

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 5823-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Quality Management-Constructn

Instructor: William Mc Manus

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

| Question | Level | Mean Response | Median Response | Standard Deviation | ZScore | Responses | Percent #1 | Percent #2 | Percent #3 | Percent #4 | Percent #5 | Dept Rank | College Rank |
|--|------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| 2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning | INDIVIDUAL | 4.60000 | 5 | 0.54772 | | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 60.00 | 68.42 | 73.91 |
| | DEPARTMENT | 3.87234 | 4 | 1.18425 | | 235 | 5.53 | 8.94 | 17.02 | 29.79 | 38.72 | | |
| | COLLEGE | 3.94483 | 4 | 1.14824 | -0.57 | 1,015 | 4.93 | 7.88 | 15.57 | 31.03 | 40.59 | | |
| 9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development | INDIVIDUAL | 4.60000 | 5 | 0.54772 | | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 60.00 | 78.95 | 76.09 |
| | DEPARTMENT | 3.81974 | 4 | 1.20421 | | 233 | 6.44 | 9.44 | 15.88 | 32.19 | 36.05 | | |
| | COLLEGE | 3.88083 | 4 | 1.18795 | -0.61 | 1,007 | 6.75 | 7.25 | 14.90 | 33.37 | 37.74 | | |
| William Mc Manus | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time | INDIVIDUAL | 4.20000 | 4 | 0.83666 | | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 50.00 | 54.64 |
| | DEPARTMENT | 3.96748 | 4 | 1.16363 | | 246 | 5.69 | 6.10 | 16.67 | 28.86 | 42.68 | | |
| | COLLEGE | 3.99905 | 4 | 1.16934 | -0.17 | 1,049 | 5.82 | 6.58 | 13.73 | 29.65 | 44.23 | | |
| 17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc. | INDIVIDUAL | 4.20000 | 4 | 0.83666 | | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 50.00 | 58.76 |
| | DEPARTMENT | 3.94758 | 4 | 1.18410 | | 248 | 6.45 | 5.65 | 16.94 | 28.63 | 42.34 | | |
| | COLLEGE | 3.94561 | 4 | 1.19770 | -0.21 | 1,048 | 6.11 | 7.44 | 15.55 | 27.58 | 43.32 | | |
| 21. Instructor provided for student consultation | INDIVIDUAL | 4.60000 | 5 | 0.54772 | | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 60.00 | 80.00 | 72.17 |
| | DEPARTMENT | 4.18072 | 4 | 0.97730 | | 249 | 2.81 | 1.20 | 19.68 | 27.71 | 48.59 | | |
| | COLLEGE | 4.16332 | 4 | 1.02599 | -0.43 | 1,047 | 3.25 | 3.82 | 14.80 | 29.61 | 48.52 | | |

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent