CS 301: Languages and Automata

Fall 2015

Problem Set #2

Due: Thursday, October 22, 2015

Problem 1 [30 pts] Give a PDA that recognizes each of the following languages. The input alphabet is $\Sigma = \{a, b, \#\}$. You may use whatever stack alphabet Γ you wish. Turn in your solutions to Blackboard as separate JFLAP files. Make sure you test your solutions! [Hint: Parts b and c will require nondeterminism.]

- **a.** $\{x \neq y \mid x, y \in \{a, b\}^* \text{ and } x^{\mathcal{R}} \text{ is a substring of } y\}$. [Hint: $y = \{a, b\}^* x^{\mathcal{R}} \{a, b\}^*$]
- **b.** $\{x \# y \mid x, y \in \{a, b\}^* \text{ and } y^{\mathcal{R}} \text{ is a substring of } x\}.$
- **c.** $\{x_1 \# x_2 \# \cdots \# x_k \mid \text{ each } x_i \in \{a, b\}^* \text{ and some } x_i = x_i^{\mathcal{R}}\}.$

Problem 2 [10 pts] Prove that the class of context-free languages is closed under reversal. [Hint: Consider a CFG in CNF and use induction on the length of the strings.]

Problem 3 [10 pts] Prove that every string $w \neq \varepsilon$ generated by a CFG in CNF of length n is derived in exactly 2n-1 steps. [Hint: Use induction on the length of w.]

Problem 4 [10 pts] Prove that the following CFG generates the language

$$\{xy \mid x, y \in \Sigma^*, |x| = |y|, \text{ and } x \neq y\}.$$

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid BA$$

$$A \rightarrow XAX$$
 | a

$$B \to XBX \mid b$$

$$X \to \mathbf{a} \ | \ \mathbf{b}$$

[Hint: Consider m applications of the rule $A \to XAX$ and n applications of the rule $B \to XBX$ in the derivation of a string and note that each instance of an X gives you a single terminal. So

$$S \Rightarrow AB \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} X^m a X^m B \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} X^m a X^m X^n b X^n$$

where

$$X^i = \underbrace{XX\cdots X}_i$$
.

All strings derived from the rightmost expression have length 2(m+n+1). Now divide such a string into two m+n+1 parts and show that the two parts differ in at least one position. There's a similar argument when the first step in the derivation is $S \Rightarrow BA$.

Problem 5 [10 pts] We say that a CFG is in forward-simple form if all of its rules have the form $A \to tB$ or $A \to \varepsilon$ where A and B are variables (possibly the same variable), and t is a terminal. Prove that if G is a CFG in forward-simple form, then L(G) is regular. [Hint: Let $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ be in FSF and build an NFA $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ that recognizes L(G).]

Problem 6 [10 pts] Call an alphabet ordered if it has an associated total order \leq .¹ For example, we can consider the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ an ordered alphabet by defining a < b and in fact, we can turn any alphabet into an ordered alphabet by defining a total order. For strings over an ordered alphabet, define an operation on strings SORT(w) = w' such that the symbols of w' are the symbols of w in sorted order. For example, SORT(aababab) = aaaabbb. (Note that SORT is not a homomorphism.)

For a language A defined over a sorted alphabet, define

$$SORT(A) = {SORT(w) \mid w \in A}.$$

For example, $SORT(\Sigma^*) = a^*b^*$, for $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.

After all of that set up, here's the problem: Prove that if A is a regular language defined over the sorted alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$, then SORT(A) is context-free. [Hint: Given a DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ that recognizes A, build a CFG that generates SORT(A). Your CFG will have a form similar to, but different from forward-simple form (see Problem 5).]

Problem 7 [Extra credit: 10 pts] Give a regular language A defined over the sorted alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ such that SORT(A) is not context-free. Prove that SORT(A) is not context-free. You may use the fact that $\{a^nb^nc^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is not context-free without proof.

¹See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Total_order for the definition of a total order.