

SD 204 : Linear model

Properties of Ordinary Least Squares

François Portier, Joseph Salmon

<http://josephsalmon.eu>

Télécom Paristech, Institut Mines-Télécom

Plan

The fixed and random design models

Estimation of θ

- Bias

- Estimation and prediction risk

- Variance

Noise level

- Estimation of the noise level

- Heteroscedasticity

- Gaussian noise

Random design model

- Bias and variance

Miscellaneous

- Qualitative variables

- Large dimension $p > n$

The fixed design model

Model I

$$y_i = \theta_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^p \theta_k^* x_{i,k} + \varepsilon_i$$

$$x_i^\top = (1, x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,p}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$$

$$\varepsilon_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} \varepsilon, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon) = 0, \text{ Var}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2$$

- ▶ x_i is deterministic
- ▶ σ^2 is called the noise level

Examples

- ▶ Physical experiment when the annalist is choosing the design e.g., temperature of the experiment
- ▶ Some features are not random e.g., time, location.

The fixed design Gaussian model

Model I with Gaussian noise

$$y_i = \theta_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^p \theta_k^* x_{i,k} + \varepsilon_i$$

$$x_i^\top = (1, x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,p}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$$

$$\varepsilon_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

Examples

- ▶ Its a parametric model because specified by the two parameters (θ, σ)
- ▶ Strong assumption

The random design model

Model II

$$y_i = \theta_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^p \theta_k^* \mathbf{x}_{i,k} + \varepsilon_i$$

$$\mathbf{x}_i^\top = (1, \mathbf{x}_{i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i,p}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$$

$$(\varepsilon_i, \mathbf{x}_i) \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} (\varepsilon, \mathbf{x}), \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon|\mathbf{x}) = 0, \text{ Var}(\varepsilon|\mathbf{x}) = \sigma^2$$

Examples

- ▶ As soon as the variables $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p$ are unpredictable, the random modelling is justified, e.g., wind speed, random survey

The ordinary least squares (OLS) estimator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \theta_0 - \sum_{k=1}^p \theta_k x_{i,k} \right)^2$$

How to deal with this two models ?

- ▶ The estimator is the same for both models
- ▶ The mathematics involved are different in either cases
- ▶ The study of the fixed design case is easier as many closed formulas are available
- ▶ The two models lead to the same estimators of the variance σ^2

Important formula

In both model, whenever $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ has full rank,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + (X^\top X)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

Sommaire

The fixed and random design models

Estimation of θ

Bias

Estimation and prediction risk

Variance

Noise level

Estimation of the noise level

Heteroscedasticity

Gaussian noise

Random design model

Bias and variance

Miscellaneous

Qualitative variables

Large dimension $p > n$

Bias

Proposition

Under model I, whenever the matrix X has full rank, the least squares estimator is unbiased, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) = \theta^*$$

Proof :

$$B = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta^* = \mathbb{E}((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top y) - \theta^*$$

Bias

Proposition

Under model I, whenever the matrix X has full rank, the least squares estimator is unbiased, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) = \theta^*$$

Proof :

$$B = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta^* = \mathbb{E}((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbf{y}) - \theta^*$$

$$B = \mathbb{E}((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon)) - \theta^*$$

Bias

Proposition

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, the least squares estimator is unbiased, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) = \theta^*$$

Proof :

$$B = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta^* = \mathbb{E}((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top y) - \theta^*$$

$$B = \mathbb{E}((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^* + \varepsilon)) - \theta^*$$

$$B = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top X\theta^* + (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon) - \theta^* = 0$$

Bias

Proposition

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, the least squares estimator is unbiased, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

Proof :

$$B = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \mathbb{E}((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbf{y}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

$$B = \mathbb{E}((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$$

$$B = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = 0$$

Quadratic risk

Definition

The **quadratic** risk is given by

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm

Bias/Variance decomposition

$$\mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Proof :

$$\mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Quadratic risk

Definition

The **quadratic** risk is given by

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm

Bias/Variance decomposition

$$\mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \langle \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Quadratic risk

Definition

The **quadratic** risk is given by

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm

Bias/Variance decomposition

$$\mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \langle \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Quadratic risk

Definition

The **quadratic** risk is given by

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm

Bias/Variance decomposition

$$\mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \langle \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Bias/Variance decomposition

Reminder : as the bias vanishes when X has full rank,

$$\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^\star - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^\star\|^2$$

Sommaire

The fixed and random design models

Estimation of θ

Bias

Estimation and prediction risk

Variance

Noise level

Estimation of the noise level

Heteroscedasticity

Gaussian noise

Random design model

Bias and variance

Miscellaneous

Qualitative variables

Large dimension $p > n$

The trace of a matrix

Définition

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denote a matrix. The **trace** of A , denoted $\text{tr}(A)$ is the sum of the diagonal element A :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶ $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^T)$
- ▶ For any $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$ (linearity)

The trace of a matrix

Définition

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denote a matrix. The **trace** of A , denoted $\text{tr}(A)$ is the sum of the diagonal element A :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶ $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^\top)$
- ▶ For any $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$ (linearity)
- ▶ $\text{tr}(A^\top A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}^2$

The trace of a matrix

Définition

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denote a matrix. The **trace** of A , denoted $\text{tr}(A)$ is the sum of the diagonal element A :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶ $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^\top)$
- ▶ For any $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$ (linearity)
- ▶ $\text{tr}(A^\top A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}^2$
- ▶ For any $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$

The trace of a matrix

Définition

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denote a matrix. The **trace** of A , denoted $\text{tr}(A)$ is the sum of the diagonal element A :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶ $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^\top)$
- ▶ For any $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$ (linearity)
- ▶ $\text{tr}(A^\top A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}^2$
- ▶ For any $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
- ▶ $\text{tr}(PAP^{-1}) = \text{tr}(A)$, hence if A is diagonalisable, the trace is the sum of the eigenvalues

The trace of a matrix

Définition

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denote a matrix. The **trace** of A , denoted $\text{tr}(A)$ is the sum of the diagonal element A :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶ $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^\top)$
- ▶ For any $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$ (linearity)
- ▶ $\text{tr}(A^\top A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}^2$
- ▶ For any $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
- ▶ $\text{tr}(PAP^{-1}) = \text{tr}(A)$, hence if A is diagonalisable, the trace is the sum of the eigenvalues
- ▶ If H is an orthogonal projector $\text{tr}(H) = \text{rang}(H)$

The trace of a matrix

Définition

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denote a matrix. The **trace** of A , denoted $\text{tr}(A)$ is the sum of the diagonal element A :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}$$

Several properties :

- ▶ $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^\top)$
- ▶ For any $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $\text{tr}(\alpha A + B) = \alpha \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$ (linearity)
- ▶ $\text{tr}(A^\top A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i,i}^2$
- ▶ For any $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
- ▶ $\text{tr}(PAP^{-1}) = \text{tr}(A)$, hence if A is diagonalisable, the trace is the sum of the eigenvalues
- ▶ If H is an orthogonal projector $\text{tr}(H) = \text{rang}(H)$

Estimation risk

$$\text{Estimation risk } R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Under model I, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr}((X^\top X)^{-1})$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Estimation risk

$$\text{Estimation risk } R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Under model I, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr}((X^\top X)^{-1})$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon})^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \right] = \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

Estimation risk

$$\text{Estimation risk } R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Under model I, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr}((X^\top X)^{-1})$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right] = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \end{aligned}$$

Estimation risk

$$\text{Estimation risk } R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Under model I, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr}((X^\top X)^{-1})$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right] = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\text{tr}[(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1}]) \end{aligned}$$

Estimation risk

$$\text{Estimation risk } R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr}((X^\top X)^{-1})$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right] = \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr} [\mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\text{tr} [(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1}]) \\ &= \text{tr} [(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top) X (X^\top X)^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

Estimation risk

$$\text{Estimation risk } R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr}((X^\top X)^{-1})$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right] = \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr} [\mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\text{tr} [(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1}]) \\ &= \text{tr} [(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top) X (X^\top X)^{-1}] \\ &= \sigma^2 \text{tr}((X^\top X)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Estimation risk

$$\text{Estimation risk } R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|^2$$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \text{tr}((X^\top X)^{-1})$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\top ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right] = \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-2} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr} [\mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\text{tr} [(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1}]) \\ &= \text{tr} [(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top) X (X^\top X)^{-1}] \\ &= \sigma^2 \text{tr}((X^\top X)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized) $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model I, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left(\frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rang}(X)}{n}$$

Because X has full rank, $\text{rang}(X) = p + 1$.

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized) $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model I, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left(\frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rang}(X)}{n}$$

Because X has full rank, $\text{rang}(X) = p + 1$.

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized) $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model I, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left(\frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rang}(X)}{n}$$

Because X has full rank, $\text{rang}(X) = p + 1$.

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\epsilon})] = \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top H_X^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\epsilon})] \end{aligned}$$

Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized) $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left(\frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rang}(X)}{n}$$

Because X has full rank, $\text{rang}(X) = p + 1$.

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\epsilon})] = \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top H_X^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\epsilon})] \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(H_X \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top H_X^\top)] = \text{tr}(H_X \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top) H_X^\top) \end{aligned}$$

Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized) $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left(\frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rang}(X)}{n}$$

Because X has full rank, $\text{rang}(X) = p + 1$.

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\epsilon})] = \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top H_X^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\epsilon})] \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{E}(H_X \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top H_X^\top)] = \text{tr}(H_X \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\top) H_X^\top) \\ &= \sigma^2 \text{tr}(H_X) = \sigma^2 \text{rang}(H_X) = \sigma^2 \text{rang}(X) \end{aligned}$$

Prediction risk

Prediction risk (normalized) $R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \|X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2/n$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \left(\frac{X^\top X}{n} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{rang}(X)}{n}$$

Because X has full rank, $\text{rang}(X) = p + 1$.

Proof : As before

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot R_{\text{pred}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (X^\top X) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} (X^\top X) (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top X (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \text{tr} [\mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] = \text{tr} [\mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X^\top H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] \\ &= \text{tr} [\mathbb{E} (H_X \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top H_X^\top)] = \text{tr} (H_X \mathbb{E} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top) H_X^\top) \\ &= \sigma^2 \text{tr} (H_X) = \sigma^2 \text{rang}(H_X) = \sigma^2 \text{rang}(X) \end{aligned}$$

Sommaire

The fixed and random design models

Estimation of θ

Bias

Estimation and prediction risk

Variance

Noise level

Estimation of the noise level

Heteroscedasticity

Gaussian noise

Random design model

Bias and variance

Miscellaneous

Qualitative variables

Large dimension $p > n$

Covariance matrix

Covariance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$

Under model I, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \sigma^2 (X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \right]$$

Covariance matrix

Covariance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \sigma^2 (X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\top \right] \end{aligned}$$

Covariance matrix

Covariance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \sigma^2 (X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\top \right] \\ &= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E} [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top] X (X^\top X)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Covariance matrix

Covariance of $\hat{\theta}$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta}) = \sigma^2 (X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta})$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\theta})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\theta} - \theta^\star)(\hat{\theta} - \theta^\star)^\top \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^\star + \epsilon) - \theta^\star) ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta^\star + \epsilon) - \theta^\star)^\top \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \epsilon) ((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \epsilon)^\top \right]$$

$$= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E} [\epsilon \epsilon^\top] X (X^\top X)^{-1}$$

$$= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (\sigma^2 \text{Id}_n) X (X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Covariance matrix

Covariance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \sigma^2 (X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\top \right] \\ &= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E} [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top] X (X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (\sigma^2 \text{Id}_n) X (X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 (X^\top X)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Covariance matrix

Covariance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$

Under model I, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \sigma^2 (X^\top X)^{-1}$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^\top \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})((X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\top \right] \\ &= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E} [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top] X (X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (\sigma^2 \text{Id}_n) X (X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 (X^\top X)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Sommaire

The fixed and random design models

Estimation of θ

Bias

Estimation and prediction risk

Variance

Noise level

Estimation of the noise level

Heteroscedasticity

Gaussian noise

Random design model

Bias and variance

Miscellaneous

Qualitative variables

Large dimension $p > n$

Estimation of the noise level

- ▶ An estimator of the noise level σ^2 is given by

$$\frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{y} - X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_2^2$$

- ▶ Another estimator which is unbiased is defined by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n - \text{rg}(X)} \|\mathbf{y} - X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_2^2$$

Estimation of the noise level

$\hat{\sigma}^2$ is unbiased

Under model 1, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2$$

Proof :

$$\|\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|_2^2 = \mathbf{y}^\top (\text{Id}_n - H_X) \mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top (\text{Id}_n - H_X) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \text{tr}((\text{Id}_n - H_X) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^\top)$$

Sommaire

The fixed and random design models

Estimation of θ

Bias

Estimation and prediction risk

Variance

Noise level

Estimation of the noise level

Heteroscedasticity

Gaussian noise

Random design model

Bias and variance

Miscellaneous

Qualitative variables

Large dimension $p > n$

Heteroscedasticity

Model I and Model II are homoscedastic model, i.e., we assume that the noise level σ^2 does not depend on x_i

Heteroscedastic Model : we allow σ^2 to change with the observation i , we denote by $\sigma_i^2 > 0$ the associated variance

$$\hat{\theta} \in \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i - \langle \theta, x_i \rangle}{\sigma_i} \right)^2 = \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}} (y - X\theta)^\top \Omega (y - X\theta)$$

with $\Omega = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2})$

Exo: give a closed formula for $\hat{\theta}$ when $X^\top \Omega X$ has full rank

Exo: give a necessary and sufficient condition for $X^\top \Omega X$ to be invertible

Sommaire

The fixed and random design models

Estimation of θ

- Bias

- Estimation and prediction risk

- Variance

Noise level

- Estimation of the noise level

- Heteroscedasticity

- Gaussian noise

Random design model

- Bias and variance

Miscellaneous

- Qualitative variables

- Large dimension $p > n$

Gaussian model

Proposition

Under model I with Gaussian noise, whenever the matrix X has full rank, we have

- (i) $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ are independent random variables
- (ii) $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(X^\top X/n)^{-1})$ for every n
- (iii) $(n - \text{rg}(X)) \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^{*2}} \sim \chi^2_{n - \text{rg}(X)}$ for every n
- (iv) Let $\hat{s}_k = (X^\top X/n)_{k,k}^{-1}$,

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta^*}{\sqrt{\hat{s}_k \hat{\sigma}^2}} \right) \sim \mathcal{T}_{n - \text{rg}(X)}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{n - \text{rg}(X)}$ stands for a student distribution with $n - \text{rg}(X)$ degrees of freedom

Sommaire

The fixed and random design models

Estimation of θ

- Bias

- Estimation and prediction risk

- Variance

Noise level

- Estimation of the noise level

- Heteroscedasticity

- Gaussian noise

Random design model

- Bias and variance

Miscellaneous

- Qualitative variables

- Large dimension $p > n$

Bias and variance

Proposition

Under model II, whenever the matrix $X = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)^\top$ has full rank, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mid X) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^\star$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mid X) = (X^\top X)^{-1} \sigma^2$$

Proof : The same as in the case of fixed design with the conditional expectation

Rem: We cannot compute the $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ nor $\text{Var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ because the event X has full rank is now random !

Rem: One solution is to rely on asymptotic convergence

Asymptotics

Asymptotics of $\hat{\theta}$

Under model II, whenever the covariance matrix $\text{cov}(X)$ has full rank, we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 S^{-1})$$

with $S = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^\top]$

Outline of the proof : It could happen that $\hat{\theta}$ is not uniquely defined, so we put

$$\hat{\theta} = (X^\top X)^+ X^\top Y$$

where A^+ is the generalized inverse of A

- ▶ With high probability, we have that $X^\top X$ is invertible because $X^\top X/n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top$ goes to S

Asymptotics

Outline of the proof :

- ▶ As a consequence, in the asymptotics we can replace $(X^\top X)^+$ by $(X^\top X)^{-1}$ (that we shall admit)

Then we use that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^\star) = (X^\top X/n)^{-1} \left(\frac{X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

- ▶ The term on the right $X^\top \boldsymbol{\epsilon}/\sqrt{n}$ converges to $\mathcal{N}(0, \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^\top]\sigma^2)$ in distribution
- ▶ The term on the left $(X^\top X/n)^{-1}$ goes to S^{-1} in probability

Asymptotics

- ▶ In the random design model, since closed formulas for the bias and variance of θ are lacking, Asymptotics is used to validate the procedure and to build-up the variance estimator

Variance estimation

By the previous Proposition, the variance to estimate is

$$\sigma^2 S^{-1}$$

a natural “Plug-in” estimator is

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{S}_n^+$$

with $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n - \text{rg}(X)} \|\mathbf{y} - X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_2^2$

Rem: It coincides with the estimator in the case of fixed design

Variance estimation

Noise level is conditionally unbiased

Under model II, whenever the matrix $X = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)^\top$ has full rank, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\sigma}^2 \mid X) = \sigma^2$$

Exo: Write the proof

Convergence of the variance estimator

Under model II, if the covariance matrix $\text{cov}(X)$ has full rank, we have

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{S}_n^+ \rightarrow \sigma^2 S^{-1}$$

in probability

Sommaire

The fixed and random design models

Estimation of θ

- Bias

- Estimation and prediction risk

- Variance

Noise level

- Estimation of the noise level

- Heteroscedasticity

- Gaussian noise

Random design model

- Bias and variance

Miscellaneous

- Qualitative variables

- Large dimension $p > n$

Qualitative variables

A variable is qualitative, when its state space is discrete (non-necessarily numeric)

Exemple : colors, gender, cities, etc.

Classically : “One-hot encoder” consists in representing a qualitative variable with several dummy variables (valued in $\{0, 1\}$)

If each x_i is valued in a_1, \dots, a_K , we define the following K explicative variables : $\forall k \in \llbracket 1, K \rrbracket$, $\mathbb{1}_{a_k} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$\forall i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket, \quad (\mathbb{1}_{a_k})_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_i = a_k \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Examples

Binary case : M/F, yes/no, I like it/I don't.

Client	Gender
1	H
2	F
3	H
4	F
5	F



$$\begin{pmatrix} F & H \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

General case : colors, cities, etc.

Client	Colors
1	Blue
2	Blanc
3	Red
4	Red
5	Blue



$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Blue} & \text{Blanc} & \text{Red} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Somme difficulties

Correlations : $\sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{1}_{a_k} = \mathbf{1}_n$! We can drop-off one modality (e.g., `drop_first=True` dans `get_dummies` de pandas)

Without intercept, with all modalities : $X = [\mathbb{1}_{a_1}, \dots, \mathbb{1}_{a_K}]$. If $x_{n+1} = a_k$ then $\hat{y}_{n+1} = \hat{\theta}_k$

With intercept, with one less modality : $X = [\mathbf{1}_n, \mathbb{1}_{a_2}, \dots, \mathbb{1}_{a_K}]$, dropping-off the first modality

If $x_{n+1} = a_k$ then $\hat{y}_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \hat{\theta}_0, & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \hat{\theta}_0 + \hat{\theta}_k, & \text{else} \end{cases}$

Rem: might gives null column in Cross Validation (if a modality is not present in a CV-fold)

Rem: penalization might help (e.g., Lasso, Ridge)

Exo: Compute the OLS for $X = [\mathbb{1}_{a_1}, \dots, \mathbb{1}_{a_K}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$

Sommaire

The fixed and random design models

Estimation of θ

- Bias

- Estimation and prediction risk

- Variance

Noise level

- Estimation of the noise level

- Heteroscedasticity

- Gaussian noise

Random design model

- Bias and variance

Miscellaneous

- Qualitative variables

- Large dimension $p > n$

What if $n < p$?

Many of the things presented before needs to be adapted

For instance : if $\text{rg}(X) = n$, then $H_X = \text{Id}_n$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{y}$!

The vector space generated by the columns $[\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p]$ is \mathbb{R}^n , making the observed signal and predicted signal are **identical**

Rem: This is the typical kind of problem in large dimension (when p is large)

Possible solution : variable selection, *cf.* Lasso and greedy methods (coming soon)

Web sites and books

- ▶ Python Packages for OLS :

`statsmodels`

`sklearn.linear_model.LinearRegression`

- ▶ McKinney (2012) about python for statistics
- ▶ Lejeune (2010) about the Linear Model
- ▶ Delyon (2015) Advanced course on regression
<https://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/bernard.delyon/regression.pdf>