

53.5 When the polynomial $z(p)$ has distinct real zeros a and b , so that

$$\frac{1}{z(p)} = \frac{1}{(p-a)(p-b)} = \frac{A}{p-a} + \frac{B}{p-b}$$

for suitable constants A and B , then

$$h(t) = Ae^{at} + Be^{bt}$$

and (15) takes the form

$$y(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau) [Ae^{a(t-\tau)} + Be^{b(t-\tau)}] d\tau.$$

This is sometimes called the *Heaviside expansion theorem*.

- Use this theorem to write the solution of $y'' + 3y' + 2y = f(t)$, $y(0) = y'(0) = 0$.
- Give an explicit evaluation of the solution in (a) for the cases $f(t) = e^{3t}$ and $f(t) = t$.
- Find the solutions in (b) by using the superposition principle (13).

Solution a. In this case, we have

$$\frac{1}{z(p)} = \frac{1}{(p+1)(p+2)} = \frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{p+2},$$

so $h(t) = e^{-t} - e^{-2t}$. Then

$$y(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau) [e^{-(t-\tau)} - e^{-2(t-\tau)}] d\tau.$$

- If $f(t) = e^{3t}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= \int_0^t e^{3\tau} [e^{-(t-\tau)} - e^{-2(t-\tau)}] d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t e^{-t} e^{4\tau} - e^{-2t} e^{5\tau} d\tau \\ &= \frac{e^{-t}}{4} (e^{4t} - 1) - \frac{e^{-2t}}{5} (e^{5t} - 1) \\ &= \frac{e^{3t}}{20} - \frac{e^{-t}}{4} + \frac{e^{-2t}}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

If $f(t) = t$, then

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= \int_0^t \tau e^{-t} e^{\tau} - \tau e^{-2t} e^{2\tau} d\tau \\ &= \frac{t}{2} - \frac{e^{-2t}}{4} + e^{-t} - \frac{3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

- With the superposition principle, we have

$$\mathcal{L}[A] = \frac{1}{pz(p)} = \frac{1}{p(p+1)(p+2)} = \frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{p+1} + \frac{1}{2(p+2)},$$

so

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{2} - e^{-t} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2t},$$

which gives us

$$y(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau) A'(t-\tau) d\tau.$$

It is known that $h(t) = A'(t)$, so the integrals will evaluate to the same functions.

69.2 Find the exact solution of the initial value problem

$$y' = 2x(1 + y), \quad y(0) = 0.$$

Starting with $y_0(x) = 0$, calculate $y_1(x), y_2(x), y_3(x), y_4(x)$ and compare these results with the exact solution.

Solution By separating variables,

$$\frac{dy}{1+y} = 2x \, dx \implies \log(1+y) = x^2 + C \implies y = Ce^{x^2} - 1.$$

Since $y(0) = 0$, we see that $C = 1$, so

$$y(x) = e^{x^2} - 1.$$

We iterate via

$$y_n(x) = \int_0^x 2t(1 + y_{n-1}(t)) \, dt.$$

With $y_0(x) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} y_0(x) &= 0 \\ y_1(x) &= \int_0^x 2t \, dt = x^2 \\ y_2(x) &= \int_0^x 2t(1 + t^2) \, dt = x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x^4 \\ y_3(x) &= \int_0^x 2t \left(1 + t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t^4 \right) \, dt = x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x^4 + \frac{1}{6}x^6 \\ y_4(x) &= \int_0^x 2t \left(1 + t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t^4 + \frac{1}{6}t^6 \right) \, dt = x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x^4 + \frac{1}{6}x^6 + \frac{1}{24}x^8. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that in general,

$$y_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(x^2)^k}{k!} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^2)^n}{n!} \right) - 1 = e^{x^2} - 1,$$

which is the same as the solution to the initial value problem.

70.1 Let (x_0, y_0) be an arbitrary point in the plane and consider the initial value theorem

$$y' = y^2, \quad y(x_0) = y_0.$$

Explain why Theorem A guarantees that this problem has a unique solution on some interval $|x - x_0| \leq h$. Since $f(x, y) = y^2$ and $\partial f / \partial y = 2y$ are continuous on the entire plane, it is tempting to conclude that this solution is valid for all x . By considering the solutions through the points $(0, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$, show that this conclusion is sometimes true and sometimes false, and that therefore the inference is not legitimate.

Solution In this problem, $f(x, y) = y^2$, which is continuous and has a continuous y -derivative on \mathbb{R}^2 . So, there exists an interval $|x - x_0| \leq h$ such that the equation has a unique solution that stays in \mathbb{R}^2 .

However, the theorem does not guarantee that the solution around (x_0, y_0) is the same for all values of x .

Consider $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 0)$. Then the solution on an interval around the origin is given by $y(x) \equiv 0$.

On the other hand, if $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 1)$, then the solution on an interval about this point is given by $y(x) = 1/(1 - x)$, by inspection.

In the first case, the solution is valid on all of \mathbb{R} , whereas in the second case, the solution is only valid on $\mathbb{R} - \{1\}$.

70.2 Show that $f(x, y) = y^{1/2}$

- a. does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition on the rectangle $|x| \leq 1$ and $0 \leq y \leq 1$
- b. does satisfy a Lipschitz condition on the rectangle $|x| \leq 1$ and $c \leq y \leq d$, where $0 < c < d$.

Solution a. Notice that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} \xrightarrow{y \rightarrow 0} \infty.$$

In other words, the y -derivative is unbounded. If we fix $x \in [-1, 1]$, then we can consider f as a differentiable function of y . Then applying the mean value theorem on $(0, y)$, we see that

$$\left| \frac{f(x, y) - f(x, 0)}{y} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\xi_y}} \right| \quad \xi_y \in (0, y).$$

But if we take y sufficiently close to 0, we see that the difference quotient becomes unbounded, since we can make ξ_y arbitrarily close to 0, so the function does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition.

- b. Notice that since $c > 0$, f_y is continuous on the rectangle $[-1, 1] \times [c, d]$, so it is bounded by some $M > 0$. Applying the mean value theorem on the interval (y_1, y_2) , we see

$$\left| \frac{f(x, y_2) - f(x, y_1)}{y_2 - y_1} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\xi_y}} \right| \leq M,$$

so f satisfy a Lipschitz condition in the variable y .

70.3 Show that $f(x, y) = x^2|y|$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition on the rectangle $|x| \leq 1$ and $|y| \leq 1$, but that $\partial f/\partial y$ fails to exist at many points of this rectangle.

Solution $\partial f/\partial y$ is discontinuous on the line $y = 0$, since the function $|\cdot|$ is not differentiable at 0. However, if we fix y , then applying the mean value theorem on x^2 on (x_1, x_2) ,

$$\frac{x_2^2|y| - x_1^2|y|}{x_2 - x_1} = |y| \left(\frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{x_2 - x_1} \right) = |y||2x| \leq 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 2,$$

so f satisfies a Lipschitz condition in the x variable.

70.5 Show that $f(x, y) = xy$

- a. satisfies a Lipschitz condition on any rectangle $a \leq x \leq b$ and $c \leq y \leq d$
- b. satisfies a Lipschitz condition on any strip $a \leq x \leq b$ and $-\infty < y < \infty$
- c. does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition on the entire plane.

Solution First notice that if we fix $x \in [a, b]$ and let $y_1, y_2 \in [c, d]$,

$$\left| \frac{f(x, y_2) - f(x, y_1)}{y_2 - y_1} \right| = |x| \left| \frac{y_2 - y_1}{y_2 - y_1} \right| = |x| < b.$$

This is independent of y , so we have shown (a) and (b), since f satisfies a Lipschitz condition in the x variable.

If x takes on values from $(-\infty, \infty)$, then we see from the above that f does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition in x .

Similarly, if we fix y and let $x_1, x_2 \in (-\infty, \infty)$, we see that the absolute value of the difference quotient is given by $|y|$, which is unbounded, so f does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition in y either.

So, f does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition, and this shows (c).

70.6 Consider the initial value problem

$$y' = y|y|, \quad y(x_0) = y_0.$$

- a. For what points (x_0, y_0) does Theorem A imply that this problem has a unique solution on some interval $|x - x_0| \leq h$?
- b. For what points (x_0, y_0) does this problem actually have a unique solution on some interval $|x - x_0| \leq h$?

Solution a. In this problem, $f(x, y) = y|y|$, and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = |y| + \frac{y^2}{|y|},$$

which is discontinuous whenever $y = 0$. So the theorem implies that this problem has a unique solution around the points in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, i.e., the plane cut in half at the x -axis.

- b. For any point $(x_0, 0)$ on the x -axis, we have a unique solution: $y(x) \equiv 0$. Indeed, $0 \equiv y' \equiv 0 \cdot |0| \equiv 0$, and $y(x_0) = 0$. So, we actually have a unique solution on an interval around every point in \mathbb{R}^2 .

70.7 For what points (x_0, y_0) does Theorem A imply that the initial value problem

$$y' = y|y|, \quad y(x_0) = y_0$$

has a unique solution on some interval $|x - x_0| \leq h$?

Solution As we saw from the previous problem, $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$.