

## 1 Consider the problem

$$\min f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$

where  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 2x_1 + 3$  and  $\Omega = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 \geq 1\}$ .

- Find all point(s) satisfying the FONC.
- Which of the point(s) in part (a) satisfy the SONC?
- Which of the point(s) in part (a) are local minimizers?

**Solution** a. We need to consider the points on the interior of  $\Omega$  and the boundary separately. First, note that

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H_f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

Boundary of  $\Omega$ :

We want the points such that  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{d} \geq 0$  for all feasible  $\mathbf{d}$ . If we take  $\mathbf{d}$  to be a unit vector, we can write  $\mathbf{d} = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)^\top$ . The inner product is

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{d} = 2 \cos \theta$$

which is greater than or equal to 0 whenever  $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Since the boundary is a circle, the only point whose feasible directions satisfy that condition is  $(1, 0)^\top$ .

Interior of  $\Omega$

We want the points such that  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{d} = 0$ . Taking  $\mathbf{d}$  to be a unit vector as above gives the inner product  $2 \cos \theta$ , which is 0 if  $\theta \in \{\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\}$ . But in the interior, all  $\mathbf{d}$  are feasible directions, so the FONC cannot be satisfied in the interior of  $\Omega$ .

- The only point we need to consider is  $(1, 0)^\top$ .  $H_f(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \succeq 0$ , so the SONC is satisfied.
- $(1, 0)^\top$  is not a local minimizer. If we take any neighborhood centered at  $(1, 0)^\top$ , it would include a point that's above and to the left of it. Points to the left (i.e., points with  $x_1 < 1$ ) will have a smaller value of  $f$  since  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = 2 > 0$ . Thus,  $(0, 1)^\top$  cannot be a local minimizer.

## 2 Consider the unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|^2,$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $m \geq n$ , and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- Show that  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is a quadratic function of the form  $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^\top Q \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}^\top \mathbf{x} + c$  by specifying  $Q$ ,  $\mathbf{p}$ , and  $c$ .
- Find the gradient  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$  and Hessian matrix  $H_f(\mathbf{x})$ .
- Suppose  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ . Find the upper bound for  $\alpha$  such that gradient descent with the fixed step size  $\alpha$  converges to the solution.

**Solution** a. By the definition of an inner product,  $\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 = (\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}) \cdot (\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b})$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}) \cdot (\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}^\top A^\top A \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{b}^\top A \mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{b}\|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^\top (A^\top A) \mathbf{x} - (A^\top \mathbf{b})^\top \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{b}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with a quadratic form, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= A^\top A \\ \mathbf{p} &= A^\top \mathbf{b} \\ c &= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{b}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

- b. Note that  $Q$  is symmetric, so

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) &= Q\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p} = A^\top A\mathbf{x} - A^\top \mathbf{b} = A^\top (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) \\ H_f(\mathbf{x}) &= Q\mathbf{x} = A^\top A\end{aligned}$$

- c. By a theorem, we need  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}})$ . By inspection, the eigenvalues of  $A^\top A$  are  $\lambda_1 = 25$  and  $\lambda_2 = 9$ . Hence, the upper bound for  $\alpha$  is  $\frac{2}{25}$ .

- 3** Let  $(x_1, y_1)^\top, \dots, (x_n, y_n)^\top$ ,  $n \geq 2$  be points on the  $\mathbb{R}^2$  plane. We wish to find the straight line of “best fit” through these points (“best in the sense that the average squared error is minimized”); that is, we wish to find  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  to minimize

$$f(a, b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (ax_i + b - y_i)^2.$$

- a. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i & \bar{X^2} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 & \overline{XY} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \\ \bar{Y} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i & \bar{Y^2} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2\end{aligned}$$

Show that  $f(a, b)$  can be written in the form  $\mathbf{z}^\top Q \mathbf{z} - 2\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{z} + d$ , where  $\mathbf{z} = (a, b)^\top$ ,  $Q = Q^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ , and find expressions for  $Q$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$ , and  $d$  in terms of  $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{X^2}, \bar{Y^2}$ , and  $\overline{XY}$ .

- b. Assume that the  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are not all equal. Find the parameters  $a^*$  and  $b^*$  for the line of best fit in terms of  $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{X^2}, \bar{Y^2}$ , and  $\overline{XY}$ . Show that the point  $(a^*, b^*)^\top$  is the only local minimizer of  $f$ .

*Hint:*  $\bar{X^2} - (\bar{X})^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{X})^2$ .

- c. Show that if  $a^*$  and  $b^*$  are the parameters of the line of best fit, then  $\bar{Y} = a^* \bar{X} + b^*$  (and hence once we have computed  $a^*$ , we can compute  $b^*$  using the formula  $b^* = \bar{Y} - a^* \bar{X}$ ).

**Solution** a. Expanding  $f(a, b)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}f(a, b) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (ax_i + b - y_i)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (a^2 x_i^2 + abx_i - ax_i y_i + abx_i + b^2 - by_i - ax_i y_i - by_i + y_i^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (a^2 x_i^2 + b^2 + 2abx_i - 2ax_i y_i - 2by_i + y_i^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mathbf{z}^\top \begin{pmatrix} x_i^2 & x_i \\ x_i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{z} - 2(x_i y_i, y_i)^\top \mathbf{z} + y_i^2 \right) \\ &= \mathbf{z}^\top \begin{pmatrix} \bar{X^2} & \bar{X} \\ \bar{X} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{z} - 2(\overline{XY}, \bar{Y})^\top \mathbf{z} + \bar{Y^2}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by comparison,

$$\begin{aligned}Q &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{X^2} & \bar{X} \\ \bar{X} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{x} &= (\overline{XY}, \bar{Y}) \\ d &= \bar{Y^2}\end{aligned}$$

- b. We first find the points that satisfy the FONC. Since our feasible set is  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we only need to consider the interior case. I.e., we want  $\nabla f(\mathbf{z}^*) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(\mathbf{z}^*) &= 2Q\mathbf{z}^* - 2\mathbf{x} \\ &= 2 \begin{pmatrix} \overline{X^2} & \overline{X} \\ \overline{X} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^* \\ b^* \end{pmatrix} - 2 \begin{pmatrix} \overline{XY} \\ \overline{Y} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a^*\overline{X^2} + b^*\overline{X} \\ a^*\overline{X} + b^* \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \overline{XY} \\ \overline{Y} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \\ \implies \begin{pmatrix} a^* \\ b^* \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{XY} - (\overline{X})(\overline{Y})}{\overline{X^2} - (\overline{X})^2} \\ \overline{Y} - a^*\overline{X} \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

This is the only point that satisfies the FONC.

Next, we check the SONC. We want  $Q \succeq 0$ , so it suffices to show that the eigenvalues of  $Q$  are non-negative.

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 &= \text{tr } Q = \overline{X^2} + 1 \\ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 &= \det Q = \overline{X^2} - (\overline{X})^2\end{aligned}$$

$\text{tr } Q > 0$  since  $\overline{X^2}$  involves a sum of non-negative terms. Additionally,  $\det Q \geq 0$  since by the hint, it is also a sum of non-negative terms. Moreover, since not all the  $x_i$  are equal, there exists  $x_i$  such that  $x_i \neq \overline{X}$ . Otherwise, we would have  $x_i = \overline{X}$  for all  $i$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\det Q > 0$ , so the eigenvalues of  $Q$  are both positive.

Since  $Q$  is positive definite, this implies that the point satisfying the FONC is the unique strict local minimizer of  $f(a, b)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

- c. From (b), we have that  $b^* = \overline{Y} - a^*\overline{X}$  as desired.

- 4 Consider the function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - c)^2$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . We are interested in computing the minimizer of  $f$  using the iterative algorithm

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - \alpha_k f'(x^{(k)}),$$

where  $f'$  is the derivative of  $f$  and  $\alpha_k$  is a step size satisfying  $0 < \alpha_k < 1$ .

- a. Derive a formula relating  $f(x^{(k+1)})$  with  $f(x^{(k)})$ , involving  $\alpha_k$ .  
b. Show that the algorithm is globally convergent if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty.$$

*Hint:* Use part (a) and the fact that for any sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k \geq 1} \subseteq (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_k) = 0 \iff \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty.$$

**Solution** a. Note that  $f'(x) = x - c$ , so  $f'(x^{(k)}) = x^{(k)} - c$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}f(x^{(k+1)}) &= \frac{1}{2}(x^{(k+1)} - c)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(x^{(k)} - \alpha_k(x^{(k)} - c) - c)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[(x^{(k)} - c)(1 - \alpha_k)]^2 \\ &= f(x^{(k)})(1 - \alpha_k)^2\end{aligned}$$

- b. First note that the only point satisfying the FONC is  $x = c$ . This is because the problem is unconstrained, so we need  $f'(x) = 0 \implies x = c$ .

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”

Suppose  $\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_k) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_k) = 0 &\implies \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \log(1 - \alpha_k) = -\infty \\ &\implies \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2 \log(1 - \alpha_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \log(1 - \alpha_k)^2 = -\infty \\ &\implies \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_k)^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$f(x^{(k)}) = \prod_{i=0}^k (1 - \alpha_i)^2 \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \implies x^{(k)} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} c$$

for all  $x^{(0)}$  since  $f$  is continuous. So, the algorithm is globally convergent.

“ $\implies$ ”

Suppose the algorithm is globally convergent, i.e.,  $x^{(k)} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} c \implies f(x^{(k)}) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x^{(k+1)}) = 0 &\implies \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=0}^k (1 - \alpha_i)^2 = 0 \\ &\implies \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2 \log(1 - \alpha_k) = -\infty \\ &\implies \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \log(1 - \alpha_k) = -\infty \\ &\implies \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_k) = 0 \\ &\implies \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

- 
- 5 Consider a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $f(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $A$  is invertible and  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is the zero of  $f$  (i.e.,  $f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ ). We wish to compute  $\mathbf{x}^*$  using the iterative algorithm

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \alpha f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}),$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . We say that the algorithm is *globally monotone* if for any  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ ,  $\|\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^*\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^*\|$  for all  $k$ .

- a. Assume that all the eigenvalues of  $A$  are real. Show that a necessary condition for the algorithm above to be *globally monotone* is that all the eigenvalues of  $A$  are nonnegative.

*Hint:* Use contraposition.

- b. Suppose that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Find the largest range of values of  $\alpha$  for which the algorithm is *globally convergent* (i.e.,  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}^*$  for all  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ ).

**Solution** a. Since  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a zero of  $f$  and  $A$  is invertible, we have that  $\mathbf{x}^* = -A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ .

Suppose the eigenvalues of  $A$  are negative, so that  $A$  is negative definite. Choose  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{v}$ , where  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda < 0$ . Then  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$  and  $A^{-1}\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbf{v}$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \mathbf{x}^*\| &= \|\mathbf{v}\| \\ \|\mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \mathbf{x}^*\| &= \|\mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \alpha\lambda\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{x}^*\| \\ &= \|\mathbf{v} - \alpha\lambda\mathbf{v}\| \\ &= \|\mathbf{v}(1 - \alpha\lambda)\|\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda < 0$ ,  $-\alpha\lambda > 0 \implies 1 - \alpha\lambda > 1$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ . Hence,

$$\|\mathbf{v}(1 - \alpha\lambda)\| > \|\mathbf{v}\| \implies \|\mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \mathbf{x}^*\| > \|\mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \mathbf{x}^*\|$$

so the algorithm is not globally monotone. Hence, if the algorithm is globally monotone, all of its eigenvalues must be non-negative.

- b. Notice that the eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\lambda = 5$ . Thus, by (a), the algorithm is globally monotone. Since we have two distinct eigenvalues in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we can form an eigenbasis. In particular, its eigenvectors are  $\mathbf{v}_1 = (1, 1)^\top$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2 = (1, -1)^\top$ .

Fix  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ . Then there exist  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \mathbf{x}^* + c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 := \mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{v}$ . We claim that  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}^* + (I - \alpha A)^k \mathbf{v}$ .

Base step:

This is clearly true for  $k = 0$ .

Inductive step:

Suppose  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$  can be written as the above. Then we wish to show that  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}$  can be also. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} &= \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \alpha(A\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \mathbf{x}^* + (I - \alpha A)^k \mathbf{v} - \alpha(A(\mathbf{x}^* + (I - \alpha A)^k \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \mathbf{x}^* + (I - \alpha A)^k \mathbf{v} - \alpha((A\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{b}) + A(I - \alpha A)^k \mathbf{v}) \\ &= \mathbf{x}^* + (I - \alpha A)^k \mathbf{v} - \alpha A(I - \alpha A)^k \mathbf{v} \\ &= \mathbf{x}^* + (I - \alpha A)(I - \alpha A)^k \mathbf{v} \\ &= \mathbf{x}^* + (I - \alpha A)^{k+1} \mathbf{v}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the formula holds by induction.

Next, we want to find  $\alpha$  so that  $\|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^*\| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ , which implies that  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^*\| &= \|(I - \alpha A)^{k+1} \mathbf{v}\| \\ &= \|(I - \alpha A)^{k+1} (c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2)\| \\ &= \|c_1(1 - \alpha)^{k+1} \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2(1 - 5\alpha)^{k+1} \mathbf{v}_2\|\end{aligned}$$

For this to go to 0, we need  $|1 - \alpha| < 1$  and  $|1 - 5\alpha| < 1$ . The values of  $\alpha$  which satisfy this are  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{5}$ . If  $\alpha$  steps outside this range, then the sequence of norms will not converge to 0.