Programming Abstractions

Lecture 5: Variations on let

What values does this code return? (define (foo x)

- A. 10
- B. 11
- C. 12

- D. Some other value
- E. Error

A. 10

(bar 3)

- B. 11
- C. 12

- D. Some other value
- E. Error

A common problem

When writing programs, it's not uncommon to define some local variables in terms of other local variables

Example: Return the elements of a list of numbers that are at least as large as the first element (the head) of the list, in reverse order

This doesn't work; we can't use head in the definition of bigger

The issue

The issue is the scope of the binding for head: just the body of the let

One (bad) work around would be to use multiple lets

Sequential let

Returning to our example

A more realistic example

Write a procedure (split-by pred lst) that splits lst into two lists, the first contains all of the elements that match pred, the second contains all the elements that do not match pred

```
(split-by even? (range 10)) => '((0 2 4 6 8) (1 3 5 7 9)) (split-by (\lambda (x) (< x 3)) (range 5)) => '((0 1 2) (3 4)) (define (split-by pred 1st)
```

Another problem: recursion

Often, we're going to want to define a recursive procedure but we can't do that with let or let*

We can't use fact in the definition of fact

Recursive let

```
(letrec ([id1 s-exp1] [id2 s-exp2]...) body)
```

All of the s-exps can refer to all of the ids

This is used to make recursive procedures

Recursive let drawback (subtle)

The values of the identifiers we're binding can't be used in the bindings

Invalid (the value of x is used to define y)

Valid (the value of x isn't used to define y, only when y is called)