

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 1142-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 101

Section Title: Design, Construction & Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.68182	4	1.13895		66	6.06	9.09	21.21	37.88	25.76	20.46	22.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.23	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.51515	4	1.23091		66	10.61	9.09	19.70	39.39	21.21	25.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.31	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.21538	5	1.09676		65	6.15	1.54	9.23	30.77	52.31	67.39	58.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.19	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.04688	4	0.99888		64	1.56	4.69	23.44	28.13	42.19	65.22	54.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.08	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.07576	4	0.91669		66	1.52	4.55	15.15	42.42	36.36	50.00	43.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.09	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent