## Programming Abstractions

Lecture 19: MiniScheme C

#### What can MiniScheme do at this point?

MiniScheme B has constant numbers

MiniScheme B has pre-bound symbols that are in the init-env

#### Recall

```
(parse input) — Parses the input, at this point only numbers, and returns a (lit-exp num)

(eval-exp tree e) — Evaluates the parse tree in the environment e, returning a value
```

### MiniScheme B grammar

#### MiniScheme B

```
Grammar

EXP → number parse into lit-exp

symbol parse into var-exp
```

Data types constructed by parse

```
(struct lit-exp (num) #:transparent)
(struct var-exp (symbol) #:transparent)
```

#### MiniScheme B parse

```
(define (parse input)
  (cond [(number? input) (lit-exp input)]
      [(symbol? input) (var-exp input)]
      [else (error 'parse "Invalid syntax ~s" input)]))
```

#### MiniScheme B eval-exp

```
(define (eval-exp tree e)
  (cond [(lit-exp? tree) (lit-exp-num tree)]
       [(var-exp? tree)
            (env-lookup e (var-exp-symbol tree))]
       [else (error 'eval-exp "Invalid tree: ~s" tree)]))
```

You'll need a working env-lookup

What does (parse 275) return?

- A. 275
- B. (lit-exp 275)
- C. It's an error

What does (parse 'z) return?

C. It's an error

What does (eval-exp (var-exp 'z) environment) do?

- A. Returns what z is bound to in environment
- B. It's an error
- C. It looks up with z is bound to, returning the result or causing an error if z is not bound
- D. Something else

## Let's add arithmetic and some list procedures MiniScheme C

Let's add +, -, \*, /, car, cdr, cons, etc.

Students find this to be the hardest part of the project

- It's the first complex part
- It contains some things that make more sense later, once we add lambda expressions

#### **Enter lists**

So far, the input to MiniScheme A and B has just been a number or a symbol

If the input is a list, then the kind of expression it represents depends on the first element

- If the first element is 'lambda, it's a lambda expression
- If the first element is 'let, it's a let expression
- ► If the first element is 'if, it's an if-then-else expression
- etc.

Procedure applications don't have keywords, so any nonempty list for which the first element is not one of our supported keywords is an application

(foo x 8 y) is an application with procedure foo and arguments x, 8, and y

Which rule should we add to our grammar to support procedure calls like (+ 10 15) and (car lst)?

EXP → number parse into lit-exp

| symbol parse into var-exp
| ???

```
    A. (PROC ARGS)
    D. (EXP*)
    B. (PROC ARG*)
    E. (EXP EXP*)
    C. (symbol EXP*)
```

#### Many ways to call procedures

```
(+ 2 3)
((lambda (x y) (+ x y)) 2 3)
(let ([f +]) (f 2 3))
```

The parser can't identify primitive procedures like + because symbols like f may be bound to primitive procedures

It can't tell because the parser does not have access to the environment

All that the parser can do is recognize a procedure application and parse

- the procedure; and
- the arguments

#### Procedure applications

#### MiniScheme C

An app-exp is a new data type that stores

- The parse tree for a procedure
- A list of parse trees for the arguments

```
(struct app-exp (proc args) #:transparent)
```

# Recursive implementation Parsing

Expressions are recursive:  $EXP \rightarrow (EXP EXP^*)$ 

When parsing an application expression, you want to parse the sub expressions using parse

### How should you parse the arguments?

```
Consider input that looks like ((lambda (x y) x) 2 3) or (f 4 5 6)
```

The procedure part can be parsed with (parse (first input))

How should you parse the arguments?

What is the result of (parse '(foo x y z))?

E. It's an error because the variables foo, x, y, and z aren't defined

What is the result of (parse '(foo (add1 x))?

D. It's an error

#### Evaluating an app-exp

Evaluate the procedure part

Evaluate each of the arguments

If the procedure part evaluates to a primitive procedure, call a procedure you'll write that will perform the operation on the arguments

- ► E.g., if the primitive procedure is \*, then you'll want to call \* on the arguments
- In MiniScheme C, primitive procedures are the only supported type of procedures; this will change later

The tricky part is what should the result of evaluating the procedure part be?

#### Evaluating the procedure part of an app-exp

Consider the input '(+ 2 3 4)

The procedure part is '+ which will be parsed as (var-exp '+)

Variable reference expressions are evaluated by looking the symbol up in the current environment

Therefore, we need our initial environment to contain a binding for the symbol '+ (and all the other primitive procedures we want to support)

#### prim-proc data type

We can create a new data type prim-proc
 (struct prim-proc (symbol) #:transparent)
We're going create a bunch of these
 (prim-proc '+)
 (prim-proc '-)
 (prim-proc 'car)
 (prim-proc 'cdr)
 (prim-proc 'null?)

#### prim-proc

A prim-proc is a **value** that will be returned by eval-exp, just like numbers are in MiniScheme now

A (prim-proc 'car) is to the MiniScheme interpreter exactly the same thing ###car> is to DrRacket

Since prim-proc is **only** used to interpret expressions, where should this data type be defined?

#### Binding variables to prim-proc

In DrRacket, + is bound to #t

In MiniScheme, + needs to be bound to (prim-proc '+) in our initial environment, init-env

And similarly for -, \*, /, car, cdr, null? etc.

#### Adding primitives to our initial environment

```
(define primitive-operators
 '(+ - * /))
(define prim-env
  (env primitive-operators
       (map prim-proc primitive-operators)
       empty-env))
(define init-env
  (env '(x y) '(23 42) prim-env))
```