

1 Type Inference

Recall the type of terms.

```
data Term = V String
          | Ap Term Term
          | Abs String Term
```

The data-type `Type` with products is:

```
data Type = TyVar String | Arrow Type Type deriving Eq
```

1.1 Proof Rules

Sequents in the system (which represent the state of a derivation) are of the form

Sequents in the system (which represent the state of a type derivation) are of the form:

$$\Gamma, E \vdash M : T$$

In this structure, Γ is a *context* representing a state of knowledge about the types of some variables. Contexts have the form:

$$\Gamma = [x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_k : \tau_k]$$

where the x_i 's are variables and τ_i 's are types.

E is a list of constraints between pairs of types and in the rules is presented as follows:

$$E = \{\tau_{(1,1)} = \tau_{(1,2)}, \dots, \tau_{(k,1)} = \tau_{(k,2)}\}$$

where $\tau_{i,j}$'s are types.

We write $\Gamma \setminus x$ to denote the list obtained from Γ by deleting all pairs whose first element is x .

As presented in the last homework, The proof rules for Wand's type inference system are given as follows:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, \{\alpha = \tau\} \vdash x : \tau} (\text{Ax}) \quad \text{if } (x, \alpha) \in \Gamma.$$

$$\frac{[x : \alpha] ++ (\Gamma \setminus x), E \vdash M : \beta}{\Gamma, E \cup \{\tau = \alpha \rightarrow \beta\} \vdash \lambda x. M : \tau} (\text{Abs}) \quad \text{where } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are fresh.}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, E_1 \vdash M : \alpha \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma, E_2 \vdash N : \alpha}{\Gamma, E_1 \cup E_2 \vdash MN : \tau} (\text{App}) \quad \text{where } \alpha \text{ is fresh.}$$

A derivation in this system is a tree of instances of these rules where the leaves of the tree are all instances of the (Ax) rule. To construct a proof that a closed term (no free variables) (say M) has a type, we postulate that M has some type (say α) and proceed by recursion on the structure of M to show

$$\exists E. [(Type, Type)]. \text{ such that the sequent } [], E \vdash M : \alpha \text{ is derivable.}$$

To find E , we use the proof rules above to try to construct a derivation (leaving the E 's blank to start) and then propagate the constraints in the E 's back down through the derivation tree from the leaves.

Example 1.1. Here is an example of a derivation that $\lambda x.x$ has a type by starting with the sequent of the form $\llbracket, \{??\} \vdash (\lambda x.x) : \tau$. The term is an abstraction so we apply the rule (Abs).

$$\frac{[x : \alpha], E \vdash x : \beta}{\llbracket, \{\tau = \alpha \rightarrow \beta\} \cup E \vdash (\lambda x.x) : \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

But if we fill in the set E with the constraint $\tau = \alpha$, we have an instance of the Axiom rule.

$$\frac{\frac{E = \{\beta = \alpha\}}{[x : \alpha], E \vdash x : \beta} \text{ (Ax)}}{\llbracket, \{\tau = \alpha \rightarrow \beta\} \cup E \vdash (\lambda x.x) : \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

If we completely instantiate the sets E we get the following complete derivation.

$$\frac{\frac{}{[x : \alpha], \{\beta = \alpha\} \vdash x : \beta} \text{ (Ax)}}{\llbracket, \{\tau = \alpha \rightarrow \beta, \beta = \alpha\} \vdash (\lambda x.x) : \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

The fact that there is a derivation indicates that the term $(\lambda x.x)$ does have a type. We use the constraint set E to actually determine the type of $\lambda x.x$. To do this, we unify the set E and apply the resulting substitution to the type τ . For this case, when we unify E we get the substitution $[\tau := \alpha \rightarrow \alpha, \beta := \alpha]$. Applying this substitution to τ we determine that $(\lambda x.x) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$.

We can also do type derivations for terms containing free variables if we assume those free variables do have types.

Example 1.2. Consider the term $y(\lambda x.x)$. This should have a type if $y : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta$.

We start by trying to show there is some E such that there is a derivation of the sequent

$$[y : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta], E \vdash y(\lambda x.x) : \tau$$

Since the term is an application, we use the (Ap) rule.

$$\frac{[y : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta], E_1 \vdash y : \alpha' \rightarrow \tau \quad [y : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta], E_2 \vdash (\lambda x.x) : \alpha'}{[y : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta], E_1 \cup E_2 \vdash y(\lambda x.x) : \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

The left branch is an instance of an axiom because there is an entry for the variable y in the context.

$$\frac{\frac{E_1 = \{\alpha' \rightarrow \tau = (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta\}}{[y : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta], E_1 \vdash y : \alpha' \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Ax)}}{[y : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta], E_1 \cup E_2 \vdash y(\lambda x.x) : \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

On the right branch we rebuild the proof given above.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{E_1 = \{\alpha' \rightarrow \tau = (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta\}}{[y : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta], E_1 \vdash y : \alpha' \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Ax)} \quad \frac{\frac{E_3 = \{\beta' = \alpha''\}}{[x : \alpha'', y : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta], E_3 \vdash x : \beta'} \text{ (Ax)}}{[y : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta], E_2 = (\{\alpha' = \alpha'' \rightarrow \beta'\} \cup E_3) \vdash (\lambda x.x) : \alpha'} \text{ (Abs)} \\
\hline
[y : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta], E = (E_1 \cup E_2) \vdash y(\lambda x.x) : \tau \text{ (Abs)}
\end{array}$$

Putting together the constraints, we get the following set:

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= E_1 \cup E_2 \\
&= \{\alpha' \rightarrow \tau = (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta\} \cup (\{\alpha' = \alpha'' \rightarrow \beta'\} \cup E_3) \\
&= \{\alpha' \rightarrow \tau = (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta\} \cup (\{\alpha' = \alpha'' \rightarrow \beta'\} \cup \{\beta' = \alpha''\}) \\
&= \{\alpha' \rightarrow \tau = (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta, \alpha' = \alpha'' \rightarrow \beta', \beta' = \alpha''\}
\end{aligned}$$

Unification of this results in the substitution:

$$s = [a' := (b' \rightarrow b'), t := b, a := b', a'' := b']$$

When s is applied to τ we get the type β , as expected.

1.2 Implementation

In Haskell we encode contexts as list of type `[(String, Type)]`. Constraint sets are represented in the Haskell implementation as a list of type `[(Type, Type)]`. M denotes a lambda-term, and in Haskell is represented by elements of the data-type `Term`. T denotes a type and is represented in Haskell by elements of the data-type `Type`.

The implementation Here is the type of the `infer_type` function:

```
infer_type :: [(String, Type)]
            -> Term
            -> Type
            -> [String]
            -> ([(Type, Type)], [String])
```

This function takes a context (denoted Γ in the rules above and represented by a list of `String`, `Type` pairs.), a term to infer the type of, a type (denoted τ in the rules above and initially a type variable not occurring anywhere in the context), and a string list containing the names of all variables used so far.

```
infer_type context trm typ vars =
  case trm of
    (V x) ->
      case (lookup x context) of
        (Just a) -> [(typ, a)], vars
        Nothing -> error ("infer_type: " ++ x ++ " not in context!")
    (Ap m n) ->
      let a = fresh "a" vars in
      let (e1, vars1) = infer_type context m (Arrow (TyVar a) typ) (a:vars) in
      let (e2, vars2) = infer_type context n (TyVar a) vars1 in
      (e1 ++ e2, vars2)
```

```

(Abs x m) ->
  let a = fresh "a" vars in
  let b = fresh "b" (a : vars) in
  let (e1,vars1) = infer_type ((x,(TyVar a)):context) m (TyVar b) (a:b:vars) in
    ( [(typ, Arrow (TyVar a) (TyVar b))] ++ e1 , vars1)

```

The case `V x` implements the Axiom rule, the case labeled `(Ap m n)` implements the (Ap) rule and the case labeled `(Abs x m)` implements the (Abs) rule.

1.3 Adding product types.

To add product types we extend the data-types `Type` and `term` as follows:

```

data Op = Arrow | Product
        deriving (Eq,Show)

data Type = TVar String | BinType Op Type Type
           deriving (Eq)

data Term = Var String
           | Abs String Term
           | Ap Term Term
           | Pair Term Term
           | Fst Term
           | Snd Term
           deriving (Eq)

```

Mathematically we write $M \times N$ for the Haskell term `Prod A B` and render the Haskell term `(Pair M N)` as $\langle M, N \rangle$.

Here are the additional proof rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, E_1 \vdash M : \alpha \quad \Gamma, E_2 \vdash N : \beta}{\Gamma, E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \{\tau = \alpha \times \beta\} \vdash \langle M, N \rangle : \tau} \text{(Pair)} \quad \text{where } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are fresh.}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, E \vdash M : \tau \times \alpha}{\Gamma, E \vdash \text{fst } M : \tau} \text{(Fst)} \quad \text{where } \alpha \text{ is fresh.}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, E \vdash M : \alpha \times \tau}{\Gamma, E \vdash \text{snd } M : \tau} \text{(Snd)} \quad \text{where } \alpha \text{ is fresh.}$$

Exercise 1.1. Using the base code provided (which includes unification for products) extend the `infer_type` function to implement these additional type inference rules.

Exercise 1.2. Design a number of test cases to show that your extension works. You should at least include the test examples in the file `hw18_expected.txt`. Include at least six more.