

- 1 Suppose $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n . Let x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m be distinct nodes. Prove that whenever $m \geq n$, the Lagrange interpolating polynomial $P_m(x)$ generated by $\{(x_i, f(x_i))\}_{i=0}^m$ is actually $f(x)$ itself.

Solution Notice that each x_i is a root of the polynomial $P_m(x) - f(x)$, which means it is an m -th order polynomial (since $m \geq n$) with $m + 1$ roots. Thus, it must be identically 0, since a non-constant polynomial of degree m can have at most m real zeroes, so $P_m(x) = f(x)$ for all x .

- 2 Define $f(x) = 2^x$. Let $x_0 = -2$, $x_1 = -1$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 1$, and $x_4 = 2$.
- Find out the interpolating polynomials $P_{0,1,4}(x)$ and $P_{1,2,3,4}(x)$.
 - Derive error bounds for them at $x = 1/2$ with respect to $f(x)$.
 - If you are allowed to only use at most three of these nodes to construct an interpolating polynomial to approximate $f(1/2)$, which three (or fewer) nodes would you choose? Why?

Solution a. The polynomials are given by

$$P_{0,1,4}(x) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(x+1)(x-2)}{-1 \cdot -4} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(x+2)(x-2)}{1 \cdot -3} + 4 \cdot \frac{(x+2)(x+1)}{4 \cdot 3} = \frac{11}{48}x^2 + \frac{15}{16}x + \frac{29}{24}$$

$$P_{1,2,3,4}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{x(x-1)(x-2)}{-1 \cdot -2 \cdot -3} + 1 \cdot \frac{(x+1)(x-1)(x-2)}{1 \cdot -1 \cdot -2} + 2 \cdot \frac{(x+1)x(x-2)}{2 \cdot 1 \cdot -1} + 4 \cdot \frac{(x+1)x(x-1)}{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{12}x^3 + \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{2}{3}x + 1$$

- b. The error bounds are

$$|f(1/2) - P_{0,1,4}(1/2)| = \left| \frac{f^{(3)}(\xi)}{3!} (1/2+2)(1/2-1)(1/2-2) \right| \leq \frac{(\log 2)^3 \cdot 2^2}{3!} \cdot \frac{75}{8} = \frac{15}{4} (\log 2)^3$$

$$|f(1/2) - P_{1,2,3,4}(1/2)| = \left| \frac{f^{(4)}(\xi)}{4!} (1/2+1)(1/2)(1/2-1)(1/2-2) \right| \leq \frac{(\log 2)^4 \cdot 2^2}{4!} \cdot \frac{9}{16} = \frac{3}{32} (\log 2)^4.$$

- c. I would pick x_1 , x_2 , and x_3 .

The two closest nodes are x_2 and x_3 , which is why those are included. There's a tie between the next closest nodes, which are x_1 and x_4 . I picked x_1 so that the upper bound for the derivative is minimized. Indeed, if I pick x_4 , then the derivative is bounded above by $(\log 2)^3 \cdot 2^2$, whereas for x_1 , the derivative is bounded above by $(\log 2)^3 \cdot 2^1$.

- 3 Approximate $f(1.6)$ using Neville's method, given that

$$f(1) = 0.75, \quad f(1.3) = 0.63, \quad f(1.5) = 0.55, \quad f(2) = 0.49.$$

Solution For convenience, we'll overload the divided differences notation, and let $f[1, 1.3]$ be the approximation of $f(1.6)$ with the Lagrange polynomial passing through $(1, f(1))$ and $(1.3, f(1.3))$, and so on.

x	$f[x]$	
1	0.75	$f[1, 1.3] = \frac{(1.6 - 1.3) \cdot 0.75 - (1.6 - 1) \cdot 0.63}{1 - 1.3} = 0.51$
1.3	0.63	$f[1.3, 1.5] = \frac{(1.6 - 1.5) \cdot 0.63 - (1.6 - 1.3) \cdot 0.55}{1.3 - 1.5} = 0.51$
1.5	0.55	$f[1.5, 2] = \frac{(1.6 - 2) \cdot 0.55 - (1.6 - 1.5) \cdot 0.49}{1.5 - 2} = 0.538$
2	0.49	

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} f[1, 1.3, 1.5] &= \frac{(1.6 - 1.5)f[1, 1.3] - (1.6 - 1)f[1.3, 1.5]}{1 - 1.5} = 0.51 \\ f[1.3, 1.5, 2] &= \frac{(1.6 - 2)f[1.3, 1.5] - (1.6 - 1.3)f[1.5, 2]}{1.3 - 2} = 0.522 \end{aligned}$$

The last step is then given by

$$f(1.6) \approx f[1, 1.3, 1.5, 2] = \frac{(1.6 - 2)f[1, 1.3, 1.5] - (1.6 - 1)f[1.3, 1.5, 2]}{1 - 2} = 0.5172.$$

- 4 We apply Neville's method to approximate $f(0)$ using $f(-2)$, $f(-1)$, $f(1)$, and $f(2)$. Now suppose $f(-1)$ was mistakenly overstated by 1, while $f(2)$ was understated by 2; in other words, we are given the data

$$y_0 = f(-2), \quad y_1 = f(-1) + 1, \quad y_2 = f(1), \quad y_3 = f(2) - 2,$$

to make the approximation. Determine how such mistakes affect the approximation of $f(0)$. What about the approximation of $f(x)$ for arbitrary $x \in [-2, 2]$?

Solution We proceed with Neville's method, again with the same notation as the previous problem. We'll let f' be the result from the calculation with errors.

$$\begin{aligned} f'[-2, -1] &= \frac{(x+2)[f(-1) + 1] - (x+1)f(-2)}{-1+2} = f[-2, -1] + (x+2) \\ f'[-1, 1] &= \frac{(x+1)f(1) - (x-1)[f(-1) + 1]}{1+1} = f[-1, 1] - \frac{1}{2}(x-1) \\ f'[1, 2] &= \frac{(x-1)[f(2) - 2] - (x-2)f(1)}{2-1} = f[1, 2] - 2(x-1) \\ f'[-2, -1, 1] &= \frac{(x+2)f'[-1, 1] - (x-1)f'[-2, -1]}{1+2} = f[-2, -1, 1] - \frac{1}{2}(x+2)(x-1) \\ f'[-1, 1, 2] &= \frac{(x+1)f'[1, 2] - (x-2)f'[-1, 1]}{2+1} = f[-1, 1, 2] - \frac{2}{3}(x+1)(x-1) + \frac{1}{6}(x-2)(x-1) \\ f'[-2, -1, 1, 2] &= \frac{(x+2)f'[-1, 1, 2] - (x-2)f'[-2, -1, 1]}{2+2} \\ &= f[-2, -1, 1, 2] - \frac{1}{6}(x+2)(x+1)(x-1) + \frac{1}{24}(x+2)(x-2)(x-1) + \frac{1}{8}(x-2)(x+2)(x-1) \\ &= f[-2, -1, 1, 2] - \frac{1}{6}(x+2)(x+1)(x-1) + \frac{1}{6}(x+2)(x-2)(x-1). \end{aligned}$$

So, these mistakes throw off the approximation of $f(x)$ by a cubic polynomial. At $x = 0$, the error is

$$|f[-2, -1, 1, 2] - f'[-2, -1, 1, 2]| = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} = 1.$$

- 5 Perform the procedure with $f(x) = e^x$ to approximate $f(x_*)$ with $x_* = 0.05$, $x_i = ih$, and $h = 0.1$, until the new term added can be bounded by 10^{-4} , i.e., $|P_n(x_*) - P_{n-1}(x_*)| \leq 10^{-4}$. Then derive an error bound for your approximation at x_* .

Solution I wrote code in Python to apply the divided differences method here:

```

1 from math import exp
2
3 def divided_diff(nodes):
4     """
5     Implementation of divided differences
6     Parameters:
7     nodes - list containing known points on f(x)
8
9     Output:
10    List of lists containing the iterations
11    """
12    p = [ [y for (x, y) in nodes] ]
13    i = 1 # len(nodes)-i gives us the number of elements in the last array of p
14    while len(nodes)-i > 0:
15        iteration = []
16        for j in range(0, len(nodes)-i):
17            iteration.append( (p[-1][j+1] - p[-1][j]) / (nodes[j+i][0] - nodes[j][0]) )
18        p.append(iteration)
19        i = i + 1
20
21    return p
22
23 h = 0.1
24 s = 0.5
25 nodes = [(i/10, exp(i/10)) for i in range(0,5)]
26 p = divided_diff(nodes)

```

This produced the following output:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f[0.0] &= 1.0 \\
 f[0.0, 0.1] &= 1.051\,709\,180\,756\,477\,1 \\
 f[0.0, 0.1, 0.2] &= 0.553\,046\,100\,443\,721\,5 \\
 f[0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3] &= 0.193\,881\,220\,406\,129\,84 \\
 f[0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4] &= 0.050\,976\,664\,869\,075\,22
 \end{aligned}$$

Using these values, we get the following approximations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_0(0.05) &= 1.0 \\
 P_1(0.05) &= 1.052\,585\,459\,037\,823\,9 \\
 P_2(0.05) &= 1.051\,202\,843\,786\,714\,5 \\
 P_3(0.05) &= 1.051\,275\,549\,244\,366\,8 \\
 P_4(0.05) &= 1.051\,270\,770\,182\,035\,4
 \end{aligned}$$

So, our final approximation is $f(0.05) \approx P_4(0.05) = 1.0512707701820354$.

The polynomial is a Lagrange polynomial that agrees with f at the given nodes. So, the error bound is given by

$$|P_4(0.05) - f(0.05)| = \left| \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!} \right| |(0.05 - 0)(0.05 - 0.1) \cdots (0.05 - 0.4)| < 5 \cdot 10^{-7},$$

since e^x is a strictly increasing function.