

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 2763-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Computer Applications in I D

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.61721		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	20.00	73.33	70.00	77.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.63	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.79881		15	0.00	6.67	0.00	6.67	86.67	90.00	88.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.72	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Elizabeth Pober</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.87706		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	23.08	69.23	91.67	71.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.46	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.56061		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	6.67	86.67	100.00	88.66
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.71	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.46667	5	0.99043		15	0.00	6.67	13.33	6.67	73.33	75.00	64.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.30	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent