


CSCI 275: Programming Abstractions

Lecture 27: Scoping Methods
Fall 2024

Stephen Checkoway
Slides from Molly Q Feldman



Functional Language of the Week: F#

- Is based *not* on the JVM, but on the .NET Framework that underlies C# and other Microsoft-based languages
- Borrows ideas from the ML family of languages (OCaml, for instance)
- F# versus C#? The founder make a strong argument for F#'s support of concurrent/parallel programming.
 - Interesting interview here! <https://www.red-gate.com/simple-talk/opinion/geek-of-the-week/don-syme-geek-of-the-week/>



Functional Language of the Week: F#



```
/// Square the odd values of the input and add
one, using F# pipe operators.
let squareAndAddOdd values =
    values
    |> List.filter (fun x -> x % 2 <> 0)
    |> List.map (fun x -> x * x + 1)

let numbers = [ 1; 2; 3; 4; 5 ]

let result = squareAndAddOdd numbers
```

Pipeline
Operators
(like in R)

```
let result3 = apply1 (fun x -> x + 1) 100
let result4 = apply2 (fun x y -> x * y ) 10 20
```

Also lambdas of
course!

Today (& Friday)'s Goal

Talk about design of a language and how it impacts implementation

- In MiniScheme, you are implementing a certain language that has certain rules
- Many times, we have choices for these rules
- Today & next time: what we *could* and *can* do for rules about how to understand variables

Lexical Binding

High level: Variable Usage

There are two ways a variable can be used in a program:

- As a declaration
- As a "reference" or use of the variable

Scheme/Racket has two kinds of variable declarations

- the **bindings** of a `let`-expression and
- the **parameters** of a `lambda`-expression

Note: **Back to no mutation world!!**

No set! or begin here

Scope of a declaration

The *scope* of a declaration is the portion of the expression or program to which that declaration applies

Lexical binding

- Scope of a variable is determined by textual layout of the program
- C, Java, Scheme/Racket use lexical binding

Dynamic binding

- Scope of a variable is determined by most recent **runtime** declaration
- Bash and classic Lisp use dynamic binding

Scope in Scheme

Scope of variables bound (declared) in a `let` is the body of the `let`

Scope of parameters in a `lambda` is the body of the `lambda`

```
(let ([x 5]
      [y 10])
  (* (lambda (z) (+ z y)) 7)
  x
  y)
```

We mentioned scope when we discussed how to implement MiniScheme environments

Shadowing bindings

Shadowing: Declaring a new variable with the same name as an existing variable in an enclosing scope

```
(let ([x 5]
      [y 10])
  (* ((lambda (x) (+ x y)) 7)
     x
     y))
```

We say that the inner binding for x **shadows** the outer binding for x

How to determine the appropriate binding?

1. Start at the use of a variable
2. Search the enclosing regions starting with the innermost and working outward looking for a binding (declaration) of the variable
3. The first binding you find is the appropriate binding

If there are no such bindings, we say the variable is *free*

Free Bindings? Problem!

If there are no such bindings found, we say the variable is *free*.
Racket requires all variables to be bound.

```
(let ([x 5])  
  (+ a x))
```

Welcome to [DrRacket](#), version 8.5 [cs].

Language: racket, with debugging; memory limit: 128 MB.



a: unbound identifier in: a

>

```

1.  (lambda (x y z)
2.    (if x
3.      (let ([y 10]
4.            [z 20]))
5.      (+ x y z))
6.      (- y z)))

```

	Line 5 x	Line 5 y	Line 5 z	Line 6 y	Line 6 z
A	1	1	1	1	1
B	2	3	4	3	4
C	2	3	4	1	1
D	1	3	4	1	1
E	1	3	4	3	4


Which row of the table corresponds to line numbers where the variable indicated in the column was bound?

e.g., E indicates that the variables used in line 5 are bound in lines 1, 3, and 4 and the variables used in line 6 are bound in lines 3 and 4.

Visualizing Scope with Contour Diagrams

Draw the boundaries of the regions in which variable bindings are in effect

```
(lambda (x)
  (lambda (y)
    ((lambda (x) (x y)) x) x))
```



The body of a `let` or a `lambda` expression determines a contour

Each variable refers to the innermost declaration *outside* its contour

Lexical binding vs. Dynamic Binding

Recall: Scope of a declaration

The *scope* of a declaration is the portion of the expression or program to which that declaration applies

Lexical binding

- Scope of a variable is determined by textual layout of the program
- C, Java, Scheme/Racket use lexical binding

Dynamic binding

- Scope of a variable is determined by most recent **runtime** declaration
- Bash and classic Lisp use dynamic binding

What is the value of **y** in the body of (f

```
(2) (let ([y 3])  
      (let ([f (lambda (x) (+ x y))])  
        (let ([y 17])  
          (f 2))))
```

With lexical (also called static) binding: y is 3

- The value of y comes from the closest lexical binding of y , namely $[y\ 3]$

With dynamic binding: y is 17

- The value of y comes from the most-recent *run-time* binding of y , namely $[y\ 17]$

Lambdas in a *lexically-scoped* language

A lambda expression evaluates to a closure which is a triple containing

- the environment at the time the lambda is evaluated
- the parameters
- the body of the lambda

When we apply the closure to argument expressions

- we evaluate the arguments in the current environment
- extend the **closure's** environment with bindings of parameters to argument values
- evaluate the closure's body in the extended environment

Lambdas in a *dynamically-scoped* language

A lambda expression evaluates to a procedure which is just a pair containing

- the parameters
- the body of the lambda


No environment!

When we apply the procedure to argument expressions


- we evaluate the arguments in the current environment
- extend the **current** environment with bindings of parameters to argument values
- evaluate the lambda's body in the extended environment

Dynamic binding


Variable	Value
y	3



Variable	Value
f	procedure



Variable	Value
y	17



Variable	Value
x	2

```
(let ([y 3])
  (let ([f (lambda (x) (+ x y))])
    (let ([y 17])
      (f 2))))
```

Lexical binding

Variable	Value
y	3




Variable	Value
f	closure



Variable	Value
y	17

Variable	Value
x	2



```
(let* ([x 10]
      [f (lambda (x) (+ x x))])
  (f (- x 5)))
```

What is the value of this expression assuming lexical binding?
What about dynamic binding?

A. Lexical: 10
Dynamic: 10

B. Lexical: 10
Dynamic: 20

C. Lexical: 20
Dynamic: 10

D. Lexical: 20
Dynamic: 20

E. None of the above

```
(let* ([x 10]
       [f (lambda (y) (+ x y))])
  (f (- x 5)))
```

What is the value of this expression assuming lexical binding?
What about dynamic binding?

A. Lexical: 15
Dynamic: 15

B. Lexical: 15
Dynamic: 10

C. Lexical: 10
Dynamic: 15

D. Lexical: Error
Dynamic: 10

E. None of the above

```
(define f
  (let ([z 100])
    (lambda (x) (+ x z))))

(let ([z 10])
  (f 2))
```

What is the value of this let expression assuming lexical binding? What about dynamic binding?

A. Lexical: 12
Dynamic: 12

B. Lexical: 12
Dynamic: 102

C. Lexical: 102
Dynamic: 12

D. Lexical: 102
Dynamic: 102

E. None of the above

Dynamic MiniScheme

eval-exp ((lambda (x y) (+ x y)) 3 5)

apply-proc will evaluate the closure

```
(closure ' (x y)
          (app-exp (var-exp '+)
                    (list (var-exp 'x) (var-exp 'y))))
e)
```

by calling eval-exp on the **body** in the environment

$e[x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 5]$

Since the body is an app-exp, it'll evaluate (var-exp '+) to get (prim-proc '+) and the arguments to get ' (3 5)

How to change to dynamic scope?

1. apply-proc in normal MiniScheme does *not include* the current environment
 - **Change:** make the signature
`(apply-proc proc args curr-env)`
2. apply-proc in normal MiniScheme *extends* the **closure's** environment
 - **Change:** ignore the closure's environment! Just extend and evaluate in `curr-env` instead.

How to change to dynamic scope?

```
(define (apply-proc proc args curr-env)
  (cond [(prim-proc? proc)
        (apply-primitive-op (prim-proc-op proc) args)]
        [(closure? proc)
         (let ([params (closure-params proc)]
               [body (closure-body proc)])
           (eval-exp body (env params (map box args) curr-env)))]))
```

A Greater Context

Why use dynamic binding?

It's easy to implement! dynamic binding was understood several years before static binding

Without additional context, it makes that `(lambda (x) (+ x y))` should use whatever the latest, runtime version of `y` is

Why do we now use lexical binding?

Most languages are derived from Algol-60 which used lexical binding

Compilers can use lexical addresses known at compile time for all variable references

Code from lexically-bound languages is easier to verify

- e.g., in Racket, we can ensure a variable is declared before it is used *before* we run the program
- It makes more sense to most people

Python example

Reminder: this is currying!

```
def fun(x):  
    return lambda y: x + y  
  
def main():  
    f = fun(10)  
    print(f(7))          # Prints 17  
    x = 20  
    print(f(7))          # Prints 17
```

main()

Bash example

```
1  #!/bin/bash
2
3  x=0
4
5  setx() {
6      x=$1
7  }
8
9  printx() {
10     echo "${x}"
11 }
12
```

```
13 main() {
14     printx    # prints 0
15     setx 10
16     printx    # prints 10
17     local x=25
18     printx    # prints 25!
19     setx 100
20     printx    # prints 100!
21 }
22
23 main
24 printx    # prints 10
```