Programming Abstractions

Week 14-1: Continuation Passing Style

Continuations: Our final topic!

Suppose expression E contains a subexpression S

The **continuation** of S in E consists of all of the steps needed to complete E after the completion of S

```
Example: (- 4 (+ 1 1))
```

- ► The subexpression S, (+ 1 1) is called the redex ("reducible expression")
- ► The continuation is (- 4 □) where □ takes the place of S

```
Example: (displayln (foo (bar (* 2 3))))
```

► The continuation of (bar (* 2 3)) is (displayIn (foo □))

```
What is the continuation of (fact (sub1 n)) in the expression (* n (fact (sub1 n)))
```

```
A. (* n (fact (sub1 n)))

B. (* n (fact (sub1 □)))

C. (* n (fact □))

D. (* n □)
```

A continuation is really a dynamic construct

A continuation is determined by the expression's evaluation context at run time (define (fact n) (cond [(zero? n) 1] [else (* n (fact (sub1 n))))) At the point 1 is evaluated in the call (fact 0), the continuation is At the point 1 is evaluated in the call (fact 1), the continuation is (* 1 -) At the point 1 is evaluated in the call (fact 2), the continuation is

Key: The continuation is all the rest of computation

Continuations can be quite complicated!

Starting with a positive integer n, construct a sequence where each successive term is obtained by the current term n

- If the current term n is 1, then stop.
- If the current term n is even, the next term is n/2
- ► If the current term n is odd, the next term is 3n+1

(The Collatz conjecture says that the sequence produced starting with any positive integer eventually stops.)

```
(define (collatz n)
  (cond [(= 1 n) '(1)]
       [(even? n) (cons n (collatz (/ n 2)))]
       [else (cons n (collatz (add1 (* 3 n))))]))
```

Continuations of '(1) in the call (collatz n) for several values of n

```
(define (collatz n)
  (cond [(= 1 n) '(1)]
       [(even? n) (cons n (collatz (/ n 2)))]
       [else (cons n (collatz (add1 (* 3 n))))]))
```

Continuations of '(1) in the call (collatz n) for several values of n = 1:

```
(define (collatz n)
  (cond [(= 1 n) '(1)]
        [(even? n) (cons n (collatz (/ n 2)))]
        [else (cons n (collatz (add1 (* 3 n))))]))

Continuations of '(1) in the call (collatz n) for several values of n

        n = 1: □
        n = 2: (cons 2 □)
```

(define (collatz n)

```
(define (collatz n)
  (cond [ (= 1 n) ' (1) ]
         [(even? n) (cons n (collatz (/ n 2)))]
         [else (cons n (collatz (add1 (* 3 n)))]))
Continuations of '(1) in the call (collatz n) for several values of n
• n = 1: \Box
  n = 2: (cons 2 \square) 
- n = 3:
  (cons 3 (cons 10 (cons 5 (cons 16 (cons 8 (cons 4 (cons 2 \square))))))
-n = 4: (cons 4 (cons 2 -))
```

```
(define (collatz n)
  (cond [ (= 1 n) ' (1) ]
         [(even? n) (cons n (collatz (/ n 2)))]
         [else (cons n (collatz (add1 (* 3 n)))]))
Continuations of '(1) in the call (collatz n) for several values of n
• n = 1: \Box
  n = 2: (cons 2 \square) 
- n = 3:
  (cons 3 (cons 10 (cons 5 (cons 16 (cons 8 (cons 4 (cons 2 \square))))))
-n = 4: (cons 4 (cons 2 -))

ightharpoonup n = 5: (cons 5 (cons 16 (cons 8 (cons 4 (cons 2 \Box)))))
```

```
(define (length lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 (length (rest lst)))]))
What is the continuation at the point 0 is evaluated in the call
(length '(a b c))
A. 3
B. (length 1st)
C. (add1 (length -))
D. (add1 (add1 (add1 0)))
E. (add1 (add1 -)))
```

Viewing continuations as procedures

We can view a continuation as a procedure of one argument

```
Example: (- 4 (+ 1 1))

The continuation is (- 4 □) where □ takes the place of S

(λ (x) (- 4 x))

Example: (displayln (foo (bar (* 2 3))))

The continuation of (bar (* 2 3)) is (displayln (foo □))

(λ (x) (displayln (foo x)))
```

Continuation-passing style

A new way to implement recursive procedures

- Each procedure has an extra continuation parameter typically called k
- The continuation k says what to do with the result

Continuation-passing style example

Summing numbers in a list

Two things to notice:

- In the base case, we call the continuation with our base value (k 0)
- In the recursive case, we pass a new continuation procedure that calls k with the result of adding x to the head of 1st

Calling our function

What should we use as the top-level continuation when we call sum-k?

It depends what we want to do with it, typically, we'd want to return the value

We can use (λ (x) x) which Racket predefines as identity

```
(sum-k'(1 2 3 4) identity) => 10
```

Compare with accumulator-passing style

In CPS, the extra parameter is a procedure that says what to do with the result of the computation

In APS, the extra parameter is the intermediate value in the computation

CPS guidelines

Continuations are procedures with 1 argument which is the result of recursive call

The recursive procedure has a continuation parameter, k

The continuation argument is applied to every branch of computation (think base case and recursive case)

At the top-level, the continuation is usually identity

Recursive calls must be tail-recursive

Reverse in CPS

Note: this is spectacularly inefficient

- (reverse 1st) takes time O(n) where n is the length of the list
- (reverse-k lst identity) takes time O(n²)

Append in CPS

```
(define (append-k lst1 lst2 k) 
 (cond [(empty? lst1) (k lst2)] 
 [else (append-k (rest lst1) 
 lst2 
 (\lambda (x) (k (cons (first lst1) x))))))
```

Comparing append in CPS to normal recursion

```
(define (append-k lst1 lst2 k)
  (cond [(empty? lst1) (k lst2)]
         [else (append-k (rest lst1)
                           lst2
                           (\lambda (x) (k (cons (first lst1) x))))
(define (append lst1 lst2)
  (cond [(empty? lst1) lst2]
         [else (cons (first lst1)
                       (append (rest lst1) lst2))))
In append, the continuation of the recursive call is (cons (first lst1) -) plus
all of the other earlier recursive calls (example on next slide)
```

This is identical to the passed-in continuation in append-k where k is the other recursive calls

Continuation example

Appending '(1 2 3) to '(a b c)

| Step | lst1 | append's recursive continuation | k argument to append-k's recursive call (expanded) |
|------|----------|---------------------------------|--|
| 0 | '(1 2 3) | (cons 1 0) | (λ (x) (k (cons 1 x))) |
| 1 | '(23) | (cons 1 (cons 2 -)) | (λ (x) (k (cons 1 (cons 2 x)))) |
| 2 | '(3) | (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 -) | (λ (x) (k (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 x))))) |
| 3 | '() | | |

- append's continuations also include the top-level continuation the table omits
- k in append-k's recursive calls aren't expanded, they're the closure $(\lambda (x) (k (cons (first lst1) x)))$ with k bound to the previous closure and lst1 bound to the corresponding lst1 argument in the table
- CPS makes the continuations explicit

So what good is this?

Programming with explicit continuations gives you a lot of control

E.g., you can *ignore* the continuation that is built up and do something else!

Consider our standard sum procedure

```
(define (sum lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
      [else (+ (first lst) (sum (rest lst)))]))
```

Suppose we want to modify this to return #f if lst contains an element that isn't a number

Failed attempt

```
(define (sum lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
      [(not (number? (first lst))) #f]
      [else (+ (first lst) (sum (rest lst)))]))
```

If we call this with '(1 2 3 steve 4), then at some point, the else condition will attempt to add 3 and 'steve and crash!

A working attempt with CPS

Since CPS uses tail-recursion, we can ignore our built-up continuation and return #f

A better approach

We can use an error continuation This lets the caller decide what to do with the error (define (sum-k lst k err) (cond [(empty? lst) (k 0)] [(not (number? (first lst))) (err (first lst))] [else (sum-k (rest lst) $(\lambda (x) (k (+ x (first lst))))$ err)])) > (sum-k')(123 steve 4)identity (λ (bad) (printf "Bad element: -s n" bad))) Bad element: steve

Some more CPS examples

map-k: CPS version of map

collatz-k: CPS version of collatz

fib-k: CPS version of fib

map-k-k: CPS version of map that takes a CPS f