

Thesis Notes

Stephen Liu

September 4, 2019

TODO

1. Clean up writing so far.
2. Stick capitals in curly brackets to leave them alone in bibtex.
3. Add an introduction including Meckes's and Willerton's work (top couple of orders as $t \rightarrow \infty$).
4. second order asymptotics at zero.
5. Gimperlein and Goffeng.

The problem

Let $d = 2m+1$ where m is a natural number. Denote by B_2^d the d -dimensional Euclidean ball and tB_2^d the d -dimensional ball with radius t for $t > 0$. In general we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the magnitude function of the odd dimensional Euclidean ball as we shrink it down to a point. Meckes in [Mec19] showed that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Mag}(tB_2^d) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2} V_1(B_2^d)$$

where $V_1(B_2^d)$ is the first intrinsic volume of the Euclidean ball. We are interested computing the value of

$$\frac{d^2 \text{Mag}(tB_2^d)}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0}.$$

We will follow the same approach that Meckes used for the result above, with many of the techniques introduced by Willerton in [Wil17].

Explicit magnitude functions for balls in low dimension

Barcelo and Carbery in [BC16] explicitly calculated the magnitude functions of Euclidean balls in dimensions 3,5,7:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Mag}(tB_2^3) &= \frac{t^3}{3!} + t^2 + 2t + 1 \\ \text{Mag}(tB_2^5) &= \frac{t^6 + 18t^5 + 135t^4 + 525t^3 + 1080t^2 + 1080t + 360}{5!(t+3)} \\ \text{Mag}(tB_2^7) &= \frac{t^7}{7!} \\ &\quad + \frac{\frac{1}{180}t^9 + \frac{2}{15}t^8 + \frac{3}{2}t^7 + \frac{31}{3}t^6 + \frac{189}{4}t^5 + 145t^4 + \frac{1165}{4}t^3 + 360t^2 + 240t + 60}{t^3 + 12t^2 + 48t + 60}\end{aligned}$$

So taking second derivatives and evaluating at $t = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left. \frac{d\text{Mag}^2(tB_2^3)}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} &= 2 \\ \left. \frac{d\text{Mag}^2(tB_2^5)}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} &= \frac{38}{9} = 4.222\dots \\ \left. \frac{d\text{Mag}^2(tB_2^7)}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} &= \frac{162}{25} = 6.48\end{aligned}$$

We will use these values as sanity checks to compare against throughout our calculations.

Schröder paths

Willerton in [Wil17] gave an expression for the magnitude function of odd dimensional balls in terms of collections of Schröder paths. We introduce them below:

- Definition.**
- A **Schröder path** is a finite directed path in the integer lattice in which each step $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is either an **ascent** to $(x+1, y+1)$, a **descent** $(x-1, y-1)$ or a **flat step** $(x+2, y)$ (note the advance by *two* spaces in the horizontal direction).
 - Fix $k \geq 0$. A **disjoint k -collection** is a family of Schröder paths from $(-i, i)$ to (i, i) for each $0 \leq i \leq k$ such that no node in \mathbb{Z}^2 is contained in two of the paths (the paths are disjoint).

- We denote by X_k the set of all disjoint k -collections and by X_k^j the set of disjoint k -collections with exactly j flat steps.

When thinking about what kinds of disjoint k -collections we can have in X_k^j for some fixed j , it is often useful to think about what a path needs to look like for increasing values of i . For example, consider the set X_k^0 , that is, the set of disjoint k -collections with exactly 0 flat steps. At $i = 0$ we have the single dot at $(0, 0)$ and at $i = 1$, since we are allowed no flat steps, the only possible path we can have is the path made up of one ascent followed immediately by one descent. Then for $i = 2$, the disjointness condition and the presence of the earlier path at height $i = 1$ ensures that the only possible path we can have is the path made up of two ascents followed by two descents. We continue this argument for successive values of i . We will call the path at height i consisting of i ascents followed by i descents a **V-path at height i** (because they look like upside down V's). So it turns out that X_k^0 consists only of one collection, denoted σ_{roof}^k , which is made up entirely of V-paths for each $0 \leq i \leq k$.

Let σ be a disjoint k -collection in X_k . For each path in σ we associate a weighting to each step τ in the path by the following:

$$\omega_j(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau \text{ is an ascent,} \\ t & \text{if } \tau \text{ is a flat step,} \\ y + 1 - j & \text{if } \tau \text{ is a descent from height } y \text{ to height } y - 1. \end{cases}$$

For a collection $\sigma \in X_k$ the **(total) weight of σ** , denoted by $\omega_j(\sigma)$ is the product of all the weightings of each step of a path in σ , that is,

$$\omega_j(\sigma) = \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_j(\tau)$$

Note that if $\sigma \in X_k^\ell$, that is σ has exactly ℓ flat steps, then $\omega_j(\sigma)$ will take the form ct^ℓ where c is the product of all the weights on descents in σ . We will only use the weightings ω_0 and ω_2 for our purposes, that is, a descent starting at height y will be weighted by either $y + 1$ and $y - 1$ respectively. Consider a V-path σ at height i , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0(\sigma) &= \frac{(2i+1)!}{(i+1)!} \\ \omega_2(\sigma) &= \frac{(2i-1)!}{(i-1)!} \end{aligned}$$

and since σ_{roof}^k consists only of V-paths, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_0(\sigma_{\text{roof}}^k) &= \prod_{i=0}^k \frac{(2i+1)!}{(i+1)!} \\ \omega_2(\sigma_{\text{roof}}^k) &= \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(2i-1)!}{(i-1)!}\end{aligned}$$

We are interested in these weightings on collections of Schröder paths because Willerton in [Wil17] showed the following:

Theorem 1 ([Wil17], Corollary 27). *Let $d = 2m + 1$ be odd. Then*

$$\text{Mag}(tB_2^d) = \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in X_{m+1}} \omega_2(\sigma)}{d! \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m-1}} \omega_0(\sigma)} = \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in X_{m+1}} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_2(\tau)}{d! \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m-1}} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_0(\tau)}$$

for all $t > 0$.

As mentioned before, $\omega_j(\sigma)$ are of the form ct^ℓ where ℓ is the number of flat steps in σ and c is a constant, so the numerator and the denominator in the expression above are both polynomials in t . We will denote the function in the numerator by $N(t)$ and the function in the denominator (without the extra $d!$) by $D(t)$. Put more succinctly, we have

$$\text{Mag}(tB_2^d) = \frac{N(t)}{d!D(t)}.$$

Taking the second derivative

From now on, when writing down the value of a function evaluated at zero, for convenience we will omit the “(0)” part, that is, we write N for $N(0)$ and N' for $N'(0)$ and similarly for $D(0)$ and $D'(0)$. For higher derivatives we divide by the order of the derivative, that is, we denote $\frac{1}{2}N''(0)$ by N'' and $\frac{1}{2}D''(0)$ by D'' . The point of this is that N'' and D'' are the values of the coefficients of the second order terms in $N(t)$ and $D(t)$ respectively. In Theorem 28 of [Wil17], Willerton showed the following identity

$$N = d!D$$

and Meckes in the proof of Theorem 4 of [Mec19] showed that

$$N'D - ND' = Vd!D^2$$

where V is shorthand for $\frac{1}{2}V_1(B_2^d)$. Now we evaluate

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\text{Mag}(tB_2^d)|_{t=0}$$

Applying the quotient rule and using the two identities above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\text{Mag}(tB_2^d)|_{t=0} &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\text{Mag}(tB_2^d) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{N(t)}{d!D(t)} \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d!D(t)N'(t) - N(t)d!D'(t)}{d!^2D(t)^2} \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{D(t)N'(t) - N(t)D'(t)}{d!D(t)^2} \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d!D(t)^2[D(t)N''(t) - N(t)D''(t)] - [D(t)N'(t) - N(t)D'(t)]d!2D(t)D'(t)}{d!^2D(t)^4} \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{D(t)[D(t)N''(t) - N(t)D''(t)] - [D(t)N'(t) - N(t)D'(t)]2D'(t)}{d!D(t)^3} \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{D(t)^2N''(t) - D(t)N(t)D''(t) - 2D'(t)D(t)N'(t) + 2D'(t)^2N(t)}{d!D(t)^3} \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{2D^2N'' - 2DD'N' - 2DND'' + 2ND'^2}{d!D^3} \\ &= \frac{2D^2N'' - 2DND'' - 2D'(DN' - D'N)}{d!D^3} \\ &= \frac{2D^2N'' - 2DND'' - 2D'(VD!D^2)}{d!D^3} \\ &= 2 \left[\frac{DN'' - ND''}{d!D^2} \right] - 2V \left[\frac{D'}{D} \right] \\ &= 2 \left[\frac{DN'' - d!DD''}{d!D^2} \right] - 2V \left[\frac{D'}{D} \right] \\ &= 2 \left[\frac{N'' - d!D''}{d!D} \right] - 2V \left[\frac{D'}{D} \right] \\ &= 2 \left[\frac{N'' - d!D''}{N} \right] - 2V \left[\frac{D'}{D} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Where the factors of 2 in front of the terms containing a second derivative are because of the factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ that we introduced earlier in our notation for

N'' and D'' . To continue simplifying this expression, we have to give explicit expressions for the terms involved:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m+1}^0} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_2(\tau) = \prod_{\tau \in \sigma_{\text{roof}}^{m+1}} \omega_2(\tau), & D &= \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m-1}^0} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_0(\tau) = \prod_{\tau \in \sigma_{\text{roof}}^{m-1}} \omega_0(\tau) \\ N' &= t^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m+1}^1} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_2(\tau), & D' &= t^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m-1}^1} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_0(\tau) \\ N'' &= t^{-2} \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m+1}^2} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_2(\tau), & D'' &= t^{-2} \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m-1}^2} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_0(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

This boils down to a counting problem to do with disjoint collections of Schröder paths with exactly k flat steps for $k = 0, 1, 2$.

Simplifying the $\frac{D'}{D}$ Term

By above we have,

$$D = \prod_{\tau \in \sigma_{\text{roof}}^{m-1}} \omega_0(\tau) = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(2k+1)!}{(k+1)!}$$

and

$$D' = t^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m-1}^1} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_0(\tau)$$

so we want to count how many disjoint $(m-1)$ -collections σ have exactly one flat step in them.

Suppose we have a disjoint k -collection containing exactly one flat step. Let σ be the path in this collection containing the single flat step at height, say, p . By the same reasoning as when discussing X_k^0 earlier, the paths at height less than p must be V-paths. But then the disjointness condition ensures that σ at height p must have the flat step be centered, so σ is a path consisting of $p-1$ ascents, one flat step and then $p-1$ descents. We will call such a path a **flat step path at height p** . Note that the weightings for this kind of path are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0(\sigma) &= \frac{(2p)!}{(p+1)!} \\ \omega_2(\sigma) &= \frac{(2p-1)!}{(p-1)!} \end{aligned}$$

For the path directly above σ , we can either have a V-path as before or, because of the extra space provided by the flat step just below we can have

a path consisting of p ascents, one descent, one ascent and then p descents. Then for the next path above, the disjointness condition ensures that this path can only be either a path of a similar form or a V-path. We will call the path at height k consisting of $k-1$ ascents, one descent, one ascent and then k descents a **M-path at height k** . So in σ , after the flat step path at height p we will have some number of M-paths followed by some number of V-paths. Note that after we have switched to V-paths we cannot have any other paths above because of the disjointness condition. This allows us to characterize all the disjoint $(m-1)$ -collections in X_{m-1}^1 : fix $1 \leq p \leq m-1$ and $0 \leq q \leq m-1-p$, then the disjoint $(m-1)$ -collection $\sigma_{p,q}^{m-1}$ from the bottom up, is composed of $p-1$ V-paths, followed by a flat step path at height p , followed by q M-paths, followed by V-paths up to height $m-1$. Then Meckes in [Mec19] observed that

$$X_{m-1}^1 = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q \leq m-1-p}} \sigma_{p,q}^{m-1}$$

Let σ be a M-path at height k , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0(\sigma) &= \frac{(2k)!(2k)}{(k+1)!} \\ \omega_2(\sigma) &= \frac{(2k-1)!(2k-1)}{(k-1)!} \end{aligned}$$

where the extra factor on the numerator comes from the additional descent we have in σ . The ω_2 weighting will become relevant later on when we evaluate N .

Since we can recognize X_{m-1}^1 as a union of disjoint $(m-1)$ -collections with this specific form, we can write down an explicit expression for D' :

$$D' = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q \leq m-1-p}} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k+1)!}{(k+1)!} \right) \left(\frac{(2p)!}{(p+1)!} \right) \left(\prod_{k=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{(2k)!(2k)}{(k+1)!} \right) \left(\prod_{k=p+q+1}^{m-1} \frac{(2k+1)!}{(k+1)!} \right)$$

We can simplify the quotient D'/D : For each summand depending on p, q in the quotient D'/D , we have

$$\frac{\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \frac{1}{p!} \prod_{k=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \prod_{k=p+q+1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)!(2p)! \prod_{k=p+1}^{p+q} (2k)!(2k) \prod_{k=p+q+1}^{m-1} (2k+1)!}{\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (2k+1)!}$$

We can cancel the product of all the $\frac{1}{(k+1)!}$'s since on the top we also have a product of $\frac{1}{(k+1)!}$'s from 0 up to $m-1$. This gives us

$$\frac{\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)!(2p)! \prod_{k=p+1}^{p+q} (2k)!(2k) \prod_{k=p+q+1}^{m-1} (2k+1)!}{\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (2k+1)!}$$

We can further cancel all the $(2k+1)!$'s from $k=0$ to $p-1$ and from $p+q+1$ to $m-1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(2p)! \prod_{k=p+1}^{p+q} (2k)!(2k)}{\prod_{k=p}^{p+q} (2k+1)!} &= \frac{(2p)! \prod_{k=p+1}^{p+q} (2k)!(2k)}{(2p+1)! \prod_{k=p+1}^{p+q} (2k+1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2p+1} \left(\prod_{k=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{2k}{2k+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

So summing over all such p and q we have

$$\frac{D'}{D} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q \leq m-1-p}} \frac{1}{2p+1} \prod_{k=p+1}^{p+q} \left(\frac{2k}{2k+1} \right)$$

Disjoint k -collections containing exactly two flat steps

Recall for N'' and D'' we are considering paths in disjoint k -collections from either X_{m+1}^2 or X_{m-1}^2 . So our next step is to describe all disjoint k -collections containing exactly two flat steps. We can already rule out the possibility where a disjoint k -collection has two flat steps on the same path. This is because any path below the one with the flat steps needs to be a V-path and there's then not enough room on the path with the flat step for a flat step to appear anywhere other than the center. This then also tells us that for any disjoint k -collection with two flat steps, the two flat steps will be on separate paths and moreover the first flat step will be centered.

As before, after the first flat step we can have some number of M-paths followed by some number of V-paths. If we have a nonzero amount of V-paths, then that means the second path with a flat step in it will have its flat step centered. On the other hand, if we only have M-paths above the first

flat step path, then we have enough room for the second path containing a flat step to have its flat step offset by one either to the left or right, that is, either a path starting at height k with $(k-2)$ ascents, followed by a flat step, one more ascent and then $(k-1)$ descents, or a path starting at height k with $(k-1)$ ascents, one descent, a flat step and then $(k-2)$ descents. Notice that by symmetry, a path with flat step offset to the left has same total weight as a path with flat step offset to the right, and moreover that both have a total weight equal to the total weight of a regular flat step path at the same height. For this reason, in the future when discussing the total weight, we will use the term “flat step path” to refer to both types of paths. Suppose we have a left-offset flat step path at height p , then on the path at height $p+1$ we have enough space to have a left **asymmetric M-path** consisting of $(p+1-2)$ ascents, followed by one descent, 2 ascents, and then $(p+1-1)$ descents. More generally, a (left) asymmetric M-path at height k consists of $(k-2)$ ascents, one descent, two ascents and then $(k-1)$ descents. Above this first left asymmetric M-path we can have more asymmetric M-paths or regular M-paths or V-paths.

Suppose we have an left asymmetric M-path σ at height k , then the product of the weights on this path is given by

$$\omega_2(\sigma) = \frac{(2k-2)!}{(k-1)!} (2k-3)$$

Notice that by symmetry, a right asymmetric M-path (corresponding to the case where the second flat step is off-set to the right) will have the same product of weights. This means that a disjoint k -collection of where the second flat step is offset to the left followed by left asymmetric M-paths will have the same total weighting as the disjoint k -collection yielded by reflecting across the y -axis. For this reason, we will only need to consider the left offset case.

To summarize, we have two cases of disjoint k -collections containing exactly two flat steps:

- (a) Two centred flat steps: We have two centered flat step paths at height p_1 and p_2 respectively. Above the first flat step path we have q_1 M-paths and above the second flat step path we have q_2 M-paths. V-paths fill in all the rest. We will denote these kinds of disjoint k -collections by $\sigma_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2}^k$.
- (b) Second flat step is offset: We have one centered flat step path at height p_1 and an offset flat step path at height p_2 . In between p_1 and

p_2 we have only M-paths. Above the second flat step path we have q_1 asymmetric M-paths followed by q_2 M-paths. V-paths fill in the top and the bottom. We will denote disjoint k -collections of this form by L_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2}^k for a left offset and R_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2}^k for a right offset (though as remarked above, we will only need to consider the left offset case).

So we have that

$$X_k^2 = \bigcup_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2} \sigma_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2}^k \cup \bigcup_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2} L_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2}^k \cup \bigcup_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2} R_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2}^k$$

μ Simplification of the $N'' - d!D''$ Term

Recall that for N'' and D'' we have:

$$N'' = t^{-2} \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m+1}^2} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_2(\tau), \quad D'' = t^{-2} \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m-1}^2} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_0(\tau)$$

so in N'' we are considering disjoint $(m+1)$ -collections with exactly two flat steps while in D'' we are considering disjoint $(m-1)$ -collections. In order to not have to consider both, we employ the same trick originally used in [Wil17] to show $N = d!D$ (which we will call μ simplification). The idea is to view disjoint $(m-1)$ -collections in X_{m-1} as being embedded in X_{m+1} and so we only need to work in X_{m+1}^2 . Let $\sigma \in X_{m-1}$, then we get a corresponding $\mu(\sigma) \in X_{m+1}$ by shifting all paths up two units, adding ascents from $(-i, i)$ to $(-i+1, i+1)$ and descents from $(i-1, i+1)$ to (i, i) for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and finally adding a V-path at height $m+1$. Then $\mu(\sigma)$ has the same number of flat steps as σ and

$$\prod_{\tau \in \mu(\sigma)} \omega_2(\tau) = d! \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_0(\tau)$$

Let $\mu(X_{m-1}^2) \subseteq X_{m+1}^2$ denote the disjoint $(m+1)$ -collections that are these embeddings of all the disjoint $(m-1)$ -collections in X_{m-1}^2 , then by the relation above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N'' - d!D'' &= t^{-2} \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m+1}^2} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_2(\tau) - d!t^{-2} \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m-1}^2} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_0(\tau) \\ &= t^{-2} \sum_{\sigma \in X_{m+1}^2 \setminus \mu(X_{m-1}^2)} \prod_{\tau \in \sigma} \omega_2(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

So our next step is to describe all disjoint $(m+1)$ -collections with two flat steps that are not embeddings of disjoint $(m-1)$ -collections with two flat steps. We have four disjoint cases:

1. The first flat step at height $p_1 = 1$ and the second flat step is at height p_2 where $2 \leq p_2 \leq m + 1$.
2. The first flat step is at height $p_1 \geq 2$. The second flat step is at height $p_2 = m$ and we either have a M-path or an asymmetric M-path above p_2 .
3. The first flat step is at height $p_1 \geq 2$. The second flat step is at height $p_2 = m + 1$.
4. The two flat steps are at heights between 2 and $m - 1$ but with no V-paths above height p_2 .

Abusing notation slightly, let σ be the total weighting of the disjoint collections that are in any of the four cases above and moreover have two centered flat steps. Let L be the corresponding weighting for disjoint collections where the second flat step is offset to the left and let R be the corresponding weighting where the second flat step is offset to the right. By the symmetry reasoning above, we have that $L = R$, so we have that

$$N'' - d!D'' = \sigma + L + R = \sigma + 2L$$

We explicitly give expressions for σ and L below:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma = & \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_2 \leq m+1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq p_2-2 \\ 0 \leq q_2 \leq m+1-p_2}} \omega_2(\sigma_{1,p_2,q_1,q_2}^{m+1}) + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq m-p_1-1}} \omega_2(\sigma_{p_1,m,q_1,m+1-p_2}^{m+1}) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq m-p_1}} \omega_2(\sigma_{p_1,m+1,q_1,0}^{m+1}) + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m \\ p_1+1 \leq p_2 \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq p_2-p_1-1}} \omega_2(\sigma_{p_1,p_2,q_1,m+1-p_2}^{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_2 \leq m+1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq p_2-2 \\ 0 \leq q_2 \leq m+1-p_2}} \omega_2(L_{1,p_2,q_1,q_2}^{m+1}) + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq m-p_1-1}} \omega_2(L_{p_1,m,q_1,m+1-p_2}^{m+1}) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq m-p_1}} \omega_2(L_{p_1,m+1,q_1,0}^{m+1}) + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m \\ p_1+1 \leq p_2 \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq p_2-p_1-1}} \omega_2(L_{p_1,p_2,q_1,m+1-p_2}^{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof that $\sigma = L$

We show that in fact the values of σ and L above are equal. We will show that there is a bijection of sets $f : \sigma \rightarrow L$ that preserves the product of the weights.

Proof. Let δ be a disjoint $(m+1)$ -collection in σ . In general, δ will have its first flat step at p_1 and second flat step at p_2 . In between the two flat steps there will be q_1 M 's followed by $p_2 - p_1 - q_1$ number of upside down V 's. We'll call the height at which this first upside down V path appears to be v . Then we define $f(\delta)$ to be a disjoint $(m+1)$ -collection in L where we take δ and replace the upside down V path at v with a off-centred flat step path and all the paths from $v+1$ up to p_2 are replaced with asymmetric M 's. If δ had no upside down V 's in between the first two flat steps, then just replace the second flat step with an off-centred flat step. Clearly $f(\delta)$ is a unique disjoint $(m+1)$ -collection in L and this defines a well-defined function of sets from σ to L . We can see that f preserves the product of the weights on δ : each upside down V path starting at a height of say, h had product of weights $\frac{(2h-1)!}{(h-1)!}$ and this path was replaced by an asymmetric M path with product of weights $\frac{(2h-2)!}{(h-1)!}$ but on the asymmetric M path of height $h+1$ we have an extra factor of $(2(h+1)-3) = (2h+2-3) = (2h-1)$ so in total we also have product of weights $\frac{(2h-1)!}{(h-1)!}$. Note that this also applies to the flat step we introduced at height v : it had product of weights $\frac{(2v-2)!}{(v-1)!}$ but the asymmetric M just above it gives an extra factor of $(2v-1)$ which is already accounted for. Finally the flat step at p_2 in δ had product of weights $\frac{(2p_2-2)!}{(p_2-1)!}$ which is the same as the product of the weights in the asymmetric M we introduced at p_2 . So we see that f preserves weighting.

Now we define a function $g : L \rightarrow \sigma$. Let δ instead be a disjoint $(m+1)$ -collection in L . The collection δ has a second off-centred flat step at height p_2 with q_1 number of asymmetric M 's above it. Then we define $g(\delta)$ to be the disjoint $(m+1)$ -collection where we replace the second flat step at p_2 and all the asymmetric M 's above it with upside down V 's except for the last one, which we turn into a centred flat step (ie. at height $p_2 + q_1$). The paths above $p_2 + q_1$ will be either upside down V 's or M 's and so this gives us a disjoint $(m+1)$ -collection in σ . Clearly, g, f are inverse to each other, giving us a bijection $\sigma \rightarrow L$. Since f preserves products of weights, this also gives us that $\sigma = L$ as values. \square

σ Term

Since we understand V -paths, we can immediately write down an explicit expression for N :

$$N = \prod_{\tau \in \sigma_{\text{roof}}^{m+1}} \omega_2(\tau) = \prod_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{(2k-1)!}{(k-1)!}$$

So by above, we have

$$\frac{N'' - d!D''}{N} = \frac{3\sigma}{N}$$

Earlier we had split σ into four smaller sums based on which case they fell into. Consider a disjoint $(m+1)$ -collection in the first case, that is, we fix $p_1 = 1$, $2 \leq p_2 \leq m+1$, $0 \leq q_1 \leq p_2 - 2$, $0 \leq q_2 \leq m+1 - p_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma'}{N} &= \frac{\left(\prod_{k=2}^{q_1+2} (2k-2)!(2k-2) \right) \left(\prod_{k=q_1+2}^{p_2-1} (2k-1)! \right) (2p_2-2)! \left(\prod_{k=p_2+1}^{p_2+q_2} (2k-2)!(2k-2) \right) \left(\prod_{k=p_2+q_2+1}^{m+1} (2k-1)! \right)}{\left(\prod_{k=0}^{m+1} (2k-1)! \right)} \\ &= \frac{\left(\prod_{k=2}^{q_1+2} (2k-2)!(2k-2) \right) (2p_2-2)! \left(\prod_{k=p_2+1}^{p_2+q_2} (2k-2)!(2k-2) \right)}{\left(\prod_{k=2}^{q_1+2} (2k-1)! \right) \left(\prod_{k=p_2}^{p_2+q_2} (2k-1)! \right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2p_2-1} \left(\prod_{k=2}^{q_1+2} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \left(\prod_{k=p_2+1}^{p_2+q_2} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

So for the first case, we have

$$\frac{\sigma_1}{N} = \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_2 \leq m+1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq p_2-2 \\ 0 \leq q_2 \leq m+1-p_2}} \frac{1}{2p_2-1} \left(\prod_{k=2}^{q_1+2} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \left(\prod_{k=p_2+1}^{p_2+q_2} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right)$$

We similarly find expressions for the other three smaller sums.

Consider a disjoint $(m+1)$ -collection in the second case, that is, we fix $2 \leq p_1 \leq m-1, p_2 = m, 0 \leq q_1 \leq m - p_1 - 1, q_2 = 1$. Then we have

$$\frac{\sigma'}{N} = \frac{1}{2p_1-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_1+1}^{p_1+q_1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \left(\frac{2m}{(2m-1)(2m+1)} \right)$$

and for the whole second case, we have

$$\frac{\sigma_2}{N} = \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq m-p_1-1}} \frac{1}{2p_1-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_1+1}^{p_1+q_1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \left(\frac{2m}{(2m-1)(2m+1)} \right)$$

Consider a disjoint $(m+1)$ -collection in the third case. Then we have

$$\frac{\sigma'}{N} = \frac{1}{2p_1-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_1+1}^{p_1+q_1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2m+1} \right)$$

and for the whole third case, we have

$$\frac{\sigma_3}{N} = \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq m-p_1}} \frac{1}{2p_1-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_1+1}^{p_1+q_1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2m+1} \right)$$

Consider a disjoint $(m+1)$ -collection in the fourth case. Then we have

$$\frac{\sigma'}{N} = \frac{1}{2p_1-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_1+1}^{p_1+q_1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \frac{1}{2p_2-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_2+1}^{m+1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right)$$

and for the whole fourth case, we have

$$\frac{\sigma_4}{N} = \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m \\ p_1+1 \leq p_2 \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq p_2-p_1-1}} \frac{1}{2p_1-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_1+1}^{p_1+q_1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \frac{1}{2p_2-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_2+1}^{m+1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right)$$

Putting It All Together

So all together we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \text{Mag}(tB_2^d) \Big|_{t=0} = \\ & 6 \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_2 \leq m+1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq p_2-2 \\ 0 \leq q_2 \leq m+1-p_2}} \frac{1}{2p_2-1} \left(\prod_{k=2}^{q_1+2} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \left(\prod_{k=p_2+1}^{p_2+q_2} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) + \\ & 6 \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq m-p_1-1}} \frac{1}{2p_1-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_1+1}^{p_1+q_1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \left(\frac{2m}{(2m-1)(2m+1)} \right) + \\ & 6 \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq m-p_1}} \frac{1}{2p_1-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_1+1}^{p_1+q_1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2m+1} \right) + \\ & 6 \sum_{\substack{2 \leq p_1 \leq m \\ p_1+1 \leq p_2 \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q_1 \leq p_2-p_1-1}} \frac{1}{2p_1-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_1+1}^{p_1+q_1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) \frac{1}{2p_2-1} \left(\prod_{k=p_2+1}^{m+1} \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} \right) - \\ & V_1 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q \leq m-1-p}} \frac{1}{2p+1} \prod_{k=p+1}^{p+q} \left(\frac{2k}{2k+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Skip Factorials

The products

$$\prod_{k=a}^b \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)}, \quad \prod_{k=a}^b \frac{2k}{2k+1}$$

that appear in the sums above are ratios of skip or double factorials. In the following, we will refer to the identities about skip factorials given just below:

$$\begin{aligned} (2n)!! &= 2^n n! \\ (2n-1)!! &= \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} \\ (2n+1)!! &= \frac{(2n+1)!}{2^n n!} \end{aligned}$$

We will also use Catalan numbers, which are defined by

$$C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{(2n)}{n} = \frac{1}{2n+1} \binom{(2n+1)}{n}$$

Now let's write the product

$$\prod_{k=a}^b \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)}$$

in terms of skip factorials and try to simplify from there:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=a}^b \frac{(2k-2)}{(2k-1)} &= \frac{2(a-1)}{2a-1} \cdots \frac{2(b-1)}{2b-1} \\ &= \frac{(2(b-1))!!}{(2(a-2))!!} \left[\frac{(2b-1)!!}{(2(a-1)-1)!!} \right]^{-1} \\ &= \frac{2^{b-1}(b-1)!}{2^{a-2}(a-2)!} \left[\frac{(2b)!}{2^b b!} \frac{2^{a-1}(a-1)!}{(2(a-1))!} \right]^{-1} \\ &= \frac{2^{2b-1}}{2^{2(a-1)-1}} \frac{b!(b-1)!}{(2b)!} \frac{(2(a-1))!}{(a-1)!(a-2)!} \\ &= \frac{2^{2b-1}}{2^{2(a-1)-1}} \frac{(a-1) \binom{2(a-1)}{a-1}}{b \binom{2b}{b}} \\ &= \frac{2^{2b-1}}{2^{2(a-1)-1}} \frac{(a-1)aC_{a-1}}{b(b+1)C_b} \end{aligned}$$

And similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=a}^b \frac{2k}{2k+1} &= \frac{2a}{2a+1} \cdots \frac{2b}{2b+1} \\
&= \frac{(2b)!!}{(2(a-1))!!} \left[\frac{(2b+1)!!}{(2a-1)!!} \right]^{-1} \\
&= \frac{2^b b!}{2^{a-1} (a-1)!} \left[\frac{(2b+1)!}{2^b b!} \frac{2^a a!}{(2a)!} \right]^{-1} \\
&= \frac{2^{2b}}{2^{2a-1}} \frac{(b!)^2}{(2b+1)!} \frac{(2a)!}{a!(a-1)!} \\
&= \frac{2^{2b}}{2^{2a-1}} \frac{a \binom{2a}{a}}{(b+1) \binom{2b+1}{b}} \\
&= \frac{2^{2b}}{2^{2a-1}} \frac{a(a+1)C_a}{(2b+1)(b+1)C_b}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above, we can rewrite the last sum in our expression for the second derivative as the following:

$$V_1 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q \leq m-1-p}} \frac{1}{2p+1} \prod_{k=p+1}^{p+q} \left(\frac{2k}{2k+1} \right) = V_1 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq q \leq m-1}} \frac{1}{2p+1} \frac{2^{2(p+q)}}{2^{2(p+1)-1}} \frac{(p+1)(p+2)C_{p+1}}{(2(p+q)+1)(p+q+1)C_{p+q}}$$

Setting $k = p + q$, this last sum turns into

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2k+1-2q} \frac{2^k}{2^{2k-2q+1}} \frac{(k-q+1)(k-q+2)C_{k-q+1}}{(2k+1)(k+1)C_k} \\
= V_1 \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{2^k}{(2k+1)(k+1)C_k} \sum_{q=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-q+1)(k-q+2)C_{k-q+1}}{2^{2k-2q+1}(2k+1-2q)}
\end{aligned}$$

We use the following identity associated with the Catalan numbers:

$$C_k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{q=0}^{k-1} C_{k-q+1} \frac{(2q+1)!}{(q+1)!q!}$$

so multiplying by 1 in clever ways above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{2^k}{(2k+1)(k+1)C_k} \sum_{q=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-q+1)(k-q+2)C_{k-q+1}}{2^{2k-2q+1}(2k+1-2q)} \\
&= V_1 \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{2^k}{(2k+1)(k+1)C_k} k \frac{1}{k} \sum_{q=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-q+1)(k-q+2)C_{k-q+1}}{2^{2k-2q+1}(2k+1-2q)} \frac{(2q+1)!}{(q+1)!q!} \frac{(q+1)!q!}{(2q+1)!} \\
&= V_1 \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{2^k k C_k}{(2k+1)(k+1)C_k} \sum_{q=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-q+1)(k-q+2)}{2^{2k-2q+1}(2k+1-2q)} \frac{(q+1)!q!}{(2q+1)!} \\
&= V_1 \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{2^k k}{(2k+1)(k+1)} \sum_{q=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-q+1)(k-q+2)}{2^{2k-2q+1}(2k+1-2q)(2q+1)C_q}
\end{aligned}$$

Things to look at.

1. Try to combine e+v and lemma 6.38 approach.
2. Try to apply negative type assumption to eigenvalue approach.
3. See what we can say about eigenvalues of matrices of negative type.

Bounding the magnitude of a space of negative type by its cardinality.

Eigenvalues

Note that for a finite positive definite metric space

$$\#A = |I_A| = \sup_{v \neq 0} \frac{(\sum v(a))^2}{v^* I_A v} = \sup_{v \neq 0} \frac{(\sum v(a))^2}{\|v\|^2}$$

We want to see if

$$|tA| = \sup_{v \neq 0} \frac{(\sum v(a))^2}{v^* Z_{tA} v} \leq |I_A|$$

or equivalently if

$$v^* Z_{tA} v \geq \|v\|^2$$

Now since Z_{tA} is positive definite, we can factorize $Z_{tA} = B^* B$ where B is Hermitian. So we have

$$v^* Z_{tA} v = v^* B^* B v = \langle Bv, Bv \rangle = \|Bv\|^2$$

so equivalently we have

$$\|Bv\|^2 \geq \|v\|^2$$

Now by the Spectral decomposition, we have

$$\|Bv\|^2 = \|U \Lambda U^* v\|^2 = \|\Lambda U^* v\|^2 = \sum_{a \in tA} |\lambda_a y_a|^2 \quad \text{where } y = U^* v$$

So the question is whether

$$\sum_{a \in tA} |\lambda_a y_a|^2 \geq \sum_{a \in tA} |v_a|^2$$

So we want to understand the eigenvalues of the similarity matrix Z_{tA} . Some theorems and tools to look at are

1. Gersgorin Disc Theorem
2. Courant-Fischer Theorem
3. Work by Barcello-Carbery
4. Understand the matrix exponential more

$e + v$ decomposition

Another approach that Professor Meckes suggested was in looking at the supremum expression for PD metric spaces to decompose things in terms of vectors $e + v$:

$$|A| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{(\sum x(a))^2}{x^T Z x}$$

Now let $\#A = n$ and let e be the all 1's vector. Then we can decompose $x = e + v$ where $e^T v = 0$. Then we have

$$\sum x(a) = e^T x = e^T (e + v) = e^T e + e^T v = e^T e = n$$

and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{(\sum x(a))^2}{x^T Z x} \\ &= \sup_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{R}^A \\ e^T v = 0}} \frac{n^2}{e^T Z e + 2e^T Z v + v^T Z v} \quad \text{Symmetricity of } Z \\ &= \frac{n^2}{e^T Z e + \inf_{e^T v = 0} (2e^T Z v + v^T Z v)} \\ &= \frac{n^2}{e^T Z e + \inf_{e^T v = 0} \left(-\frac{(e^T Z v)^2}{v^T Z v} \right)} \\ &= \frac{n^2}{e^T Z e - \sup_{e^T v = 0} \left(\frac{(e^T Z v)^2}{v^T Z v} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

So $|A| \leq n$ is equivalent to the condition that

$$n \leq e^T Z e - \sup_{e^T v = 0} \frac{(e^T Z v)^2}{v^T Z v}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sup_{e^T v = 0} \frac{(e^T Z v)^2}{v^T Z v} \leq e^T Z e - n = \sum_{a,b} e^{-d(a,b)} - n = \sum_{a \neq b} e^{-da,b}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(e^T Z v)^2 \leq (e^T Z e - n)(v^T Z v) \quad \text{whenever } e^T v = 0$$

This looks kind of like a sort of Cauchy-Schwarz formula on the subspace $e^T v = 0$.

Lemma 6.38 Approach

Proposition (Lemma 6.38 of Zhan: Characterizing Negative Type). *Let A be a real symmetric matrix of order n . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. A is conditionally positive semidefinite:

$$x^T A x \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum x_i = 0\}$$

2. There is a $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the matrix $(a_{ij} - y_i - y_j)$ is positive semidefinite.
3. The Hadamard exponential $e^{\circ A}$ is infinitely divisible, that is, $e^{\circ \alpha A}$ is positive semidefinite for all $\alpha > 0$ (what we mean by negative type).

In our terms we have the matrix $\tilde{Z} = [-td(a, b)]_{a, b \in A}$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. \tilde{Z} is conditionally positive semidefinite

$$x^T \tilde{Z} x \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \text{ such that } \sum x_i = 0$$

2. there is a $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the matrix $(\tilde{Z}_{ij} - y_i - y_j) = (-td(i, j) - y_i - y_j)$ is positive semidefinite
3. $e^{\circ \tilde{Z}}$ is positive semidefinite for all $t > 0$ (i.e. A is of negative type).

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\tilde{x} = x - n^{-1}(e^T x)e = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Need to see that $\tilde{x} \in \Omega$ (Not sure here yet):

$$\sum \tilde{x}_i = \sum \left(x_i - \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \right) = \sum \left(\frac{nx_i - \sum x_i}{n} \right)$$

The idea is to look at this vector y and see if it gives us anything. Let e be the all 1's vector. Define

$$y = n^{-1} \tilde{Z}e - \frac{1}{2} n^{-2} (e^T \tilde{Z}e)e$$

Then since \tilde{Z} is conditionally positive semidefinite, we have

$$0 \leq \tilde{x}^T \tilde{Z} \tilde{x} = x^T (\tilde{Z} - ye^T - ey^T)x = x^T \tilde{Z}x - x^T ye^T x - x^T ey^T x$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

If we substitute the expression we have for y into the inequality above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x^T \tilde{Z}x - x^T ye^T x - x^T ey^T x \\ &= x^T \tilde{Z}x - x^T \left(n^{-1} \tilde{Z}e - \frac{1}{2} n^{-2} (e^T \tilde{Z}e)e \right) e^T x - x^T e \left(n^{-1} \tilde{Z}e - \frac{1}{2} n^{-2} (e^T \tilde{Z}e)e \right)^T x \end{aligned}$$

Note that $e^T x = x^T e = \sum x_i$. We'll denote this sum $X := \sum x_i$. Also note that $e^T \tilde{Z}e = \sum_{a,b \in A} -td(a,b)$. We'll denote this sum $K := \sum_{a,b \in A} -td(a,b)$. Then the inequality above turns into

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x^T \tilde{Z}x - x^T ye^T x - x^T ey^T x \\ &= x^T \tilde{Z}x - x^T \left(n^{-1} \tilde{Z}e - \frac{1}{2} n^{-2} (e^T \tilde{Z}e)e \right) e^T x - x^T e \left(n^{-1} \tilde{Z}e - \frac{1}{2} n^{-2} (e^T \tilde{Z}e)e \right)^T x \\ &= x^T \tilde{Z}x - x^T \left(n^{-1} \tilde{Z}e - \frac{1}{2} n^{-2} Ke \right) X - X \left(n^{-1} \tilde{Z}e - \frac{1}{2} n^{-2} Ke \right)^T x \end{aligned}$$

Note also that the vector

$$n^{-1} \tilde{Z}e = n^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -td(1,1) & \cdots & -td(1,n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -td(n,1) & \cdots & -td(n,n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = n^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{a \in A} -td(a,1) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{a \in A} -td(a,n) \end{bmatrix}$$

which means something.

References

- [BC16] Juan Antonio Barcelo and Anthony Carbery. On the magnitudes of compact sets in Euclidean spaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 2016.
- [Lei11] Tom Leinster. The magnitude of metric spaces. *Documenta Mathematica*, 2011.
- [Mec19] Mark W. Meckes. On the magnitude and intrinsic volumes of a convex body in Euclidean space. *Mathematika*, 2019.
- [Weia] Eric W. Weisstein. Wolfram Mathworld Catalan Number. <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/CatalanNumber.html>. Accessed: 2020-02-25.
- [Weib] Eric W. Weisstein. Wolfram Mathworld Double Factorial. <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/DoubleFactorial.html>. Accessed: 2020-02-25.
- [Wil17] Simon Willerton. The magnitude of odd balls via Hankel determinants of reverse Bessel polynomials. *arXiv*, 2017.