

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: L A 5402-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Res Methods Landscape Arch

Instructor: Scott Williams

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	0.57735		4	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	1.26	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.81650		4	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.74	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Scott Williams													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.41421		4	0.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	16.67	9.28
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.85	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	3	1.50000		4	0.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	18.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.58	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent