}(\mu^5) = \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^5) \\ \text{Dissipation Error}_{p=3} &= \frac{m\mu^7}{1,411,200} = \mathcal{O}(\mu^7) = \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^7), \end{aligned}$$

which are both of order $\mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^{2p+1})$.

The imaginary part of the error analysis above, or $\text{Im}(im - \lambda_1)$, represents the dispersion error (error in phase). For $p = 2, p = 3$, the dispersion error is hence

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Dispersion Error}_{p=2} &= -\frac{m\mu^6}{42,000} = \mathcal{O}(\mu^6) = \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^6) \\ \text{Dispersion Error}_{p=3} &= -\frac{m\mu^8}{11,113,200} = \mathcal{O}(\mu^8) = \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^8),\end{aligned}$$

which are both of order $\mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^{2p+2})$.

(iii) From your findings above, what does this mean in terms of wave propagation?

As the dissipation error is of order $\mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^{2p+1})$ compared to the dispersion error of order $\mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^{2p+2})$, the dissipation error dominates, resulting in errors in amplitude leading over errors in phase.

3. Prove the following proposition:

Proposition: Consider the DG scheme (2) for the linear advection equation (1) using the local Lax-Friedrichs flux. Further, consider an exact solution on element I_j of the form $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(T) = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(0)e^{im(x_j-T)}$ (given a wavespeed $a = 1$) and write the numerical approximation as $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(T) = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(0)e^{imx_j - \omega_h T}$, where ω_h is the numerical dispersion. Define $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h$. Then we can write

$$\|\mathbf{e}(T)\| \leq \underbrace{C_1 T (\Delta x)^{2p+1}}_{\text{physically relevant mode}} + \underbrace{C_2 (\Delta x)^{p+2}}_{\text{initial projection}} + \underbrace{C_3 e^{-CT/\Delta x} (\Delta x)^{p+2}}_{\text{parasitic modes}}, T > 0. \quad (5)$$

Try to use these facts to isolate the terms into three terms: one containing the physically relevant eigenvalue, one containing the initial condition, and one containing the non-physically relevant eigenvalues.

In addition to the exact solution and numerical approximation of $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(T)$, we'll use the decomposition of $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(0)$, as given in Exercise 2 to be $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k$. We can begin by

simplifying the error first before we bound the norm:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e} &= \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h, \\
&= \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(0)e^{im(x_j-T)} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(0)e^{imx_j-\omega_h T}, \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{im(x_j-T)} - \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{imx_j-\lambda_k T}, \\
&\leq c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 e^{imx_j} (e^{-imT} - e^{-\lambda_1 T}) + \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{im(x_j-T)} - \sum_{k=2}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{imx_j-\lambda_k T}, \\
&= c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 e^{imx_j} \left(1 - imT + \frac{(-imT)^2}{2} + \dots - 1 + \lambda_1 T - \frac{(-\lambda_1 T)^2}{2} + \dots \right) + \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{im(x_j-T)} - \sum_{k=2}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{imx_j-\lambda_k T}, \\
&\approx c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 e^{imx_j} (-im + \lambda_1)T + \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{im(x_j-T)} - \sum_{k=2}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{imx_j-\lambda_k T}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can now take the norm as

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{e}\|_0 &\leq \left\| c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 e^{imx_j} (-im + \lambda_1)T + \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{im(x_j-T)} - \sum_{k=2}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{imx_j-\lambda_k T} \right\|_0, \\
&\leq \left\| c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 e^{imx_j} (-im + \lambda_1)T \right\|_0 + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{im(x_j-T)} \right\|_0 + \left\| \sum_{k=2}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k e^{imx_j-\lambda_k T} \right\|_0, \\
&\leq \|c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 e^{imx_j}\|_0 |(-im + \lambda_1)T| + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k \right\|_0 |e^{im(x_j-T)}| + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} c_k \mathbf{v}_k \right\|_0 |e^{imx_j}| e^{-CT/(\Delta x)}, \\
&= \|c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 e^{imx_j}\|_0 |(-im + \lambda_1)T| + \|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(0)\|_0 |e^{im(x_j-T)}| + \|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(0)\|_0 |e^{imx_j}| e^{-CT/(\Delta x)}, \\
&= C_1 T (\Delta x)^{2p+1} + C_2 (\Delta x)^{p+2} + C_3 e^{-CT/\Delta x} (\Delta x)^{p+2},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\|\mathbf{e}\|_0 \leq C_1 T (\Delta x)^{2p+1} + C_2 (\Delta x)^{p+2} + C_3 e^{-CT/\Delta x} (\Delta x)^{p+2},$$

where the order on the first term comes from the dominating dissipation error of the physically-relevant eigenvalue found in (ii) and the order on the second and third terms come from the order on the initial projection, $\|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_m(0)\|_0$. I honestly expected it to be of $p+1$ order, but it seems that in Fourier space we get $p+2$ order (??). I will ask about this in office hours.