eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4970-902

Section Title: Student Prof Org

Instructor: Nickolas Harm

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	59.09	57.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.42	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	70.46	63.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.46	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Nickolas Harm													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	84.78	74.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.49	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	80.44	71.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.40	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.52753		7	14.29	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	36.96	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent