

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: RCPL 5113-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Urban Planning Research Method

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Krimmer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	22.22	29.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.05	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	22.22	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.10	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Matthew Krimmer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	44.44	73.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.48	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.22474		9	0.00	22.22	0.00	33.33	44.44	22.22	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.48137		9	11.11	11.11	11.11	22.22	44.44	11.11	19.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.38	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent