

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 5513-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Project Management & Controls

Course Level: All

Instructor: Douglas Gransberg

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	63.16	71.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.55	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.95119		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	14.29	57.14	57.90	54.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.34	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Douglas Gransberg													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.00	85.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.71	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	80.00	80.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.60	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.00	88.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.68	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent