Programming Abstractions

Lecture 33: Continuation Passing Style 2

CPS guidelines for recursive procedures

Continuations are procedures with 1 argument

The recursive procedure has a continuation parameter, k

The continuation argument is called once for each branch of computation (think base case and recursive case)

Not calling the continuation on one of the cases is a common mistake

At the top-level, the continuation is usually identity

Recursive calls must be tail-recursive

Reverse in CPS

Note: this is spectacularly inefficient

- (reverse 1st) takes time O(n) where n is the length of the list
- (reverse-k lst identity) takes time O(n²)

Append in CPS

```
What is the run time of append-k?
(define (append-k lst1 lst2 k)
  (cond [(empty? lst1) (k lst2)]
         [else (append-k (rest lst1)
                            lst2
                            (\lambda (x) (k (cons (first lst1) x)))))
Let m be the length of 1st1 and n be the length of 1st2
A. O(1)
B. O(m)
C. O(n)
D. O(m + n)
E. O(mn)
```

Comparing append in CPS to normal recursion

```
(define (append-k lst1 lst2 k)
  (cond [(empty? lst1) (k lst2)]
         [else (append-k (rest lst1)
                           lst2
                           (\lambda (x) (k (cons (first lst1) x)))))
(define (append lst1 lst2)
  (cond [(empty? lst1) lst2]
         [else (cons (first lst1)
                       (append (rest lst1) lst2))))
In append, the continuation of the recursive call is (cons (first lst1) -) plus
all of the other earlier recursive calls (example on next slide)
```

This is identical to the passed-in continuation in append-k where k is going to perform the work of the other recursive calls

Continuation example

Appending '(1 2 3) to '(a b c)

Step	lst1	append's recursive continuation	k argument to append-k's recursive call (expanded)
0	'(1 2 3)	(cons 1 -)	(λ (x) (k (cons 1 x)))
1	'(23)	(cons 1 (cons 2 -))	(λ (x) (k (cons 1 (cons 2 x))))
2	'(3)	(cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 -)	(λ (x) (k (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 x)))))
3	'()		

- append's continuations also include the top-level continuation the table omits
- k in append-k's recursive calls aren't expanded, they're the closure
 (λ (x) (k (cons (first lst1) x))) with k bound to the previous closure and lst1 bound to the corresponding lst1 argument in the table
- CPS makes the continuations explicit

Let's write some CPS

```
(map-k f lst k)
```

Implement the map-k function using CPS

Let's think about types

- 1st: list of α
- $f: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$
- ► For recursive calls k : list of β → list of β
- ► For the top-level k : list of $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$

Hints:

- The continuation you pass to the recursive call to map-k takes as its argument the result of making the recursive call
- Cons (f (first lst)) onto the this result and pass that as an argument to k

So what good is this?

Programming with explicit continuations gives you a lot of control

E.g., you can *ignore* the continuation that is built up and do something else!

Consider our standard sum procedure

```
(define (sum lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
      [else (+ (first lst) (sum (rest lst)))]))
```

Suppose we want to modify this to return #f if 1st contains an element that isn't a number

What goes wrong with this approach?

```
(define (sum lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
       [(not (number? (first lst))) #f]
       [else (+ (first lst) (sum (rest lst)))]))
```

- A. Nothing. It's perfect
- B. (sum '(foo 1 2 3)) will fail
- C. (sum '(1 2 foo 3)) will fail
- D. B and C

A working attempt with CPS

Since CPS uses tail-recursion, we can ignore our built-up continuation k and just return #f (define (sum-k lst k) (cond [(empty? lst) (k 0)] [(not (number? (first lst))) #f] [else (sum-k (rest lst) (λ (x) (k (+ x (first lst))))])) (sum-k '(1 2 3 foo 4) identity) => #f

A better approach

Bad element: foo

We can use an error continuation This lets the caller decide what to do with the error (define (sum-k lst k err) Normal base case uses (k •) (cond [(empty? lst) (k 0)} [(not (number? (first lst))) (err (first lst))] [else (sum-k (rest lst) $(\lambda (x) (k (+ x (first lst))))$ err)])) Error case uses (err •) > (sum-k '(1 2 3 foo 4)identity (λ (bad) (printf "Bad element: -s n" bad)))

CPS is similar to callbacks in languages like JavaScript

Example

```
const promise = new Promise((resolve, reject) => {
    // perform some computation
    if (computation_was_successful) {
        resolve(success_value);
    } else {
        reject(failure-value);
    }
});
```

resolve is the continuation for a successful computation reject is the error continuation

Write some more CPS

(collatz-k n k): CPS version of collatz

Two recursive cases to handle, must call k in both

(fib-k n k): CPS version of fib

- Implement the (very slow) recursive version but using CPS
- Tricky because we need to make two recursive calls
- Continuation for the first recursive call should make the second recursive call
- Continuation for the second recursive call should add the results of both recursive calls together and pass that to k

Write more CPS!

```
(map/error f lst k err)
```

In this case, the user-supplied ($f \times k \text{ err}$) takes three arguments:

- x: an element of the list
- k: the continuation f should call on success
- err: the continuation f should call on error

When map/error calls f, it must pass it a continuation that will make a recursive call to map/error with the rest of the list

The continuation for the recursive call to map/error must combine the results of the calls to f and map/error into a list

```
(map/error (\lambda (x k err) (if (zero? x) (err x) (k (add1 x))))
'(1 2 0 3 4)
identity
(\lambda (bad-element) #f)) => #f
```