CS 241: Systems Programming Lecture 34. Advanced Git

Fall 2019 Prof. Stephen Checkoway

Using "branches"

Development and release versions

Trying out new features

Focusing on fixing a bug

Simpler to do in Git than other VCS, consider using more frequently

Branches

Visualize a project's development as a "linked list" of commits.

When a development track splits, a new branch is created.

In Git, branches are actually just a pointer to these commits

Git branching

List all branches in the project

git branch

Create a new branch

git branch <branchname>

Switch to a branch

• git checkout <branchname>

Create and immediately switch

p git checkout -b <branchname>

Delete a branch

git branch —d <branchname>

Using branches

Create and switch to a branch

```
$ git branch working
$ git checkout working
M README
Switched to branch 'working'
$ git branch
  master
* working
```

Stashing


Working tree should be clean when switching branches

Save/hide changes you don't want to commit with git stash

Pushes changes onto a stash stack

Recover changes lager with git stash pop

Using branches




Using branches

Integrate changes back into master


```
$ git checkout master
Switched to branch 'master'

$ git merge working
Merge made by the 'recursive' strategy.
  newfile.txt | 1 +
  1 file changed, 1 insertion(+)
  create mode 100644 newfile.txt
```

Before git merge



After git merge



Merged history


Rebasing

Like merging, rebasing transfers changes from one branch to another


Does not create a new commit

Replays changes from current branch onto head of other branch

Before git rebase



After git rebase



git rebase

Powerful tool

Can change the commit order


Merge/split commits

Make fixes in earlier commits

DO NOT DO ON PUSHED CHANGES OR PUBLIC BRANCH

\$ git rebase —i master

Conflicts




Git conflict markers


```
$ cat foo.c
<<<<<< HEAD
current content
======
branch content
>>>>> newbranch
$ vim foo.c
$ git add foo.c
$ git rebase --continue
```


Pull requests with Github


Contributing changes to repositories on Github


Requests the owner of the code integrate your changes




















































You want to contribute code to the Github project fancy/project (fancy is the name of the owner, project is the name of the repo). You fork the repo (producing student/project), commit your changes, and push to student/project. Next, you make a pull request for fancy/project.

Which statement is true?

- A. Your code is now integrated into fancy/project via merging
- B. Your code is now integrated into fancy/project via rebasing
- C. You have requested that your code be integrated into fancy/project, but no changes have been made
- D. You cannot make any additional commits until the pull request has been accepted


Branches






upstream


\$ git checkout -b feature




\$ git commit



\$ git push -u origin feature




New pull request




upstream


Great idea, now can you do it more like this?




\$ git commit \$ git push




Awesome, but please update with new changes in master




\$ git remote add upstream https://github.com/... \$ git fetch upstream master:master




\$ git rebase master




\$ git rebase master




\$ git push -f origin master feature




Great. Please squash your commits.




\$ git rebase —i master




\$ git rebase —i master




\$ git rebase —i master




\$ git push -f origin feature




Perfect, I accept!




Time to Clean Up






upstream


\$ git fetch upstream master:master



\$ git push origin master




\$ git checkout master \$ git branch -d feature



upstream

\$ git push origin -d feature



After a PR is accepted, Github will ask you if you want to delete your feature branch. If you say yes, which branches get deleted?

- A. feature the branch named feature in your local repo
- B. origin/feature the branch named feature in your remote repo
- C. upstream/feature the branch named feature in their remote repo
- D. feature and origin/feature
- E. feature, origin/feature, and upstream/feature

Now that origin/feature has been deleted, how do you delete feature?

- A. \$ git delete feature
- B. \$ git delete -b feature
- C. \$ git branch -d feature
- D. \$ git push origin -d feature
- E. I would google "delete a git branch" and then click on https://stackoverflow.com/questions/2003505/how-do-i-delete-a-git-branch-locally-and-remotely like every other programmer