- **3.1** a. Show geometrically why the maximum principle holds using a "walking the dog" argument. Make it rigorous by imitating the last half of the proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra.
  - b. Use the maximum principle to prove the fundamental theorem of algebra by applying to 1/p.
- Solution a. Let f be analytic on a domain  $\Omega$  and suppose there exists  $z_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $|f(z_0)| = \sup_{z \in \Omega} |f(z)|$ . Then as f is analytic at  $z_0$ , we can write  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$  on  $|z-z_0| < R$  for some R > 0. Suppose f is not identically  $f(z_0)$ . Let 0 < r < R such that  $f(z) \neq f(z_0)$  when  $0 < |z-z_0| \leq r$ . We can do this because if there were no such r, then  $f(z) f(z_0)$  admits a non-isolated zero, which implies that  $f(z) \equiv f(z_0)$ .

As f is not constant, not all  $a_n$  are 0. Let  $a_N$  be the first non-zero coefficient of f. Then

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + a_N(z - z_0)^N + a_{N+1}(z - z_0)^{N+1} + \cdots$$

Define  $F(z) := f(z_0) + a_N(z - z_0)^N$  and  $R(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{N+i}(z - z_0)^{N+i}$ . Note that R is also analytic since it is a sub-sum of f. Then by walking the dog, we have that there exists  $z^*$  such that

$$|F(z^*)| \ge |f(z_0)| + |a_N|r^N$$
  
 $|R(z)| \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{N+i}|r^{N+i} < \infty$ 

But then

$$|f(z^*)| = |F(z^*) + R(z^*)|$$

$$\geq ||F(z^*)| - |R(z^*)||$$

$$= |f(z_0)| + |a_N|r^N - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{N+i}|r^{N+i}$$

$$= |f(z_0)| + |a_N|r^N \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{N+i}|}{|a_N|}r^i\right)$$

We can make r > 0 sufficiently small so that  $1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{N+i}|}{|a_N|} r^i > M$  for some M > 0. But then we get the contradiction

$$|f(z^*)| \ge |f(z_0)| + M|a_N|r^N > |f(z_0)| = \sup_{z \in \Omega} |f(z)|.$$

Hence, the maximum principle holds.

b. Let  $p(z) = a_0 + \cdots + a_n z^n$ , where  $a_0$  and  $a_n$  are both non-zero. Suppose |p(z)| > 0 for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\frac{1}{p}$  is analytic on all of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

As  $\mathbb{R}$  has the greatest lower bound property,  $\inf_{z\in\mathbb{C}}|f(z)|\in\mathbb{R}$ . Let m be this lower bound. Then

$$\frac{1}{|p(z)|} \le \frac{1}{m}$$

But by Liouville's theorem,  $\frac{1}{p(z)}$  is constant, meaning  $a_n = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence, p(z) admits a zero in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- **3.3** Suppose f is analytic in a connected open set U. If |f(z)| is constant on U, prove that f is constant on U. Likewise, prove that f is constant if Re f is constant.
- **Solution** As |f(z)| constant, then for all  $z_0 \in U$ , we have that  $|f(z_0)| = \sup_{z \in U} |f(z)|$ . Hence, by the maximum principle, f is constant on U.

Let M = Re f and  $z_0 \in U$ . Since f is analytic on U, we can write  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$  for  $|z - z_0| < R$  for some R > 0.

Consider  $g(z) := f(z) - i \operatorname{Im} a_0$  and notice that

$$|g(z)| = \sqrt{\left(\operatorname{Re} f(z)\right)^2 + \left(\operatorname{Im} f(z) - \operatorname{Im} a_0\right)^2} \ge \operatorname{Re} f(z)$$

If Re  $a_0 \neq 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{q}$  is analytic on U. Then since  $g(z_0) = \text{Re } a_0$  and

$$\frac{1}{|g(z)|} \le \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} f(z)} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} a_0},$$

then by the maximum principle,  $\frac{1}{g(z)} \equiv \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} a_0} \implies f(z) \equiv \operatorname{Re} a_0 + i \operatorname{Im} a_0 = a_0$ .

If Re  $a_0 = 0$ , then consider  $g(z) := f(z) - i \operatorname{Im} a_0 + 1$ . We can apply the same argument above, but with  $g(z_0) = 1$  instead to get that  $g(z) \equiv 1 \implies f(z) \equiv i \operatorname{Im} a_0 = a_0$ .

- **3.4** Suppose f and g are analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $|f(z)| \leq |g(z)|$  for all z. Prove there exists a constant c so that f(z) = cg(z) for all z.
- **Solution** Suppose  $g(z) \equiv 0$ . Then  $|f(z)| \le |g(z)| = 0 \implies f(z) \equiv 0$ . Then in this case, c = 0.

Now assume that  $g(z) \not\equiv 0$ . Note that whenever g(z) = 0, we have that f(z) = 0 also. Consider

$$h(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}, & g(z) \neq 0\\ 0, & g(z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

h is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, as  $|f(z)| \leq |g(z)|$  for all  $z, h(z) \leq 1$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Hence, by Liouville's theorem,  $h(z) \equiv c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus, f(z) = cg(z) for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- **3.5** Prove that if f is non-constant and analytic on all of  $\mathbb{C}$  then  $f(\mathbb{C})$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- **Solution** Suppose  $f(\mathbb{C})$  were not dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then there exists  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  such that there exists r > 0 so that  $B_r(w) \cap f(\mathbb{C}) = \emptyset$ . In other words,  $f(z) w \ge r$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus,  $\frac{1}{f(z)-w}$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover,

$$\left| \frac{1}{f(z) - w} \right| \le \frac{1}{r}$$

on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then by Liouville's theorem  $\frac{1}{f(z)-w}$  is constant, which implies that f is constant. This is a contradiction, so no such w exists. Hence,  $f(\mathbb{C})$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- **3.6** Let f be analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and suppose |f(z)| < 1 on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let a = f(0). Show that f does not vanish in  $\{z \mid |z| < |a|\}$ .
- **Solution** Consider g(z) := f(z) a. Then f(0) = 0 and f is analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Thus, by the Schwarz lemma,  $|f(z) a| \le |z|$ . Suppose there exists  $z_0$  such that  $f(z_0) = 0$  and  $|z_0| \le |a|$ . Then

$$|f(z_0) - a| = |a| \le |z_0| < |a|$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, f does not vanish on  $\{z \mid |z| < |a|\}$ .

- **3.7** Prove that if f is a one-to-one (two-to-two!) analytic map of an open set  $\Omega$  onto  $f(\Omega)$  and if  $z_n \in \Omega \to \partial\Omega$ , then  $f(z_n) \to \partial f(\Omega)$ , in the sense that  $f(z_n)$  eventually lies outside each compact subset of  $f(\Omega)$ . A function with this property is called **proper**.
- **Solution** Let  $\{z_n\}_{n\geq 1}\subseteq \Omega$  be such that  $z_n\neq z_m$  for all  $n\neq m\geq 1$  and  $z_n\xrightarrow{n\to\infty}\partial\Omega$ . Since f is one-to-one,  $f(n)\neq f(m)$  for all  $n\neq m\geq 1$ .

Suppose there exists  $K \subseteq f(\Omega)$  compact such that infinitely many  $f(z_n)$  lie in. Then  $f(z_n)$  converges in K to a point  $w \in K$ . Since  $w \in f(\Omega)$ , there exists a unique  $z_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $f(z_0) = w$ .

This implies that  $z_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} z_0$ , since  $z_0$  is unique. This is a contradiction because we assumed that  $z_n$  converges outside each compact subset of  $\Omega$ . Hence, f is proper.

**3.8** a. Prove that  $\varphi$  is a one-to-one analytic map of  $\mathbb D$  onto  $\mathbb D$  if and only if

$$\varphi(z) = c \left( \frac{z - a}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right),$$

for some constants c and a, with |c| = 1, and |a| < 1. What is the inverse map?

b. Let f be analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and satisfy  $|f(z)| \to 1$  as  $|z| \to 1$ . Prove f is rational.

Solution a. " $\Leftarrow=$ "

Let  $\varphi(z) = c\left(\frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}\right)$ . We first show that it is one-to-one.

Let  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$  such that f(z) = f(w). Then

$$c\left(\frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}\right) = c\left(\frac{w-a}{1-\bar{a}w}\right)$$
$$z - \bar{a}wz - a + |a|^2w = w - \bar{a}wz - a + |a|^2z$$
$$z - |a|^2z = w - |a|^2w$$
$$z = w$$

The last step holds since  $|a| < 1 \implies 1 - |a|^2 > 0$ .

 $\varphi$  is one-to-one because z-a is analytic, and  $1-\bar{a}z$  is analytic  $\Longrightarrow \frac{1}{1-\bar{a}z}$  is analytic. This is because the only zero of  $1-\bar{a}z$  occurs when  $z=\frac{1}{\bar{a}}$ , which lies outside of the unit disk.

Lastly, we need to show that  $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ . Let |z| = 1. Then

$$|\varphi(z)| = \left| \frac{z - a}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right| \cdot |\bar{z}|$$

$$= \left| \frac{|z|^2 - a\bar{z}}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{1 - a\bar{z}}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right| = 1$$

It follows that if |z| < 1, then  $|\varphi(z)| < 1$  also. Thus,  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ .

· \_\_\_\_ ,

Let  $\varphi$  be a one-to-one analytic map of  $\mathbb D$  onto  $\mathbb D$ . Assume  $\varphi(0)=0$  so that  $|\varphi(z)|\leq |z|$ . Then as  $\varphi$  is one-to-one,  $F(z):=\frac{z}{\varphi(z)}$  is also analytic on  $\mathbb D$ . Note that  $|F(z)|\geq 1$ .

By exercise 7, as  $|z| \to 1$ ,  $|F(z)| \to 1$  also. Then by the maximum principle,  $|F(z)| \le 1$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Thus, |F(z)| = 1, so  $\varphi(z) = cz$  for some |c| = 1.

Pick a point  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  and transform coordinates using  $T_a$  so that we get

$$\varphi(z) = cT_a = c\left(\frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}\right)$$

as desired.

b. Suppose  $|z| \to 1 \implies |f(z)| \to 1$ . If f achieves its maximum in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then f is constant, and is obviously a rational function.

Otherwise, f attains its maximum on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  by the maximum principle. But as  $|z| \to 1$ ,  $|f(z)| \to 1$ , so 1 is the maximum value of |f|.

By corollary 3.4, if  $f(z_j) = 0$  for all j, we can write

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{z - z_j}{1 - \bar{z_j}z}\right) g(z)$$

Notice that as  $|z| \to 1$ ,  $|g(z)| \to 1$  also. Thus, by the maximum principle, its maximum it 1, so  $\frac{1}{g}$  is analytic. Moreover, as  $|z| \to 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{|g(z)|} \to 1$ , so applying the maximum principle again,  $\frac{1}{|g(z)|}$  is bounded above by 1. Thus,  $|g(z)| = \lambda$ , where  $|\lambda = 1|$ , and so

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{z - z_j}{1 - \bar{z_j} z} \right) \lambda.$$

Hence, f is rational.