# Programming Abstractions

Lecture 6: Accumulator-passing style

# Loops and efficiency

Compare a C (or Java) function to compute the factorial

```
int fact(int n) {
  int product = 1;
  while (n > 0) {
    product *= n;
    n -= 1;
  }
  return product;
}
```

to our recursive Racket implementation

How do these differ?

In C, just one function call

In Racket, (fact 10) makes 10 calls to fact (the original one and then nine more)

# Loops and efficiency

To be efficient, Racket internally converts all tail-recursions into loops

A function is tail-recursive if the last thing it does is to recurse and return the result of that recursion

When the condition is satisfied, y is returned, otherwise foo is called again with some different parameters and that value is returned

### Our factorial is not tail recursive

The last thing fact does is perform a multiplication; the recursion happens before the multiplication

### Our factorial is not tail recursive

```
Given (fact 4), we end up with

(fact 4) => (* 4 (fact 3))

=> (* 4 (* 3 (fact 2)))

=> (* 4 (* 3 (* 2 (fact 1))))

=> (* 4 (* 3 (* 2 1)))

=> (* 4 (* 3 2))

=> (* 4 6)

=> 24
```

We can see this in DrRacket

### Solution: Use an accumulator

(Accumulator-passing style isn't the real name of this technique)

```
(define (fact-a n acc)
  (if (<= n 1)
        acc; return the accumulator
        (fact-a (subl n) (* n acc))))
(define (fact2 n)
  (fact-a n 1))</pre>
```

#### Four things to notice

- We defined a recursive helper function that takes an additional param
- ► We provide an initial value for the accumulator in fact2's call to fact-a
- The base case returns the accumulator
- fact-a is tail-recursive

### fact2 is tail-recursive

# So how does this become a loop?

```
Use variables for the parameters and update them each time through the loop
(define (fact-a n acc)
  (if (<= n 1)
      acc; return the accumulator
      (fact-a (sub1 n) (* n acc))))
becomes (pseudocode)
def fact-a(n, acc):
  loop:
    if n <= 1:
      return acc
    n, acc = n - 1, n * acc
```

```
Is this procedure tail recursive?
(define (length lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
       [else (+ 1 (length (rest lst)))]))
```

- A. Yes
- B. No
- C. It depends on how long the list is

```
is this procedure tail recursive?
; Return the nth element of lst
(define (list-ref lst n)
  (cond [(empty? lst) (error 'list-ref "List too short")]
        [(zero? n) (first lst)]
        [else (list-ref (rest lst) (sub1 n))]))
```

- A. Yes
- B. No
- C. I have no idea!

# Two strategies for tail recursive procedures

Accumulator-passing style with one or more accumulator parameters

- Usually, the procedure we really want doesn't have these parameters
- Use helper functions

#### Continuation-passing style

 This uses something called continuations which we'll talk about later in the semester

# Let's write some tail-recursion procedures

```
(sum 1st) — Add all the numbers in the 1st
(maximum lst) — Find the maximum value in a nonempty list
(reverse 1st) — Reverses the list 1st
(remove* x lst) — Remove all instances of x from lst
► If we use letrec to define remove*—a, then we don't need to pass x to
  remove*-a
(remove x lst) — Remove the first instance of x from lst
We can use letrec here as well
```