Duc. 20 Colober 2011

1 Abstract Data Types in Haskell

In class we talked about how to use the Haskell module system to implement an abstract data type.

An abstract data type (ADT) provides users with the type signatures of the operations supported on the type together with an abstract specification of the expected behavior of the type. This specification takes the form of a list of axioms that relate the behaviors of the interactions of the operators with one another. By only allowing users to access the interface it is possible to change an underlying implementation without having to change code that uses the ADT.

Haskell's module system allows programmers to implement ADT's by providing a mechanism for hiding underlying representations and implementations and simply exporting the names and signatures of the interface.

Exercise 1.1. Read about Modules in Bird (pp.263-264). Read about modules in LYAHFGG http://learnyouahaskell.com/modules.

1.1 An Abstract Data Type of Trees

For example, as in class we defined a data type of trees.

1.1.1 Type Signature

The type signatures of the tree operations was given as follows:

 $\begin{array}{llll} \textit{Tree } a & & -\textit{the type name} \\ \textit{leaf} & & :: a \rightarrow \textit{Tree } a \\ \textit{branch} & & :: \textit{Tree } a \rightarrow \textit{Tree } a \rightarrow \textit{Tree } a \\ \textit{cell} & & :: \textit{Tree } a \rightarrow a \\ \textit{left, right} & :: \textit{Tree } a \rightarrow \textit{Tree } a \\ \textit{isLeaf} & :: \textit{Tree } a \rightarrow \textit{Bool} \\ \end{array}$

1.1.2 The Tree Axioms

If x :: a and $t_1, t_2 :: (Tree \ a)$ then the following axioms specify the behaviors of the operators.

```
T1.) cell(leaf x) = x

T2.) cell(branch t_1 t_2) = \bot

T3.) left(leaf x) = \bot

T4.) left(branch t_1 t_2) = t_1

T5.) right(leaf x) = \bot

T6.) right(branch t_1 t_2) = t_2

T7.) isLeaf(leaf x) = True

T8.) isLeaf(branch t_1 t_2) = False
```

1.1.3 Haskell Implementation

A Haskell implementation is given by the following module.

```
module TreeADT (Tree, leaf, branch, cell, left, right, isLeaf) where
data Tree a
                       = Leaf \ a \mid Branch \ (Tree \ a) \ (Tree \ a) \ deriving \ (Eq,Show)
leaf
                       = Leaf
                       = Branch
branch
cell (Leaf a)
                       = a
left (Branch l r)
                       = l
right (Branch \ l \ r)
isLeaf (Leaf _)
                       = True
                       = False
isLeaf
```

Note that the constructors Leaf and Branch are not in export list following the modules name. In the absence of an export list, all declarations in the module are exported. Since there is an export list in the TreeADT module, definitions that are not mentioned in the list are private. Programs that import this module do not have access to Leaf and Branch. Note that if you load this module into GHCI, you will have access to the Leaf and Branch constructors – but those constructors are not in the name-space of modules that import TreeADT. Also, the deriving-clause which include the type $Tree\ a$ in the Eq and Show type classes make it possible to test elements of the data type for equality and to display them. Displaying them reveals the names of the underlying constructors, but because they were not exported, users of the module can not use them.

1.1.4 Unit Tests

Axioms can be used to design unit tests for an implementation. This is especially easy for axioms that are stated in the form given above – where we assume the variables x and T_1 and t_2 are universally quantified in each axiom and the axiom takes the form of an equation. If the axioms contain existential quantifiers the problem is more difficult. In the TreeADT axioms T1 through T8, the variables x, t_1 and t_2 that occur in each axiom become arguments to the test function for the behavior specified by that axiom. If the behavior is specified to be undefined i.e. it is equal to \bot , then the test can be run to see if it results in an error. Note that we have used \bot to denote both run-time errors and looping forever. Obviously, testing if a program loops forever can not be done unless you are extremely patient and have unlimited time on your hands.

Here is a Haskell module implementing unit tests for the *TreeADT* module.

```
module TestTreeADT where

import\ TreeADT

test\_T1\ x = cell\ (leaf\ x) == x
-\ the\ following\ test\ should\ raise\ an\ error\ on\ all\ inputs\ t1\ and\ t2
test\_T2\ t1\ t2 = cell\ (branch\ t1\ t2)
-\ the\ following\ test\ should\ raise\ an\ error\ on\ all\ inputs\ x
test\_T3\ x = left(leaf\ x)
test\_T4\ t1\ t2 = left\ (branch\ t1\ t2) == t1
-\ the\ following\ test\ should\ raise\ an\ error\ on\ all\ inputs\ x
test\_T5\ x = right(leaf\ x)
test\_T6\ t1\ t2 = right\ (branch\ t1\ t2) == t2
```

```
test\_T7 \ x = isLeaf \ (leaf \ x) == True

test\_T8 \ t1 \ t2 = isLeaf \ (branch \ t1 \ t2) == False
```

1.2 An Abstract Data Type for Sets

Here is a specification of a Set ADT. Note that our sets are *monomorphic* in the sense that they can contain elements of a single type.

1.2.1 Type Signature

```
data Set a
                          - the type name
                          :: Set \ a
empty
                          :: a \to Set \ a \to Bool
ismem
                          :: Set \ a \rightarrow Int
size
                          :: a \to Set \ a \to Set \ a
insert
delete
                          :: a \to Set \ a \to Set \ a
                          :: Set \ a \rightarrow Set \ a \rightarrow Set \ a
union
                          :: Set \ a \rightarrow Set \ a \rightarrow Set \ a
intersection \\
```

1.2.2 The Set Axioms

If s,t: (Set a) and x,y: a the following axioms specify the behavior for the Set data type.

```
S1.)
                         ismem \ x \ empty = False
S2.)
                  ismem\ x\ (insert\ y\ s) = (x = y) \lor ismem\ x\ s
                                size\ empty\ =\ 0
S3.)
                         size(insert \ x \ s) = \begin{cases} size \ s & \text{if } ismem \ x \ s \\ (size \ s) + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
S4.)
S5.)
                  (insert \ x \ s = empty) = False
S6.)
                   insert \ x \ (insert \ x \ s) = insert \ x \ s
                   insert \ x \ (insert \ y \ s) = insert \ y \ (insert \ x \ s)
S7.)
                                                        \begin{cases} False & \text{if } x = y\\ ismem \ x \ s & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
                  ismem\ x\ (delete\ y\ s)\ =
S8.)
S9.
                   ismem \ x \ (union \ s \ t) = (ismem \ x \ s \ \lor \ ismem \ x \ t)
S10.)
         ismem \ x \ (intersection \ s \ t) = (ismem \ x \ s \land ismem \ x \ t)
```

Exercise 1.2. Your assignment is build on the base code provided on the course web-page to finish an implementation of the *Set* data type using lists. You also need to make a module *TestSet* which implements unit tests for each set axiom.

Note that you may need to use the list function $nub :: (Eq \ a) \Rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]$ which eliminates duplicate elements of a list. It requires the elements of the list to be members of the Eq type class.