

# eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2011

Course: ARCH 1154-240

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Design and Graphics Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructors: Thomas Cline / Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	42.86	44.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.04	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	42.86	44.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.22	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.29	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	50.00	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.40	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	50.00	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.39	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	10.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.58	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	0.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.62	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	0.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.83	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2011

Course: ARCH 1254-340

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Design and Graphics Studio II

Course Level: All

Instructors: Thomas Cline / Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	28.57	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.05	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	57.14	55.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.32	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.66	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.70	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	40.00	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.10	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	30.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.20	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	40.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.19	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2011

Course: ARCH 3013-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Architecture for Non-Majors

Course Level: All

Instructor: Khosrow Bozorgi

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	57.14	55.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.80	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	71.43	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.80	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Khosrow Bozorgi</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.66	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.70	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2011

Course: ARCH 4754-280

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Studio VII

Course Level: All

Instructors: David Boeck / DANIEL BUTKO

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	1.10	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	1.35	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>David Boeck</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	1.30	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	40.00	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.02	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	20.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.07	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
<i>DANIEL BUTKO</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.14	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.62	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	20.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.07	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2011

Course: ARCH 4854-280

College of Architecture

Section Title: Studio VIII

Instructor: Joel Dietrich

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	14.29	22.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.47	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	14.29	22.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.64	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Joel Dietrich													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	10.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.58	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.62	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.70	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2011

Course: ARCH 4970-140

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Design Fabrication

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Cline

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	57.14	55.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.80	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	71.43	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.80	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.66	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.70	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2011

Course: ARCH 6590-980

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Professional Project Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shawn Schaefer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	57.14	55.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.80	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	71.43	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.80	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Shawn Schaefer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.66	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.70	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2011

Course: ARCH 6643-980

College of Architecture

Section Title: Urban Design Theory

Instructor: Charles Goins

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	57.14	55.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.80	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	14.29	22.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.64	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Charles Goins													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	10.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.58	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.66	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.83	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent



# eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2011

Course: CNS 3943-995

College of Architecture

Section Title: Field Work

Instructor: Richard Ryan

Total Enrollment: 19

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.03280		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	50.00	16.67	0.00	11.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.89	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00	11.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.88	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Richard Ryan</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.82	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.84	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	1.08	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2011

Course: CNS 5940-004

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Construction Indust Practicum

Course Level: All

Instructor: Richard Ryan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	55.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.80	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.80	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Richard Ryan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.14	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.02	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.07	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent