# CSCI 210: Computer Organization Lecture 10: Control Flow

Stephen Checkoway
Slides from Cynthia Taylor

### CS History: The If-Else Statement

- Haskell Curry and Willa Wyatt are the first people to describe performing different instructions based on the result of a previous calculation, on the Eniac in 1946
- Early assembly language instructions jumped to a new memory location based on a specific condition, were not general purpose
- Fortran (1957) specifying jumps to three locations at once, depending on whether a calculation was negative, zero, or positive, and gave it the name "if."
- Flow-matic (Grace Hopper, 1958), used comparisons between numbers and used the name "otherwise" for else
- In 1958, a German computing organization proposed an if statement that took an arbitrary Boolean statement, had an "else" case, and returned control to immediately after the if/else statement after completing the statement

### Today: Program control flow

 High level languages have many ways to control the order of execution in a program: if, if-else, for loops, while loops

 Today we will look at how these higher order concepts are built out of MIPS control flow instructions

#### **Control Flow**

- Recall the basic instruction cycle
  - -IR = Memory[PC]
  - -PC = PC + 4

Both branch and jump instructions change the value of the program counter

#### **Control Flow - Instructions**

#### Conditional

- beq, bne: compare two registers and branch depending on the comparison
- Change the value of the program counter if a condition is true

#### Unconditional

- j, jal, jr: jump to a location
- Always change the value of the program counter

#### **Control Flow - Labels**

- In assembly, we use labels to help us guide control flow. Labels can be the target of branch or jump instructions.
- Example:

```
j label
...
label: add $t1, $t0, $t2
```

 Assemblers are responsible for translating labels into addresses.

#### Jump

- j label
  - Go directly to the label (i.e., PC = label)

- jal label
  - Go directly to the label (i.e., PC = label) and set the link register (we'll discuss this later)

- jr register
  - Go directly to the address specified in the register

#### High-level code

```
if (X == 0)
 X = Y + Z;
```

Assuming X, Y, and Z are integers in registers \$t0, \$t1, and \$t2, respectively, which are the equivalent assembly instructions?

A beq \$t0,\$zero, Label
Label: add \$t0, \$t1, \$t2

D – None of these is correct.

beq \$t0,\$zero, Label
add \$t0, \$t1, \$t2
Label: ...

c bne \$t0,\$zero, Label add \$t0, \$t1, \$t2
Label: ...

### If (x < y): Set Less Than

- Set result to 1 if a condition is true
  - Otherwise, set to 0
- slt rd, rs, rt
  - if rs < rt then rd = 1 else rd = 0
- slti rt, rs, constant
  - if rs < constant then rt = 1 else rt = 0</p>
- Use in combination with beq, bne

```
slt $t0, $s1, $s2 # if ($s1 < $s2)
bne $t0, $zero, L # branch to L</pre>
```

#### **Branch Instruction Design**

- Why not blt, bge, etc?
- Hardware for <, ≥, ... slower than =, ≠</li>
  - Combining with branch involves more work per instruction
  - MIPS philosophy: fewer, simpler instructions

```
High level code often has code like this:
if (i < j) {
    i = i + 1;
}</pre>
```

Assume \$t0 holds i and \$t1 holds j. Which of the following is the correct translation of the above code to MIPS assembly (recall \$zero is always 0):

```
      slt
      $t2, $t0, $t1

      bne
      $t2, $zero, x

      addi
      $t0, $t0, 1

      x:
      next instruction

      slt
      $t2, $t0, $t1

      bne
      $t2, $zero, x

      x:
      addi
      $t0, $t0, 1

      next instruction
      x:
      next instruction

      C
```

D None of the above

```
slt rd, rs, rt
    if (rs < rt) rd = 1; else rd = 0;</pre>
```

### Signed vs. Unsigned

• Signed comparison: slt, slti

• Unsigned comparison: sltu, sltui

#### slt vs sltu

\$s0 = 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111

\$s1 = 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0001

	slt \$t0, \$s0, \$s1	sltu \$t0, \$s0, \$s1
Α	\$t0 = 1	\$t0 = 1
В	\$t0 = 0	\$t0 = 1
С	\$t0 = 0	\$t0 = 0
D	\$t0 = 1	\$t0 = 0

### Questions on BEQ, BNE, SLT?

### How to access an array in a for loop

Can't programmatically change the offset

Need to change the base address instead

 Add 4 to the base address every time you want to move to the next element of the array (assuming an array of 4-byte values)

```
for (i=0; i < 10; i++) {
 A[i] = 0;
                       *Assume base address of A is in $s0
      move $t0, $zero
      li $t1, 40
loop: beq $t0, $t1, end
      add $t2, $s0, $t0
            $zero, 0($t2)
      SW
      addi $t0, $t0, 4
            loop
end:
```

#### C Code

```
for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
   A[i+1] = A[i];
}</pre>
```

Assume the base address of A is in \$t0, and i is in \$t1. Each element of A is 4 bytes. What is the equivalent assembly?

```
addi $t2, $zero, 10
add $t1, $zero, $zero
for: bne $t1, $t2, end
lw $t3, $t1($t0)
addi $t1, $t1, 1
sw $t3, $t1($t0)
j for
end:
```

A

```
addi $t2, $zero, 40
add $t1, $zero, $zero
for: beq $t1, $t2, end
add $t4, $t0, $t1
lw $t3, 0($t4)
addi $t1, $t1, 4
add $t4, $t0, $t1
sw $t3, 0($t4)
j for
end:
```

```
addi $t2, $zero, 10
add $t1, $zero, $zero
bne $t1, $t2, end
add $t4, $t0, $t1
lw $t3, 0($t4)
addi $t1, $t1, 1
add $t4, $t0, $t1
sw $t3, 0($t4)
end:
```

В

C

#### C Code

Assuming X, Y, and Z are integers in registers \$t0, \$t1, and \$t2, respectively, which are the equivalent assembly instructions?

bne \$t0, \$zero, false add \$t0, \$t1, \$t2 false: add \$t0, \$t2, \$t2

Α

bne \$t0, \$zero, false add \$t0, \$t1, \$t2 j endif

false: add \$t0, \$t2, \$t2

endif:

bne \$t0, \$zero, false j endif add \$t0, \$t1, \$t2 false: add \$t0, \$t2, \$t2 endif:

C

В

#### C Code

```
while (i < 10) {
   i = i + 1;
}</pre>
```

## Assume i is in \$t0. What is the equivalent assembly?

```
slti rt, rs, imm
  if (rs < imm) rd = 1; else rt = 0;</pre>
```

w: slti \$t2, \$t0, 10 beq \$t2, \$zero, end addi \$t0, \$t0, 1 j w end:

w: slti \$t2, \$t0, 10 beq \$t2, \$zero, end addi \$t0, \$t0, 1 end: slti \$t2, \$t0, 10 w: beq \$t2, \$zero, end addi \$t0, \$t0, 1 j w end:

A B C

D – More than one of these

E – None of these