

MATH 6644

Project 1

Stephan Boettcher

March 14, 2015

Part 1

Discretize the following differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = 2x - \frac{1}{2} & x \in [0, 1] \\ u(0) = 1, & u(1) = -1 \end{cases}$$

by centered difference scheme with n interior mesh points. Solve the resulting linear system by Conjugate Gradient (CG), Preconditioned CG with Sine transform to construct the preconditioner.

The one-dimensional equation given above can be solved with numeral method analysis or directly as a function of x . The solved equation then can be used to check the validity of the numerical methods. When solved directly, the equation becomes:

$$u(x) = -0.333333x^3 + 0.25x^2 - 1.91667x + 1$$

The discretized one-dimensional equation above was solved by the central difference scheme with n interior points. The resulting problem is then an $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix, A , and $(n+2) \times 1$ vectors, x and b . The problem was solved using both the Conjugate Gradient (CG) method and the Preconditioned CG method with a Sine transform preconditioner, S . The S matrix was defined as:

$$[S]_{j,k} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi jk}{n+1}\right)$$

The A matrix formed from the discretized equation forms a tridiagonal matrix, with elements directly on the primary diagonal as well as elements one diagonal above and below the primary diagonal. This sparse matrix was then run with the Conjugate Gradient(CG) method. The CG method is one of the most prominent iterative methods for solving sparse matrices as it converges much more quickly than other iterative methods such as steepest descent. The Conjugate Gradient iterates in such a way that each new residual is orthogonal to all the previous residuals and search directions. Each new search direction is constructed is A -orthogonal to all the previous residuals and search directions, thus using the Krylov subspace to iterate more efficiently. The CG method was run with n values from 256 to 16384. As the values of n increased, the time necessary to complete the iteration increased proportionally. The final results of the CG method can be found in Figure ???. After the CG method completed, the S matrix, used in the PCG method, was formed.

The S matrix was formed in two different ways to determine the most efficient method. The first method was using Matlab's vector math and `parfor` to form the matrix in parallel. This was achieved with the following code:

```

1 k=1:runs(a); %generates a vector from 1 to the length of n.
2 %runs contains the list of n values and a is the current iteration.
3 parfor j=1:runs(a) %Parallel for loop
4     S(j,k)=sqrt(2/(n+1))*sin((pi*j*k)/(n+1)); %form an entire column of values
5 end

```

This code was run with 4 workers in parallel, allowing for a theoretical 4x improvement over the serial code. Figure 1 shows the runtime for the parallel code vs serial code. As is common with parallel code, for small values of n , the serial code outperforms the parallel. However, for larger n values, the 4x speedup is achieved. The S matrix then was formed using Matlab's Discrete Sine Transform(DST) command, `dst(x)`. Figure 1 shows the runtime for the built in DST command which proved to be slower than the parallel code. Thus for the remainder of this portion of the project, the parallel code was used.

n	Serial (sec)	Parallel For(sec)	DST (sec)
258	0.0194	0.0494	0.5190
514	0.0111	0.0564	0.0196
1026	0.0423	0.0771	0.0706
2050	0.1704	0.1439	0.2003
4098	0.5087	0.4694	1.1024
8194	8.1068	1.9299	3.9583
16386	37.3268	7.9876	29.3784

Figure 1: Runtime for generating the S

The S matrix acted as a preconditioner to the tridiagonal A matrix.

Part 2

Construct a dense 3×3 matrix G with $\rho(G) > 1$. Define an iteration and find an example of \vec{x}_0 and \vec{c} such that the iteration does not converge.

Beginning with the dense A matrix of: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 9 & 2 \\ 7 & 20 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, we generate the G matrix by first constructing

the N and M matrices. The M matrix is defined as the diagonal elements of A and the N matrix is defined as: $N=M-A$, or the off diagonal elements multiplied by -1. The G matrix is then constructed

by $G = M^{-1} * N$, which creates the following matrix: $G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & -1 \\ -\frac{4}{9} & 0 & -\frac{2}{9} \\ \frac{7}{2} & -10 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. The spectral radius

of the G matrix is 2.9413. This spectral radius makes for an unstable iteration matrix that will most likely result in the iterative method going to infinity, rather than converging on a value. An iteration for this matrix is defined as:

$$\vec{x}_{k+1} = G\vec{x}_k + \vec{c}$$

Question 5

Discretize the following differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + 4u = & x \in [0, 1] \\ u(0) = -1, & u(1) = 2 \end{cases}$$

by the central difference scheme. Write your linear system of equations (you must give the matrix A and \vec{b}). Solve the system by using classical iteration such as Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel and SOR with $n = 1000$. Test your relaxation parameter in SOR for several values and decide which one is better. You need to discuss your results.

The above differential equation can be solved analytically to generate a truth curve, against which the numerical methods can be compared. The solution for this particular ODE is:

$$u(x) = \frac{e^{-2x}(e^{4x} + 2e^{4x+2} - 2e^2 - e^4)}{e^4 - 1}$$

To solve this equation numerically, the equation must first be discretized via the central difference theorem. The first step is to discretize the term $-u''$:

$$u'' = \frac{d^2u}{dx^2} = \frac{1}{h} \left(\frac{u(x+h) - u(x)}{h} - \frac{u(x) - u(x-h)}{h} \right) = \frac{1}{h^2} (u(x+h) - 2u(x) + u(x-h))$$

This gives the final form of the differential equation, with the same boundary conditions, of:

$$0 = -\frac{1}{h^2} (u(x+h) - 2u(x) + u(x-h)) + 4u(x)$$

Realizing that $h = x_i - x_{i-1}$, this can be simplified and rewritten as:

$$0 = u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1} - 4h^2u_i$$

This form of the equation can be used to generate the A and \vec{b} variables, where $A\vec{u} = \vec{b}$:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 - 4h^2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & -2 - 4h^2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 - 4h^2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & -2 - 4h^2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_{n-2} \\ u_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $h = \frac{1}{n}$. This discretized ODE was then solved using the Gauss-Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel, and SOR with $n = 1000$.

The A matrix as well as the b and u vectors were created with the following code:

```
1 %Creates the A matrix for problem 5!
2 function [A,b,u]=SetupProb1(n)
```

```

3 A=full(gallery('tridiag',n,1,-2-(1/n)^2*(2),1));
4 b=zeros(n,1);
5 b(1)=1;
6 b(end)=-1;
7 u=0:1/(n-1):1; %creates a 1000x1 vector bounded by [0,1]
8 end

```

The first algorithm tested was the Gauss-Jacobi method. This is the simplest algorithm to implement and has a simple iteration. The G-J method is computed by the equation:

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right) \quad i = 1 : n$$

The G-J algorithm can quickly be implemented in just a few lines in Matlab, using Matlab's vector notation. The implementation used for this problem is shown below.

```

1 function [ x,count ] = Jacobi( A,b,mindiff )
2 %JACOBI Custom implementation of the jacobi method
3 % This function takes in the A matrix, the b vector, the maximum number
4 % of iterations, and the minimum error. returns the x values.
5 if(~any(diag(A)))
6     error('There is a diagonal entry that is 0!')
7 end
8
9 count=0;
10 x0=zeros(size(b));
11 while(1)
12     count=count+1;
13     x=(b-A*x0+(diag(A).*x0))./diag(A);
14
15     if(abs(x-x0)<mindiff)
16         break;
17     end
18
19     x0=x;
20 end
21
22
23 end

```

The G-J method was run with a number of different convergence criteria to demonstrate the convergence properties of this method. Figure 2 shows these convergence values. The convergence criteria is defined as $|\vec{x}^{(k+1)} - \vec{x}^{(k)}|$. When the method is no longer making any significant changes to the \vec{x} values, it has been deemed converged. This is used throughout this homework question as a metric for performance.

Figure 2: Convergence of the G-J method.

References

- [1] Jonathan Richard Shewchuk, *An Introduction to the Conjugate Gradient Method Without the Agonizing Pain*. Carnegie Mellon University 1994.