Neural Networks as Quantum States

NNs in Quantum Many-Body Problems Deep Learning con Applicazioni

Stefano Lonardoni

18 july, 2025





- Quantum-many-body problems feature an exponential Hilbert-space growth
- Traditional variational ansätze reduce complexity sactificing correlation and entanglement
- Neural-network quantum states can offer expressivity with a compact set of parameters

Neural Networks can represent ground states for a many-body quantum system¹

¹Giuseppe Carleo, Matthias Troyer, Solving the quantum many-body problem with artificial neural networks. *Science* 355, 602-606 (2017)

Project Outline

Find the Ground State

- Restricted Boltzmann Machine as a neural network
- Sample configurations from RBM
- Train RBM to find the ground state






Restricted Boltzmann Machine

Generative Model

- Learns $p(\vec{\sigma})$ of the input data $\vec{\sigma}$
- Two layers: *N* visible and *M* hidden
- Parameters W: weights W_{ij} and biases b_i , c_j





Restricted Boltzmann Machine

Probability Distribution

Internal Energy:

$$E(\vec{\sigma}, \vec{h}) = -\sum_{i} b_{i}\sigma_{i} - \sum_{j} c_{j}h_{j} - \sum_{i,j} W_{ij}\sigma_{i}h_{j}$$

Probability Distribution:

$$p(\vec{\sigma}, \vec{h}) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E(\vec{\sigma}, \vec{h})}$$

where *Z* is the partition function:

$$Z = \sum_{ec{\sigma},ec{h}} e^{-E(ec{\sigma},ec{h})}$$



Restricted Boltzmann Machine

Application to Quantum States

Considering a spin configuration $\vec{\sigma}$ and a set of parameters W:

$$\psi\left(\vec{\sigma},\mathcal{W}\right)=e^{\sum_{i}b_{i}\sigma_{i}+\sum_{j}c_{j}h_{j}+\sum_{i,j}W_{ij}\sigma_{i}h_{j}}$$

With no intra-layer connections:

$$\psi\left(ec{\sigma},\mathcal{W}
ight)= extbf{e}^{\sum_{i}b_{i}\sigma_{i}} imes\prod_{j=1}^{M}2cosh\left[c_{j}+\sum_{i}W_{ij}\sigma_{i}
ight]$$

From the RBM we can sample configurations $\vec{\sigma}$.



Update parameters W towards energy minimum.

$$E_0 \leq \frac{\langle \psi_{\mathcal{W}} | \hat{H} | \psi_{\mathcal{W}} \rangle}{\langle \psi_{\mathcal{W}} | \psi_{\mathcal{W}} \rangle}$$

The parameters W are optimized using a gradient descent method²:

- Variational Monte Carlo (VMC)
- Stochastic Reconfiguration (SR)

²Becca F, Sorella S. *Quantum Monte Carlo Approaches for Correlated Systems*. Cambridge University Press; 2017



TrainingVariational Monte Carlo

We can compute the gradients:

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}\left(\mathcal{E}\right) &= \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} \langle \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{loc}} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{loc}} \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} log(p_{\psi}) \rangle \\ &= 2 \Re \left[\langle \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{loc}} \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} log(\psi) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{loc}} \rangle \langle \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} log(\psi) \rangle \right] \end{split}$$

And consequently update the parameters:

$$\Delta \mathcal{W} = -\eta \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} \left(\mathsf{E} \right)$$



Training

Stochastic Reconfiguration

Parameters evolution in the variational space:

$$\Delta \mathcal{W} = -\eta \mathbf{S}^{-1} \vec{F}$$

where S^{-1} is the pseudo-inverse of the covariance matrix:

$$\mathbf{S}_{ij} = \langle O_i^* O_j \rangle - \langle O_i^* \rangle \langle O_j \rangle$$

and \vec{F} is the force vector:

$$F_i = \langle O_i^* E_{loc} \rangle - \langle O_i^* \rangle \langle E_{loc} \rangle$$

where O_i are the local operators defined as:

$$O_i = rac{\partial log\left(\psi\left(ec{\sigma},\mathcal{W}
ight)
ight)}{\partial W_{ij}}$$

- RBM
- Sampler
- Hamiltonian
- Optimizers





Code Structure

nnqs/

- nnqs.py

-> RBM

hamiltonian.py


-> Ising1D

optimizer.py

er.py -> MRT2,

GibbsSampler
- sampler.py

-> VMC, SR





Custom tf.module implementing the RBM.

Weights and biases are initialized randomly as complex values:

```
self.W = tf.Variable(
    tf.random.normal([self.N_visible, self.N_hidden], dtype=tf.complex64)
)
self.b = tf.Variable(tf.random.normal([self.N_visible], dtype=tf.complex64))
self.c = tf.Variable(tf.random.normal([self.N_hidden], dtype=tf.complex64))
```

$$\psi\left(ec{\sigma},\mathcal{W}
ight)=\mathrm{e}^{\sum_{i}b_{i}\sigma_{i}} imes\prod_{j=1}^{M}2cosh\left[c_{j}+\sum_{i}W_{ij}\sigma_{i}
ight]$$



RBM - Logarithm of the Wave Function

$$\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_N)$$
 NN NN

$$log(\psi) = \sum_{i} b_{i}\sigma_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} log\left[2cosh\left(c_{j} + \sum_{i} W_{ij}\sigma_{i}\right)\right]$$



RBM - Logarithm of the Wave Function

```
sum_visible = tf.reduce_sum(
    a * spins, axis=1
)
w_h = b + tf.matmul(spins, W)
sum_hidden = tf.reduce_sum(
    tf.math.log(2.0 * (
        tf.math.cosh(b + tf.matmul(spins, W))
    )),axis=1
)
return sum_visible + sum_hidden
```

$$\sum_{i} b_{i}\sigma_{i}$$
 $\sum_{j=1}^{M} log \left[2cosh \left(c_{j} + \sum_{i} W_{ij}\sigma_{i}
ight)
ight]$



Sampler

Samples configurations from the RBM.

- Metropolis-Hastings MCMC using TensorFlow Probability
- Gibbs sampling Double-step method to sample visible and hidden variables

Provides batches of configurations. Example:



Hamiltonian - 1D Ising

Simple 1D Ising Hamiltonian:

$$H = -J\sum_{i}\sigma_{i}\sigma_{i+1} - h\sum_{i}\sigma_{i}$$

Provides local_energy(samples) which computes E_{loc} for each configuration $\vec{\sigma}$.



Optimizers

Leverage tf.GradientTape to compute gradients of $\log\left(\psi\right)$

```
with tf.GradientTape() as tape:
    log_psi = self.wave_function.log_psi(samples)
grad_log_psi = tape.jacobian(log_psi, self.wave_function.trainable_variables)
```

VMC and SR methods obtain parameters update in different ways.

Update the parameters of the wave function in the same way:

```
for grad_val, var in grads_vars:
    var.assign_sub(self.learning_rate * grad_val)
```



Optimizers - VMC

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{W}}\left(\mathsf{E}\right) = 2\Re\left[\left\langle \mathsf{E}_{\mathrm{loc}} \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} \mathsf{log}(\psi) \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathsf{E}_{\mathrm{loc}} \right\rangle \left\langle \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} \mathsf{log}(\psi) \right\rangle\right]$$

```
mean_energy = tf.reduce_mean(local_energies)
for grad_i in grad_log_psi:
    loc_grad = e_loc * grad_i
    grad_energy = tf.reduce_mean(loc_grad, axis=0)
    grad_log_psi_mean = tf.reduce_mean(grad_i, axis=0)

vmc_grad = 2.0 * tf.math.real(
    grad_energy - mean_energy * grad_log_psi_mean
)
    gradients.append(vmc_grad)
```



Optimizers - Stochastic Reconfiguration

The SR approach computes the variational increments as follows:



 $F_{vec} = ($

Code Implementation

Optimizers - Stochastic Reconfiguration

tf.reduce_mean(local_energies * 0, axis=0) - mean_energy * 0_mean

 $F = \langle E_{loc} \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} log(\psi) \rangle - \langle E_{loc} \rangle \langle \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} log(\psi) \rangle$



Optimizers - Stochastic Reconfiguration

$$\Delta \mathcal{W} = S^{-1}F$$


```
# solve for delta
P = tf.shape(S)[0]
S_reg = S + self.epsilon * tf.eye(P, dtype=S.dtype)
# dW = S^{-1} F
gradients = tf.linalg.solve(S_reg, tf.expand_dims(F_vec, 1))
```






1D Ising Model - 16 spins


Using J = 1.0 and h = 0.5




















1D Ising Model - 16 spins


Using J = -1.0 and h = 0.5













Further Work

Optimization and Extensions

Extensions

- Extend to 2D systems
- Other neural networks (FFNN, CNN)
- Unitary (and non) dynamics

Optimization

- Efficient SR update (single parameter updates)
- Change tensor framework (JAX, ...)

Other Projects

NetKet: The Machine-Learning toolbox for Quantum Physics





References



Thanks for your attention!

Appendix





Appendix

Probabilities for the RBM

Thanks to no intra-layer connections, we can factorize the joint probability:

$$p(\vec{\sigma} \mid \vec{h}) = \prod_{i} p(\sigma_i \mid \vec{h})$$
 $p(\vec{h} \mid \vec{\sigma}) = \prod_{j} p(h_j \mid \vec{\sigma})$

where:

$$p(\sigma_i|h) = \sigma\left(b_i + \sum_j W_{ij}h_j\right)$$

$$\rho(h_j|\sigma) = \sigma\left(c_j + \sum_i W_{ij}\sigma_i\right)$$

where $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$ is the logistic or sigmoid function.



Explicit form of the partial derivatives of $\log (\psi)$



Appendix

Metropolis-Hastings and MCMC sampling

Propose a single bit flip, and accept it with the Metropolis criterion:

$$\alpha = \min\left(1, \frac{p(\sigma')}{p(\sigma)}\right)$$

where σ' is the proposed state and σ is the current state.

Uses the tfp.mcmc module for efficient sampling.

- Requires target *log(p)*
- Requires new state proposal



Appendix Gibbs sampling

We can sample the visible and hidden variables in a double-step Gibbs sampling:

```
def sample(self, wave_function):
    v = tf.cast(self.current state. tf.float32)
    for _ in range(self.k):
        v_complex = tf.cast(v, wave_function.W.dtype)
        v_W = tf.matmul(v_complex, wave_function.W)
        p_h = tf.sigmoid(tf.math.real(wave_function.b + v_W))
        h = tf.cast(tf.random.uniform(tf.shape(p_h), dtype=tf.float32) < p_h, tf.
    float32)
        h_complex = tf.cast(h. wave_function.W.dtvpe)
        h_Wt = tf.matmul(h_complex, tf.transpose(wave_function.W))
        p_v = tf.sigmoid(tf.math.real(wave_function.a + h_Wt))
        v = tf.cast(tf.random.uniform(tf.shape(p_v), dtype=tf.float32) < p_v, tf.
    float 32)
    self.current_state.assign(tf.cast(v, tf.int32))
return v
```