Programming Abstractions

Week 11-2: MiniScheme G and H, set! and letrec

MiniScheme G: set! and begin

```
EXP → number
      symbol
     ( if EXP EXP EXP)
     (let (LET-BINDINGS) EXP)
     (lambda (PARAMS ) EXP )
     ( set! symbol EXP )
     ( begin EXP* )
     | (EXP EXP^*)
LET-BINDINGS → LET-BINDING*
LET-BINDING → [ symbol EXP ]*
PARAMS → symbol*
```

```
parse into lit-exp
parse into var-exp
parse into ite-exp
parse into let-exp
parse into lambda-exp
parse into set-exp
parse into begin-exp
parse into app-exp
```

```
What is the value of
```

This is the sum of 3 numbers

- A. 30
- B. 40
- C. 50
- D. 60

This is the sum of 3 numbers

A. 30

B. 40

C. 50

D. 60

Assignments

Assignment expressions are different in nature than the functional parts of MiniScheme

The set! expression introduces mutable state into our language

We're going to use a Scheme box to model this state

Boxes in Scheme

box is a data type that holds a mutable value

- Constructor: (box val)
- Recognizer: (box? obj)
- Getter: (unbox b)
- Setter: (set-box! b val)

Example usage

We can create a box holding the value 275 with (define b (box 275))

We can get the value in the box with (unbox b)

We can change the value in the box with (set-box! b 572)

If we use (unbox b) afterward, it'll return 572

This models the way variables work in non-functional languages

What's the value of the let expression

```
(define (double! b)
  (set-box! b (* 2 (unbox b)))
(let ([foo (box 3)])
  (double! foo)
  (double! foo)
  (double! (box 2))
  (unbox foo))
A. 3
                                D. 12
B. 4
                                E. 24
```

Implementing set!

To implement set! in MiniScheme

- Change the environment so that everything in the environment is in a box
- When we evaluate a var-exp, we'll lookup the variable in the environment, unbox the result, and return it
- ► When we evaluate a set expression such as (set! x 23), we'll lookup x in the environment to get its box and then set the value using set-box!

We can do this in four simple steps

We need to box every value in the environment

Two ways to do this (and I'm quoting Bob here)

If you are young and cocky and sure you can find every place you extend the environment, you can replace each call

```
(env syms vals old-env)
with
(env syms (map box vals) old-env)
```

If you have 68 years of experience with screwing up [I'm still quoting Bob here], you might prefer to change the definition of env to do (list 'env sims (map box vals) old-env)

Do not change your env-lookup procedure

```
Do change the line in eval-exp that evaluates var-exp expressions to [(var-exp? tree) (unbox (env-lookup e (var-exp-sym tree)))]
```

At this point, the interpreter should work exactly as it did before you introduced boxes!

Set expressions have the form (set! sym exp)

You need a new data type for these, I used set-exp

When parsing, put the unparsed symbol (i.e., 'x rather than (var-exp 'x)) into the set-exp and the parsed expression

Let's make set! useful!

MiniScheme now has set! but it isn't of much use until we can execute a sequence of expressions like

In Racket, we don't need the begin, but we do in MiniScheme because our let expressions only have a single expression as a body

Parsing a begin expression

(begin exp1 exp2 ... expn)

You need a new data type to hold these

► Since begin creates a sequence of expressions, I called mine seq-exp but begin-exp is also a good name (and visually distinct from set-exp)

Evaluating a begin expression

```
(begin expl expl ... expn)
```

Evaluate each expression in turn, returning the final one

- You can create a helper function to do that, or you can use our old friend:
 fold1
- My code looks something like
 (foldl (λ (exp acc) (eval-exp exp e)) (void) ...)
- (void) returns, well, a void value which does nothing

MiniScheme H: Recursion

Review: What is the value of this expression?

A. 2

D. 20

B. 4

E. An error

C. 10

What is the result of this expression?

E. An error

Implementing recursion in MiniScheme H

```
(letrec ([f exp1] [g exp2] ...) body)
```

We'll have the parser parse a letrec expression into something equivalent that uses only things we have implemented

We won't need to change eval-exp at all!

Two options

We can use the Y combinator (technically the Z combinator)

We can use set!/begin

Which would you prefer?

Z combinator it is!

$$Z = \lambda f.(\lambda x.f(\lambda v.xxv))(\lambda x.f(\lambda v.xxv))$$

Translated from λ -calculus to Scheme, we have

Just kidding, let's use set!/begin

What does this evaluate to?

How about this?

What does this evaluate to?

And this?

What does this evaluate to?

```
(let ([f 0])
  (let ([g (λ (x) (if (< 9 x) 10 (f (add1 x))))])
     (begin
          (set! f g)
          (f 5))))</pre>
```

Write factorial without letrec

Mutual recursion

Mutual recursion without letrec

```
(let ([even? 0]
      [odd? 0])
  (let ([f (lambda (x)
             (cond [(= 0 x) #t]
                    [ (= 1 x) #f ]
                    [else (odd? (- x 1))]))]
        [g (lambda (x)
             (cond [ (= 0 x) #f]
                    [(= 1 x) #t]
                    [else (even? (- x 1))]))
    (begin
     (set! even? f)
     (set! odd? g)
     (odd? 23))))
```

General transformation

```
Replace
(letrec ([f1 exp1] ... [fn expn])
  body)
with
(let ([f1 0] ... [fn 0])
  (let ([g1 exp1] ... [gn expn])
    (begin
     (set! f1 g1)
     (set! fn gn)
     body)))
```

General transformation

```
Replace
(letrec ([f1 exp1] ... [fn expn])
  body)
                                   We need some new symbols!
with
(let ([f1 0] ... [fn 0])
  (let ([g1 exp1] ... [gn expn])
     (begin
      (set! f1 g1)
      (set! fn gn)
     body)))
```

Generating symbols

```
(gensym)
```

We can use (gensym) to generate new, unused symbols

```
> (gensym)
'g75075
> (gensym)
'g75106
```

Final MiniScheme grammar

PARAMS → symbol*

```
EXP → number
                                       parse into lit-exp
                                       parse into var-exp
      symbol
     ( if EXP EXP EXP )
                                       parse into ite-exp
     (let (LET-BINDINGS) EXP)
                                       parse into let-exp
     (letrec (LET-BINDINGS)EXP)
                                       transform into equivalent let-exp
     (lambda (PARAMS) EXP)
                                       parse into lambda-exp
     ( set! symbol EXP )
                                       parse into set-exp
     ( begin EXP* )
                                       parse into begin-exp
      (EXP EXP^*)
                                       parse into app-exp
LET-BINDINGS → LET-BINDING*
LET-BINDING → [ symbol EXP ]*
```

Parsing letrec expressions

```
(letrec ([f1 exp1] ... [fn expn]) body)
We have three parts
> syms = (f1 ... fn) = (map first (second input))
> exps = (exp1 ... expn) = (map second (second input))
> body = (third input)
```

We need to construct several parts from these

- The outer let: (let ([f1 0] ... [fn 0]) ...)
- The inner let: (let ([g1 exp1] ... [gn expn]) ...)
- The set!s: (begin (set! f1 g1) ... (set! fn gn) ...)

The outer let

```
(let ([f1 0] ... [fn 0]) ...)
```

Recall that our let-exp has a list of symbols, a list of parsed expressions, and a parsed body

We already got the symbols: (f1 ... fn) = syms

For the parsed expressions: (map (λ (s) (lit-exp 0)) syms)

The parsed body is going to be another let-exp

The inner let

The parsed body is a begin expression

```
(let ([g1 exp1] ... [gn expn]) ...) For the symbols: new-syms = (map (\lambda (s) (gensym)) syms) For the parsed expressions: (map parse exps)
```

The begin expression

```
(begin (set! fl gl) ... (set! fn gn) body)
```

Recall that begin-exp takes a list of parsed expressions

Three reasonable options

- Generate the set!s via (map (λ (s new-s) ...) syms new-syms) Append (list (parse body))
- Write your own recursive procedure to build the list

A (mostly) complete example

```
(letrec ([length (lambda (lst)
                    (if (null? lst)
                        (add1 (length (cdr lst)))))))
  (length (list 10 20 30)))
parses to
'(let-exp (length)
          ((lit-exp 0))
          (let-exp (g75784)
                    ((lambda-exp (lst) (ite-exp ...)))
                    (begin-exp
                     ((set-exp length (var-exp g75784))
                      (app-exp (var-exp length) (...))))
```

And that's it!

We don't need to change eval-exp at all because we already know how to evaluate let-, set-, and begin-expressions.