

Hw6, Algorithm

1 Q1. Knapsack Problem

Given:

- Profits $P = [6, 3, 5, 4, 6]$
- Weights $w = [2, 2, 6, 5, 4]$
- Capacity $c = 10$
- Number of items $n = 5$

Recursive Solution

The recursive solution for the knapsack problem can be defined as:

$$K(n, c) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ or } c = 0 \\ K(n-1, c) & \text{if } w[n-1] > c \\ \max\{K(n-1, c), P[n-1] + K(n-1, c - w[n-1])\} & \text{if } w[n-1] \leq c \end{cases}$$

Base Case

For $n = 0$ or $c = 0$:

$$K(0, c) = 0, \quad \forall c$$

Recursive Case

For $n > 0$ and $c > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
K(5, 10) &= \max\{K(4, 10), 6 + K(4, 6)\} \\
K(4, 10) &= \max\{K(3, 10), 4 + K(3, 5)\} \\
K(3, 10) &= K(2, 10) \quad (\text{since } w[2] = 6 > 10) \\
K(2, 10) &= \max\{K(1, 10), 3 + K(1, 8)\} \\
K(1, 10) &= \max\{K(0, 10), 6 + K(0, 8)\} = 6 \\
K(1, 8) &= 6 \\
K(2, 8) &= \max\{K(1, 8), 3 + K(1, 6)\} = 9 \\
K(3, 5) &= \max\{K(2, 5), 5 + K(2, 0)\} = 5 \\
K(2, 5) &= \max\{K(1, 5), 3 + K(1, 3)\} = 6 \\
K(4, 6) &= \max\{K(3, 6), 4 + K(3, 1)\} \\
K(3, 6) &= \max\{K(2, 6), 5 + K(2, 0)\} = 9 \\
K(2, 6) &= \max\{K(1, 6), 3 + K(1, 4)\} = 9 \\
K(4, 10) &= \max\{K(3, 10), 4 + 5\} = 10 \\
K(5, 10) &= \max\{10, 6 + 9\} = 15
\end{aligned}$$

Dynamic Programming Solution

Let $DP[i][j]$ = Maximum profit using the first i items and capacity j

$$DP[0][j] = 0 \quad \forall j$$

$$DP[i][0] = 0 \quad \forall i$$

For $i = 1$ to n

For $j = 1$ to c

if $w[i - 1] \leq j$ then $DP[i][j] = \max(DP[i - 1][j], P[i - 1] + DP[i - 1][j - w[i - 1]])$
else $DP[i][j] = DP[i - 1][j]$

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
2	0	0	6	6	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
3	0	0	6	6	9	9	9	9	9	9	11
4	0	0	6	6	9	9	9	9	10	10	11
5	0	0	6	6	9	9	9	9	10	10	15

Table 1: DP Table for Knapsack Problem

Thus, the maximum profit for capacity 10 is 15.

2 Q2. 0/1 Knapsack Problem

Let $g(i, x)$ denote the maximum benefit for items $1, \dots, i$ with a knapsack capacity of x .

(1) Recurrence Relation

The dynamic programming recurrence relation for the 0/1 knapsack problem is:

$$g(i, x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } x = 0 \\ g(i-1, x) & \text{if } w_i > x \\ \max\{g(i-1, x), p_i + g(i-1, x - w_i)\} & \text{if } w_i \leq x \end{cases}$$

Where w_i is the weight and p_i is the profit of the i -th item.

(2) Example Calculation

Given:

- Number of items $n = 4$
- Capacity $c = 20$
- Weights $w = [10, 15, 6, 9]$
- Profits $p = [2, 5, 8, 1]$

We need to compute the DP table and backtrack to find the optimal solution.

DP Table

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	5	7	7
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	10	10	10	13	13
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	10	10	10	13	13

Table 2: DP Table for the Given Example

Backtracking to Find Optimal Items

To find the items that make up the optimal solution, we backtrack from $g(4, 20)$.

- Start at $g(4, 20) = 13$
- Since $g(4, 20) = g(3, 20)$, item 4 is not included.

- Move to $g(3, 20) = 13$
- Since $g(3, 20) \neq g(2, 20)$, item 3 is included.
- Now, $x = 20 - w_3 = 20 - 6 = 14$
- Move to $g(2, 14) = 5$
- Since $g(2, 14) = g(1, 14)$, item 2 is not included.
- Move to $g(1, 14) = 2$
- Since $g(1, 14) \neq g(0, 14)$, item 1 is included.

Thus, the optimal set of items to include are item 1 and item 3.

3 Q3. Task Completion Problem

Given n tasks numbered from 1 to n in topological order, each task i has two ways to complete:

- Method 1: Cost $C_{i,1}$ and Time $T_{i,1}$
- Method 2: Cost $C_{i,2}$ and Time $T_{i,2}$

Define $\text{cost}(i, j)$ as the minimum cost to complete tasks 1 to i within j time units.

The recurrence relation is:

$$\text{cost}(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } i > 0 \text{ and } j < 0 \\ \min\{\text{cost}(i-1, j-T_{i,1}) + C_{i,1}, \text{cost}(i-1, j-T_{i,2}) + C_{i,2}\} & \text{if } i > 0 \text{ and } j \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Base Cases

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cost}(0, j) &= 0 \quad \forall j \geq 0 \\ \text{cost}(i, j) &= \infty \quad \forall i > 0 \text{ and } j < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Recursive Case

For $i > 0$ and $j \geq 0$:

$$\text{cost}(i, j) = \min\{\text{cost}(i-1, j-T_{i,1}) + C_{i,1}, \text{cost}(i-1, j-T_{i,2}) + C_{i,2}\}$$

This recurrence relation ensures that for each task i , we consider both methods of completion and choose the one that minimizes the total cost while staying within the given time j .

4 Q4. Maximum Subset Sum Problem

Given $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i \geq c$, where $\sum_{i \in J} s_i \leq c$ is an arbitrary positive integer, and J is a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

(1) Recurrence Relation

Define $\text{max_sum}(i, j)$ as the maximum sum we can achieve using the first i elements without exceeding capacity j .

The recurrence relation is:

$$\text{max_sum}(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0 \\ \text{max_sum}(i-1, j) & \text{if } s_i > j \\ \max\{\text{max_sum}(i-1, j), s_i + \text{max_sum}(i-1, j - s_i)\} & \text{if } s_i \leq j \end{cases}$$

(2) Example to Illustrate the Algorithm

Consider $n = 4$, $c = 10$, and $s = [2, 3, 4, 5]$.

Step-by-Step Execution

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
2	0	0	2	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5
3	0	0	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	9	9
4	0	0	2	3	4	5	6	7	9	10	12

Table 3: DP Table for Maximum Subset Sum Example

To find the subset that achieves the maximum sum not exceeding c , back-track from $\text{max_sum}(4, 10)$:

- Start at $\text{max_sum}(4, 10) = 12$
- Since $\text{max_sum}(4, 10) \neq \text{max_sum}(3, 10)$, item 4 is included.
- Now, $j = 10 - s_4 = 10 - 5 = 5$
- Move to $\text{max_sum}(3, 5) = 5$
- Since $\text{max_sum}(3, 5) \neq \text{max_sum}(2, 5)$, item 3 is included.
- Now, $j = 5 - s_3 = 5 - 4 = 1$
- Move to $\text{max_sum}(2, 1) = 0$, so no more items are included.

Thus, the optimal subset includes items 3 and 4, achieving the sum 9.

Classification error rate(%) for
ImageNet-to-ImageNet-C online
CTTA task. Gain(%) represents the
percentage of improvement in model
accuracy compared with the source
method.

Average mIoU(%) for the
Citiscapes-to-ACDC. DI-A and DS-A
represent the Domain-Invariant
Adapter and the Domain-Specific
Adapters. GNS means the Gradient
Non-conflict Solver.