

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 3512-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Cost Estimating

Course Level: All

Instructor: Tamera Mc Cuen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.42105	4	1.01739		19	5.26	10.53	31.58	42.11	10.53	26.32	18.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.46	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.42105	4	1.26121		19	5.26	26.32	10.53	36.84	21.05	31.58	21.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.39	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Tamera Mc Cuen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.89474	4	0.99413		19	5.26	0.00	21.05	47.37	26.32	35.00	38.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.09	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.94281		19	0.00	5.26	26.32	31.58	36.84	35.00	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.21053	4	0.85498		19	0.00	0.00	26.32	26.32	47.37	55.00	49.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.05	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent