# Instrumental Variables Estimation and Two Stage Least Squares

2023/7/4

Learning points:

• conducting IV estimation by ivreg() function of AER package

We first load the required packages and datasets.

```
# load the required library
library(AER); library(stargazer); library(wooldridge)
# load the required dataset
data(list=c("card", "mroz", "wage2"), wooldridge)
```

### Instrumental variables in simple regression models

We are concerned with estimating the following wage equation

$$log(wage_i) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 educ_i + \epsilon_i$$

In words, we want to look at the effects of education on log wages. To do this we will use the CARD dataset, available in the wooldridge package in R. We know that educ is an endogeneous variable. As such, running a simple OLS regression on the above regression will yield a biased estimate. We will therefore need (at least) one instrument.

#### Using one instrument

We use the instrument variable, namely nearc4, a dummy variable indicating proximity to a four-year college. In order to be a valid instrument, we need this distance measure (a) to be correlated with education (instrument relevance: the instrument and the endogeneous regressor are correlated, and (b) not to affect log wages other than through education (instrument exogeneity: the instrument and the error are uncorrelated). We cannot test our exogeneity assumption (you can try to think for yourself whether you think that it is satisfied in this case), but we can test the relevance assumption.

To test the relevance assumption, we simple run an OLS regression of educ on nearc4. In addition to the standard errors on the assumption of homoskedasticity, we also report heteroskedastic robust standard errors.

```
##
##
##
                                  Dependent variable:
##
##
                                        educ
##
                                 default
                                              robust
                                   (1)
##
## nearc4
                                 0.829***
                                             0.829***
##
                                 (0.104)
                                             (0.107)
##
                                             12.698***
## Constant
                                12.698***
                                              (0.090)
##
                                 (0.086)
##
##
## Observations
                                  3,010
                                               3,010
## R2
                                 0.021
                                               0.021
## Adjusted R2
                                  0.020
                                               0.020
## Residual Std. Error (df = 3008)
                                 2.649
                                               2.649
## F Statistic (df = 1; 3008)
                               63.912***
                                             63.912***
*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01
```

The relevance assumption requires that educ and nearc4 are strongly correlated. We can test this by looking at whether the coefficient on nearc4 (0.829 in our regression) is statistically significantly different from zero. Given the t-statistic of 7.77 (or the p-value that's essentially zero), we conclude that this coefficient is statistically significantly different from zero. Thus, the relevance assumption should be valid.

#### Further examples

```
# restrict to non-missing wage observations
oursample <- subset(mroz, !is.na(wage))

# OLS slope parameter manually
with(oursample, cov(log(wage),educ) / var(educ))

## [1] 0.1086487

# IV slope parameter manually
with(oursample, cov(log(wage),fatheduc) / cov(educ,fatheduc))

## [1] 0.05917348

# OLS automatically
reg.ols <- lm(log(wage) ~ educ, data=oursample)

# IV automatically
reg.iv <- ivreg(log(wage) ~ educ | fatheduc, data=oursample)

# pretty regression table
stargazer(reg.ols, reg.iv, type="text")</pre>
```

```
##
##
                             Dependent variable:
##
##
                                log(wage)
##
                                       instrumental
##
                                         variable
##
                              (1)
                                          (2)
                            0.109***
                                         0.059*
## educ
##
                             (0.014)
                                        (0.035)
##
                             -0.185
                                         0.441
## Constant
##
                             (0.185)
                                        (0.446)
## Observations
                              428
                                          428
## R2
                             0.118
                                         0.093
## Adjusted R2
                            0.116
                                         0.091
## Residual Std. Error (df = 426) 0.680
                                         0.689
## F Statistic 56.929*** (df = 1; 426)
## -----
## Note:
                             *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01
# Example 15.2 on p. 502
# IV automatically
reg.iv2 <- ivreg(log(wage) ~ educ | sibs, data=wage2)</pre>
stargazer(reg.iv2, type="text")
##
Dependent variable:
               -----
##
                      log(wage)
                     0.122***
##
                      (0.026)
##
## Constant
                    5.130***
##
                      (0.355)
##
## -----
## Observations
                       935
## R2
                      -0.009
## Adjusted R2
                      -0.010
## Residual Std. Error 0.423 (df = 933)
*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01
# Complete Example 15.2
# variable educ and sibs are correlated
```

```
stargazer(reg, type = "text")
##
##
                   Dependent variable:
                       -0.228***
                        (0.030)
##
                       14.139***
## Constant
                        (0.113)
##
##
## -----
## Observations
                         935
                         0.057
## Adjusted R2
                         0.056
## Residual Std. Error 2.134 (df = 933)
## F Statistic 56.667*** (df = 1; 933)
*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01
## Note:
# run the ols and iv estimation
olsreg <- lm(log(wage) ~ educ, data = wage2)</pre>
ivreg1 <- ivreg(log(wage) ~ educ | sibs, data = wage2)</pre>
# compare the result
stargazer(olsreg, ivreg1, type = "text")
```

reg <- lm(educ ~ sibs, data = wage2)</pre>

```
##
                              Dependent variable:
##
                                log(wage)
##
                                OLS instrumental
##
##
                                           variable
                               (1)
## educ
                               0.060***
                                             0.122***
##
                               (0.006)
                                            (0.026)
##
                               5.973*** 5.130***
## Constant
##
                               (0.081)
                                            (0.355)
##
## -----
## Observations
                                935
                                             935
                                            -0.009
                               0.097
## R2
## Adjusted R2
                               0.096
                                             -0.010
## Residual Std. Error (df = 933) 0.400
                                             0.423
## F Statistic
                         100.700*** (df = 1; 933)
```

## More exogenous regressors (Example 15.4 of Wooldridge)

We use card data to estimate the return to education. Education is allowed to be endogenous and instrumented with the dummy variable near4 which indicates whether the individual grew up close to a college.

We first check for relevance by regressing the endogenous independent variable educ on all exogenous variables including the instrument near4.

```
## Reduced form equation
##
                 Dependent variable:
               -----
##
                      educ
                    0.320***
## nearc4
##
                     (0.088)
## -----
                     3,010
## Observations
                     0.477
## R2
## Adjusted R2
                     0.474
## Residual Std. Error 1.941 (df = 2994)
## F Statistic 182.129*** (df = 15; 2994)
*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01
```

The parameter for nearc4 is highly significantly different from zero, so relevance is supported. We then estimate the log wage equation with OLS and IV.

## ##	OLS vs IV estimation		
##		Dependent variable:	
## ##		log(wage)	
##		OLS	instrumental
## ##		(1)	variable (2)
##			
	educ	0.075***	0.132**
##		(0.003)	(0.055)
##		0.005	0. 100 (1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
	exper	0.085***	0.108***
##		(0.007)	(0.024)
##	I(exper2)	-0.002***	-0.002***
##	_ (	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
##			
##	black	-0.199***	-0.147***
##		(0.018)	(0.054)
##			
##			
	Observations	3,010	3,010
	R2	0.300	0.238
	Adjusted R2	0.296	0.234
	Residual Std. Error (df = 2994)	0.372	0.388
	F Statistic	85.476*** (df = 15; 2994)	
	Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.0	

### Notes on R

In ivreg(), we have to include the exogenous variables both to the list of regressors left of the | symbol and to the list of exogenous instrument to the right of the | symbol.