```
let y= \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x-M), where \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} is the symmetric square not of \Sigma^{-1}. Then x=M+\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}y, and jacobian: dx=|\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}|dy=|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}dy. So, (x-M)^T\Sigma^{-1}(x-M)=(x-M)^T(\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}})^T.\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x-M)=y^Ty=||y||^2. Substitute m to f(x): f(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{(x_L)^K|\Sigma|}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}||y||^2)
Integrating both sides: \int_{\mathbb{R}^K}f(x)dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^K}\sqrt{(2\pi)^K|\Sigma|}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}||y||^2)||\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}dy
-\int_{\mathbb{R}^K}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}||y||^2}dy, and this form is the integral of the standard multivariate normal density, hence, \int_{\mathbb{R}^K}f(x)dx=1. o
```

trace  $(AB) = \overline{Z_{\overline{1},\overline{3}}} A_{\overline{1}\overline{3}} B_{\overline{3}\overline{1}}$ . Then,  $\overline{A_{\overline{1}\overline{3}}} \text{ trace}(AB) = B_{\overline{1}\overline{1}}$ . Hence,  $\overline{A} \text{ trace}(AB) = B^{T}$ .

(()

Note that  $X^TAX$  is a scalar, and trace  $(x^TAX) = trace(x^TA)X)$ . Using that trace  $(uv^T) = v^Tu$ , so  $x^TAX = trace((x^TA)X) = trace((x^TA))$ .

Suppose we have i.i.d. samples  $X_1, ..., X_M \sim N(M, \Xi)$ 

The Itelihood:  $L(M, \Sigma) = \frac{m}{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k(\Sigma)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_1-M)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x_1-M)\right)$ .

 $Log - |The|Thood = \mathcal{L}(M\Sigma) = -\frac{m}{2}\ln|\Sigma| - \frac{mk}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x_i-x_i)^{\frac{1}{2}-1}(x_i-x_i).$ 

Differentiate W.r.t. M.

4et=0:

Differentiate W.r.t. ≥.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Sigma} \left( -\frac{M}{\Sigma} \ln |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{\Sigma} \sum (X_i - M)^T \sum^{-1} (X_i - M) \right) = 0$$
 by matrix calculus results.

٦,

Why does LDA use class means and a pooled covariance macrix?