


CSCI 210: Computer Architecture

Lecture 33: Caches II

Stephen Checkoway
Slides from Cynthia Taylor

CS History: Jerry Lawson



Museum of Play / Estate of Jerry Lawson

- Born in Brooklyn in 1940
- “Father of the video game cartridge”
- Worked at Fairchild Semiconductor
- Developed the swappable video game cartridge
- Member of the Homebrew Computer Club
 - Interviewed Steve Wozniak for a position at Fairchild and didn’t hire him

CACHE REPLACEMENT POLICIES

Cache policy for loads

- On cache hit, CPU proceeds normally
- On cache miss
 - Stall the CPU pipeline
 - Fetch block from next level of hierarchy and replace an existing one
 - Instruction cache miss
 - Restart instruction fetch
 - Data cache miss
 - Complete data access

Cache replacement policy

- On a hit, return the requested data
- On a miss, load block from lower level in the memory hierarchy and write in cache; return the requested data
- Policy: **Where in cache should the block be written?** (With direct-mapped caches, there's only one possible location: `block_address % number_of_blocks_in_cache`)

Cache policy for stores

- Policy choice for a hit: Where do we write the data?
 - Write-back: Write to cache only
 - Write-through: Write to cache and also to the next lower level of the memory hierarchy
- Policy choice for a miss
 - Write-allocate: Bring the block into cache and then do the write-hit policy
 - Write-around: Write only to memory

Store-hit policy: write-through

- Update cache block AND memory
- Makes writes take longer
 - e.g., if base CPI = 1, 10% of instructions are stores, write to memory takes 100 cycles
 - Effective CPI = $1 + 0.1 \times 100 = 11$
- Solution: write buffer
 - Holds data waiting to be written to memory
 - CPU continues immediately
 - Only stalls on write if write buffer is already full

Store-hit policy: write-back

- Only update the block in cache
 - Keep track of whether each block is “dirty” (i.e., it has a different value than in memory)
- When a dirty block is replaced (“evicted”)
 - Write it back to memory
 - Can use a write buffer
- Faster than write-through, but more complex

V	D	Tag	Data
1	0	000042	FE FF 3C ...
0			
1	1	001234	65 82 5C ...
0			
0			
1	0	000F3C	00 00 00 ...
0			
0			

What value(s) will we eventually write to memory at address 0xFFFF1234? Assume a write back cache, and the cache block for 0xFFFF1234 is not evicted until after the three writes

\$t3 holds 0xFFFF1234
\$t1 holds 4
\$t2 holds 5
\$t4 holds 6

sw \$t1, 0(\$t3)
sw \$t2, 0(\$t3)
sw \$t4, 0(\$t3)

- A. 4
- B. 5
- C. 6
- D. We will write 4, then overwrite it with 5, then overwrite that with 6
- E. None of the above

Write-Back Policy:
Only update the block in cache
When a dirty block is evicted write it back to memory

Store-miss policy: write-around

- Only write the data to memory
- Good for initialization where lots of memory is written at once but won't be read again soon

Store-miss policy: write-allocate

- Read a block from memory into the cache (just like a load miss)
- Perform the write according to the store-hit policy (i.e., write in cache or write in both cache and memory)
- Good for when data is likely to be read shortly after being written (temporal locality)


Common policy choices

- Write-back + write-allocate
 - Dirty blocks are written to memory only when replaced
 - Stores bring block into cache
 - Subsequent loads/stores will cause cache hits (unless the block is evicted)
- Write-through + write-around
 - Writes always go to memory
 - Cache is mostly for loads

ASSOCIATIVE CACHES


Direct-mapped Cache

- Each block goes into **1** spot
 - Only search one entry on lookup
 - Associativity = 1
-
- What if we allow blocks to go into more than one spot?




Fully-associative Cache

- Allow a given block to go in any cache entry
- Requires all entries to be searched at once
- Comparator per entry (expensive)



n -way Set-associative Cache

- Each set contains n entries
- Block number determines which set
 - (Block address) modulo (#Sets in cache)
- Search all entries in a given set at once
- n comparators (less expensive)



Spectrum of associativity for 8-entry cache

One-way set associative (direct mapped)

Block	Tag	Data
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		

Two-way set associative

Set	Tag	Data	Tag	Data
0				
1				
2				
3				

Four-way set associative

Set	Tag	Data	Tag	Data	Tag	Data	Tag	Data
0								
1								

Eight-way set associative (fully associative)

Tag Data Tag Data


Memory addresses, block addresses, offsets



- Block size of 32 bytes (not bits!)
- 16-block, 2-way set associative cache
- Each address
 - A $(32 - 5)$ -bit block address (in purple and blue)
 - A 5-bit offset into the block (in green)
- Block address can be divided into
 - A $(32 - 3 - 5)$ -bit tag (purple)
 - A 3-bit cache **index** (blue)

V	Tag	Data	V	Tag	Data
0			0		
0			0		
0			1	3F2084	...
0			0		
0			0		
1	15C9AC	...	1	28477D	...
0			0		
0			0		

Set Associative Cache Organization



Given a 256-entry, 8-way set associative cache with a block size of 64 bytes, how many bits are in the tag, index, and offset?

	Tag bits	Index bits	Offset bits
A	$32 - 5 - 6 = 21$	5	6
B	$32 - 3 - 5 = 24$	3	5
C	$32 - 8 - 6 = 18$	8	6
D	$32 - 6 - 5 = 21$	6	5
E	$32 - 6 - 3 = 23$	6	3

Given a 256-entry, **fully associative cache** with a block size of 64 bytes, how many bits are in the tag, index, and offset?

	Tag bits	Index bits	Offset bits
A	$32 - 5 - 6 = 21$	1	6
B	$32 - 3 - 5 = 24$	3	5
C	$32 - 8 - 6 = 18$	8	6
D	$32 - 6 - 5 = 21$	6	5
E	$32 - 0 - 6 = 26$	0	6

Replacement Policy

- Direct mapped: no choice
- Set associative
 - Prefer non-valid entry, if there is one
 - Otherwise, choose among entries in the set
 - Goal: Choose an entry we will not use in the future

Replacement Policy

- Least-recently used (LRU)
 - Choose the one unused for the longest time
 - Simple for 2-way, manageable for 4-way, too hard beyond that
- Random
 - Gives approximately the same performance as LRU for high associativity

Associativity Example

- Compare 4-block caches
 - Direct mapped, 2-way set associative, fully associative
 - Block access sequence: 0, 8, 0, 6, 8
- Direct mapped

Block address	Cache index	Hit/miss	Cache content after access			
			0	1	2	3
0	0					
8	0					
0	0					
6	2					
8	0					

Associativity Example: 0, 8, 0, 6, 8

- 2-way set associative

Block address	Cache index	Hit/miss	Cache content after access			
			Set 0		Set 1	
0	0					
8	0					
0	0					
6	0					
8	0					

- Fully associative

Block address		Hit/miss	Cache content after access			
0						
8						
0						
6						
8						