

Programming Abstractions

Week 7-2: MiniScheme C, D, and E

Stephen Checkoway

What can MiniScheme do at this point?

MiniScheme B has constant numbers

MiniScheme B has pre-bound symbols that are in the `init-env`

What does `(parse 15)` return?

A. 15

B. `'(lit-exp 15)`

C. It's an error

What does `(parse 'z)` return?

A. `'(lit-exp z)`

B. `'(var-exp z)`

C. It's an error

What does `(eval-exp (var-exp 'z) environment)` do?

- A. Returns what `z` is bound to in `environment`
- B. It's an error
- C. It looks up with `z` is bound to, returning the result or causing an error if `z` is not bound
- D. Something else

What does `(eval-exp (lit-exp 108) environment)` do?

- A. Returns what 108 is bound to in environment
- B. It's an error
- C. It looks up with 108 is bound to, returning the result or causing an error if 108 is not bound
- D. Returns 108
- E. Something else

Homeworks 6 and 7

Multiple steps, each adding parts to the MiniScheme interpreter

For each new type of expression

- ▶ Add a new data type
 - ift-exp
 - let-exp
 - etc.
- ▶ Add constructors, recognizers and accessors
- ▶ Modify parse to produce those
- ▶ Modify eval-exp to interpret them

```
EXP → number  
      | symbol  
      | ( if EXP EXP EXP )  
      | ( let ( LET-BINDINGS ) EXP )  
      | ( letrec ( LET-BINDINGS ) EXP )  
      | ( lambda ( PARAMS ) EXP )  
      | ( set! symbol EXP )  
      | ( begin EXP* )  
      | ( EXP EXP* )  
LET-BINDINGS → LET-BINDING*  
LET-BINDING → [ symbol EXP ]  
PARAMS → symbol*
```

Let's add arithmetic and some list procedures

MiniScheme C

Let's add +, −, *, /, car, cdr, cons, etc.

Students find this to be the hardest part of the project

- It's the first complex part
- It contains some things that make more sense later, once we add lambda expressions

Many ways to call procedures

```
(+ 2 3)
```

```
((lambda (x y) (+ x y) 2 3)
```

```
(let ([f +]) (f 2 3))
```

The parser can't identify primitive procedures like + because symbols like f may be bound to primitive procedures

- It can't tell because the parser **does not have access to the environment**

All that the parser can do is recognize a procedure application and parse

- the procedure; and
- the arguments

Enter lists

So far, the input to MiniScheme A and B has just been a number or a symbol

If the input is a list, then the kind of expression it represents depends on the first element

- If the first element is ' `lambda`, it's a lambda expression
- If the first element is ' `let`, it's a let expression
- If the first element is ' `if`, it's an if-then-else expression
- etc.

Applications don't have keywords, so any nonempty list for which the first element is not one of our supported keywords is an application

Procedure applications

MiniScheme C

$EXP \rightarrow$ number	parse into <code>lit-exp</code>
symbol	parse into <code>var-exp</code>
($EXP\ EXP^*$)	parse into <code>app-exp</code>

An `app-exp` is a new data type that stores

- The parse tree for a procedure
- A list of parse trees for the arguments

Procedures to implement

- `(app-exp proc args)`
- `(app-exp? exp)`
- `(app-exp-proc exp)`
- `(app-exp-args exp)`

Recursive implementation

Parsing

Expressions are recursive: $EXP \rightarrow (EXP EXP^*)$

When parsing an application expression, you want to parse the sub expressions using parse

```
(define (parse input)
  (cond [(number? input) (lit-exp input)]
        [(symbol? input) (var-exp input)]
        [(list? input)
         (cond [(empty? input) (error ...)]
               [else (app-exp (parse (first input))
                               (...))])]
        [else (error 'parse "Invalid syntax ~s" input)]))
```

Parse the procedure

Parse the arguments

How should you parse the arguments?

Consider input that looks like
`((lambda (x y) x) 2 3)` or
`(f 4 5 6)`

The procedure part can be parsed with `(parse (first input))`

How should you parse the arguments?

Evaluating an app-exp

Evaluate the procedure part

Evaluate each of the arguments

If the procedure part evaluates to a primitive procedure, call a procedure you'll write that will perform the operation on the arguments

- E.g., if the primitive procedure is `*`, then you'll want to call `*` on the arguments

The tricky part is what does it mean to evaluate the procedure part?

Evaluating the procedure part of an `app-exp`

Consider the input `'(+ 2 3 4)`

The procedure part is `' +` which will be parsed as `'(var-exp +)`

Variable reference expressions are evaluated by looking the symbol up in the current environment

Therefore, we need our initial environment to contain a binding for the symbol `' +` (and all the other primitive procedures we want to support)

prim-proc data type

We can create a new data type prim-proc

- `(prim-proc symbol)`
- `(prim-proc? value)`
- `(prim-proc-symbol value)`

Adding primitives to our initial environment

```
(define primitive-operators  
  '(+ - * /))
```

```
(define prim-env  
  (env primitive-operators  
        (map prim-proc primitive-operators)  
        empty-env))
```


```
(define init-env  
  (env '(x y) '(23 42) prim-env))
```

Evaluating an app-exp

Recall: app-exp stores the parse tree for the procedure and a list of parse trees for the arguments

We need to evaluate all of those; add something like the following to eval-exp

```
[ (app-exp? tree)
  (let ([proc (eval-exp (app-exp-proc tree) e)]
        [args ...])
    (apply-proc proc args)) ]
```



eval-exp's environment
parameter

Applying a procedure

The `apply-proc` procedure takes an evaluated procedure and a list of evaluated arguments

It can look at the procedure and determine if it's a primitive procedure

- If so, it will call `apply-primitive-op`
- If not, it's an error for now; later, we'll add code to deal with non-primitive procedure (i.e., normal lambdas)

```
(define (apply-proc proc args)
  (cond [(prim-proc? proc)
        (apply-primitive-op (prim-proc-symbol proc) args)]
        [else (error 'apply-proc "Bad proc: ~s" proc)]))
```

Applying primitive operations

(apply-primitive-op op args)

apply-primitive-op takes a symbol (such as '+' or '*') and a list of arguments

You probably want something like

```
(define (apply-primitive-op op args)
  (cond [(eq? op '+) (apply + args)]
        [(eq? op '*) (apply * args)]
        ...
        [else (error "...)]))
```

What is returned by `(parse '(* 2 3))`?

- A. `'((prim-proc *) 2 3)`
- B. `'((prim-proc *) (lit-exp 2) (lit-exp 3))`
- C. `'(app-exp (prim-proc *) ((lit-exp 2) (lit-exp 3)))`
- D. `'(var-exp * (lit-exp 2) (lit-exp 3))`
- E. `'(app-exp (var-exp *) ((lit-exp 2) (lit-exp 3)))`

When evaluating an `app-exp`, the procedure and each of the arguments are evaluated. For example, when evaluating the result of `(parse '(- 20 5))`, there will be three recursive calls to `eval-exp`, the first of which is evaluating `(var-exp '-)`.

What is the result of evaluating `(var-exp '-)`?

- A. `#<procedure:->` (i.e., the procedure – itself)
- B. `'(app-exp -)`
- C. `'(prim-proc -)`
- D. It's an error because `-` requires arguments

What is the result of `(eval-exp (parse '(* 4 5)) init-env)`?

A. 20

B. `'(app-exp (var-exp *) ((lit-exp 4) (lit-exp 5)))`

C. `'(prim-proc * 4 5)`

D. `'(prim-proc (var-exp *) (lit-exp 4) (lit-exp 5))`

E. `'(app-exp (prim-proc *) 4 5)`

Why go to all that trouble?

In a later version of MiniScheme, we'll implement lambda

We'll deal with this by adding a line to `apply-proc` that will apply closures

Adding other primitive procedures

In addition (pardon the pun) to +, −, *, and /, you'll add several other primitive procedures

- `add1`
- `sub1`
- `negate`
- `list`
- `cons`
- `car`
- `cdr`

And you'll add a new variable `null` bound to the empty list

What does `(car (list 3 5 2))` parse to?

What does `(car (list 3 5 2))` parse to?

```
'(app-exp (var-exp car)
          ((app-exp (var-exp list)
                    ((lit-exp 3)
                     (lit-exp 5)
                     (lit-exp 2)))))
```

Adding additional primitive procedures

1. Add the procedure name to `primitive-operators`
2. Add a corresponding line to the `cond` in `apply-primitive-op`

E.g.,

```
[ (eq? op 'car) (car (first args)) ]  
[ (eq? op 'list) args ]
```

What can MiniScheme C do?

Numbers

Pre-defined variables

Procedure calls to built-in procedures

MiniScheme D: Conditionals

Booleans in MiniScheme

In Scheme: `#t` and `#f`

In MiniScheme: `True` and `False`

You'll need to add symbols `True` and `False` to `init-env`

- Bind them to `'True` and `'False`

New special form: if

$EXP \rightarrow$ number	parse into <code>lit-exp</code>
symbol	parse into <code>var-exp</code>
(if $EXP\ EXP\ EXP$)	parse into <code>ite-exp</code>
($EXP\ EXP^*$)	parse into <code>app-exp</code>

We need a new data type for the if-then-else expression

- `ite-exp`
- `ite-exp?`
- `ite-exp-cond`
- `ite-exp-then`
- `ite-exp-else`

The parser

MiniScheme D

```
(define (parse input)
  (cond [(number? input) (lit-exp input)]
        [(symbol? input) (var-exp input)]
        [(list? input)
         (cond [(empty? input) (error ...)]
               [(eq? (first input) 'if)
                (if (= (length input) 4)
                    (ite-exp ...)
                    (error ...))]
               [else (app-exp ...)])]
        [else (error 'parse "Invalid syntax ~s" input)]))
```

Parsing if-then-else expressions

If-then-else expressions are recursive

▸ E.g., $EXP \rightarrow (\text{if } EXP \text{ } EXP \text{ } EXP)$

When parsing an if-then-else expression, you want to parse the sub expressions using parse

The input to parse will look like ' (if (lt? x 1) (+ y 100) z)

The condition is (second input)

The then-branch is (third input)

The else-branch is (fourth input)

Evaluating `ite-exp`

Parse tree is recursive: `(parse '(if x 10 20))`

- `'(ite-exp (var-exp x) (lit-exp 10) (lit-exp 20))`

When evaluating, you should call `eval-exp` recursively

- First, call it on the conditional expression
 - If the condition is `False`, call it on the last expression
 - Otherwise, call it on the middle expression

Can you evaluate all parts of the ite-exp?

What would happen if you instead called eval-exp on all three parts of the expression before deciding which one to return?

Think about recursive procedures using

Primitive procedures returning booleans

Numeric procedures

- `number?`
- `eqv?` Also works with `True` and `False`
- `lt?`
- `gt?`
- `lte?`
- `gte?`

List procedures

- `null?`
- `list?`

MiniScheme E: let expressions

Let expressions

Consider

```
(let ([x (+ 3 4)]  
      [y 5]  
      [z (foo 8)])  
  body)
```

To evaluate this, we need to extend the current environment with bindings for `x`, `y`, and `z` and then evaluate `body` in the extended environment

Extending environments

```
(env list-of-symbols list-of-values previous-environment)
```

Recall that the env constructor requires

- a list of symbols
- a list of values
- a previous environment

The parser doesn't know anything about environments but we can create a `let-exp` data type that stores

- the binding symbols
- the parsed binding values
- the parsed body

Parsing let expressions

```
(let ([x (+ 3 4)] [y 5] [z (foo 8)])  
  body)
```

The binding list is `(second input)` where `input` is the whole let expression

The symbols are `(map first binding-list)`

The binding expressions are `(map second binding-list)`

How can we parse each of these expressions?

The body is simply `(third input)` which we can parse

Evaluating let expressions

Evaluating a let expressions just takes a little more work

- Evaluate each of the binding expressions in the `let-exp`

```
(map (λ (exp)  
      (eval-exp exp current-env))  
     (let-exp-exps tree))
```
- Bind the symbols to these values by extending the current environment
- Evaluate the body of the let expression using the extended environment