

1 Consider the linear program

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && 2x_1 + x_2 \\ & \text{subject to} && 0 \leq x_1 \leq 5 \\ & && 0 \leq x_2 \leq 7 \\ & && x_1 + x_2 \leq 9. \end{aligned}$$

Convert the problem to standard form and solve it using the simplex method.

**Solution** The problem can be written in standard form as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && (-2 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ t_1 \\ t_2 \\ t_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \text{subject to} && x_1 + t_1 = 5 \\ & && x_2 + t_2 = 7 \\ & && x_1 + x_2 + t_3 = 9 \\ & && (x_1 \quad x_2 \quad t_1 \quad t_2 \quad t_3)^\top \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is in standard form. Then the canonical augmented matrix is

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 9 \end{array} \right) \iff \left( \begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 3 \end{array} \right)$$

if we choose  $B$  to be the first three columns. Then the reduced cost vector is given by

$$\mathbf{r}_D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - (-2 \quad -1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has a negative entry. Thus, we will pull the 4-th column into our basis  $B$ .

Also,

$$p := \arg \min \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{y}_{i0}}{\mathbf{y}_{iq}}, \mathbf{y}_{i0} > 0 \right\} = 3$$

so we pivot about  $(3, 4)$ . This gives us

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 3 \end{array} \right)$$

and the reduced cost coefficient vector is then

$$\mathbf{r}_D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - (-2 \quad -1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Thus, the basic solution

$$\mathbf{x}^* = (5 \quad 4 \quad 0 \quad 3 \quad 0)^\top$$

is our optimal basic feasible solution.

2 Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && f(x) \\ & \text{subject to} && h(x) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f, h: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1 \ x_1 + 4)^\top$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is an optimal solution and  $\nabla h(\mathbf{x}^*) = (1 \ -4)^\top$ . Find  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ .

**Solution** By Lagrange's theorem, there exists  $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \nabla h(\mathbf{x}^*)\lambda = \mathbf{0} \implies x_1 = \frac{4}{3},$$

so

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \left( \frac{4}{3} \quad \frac{16}{3} \right)^\top.$$

3 Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|^2 \\ & \text{subject to} && \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = 9, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (1 \ \sqrt{3})^\top$ . Find all points satisfying the Lagrange condition for the problem.

**Solution** We can write the constraint as  $h(\mathbf{x}) := \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 9 = 0$ . This is a quadratic form, and its gradient is  $2\mathbf{x}$ .

Also, the objective function is a quadratic form with  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = 2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$ . Thus, we want to find  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$2\mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}_0 + 2\mathbf{x}\lambda = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{x} = \frac{\mathbf{x}_0}{1 + \lambda}.$$

Substituting it into our constraint, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_0\|^2}{(1 + \lambda)^2} &= 9 \\ 9\lambda^2 + 18\lambda + 5 &= 0 \\ \implies \lambda_{1/2} &= \frac{-18 \pm \sqrt{324 - 180}}{18} \\ &= \frac{-18 \pm 12}{18} \\ &= -1 \pm \frac{2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\lambda \in \left\{ -\frac{5}{3}, -\frac{1}{3} \right\}$ , which gives

$$\mathbf{x} \in \left\{ -\frac{3\mathbf{x}_0}{2}, \frac{3\mathbf{x}_0}{2} \right\},$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (1 \ \sqrt{3})^\top$ .

4 Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m < n$ ,  $\text{rank } A = m$ , and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Define  $\Omega = \{\mathbf{x} \mid A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}$  and let  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \Omega$ . Show that for any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\Pi(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}_0 + P\mathbf{y},$$

where  $P = I - A^\top(AA^\top)^{-1}A$ .

**Solution** By definition,

$$\Pi(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{y}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{z} \in \Omega} \|\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad A\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{b}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} \implies A\mathbf{w} = A\mathbf{x}_0 + A\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{b} + A\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b} = A\mathbf{y}$ . This is a least squares problem, and the solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{w}^* &= A^\top (AA^\top)^{-1} A\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}^* &= A^\top (AA^\top)^{-1} A\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{z}^* &= \mathbf{x}_0 + (I_n - A^\top (AA^\top)^{-1} A)\mathbf{y} \\ \Pi(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{y}) &= \mathbf{x}_0 + P\mathbf{y}\end{aligned}$$

as desired.