# Programming Abstractions

Lecture 29: More macros

#### Announcements

Office hours Tuesday 13:30–14:30

Homework 8 now due on the last day of class

Some form of remote instruction for the final two weeks

- Possibly just entirely remote
- Possibly in-person with recorded lectures

#### Consider switch

```
(switch exp [case-1 exp-1] ... [case-n exp-n])
```

The behavior we want is

- exp is evaluated;
- ► the result is compared against each of case-1 through case-n in order;
- ▶ if the result is equal to case-i then the value of the expression is exp-i

#### Let's define a switch syntax!

```
(define-syntax switch
  (syntax-rules ()
    [( exp [case case-exp] ...)
     (let ([result exp])
       (cond [(equal? result case) case-exp] ...))]))
(switch (- 2 1)
        [0 "zero"]
        [1 "one"]
        [2 "two"])
```

#### Let's define a switch syntax!

```
(define-syntax switch
  (syntax-rules ()
   [( exp [case case-exp] ...)
     (let ([result exp])
       (cond [(equal? result case) case-exp] ...))]))
(switch (- 2 1)
                            (let ([result (- 2 1)])
                              (cond [(equal? result 0) "zero"]
        [0 "zero"]
        [1 "one"]
                                    [(equal? result 1) "one"]
                                    [(equal? result 2) "two"])
        [2 "two"])
```

```
What is the value of this?
(define-syntax switch
  (syntax-rules ()
    [( exp [case case-exp] ...)
     (let ([result exp])
        (cond [(equal? result case) case-exp] ...))]))
 switch 3
         [0 "zero"]
         [1 "one"]
         [2 "two"])
A. 3
                                  C. void
                                   D. It's an error
B. "three"
```

## Let's add an [else exp] to switch

```
We want to support an else
(switch 3
       [0 "zero"]
      [1 "one"]
       [2 "two"]
       [else "something else"])
```

As we've currently implemented switch, this won't work

Why not?

#### Let's add an [else exp] to switch

```
We want to support an else

(switch 3

[0 "zero"]

[1 "one"]

[2 "two"]

[else "something else"])
```

As we've currently implemented switch, this won't work

Why not?

```
(let ([result 3])
  (cond [(equal? result 0) "zero"]
        [(equal? result 1) "one"]
        [(equal? result 2) "two"]
        [(equal? result else) "something else"]))
```

# First attempt

Two rules, each with a pattern and a matching transformation

```
Idea: a (switch ...) without an [else ...] matches the second rule; a (switch ...) with an [else ...] matches the first rule
```

# Trying it out

#### Not quite

We need to inform Racket that else is not a pattern variable and is meant to be matched literally

#### Not quite

```
(switch 3
       [0 "zero"]
       [1 "one"]
       [2 "two"])
```

returns "two"!

The problem is this switch matches the first pattern

```
(_ exp [case case-exp] ... [else else-exp])
```

We need to inform Racket that else is not a pattern variable and is meant to be matched literally

#### Literal matches

```
(syntax-rules (literal ...) [pattern transform] ...)
The first argument to syntax-rules is a list of words to match literally
                                 else is not a pattern variable;
                                    it's matched literally
(define-syntax switch
  (syntax-rules (else)
    [( exp [case case-exp] ... [else else-exp])
     (let ([result exp])
        (cond [(equal? result case) case-exp] ...
              [else else-exp]))]
    [( exp [case case-exp] ...)
      (switch exp [case case-exp] ... [else (void)])]))
```

# Second attempt

```
[1 "one"]
[2 "two"]
[else "blah"])

Result is "blah"

(cond [(equal? result 0) "zero"]
[(equal? result 1) "one"]
[(equal? result 2) "two"]
[else "blah"]))
```

## Macros match arguments, not evaluate

When a macro is being evaluated, the arguments are matched against the pattern but they aren't evaluated

```
(switch 1
  [0 (displayIn "zero")]
  [1 (displayIn "one")]
  [2 (displayIn "two")]
  [else (displayIn "something else")])
```

This prints one

If the arguments were evaluated (well, it'd be an error because 0 isn't a procedure) but it'd also print out zero, one, two, something else

What is printed by the following C code. f is a macro.

```
#include <stdio.h>

#define f(x)
    do {
        int y = 10;
        int z = (x);
        printf("y=%d z=%d\n", y, z);
      } while (0)
```

```
int main() {
  int y = 5;
  f(y + 2);
  return 0;
}
```

A. 
$$y=5 z=7$$

B. 
$$y=5 z=12$$

C. 
$$y=10 z=7$$

D. 
$$y=5 z=12$$

E. 
$$y=10 z=12$$

# C's macros are "unhygienic"

We can run the code through C's preprocessor which expands macros to see the problem (line breaks added):

```
int main() {
  int y = 5;
  do {
    int y = 10;
    int z = (y + 2);
    printf("y=%d z=%d\n", y, z);
  } while (0);
  return 0;
}
```

# Scheme/Racket's macros are hygienic

Same macro as before, but in Racket

```
(define-syntax f
  (syntax-rules ()
    [ (X)
     (let* ([y 10]
            [Z X]
       (printf "y=~s z=~s\n" y z)))
(let ([y 5])
  (f (+ y 2))
Prints: y=10 z=7
```

## Hygienic macros

Unhygienic macros: Macros can introduce variables that shadow variables used in the arguments

► E.g., C's macros are unhygienic

Hygienic macros: Expansion of macros cannot accidentally capture variables

► E.g., Racket's and Rust's macros are hygienic

```
(define-syntax debug-value
  (syntax-rules ()
     [( arg)
      (let ([value arg])
        (printf " ~s=~s\n" 'arg value)
        value)]))
(define (f x)
  (* 2 (debug-value x)))
(f 10)
What is printed by this code; what is the value of the (f 10)?
A. printed: arg=10
                                   C. printed: x=10
  value: 10
                                      value: 10
B. printed: x=10
                                   D. printed: x=10
  value: 20
                                      value: 20
```

## A debug macro

We can use debug-value to write a debug macro that wraps a procedure call and prints out all of its arguments:

```
(let ([x 10]
      [y 20]
      [z 30])
  (debug (+ (add1 x) (sub1 y) (* z z))))
Prints:
(+ (add1 x) (sub1 y) (* z z))
  (add1 x)=11
  (sub1 y)=19
  (*z] = 900
```

Returns: 930

## debug implementation