

**Class:** Robust Multivariate Control

**Professor:** Dr. Sean Humbert

**TAs:** Santosh Chaganti

**Student:** Steve Gillet

**Date:** February 8, 2025

**Assignment:** Homework 2

1. Find the singular value decompositions (SVDs) of the following:

(a)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Find SVD

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^*A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 4 \\ -2 & 1 & -2 \\ 4 & -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^*A - \sigma^2 I = \begin{bmatrix} 4 - \lambda & -2 & 4 \\ -2 & 1 - \lambda & -2 \\ 4 & -2 & 4 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(A^*A - \sigma^2 I) = 0$$

$$-\lambda^3 + 9\lambda^2 = 0$$

$$-\lambda^2(\lambda - 9) = 0$$

$$\lambda_{2,3} = 0, \lambda_1 = 9$$

$$(A - \lambda_{2,3}I)v = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 4 \\ -2 & 1 & -2 \\ 4 & -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
x_{2,3} &= c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix} -5 & -2 & 4 \\ -2 & -8 & -2 \\ 4 & -2 & -5 \end{bmatrix} x &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
x_1 &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\
\sigma_1 = 3, v_1 &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\
\sigma_2, \sigma_3 = 0 \quad v_{2,3} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
u_1 &= \frac{Av_1}{\sigma_1} \\
u_1 &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{9} \cdot 9 \\
u_{2,3} &= 0 \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \\
A &= [1] \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}} & \frac{4}{\sqrt{18}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}} & \frac{4}{\sqrt{18}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

**(b) The  $m \times n$  matrix of zeros,  $O_{m \times n}$ .**

For a 0 matrix you are going to get 0 for all the singular values because the determinant of that  $A^*A - \lambda I$  is just going to give you  $n \lambda = 0$ . The  $V^T$  matrix will be  $n \times n$  with 0s in the first row and then the other rows any vectors orthonormal to the 0 vector, same with the U matrix columns except the U matrix will be  $m \times m$  and  $\Sigma$  will be  $m \times n$  0s.

$$O_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times m} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

Intuitively a 0 matrix scaled by 0 in every direction so all singular values will be 0.

**(c) A general  $x \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (in terms of  $x$  and  $\|x\|$ )**

So the result of the SVD of any vector would be similar to part (a) where the  $\Sigma$  singular value diagonal matrix is just going to be a  $1 \times n$  matrix with 1 singular value that will be the norm of the vector (since a vector only extends in one direction it makes sense that there's just one singular value that is the norm in that direction). Then  $U$  will just be a scalar 1 since  $m$  is just 1 and  $v_1$  will be the normalized vector  $\frac{x_i}{\|x\|}$  values transposed and  $\frac{A}{\sigma_1}$  will be  $\frac{x_i}{\|x\|}$  vector  $u_i$  will effectively be  $xx^T$  which will always come out to 1 in this case. The remaining  $V$  rows will be whatever vectors are orthonormal to  $v_1$  so the whole thing will look something like:

$$x = [1] \begin{bmatrix} \|x\| & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1}{\|x\|} & \cdots & \frac{x_{n-1}}{\|x\|} & \frac{x_n}{\|x\|} \\ v_2 \perp v_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_n \perp v_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

**2. The condition number of a matrix is defined by  $\text{cond}(G) = \bar{\sigma}(G)/\underline{\sigma}(G)$  The condition number of a matrix (or of a transfer function of a plant plotted as a function of frequency) is a measure of the difficulty in inverting the matrix (i.e., controlling the plant). If  $G$  and  $K$  are square, invertible complex matrices, prove the following using the submultiplicative property  $\bar{\sigma}(GK) \leq \bar{\sigma}(G)\bar{\sigma}(K)$  of the matrix 2-norm,  $\|\cdot\|_2 = \bar{\sigma}(\cdot)$  and the identity  $\bar{\sigma}(G^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\underline{\sigma}(G)}$ . (Hint:  $GG^{-1} = I$ ).**

**(a)  $\bar{\sigma}(GK) \leq \bar{\sigma}(KG)\text{cond}(G)$**

Submultiplicative

$$\bar{\sigma}(GK) \leq \bar{\sigma}(G)\bar{\sigma}(K)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}(GK) \leq \bar{\sigma}(G)\bar{\sigma}(KGG^{-1})$$

$$\bar{\sigma}(GK) \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}(G)\bar{\sigma}(KG)}{\underline{\sigma}(G)}$$

$$\bar{\sigma}(GK) \leq \bar{\sigma}(KG)\text{cond}(G)$$

$$(b) \quad \underline{\sigma}(GK) \geq \underline{\sigma}(KG)/cond(G)$$

Submultiplicative

$$\bar{\sigma}(G^{-1}K^{-1}) \leq \bar{\sigma}(G^{-1})\bar{\sigma}(K^{-1})$$

$$\frac{1}{\underline{\sigma}(GK)} \leq \frac{1}{\underline{\sigma}(G)\underline{\sigma}(K)}$$

$$\underline{\sigma}((G)\underline{\sigma}(K)) \leq \underline{\sigma}(GK)$$

$$\underline{\sigma}((G)\underline{\sigma}(KGG^{-1})) \leq \underline{\sigma}(GK)$$

$$\frac{\underline{\sigma}(G)}{\bar{\sigma}(G)}\underline{\sigma}(KG) \leq \underline{\sigma}(GK)$$

$$\frac{\underline{\sigma}(KG)}{cond(G)} \leq \underline{\sigma}(GK)$$