

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 2333-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 42

Section Title: Architecture & the Environment

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Yowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.50000	-0.74	25	0.00	0.00	4.00	12.00	84.00	90.91	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824		1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.84000	5	0.47258		25	0.00	0.00	4.00	8.00	88.00	95.46	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795		-0.81	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.92000	5	0.27689	-0.79	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.00	92.00	95.65	89.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38069	-0.74	24	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	91.30	89.69
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.88000	5	0.33166	-0.70	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.00	88.00	91.30	90.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent