

Math 515 Essential Perturbation Theory and Asymptotic Analysis Chapter 02

Steven M. Wise

swise1@.utk.edu University of Tennessee

Spring 2024



Chapter 02, Part 1 of 2 Notation and Fundamental Definitions



Order Symbols

Big-Oh



In the following definitions, we will use the following common notation: for any numbers $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and r > 0,

$$I_r(a) := (a - r, a + r).$$

Definition (Big-Oh)

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f,g:D \times l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions. We say that f is big-oh of g at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, and we write $f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) = O(g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, iff there exists numbers $\delta_o = \delta_o(\mathbf{x}) \in (0,\delta)$ and $C = C(\mathbf{x}) \in (0,\infty)$, such that,

$$|f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| \le C |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)|, \quad \forall \, \varepsilon \in I_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$
 (1)

We say that f is big-oh of g uniformly in D, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$ iff there exist numbers $\delta_o \in (0, \delta)$ and $C \in (0, \infty)$, both independent of $\mathbf{x} \in D$, such that,

$$|f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| \le C |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)|, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in I_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$
 (2)



Consider the function

$$f(\varepsilon)=2\varepsilon^3-\varepsilon,$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$f(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon)$$
, as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

This is because the ε dominates the ε^3 term, for small values of $\varepsilon>0$. In particular, if $0<\varepsilon<1$, then

$$\varepsilon^3 \leq \varepsilon$$
.

Thus, if $0<\varepsilon\leq\delta_o=1$,

$$|f(\varepsilon)| \leq 2\varepsilon^3 + \varepsilon \leq 3\varepsilon.$$

The result holds with C = 3.

Big-Oh at Infinity



Definition (Big-Oh at Inftinity)

Suppose that M>0, and assume that $f,g:D\times(M,\infty)\to\mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions. We say that f is big-oh of q at $x \in D$, as $\lambda \to \infty$, and we write $f(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = O(g(\mathbf{x}, \lambda))$, as $\lambda \to \infty$, iff there exist numbers $M_o = M_o(\mathbf{x}) \in [M, \infty)$ and $C = C(\mathbf{x}) \in (0, \infty)$, such that,

$$|f(\mathbf{x},\lambda)| \le C |g(\mathbf{x},\lambda)|, \quad \forall \lambda \in (M_o,\infty).$$
 (3)

Similarly, we say that f is big-oh of q uniformly in D, as $\lambda \to \infty$ iff there exist numbers $M_o \in [M, \infty)$ and $C \in (0, \infty)$, both independent of $\mathbf{x} \in D$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x},\lambda)| \le C |g(\mathbf{x},\lambda)| \quad \forall \lambda \in (M_o,\infty).$$
 (4)

Lemma



For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant $M_o = M_o(m) > 0$, such that, if $\lambda > M_o$, it follows that

$$e^{\lambda} \ge \lambda^m$$
. (5)

Proof.

Suppose that $\lambda > 0$. Using Taylor's Theorem,

$$e^{\lambda} = 1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda^3}{6} + \dots + \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} + \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} + \frac{\lambda^{m+2}}{(m+2)!}e^{\eta},$$

for some $\eta \in (0, \lambda)$. Since $e^{\eta} \geq 1$, it follows that

$$e^{\lambda} \geq 1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda^3}{6} + \cdots + \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} + \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} =: p(\lambda).$$

Since $p(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree m+1, there is an $M_o=M_o(m)>0$, such that, if $\lambda>M_o$,

$$p(\lambda) \geq \lambda^m$$
.





Corollary

For any r>0, there is an $M_o=M_o(r)>0$ such that, if $\lambda>M_o$, then

$$e^{\lambda} \ge \lambda^r$$
, (6)

and

$$e^{-\lambda} \le \frac{1}{\lambda^r}. (7)$$

Suppose that

$$g(\lambda) = -e^{-\lambda} + \frac{4}{\lambda}.$$

Then,

$$g(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$$
, as $\lambda \to \infty$.

To see this, observe that, for all $\lambda > 0$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \ge e^{-\lambda}$$
.

Hence, for, say, $\lambda > 1 =: M_o$,

$$|g(\lambda)| \le e^{-\lambda} + \frac{4}{\lambda}$$
$$\le \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{4}{\lambda}$$
$$= \frac{5}{\lambda}.$$

The result holds with C = 5 and $M_o = 1$.





In fact, we have the following more general result. Suppose that p > 0 and

$$g(\lambda) = C_1 e^{-x} + \frac{C_2}{\lambda^{\rho}},$$

where $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants. Then

$$g(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^p}\right)$$
, as $\lambda \to \infty$.

The reader should provide the details.

Suppose that

$$a_n := a(n) = \frac{2}{3}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n^3.$$

Then,

$$a_n = O(n^3)$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

We want to show that there is an integer $N_o \ge 1$, such that, if $n \ge N_o$, then

$$|a_n| \leq C n^3$$
,

for some constant C>0. Let us prove this by finding $N_o\geq 1$ and C>0. Clearly, if $n\geq N_o=1$, it follows that

$$n^3 \geq n^2$$
.

So, if $n \geq N_o$,

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2}{3}n^2 + \frac{1}{2}n^3 \leq \frac{2}{3}n^3 + \frac{1}{2}n^3 \leq \frac{7}{6}n^3.$$

The result follows with $C = \frac{7}{6}$ and $N_o = 1$.



Little-Oh



Definition (Little-Oh)

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f, g: D \times l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions. We say that f is little-oh of g at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, and we write $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = o(g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, iff, for every $\alpha > 0$, there exists a number $\delta_o = \delta_o(\mathbf{x}, \alpha) \in (0, \delta)$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| \le \alpha |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)|, \quad \forall \, \varepsilon \in I_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$
 (8)

We say that f is little-oh of g uniformly in D, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$ iff, for every $\alpha > 0$, there exists a number $\delta_o = \delta_o(\alpha) \in (0, \delta)$, independent of $\mathbf{x} \in D$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)| \leq \alpha |g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)|, \quad \forall \, \varepsilon \in I_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$
 (9)

Little-Oh at Infinity



Definition (Little-Oh at Infinity)

Suppose that M>0, and assume that $f,g:D\times (M,\infty)\to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions. We say that f is little-oh of g at $\mathbf{x}\in D$, as $\lambda\to\infty$, and we write $f(\mathbf{x},\lambda)=o(g(\mathbf{x},\lambda))$, as $\lambda\to\infty$, iff, for every $\alpha>0$, there exists a number $M_o=M_o(\mathbf{x},\alpha)\in [M,\infty)$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x},\lambda)| \le \alpha |g(\mathbf{x},\lambda)|, \quad \forall \lambda \in (M_0,\infty).$$
 (10)

Similarly, we say that f is little-oh of g uniformly in D, as $\lambda \to \infty$ iff, for every $\alpha > 0$, there exists a number $M_o = M_o(\alpha) \in [M, \infty)$, independent of $\mathbf{x} \in D$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x},\lambda)| \le \alpha |g(\mathbf{x},\lambda)|, \quad \forall \lambda \in (M_0,\infty).$$
 (11)



Remark

To save needless writing, and reading as well, we will state and prove a few properties only involving our definitions for the case that $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, the finite limit case. However, the reader should be able to prove analogous results for the case that $\lambda \to \infty$, the infinite limit case, with only minor changes to the assumptions and arguments.

Proposition



Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f, g: D \times l_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions and $\mathbf{x} \in D$. Suppose that

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \neq 0, \quad \forall \, \varepsilon \in I_{\delta}^{\star}(\varepsilon_{o}) := I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_{o}) \setminus \{\varepsilon_{o}\},$$

and there exists some number $L=L(\mathbf{x})\in\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to\varepsilon_o}\frac{f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}=L.$$

Then, $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = O(g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Proof.

Using the definition of limit, for any $\varrho > 0$, there exists a number $\beta = \beta(\mathbf{x}, \varrho) > 0$, such that, if $\varepsilon \in I_{\delta}^{\star}(\varepsilon_{o}) \cap I_{\beta}(\varepsilon_{o})$, it follows that

$$\left|\frac{f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}-L\right|<\varrho.$$



Using the reverse triangle inequality, we find

$$|f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)| - |L| \cdot |g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)| \le |f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) - L \cdot g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)| < \varrho \cdot |g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)|,$$

which, in turn, implies that

$$|f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| < (|L| + \varrho) |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)|,$$

provided $\varepsilon \in I_{\delta}^{\star}(\varepsilon_{o}) \cap I_{\beta}(\varepsilon_{o})$. Take $\delta_{o} := \min(\delta, \beta)$ and $C = |L| + \varrho$.





Proposition (Little-Oh Implies Big-Oh)

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f, g: D \times l_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions and, for some $\mathbf{x} \in D$, $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = o(g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$. Then, it follows that $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = O(g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Proof.

Exercise.





Proposition

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f, g: D \times l_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous, $\mathbf{x} \in D$, and

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \neq 0$$
, $\forall \varepsilon \in I_{\delta}^{\star}(\varepsilon_{o}) := I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_{o}) \setminus \{\varepsilon_{o}\}.$

Then, $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = o(g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$ at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$ iff

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to\varepsilon_0}\frac{f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}=0.$$

Proof.

(\Longrightarrow): Suppose that $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = o(g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$ at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$. For every $\alpha > 0$, there exists a number $\delta_o = \delta_o(\mathbf{x}, \alpha) \in (0, \delta)$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| \leq \alpha |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)|, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in I_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$



Thus, it follows that

$$\left|\frac{f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}-0\right|\leq\alpha,\quad\forall\,\varepsilon\in I^{\star}_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$

Since $\alpha > 0$ is arbitrary,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)} = 0.$$

(←): This direction is similarly straightforward.



Suppose that p > 0. Then

$$e^{-1/arepsilon}=o\left(arepsilon^{
ho}
ight)$$
, as $arepsilon\searrow0$,

for any . To establish this fact, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{e^{-1/\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon^p} = 0,$$

for any p > 0. This follows from a previous corollary, since

$$e^{-1/arepsilon} \leq arepsilon^{
ho+1}$$
 ,

for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_o$, for some $\varepsilon_o = \varepsilon(p+1) > 0$. A similar argument shows that

$$e^{-\lambda} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^p}\right)$$
, as $\lambda \to \infty$.

Transcendentally Small Terms (TST)



Definition

Suppose that $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$, and $f : I_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. We say that f is a transcendentally small term, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, abbreviated TST, iff $f = o((\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^r)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, for all $r \ge 0$.

Definition

Suppose that M>0 and $f:(M,\infty)\to\mathbb{C}$ is continuous. We say that f is a transcendentally small term, as $\lambda\to\infty$, abbreviated TST, iff $f=o(\lambda^{-r})$, as $\lambda\to\infty$, for all $r\geq 0$.

Example

Consider the function

$$f(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda}$$
,

for $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$f(\lambda) = TST$$
, as $\lambda \to \infty$.



Definition (Asymptotic Approximation)

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f,g:D \times l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions. We say that g is an asymptotic approximation of f at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, and we write $f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) \sim g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, iff $f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) - g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) = o(g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

We immediately have the following: $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, iff

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)} = 0 \iff \lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)} = 1.$$



Proposition

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f, g: D \times I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions and $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$. If

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \neq 0$$
, $g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \neq 0$, $\forall \varepsilon \in I_{\delta}^{\star}(\varepsilon_{o}) := I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_{o}) \setminus \{\varepsilon_{o}\}$,

then $g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Proof.

Exercise.





Asymptotic Sequences and Series

Asymptotic Sequences



Definition (Asymptotic Sequence)

Suppose that $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $\phi_k : l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The sequence $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is called an **asymptotic sequence, as** $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$ iff $\phi_{k+1}(\varepsilon) = o(\phi_k(\varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Example

Let us give two common examples. First, for $\varepsilon \to 0$, we commonly use

$$\phi_k = \varepsilon^k$$
, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For $\lambda \to \infty$, we will commonly use

$$\phi_k = \frac{1}{\lambda^k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

These are both asymptotic sequences, as is easy to check.



Definition (Asymptotic Series)

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Assume that $f: D \times l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, and $a_k: D \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, for each $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$. Suppose that $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is an asymptotic sequence, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, on $l_\delta(\varepsilon_o)$. We say that $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k(\cdot)\phi_k(\cdot)$ is a finite asymptotic series approximation of f at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, and we write

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\varepsilon)$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$,

iff $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^m a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon) = o(\phi_m(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, for each $m \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. We say that $\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k(\cdot) \phi_k(\cdot)$ is an infinite asymptotic series approximation of f at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, and we write

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon)$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$,

iff $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon) = o(\phi_m(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.



The most common example that we will encounter involves approximations of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

The expansion $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k$ is called an asymptotic power series. We leave it to the reader to check that $\{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is an asymptotic sequence as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$. But, we will also encounter approximations of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^{\alpha \cdot k}$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$,

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is a given fraction. Common examples are $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$. The expansion $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^{\alpha \cdot k}$ is called a generalized asymptotic power series. The reader can check that $\{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^{\alpha \cdot k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is also an asymptotic sequence as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Uniqueness of the Coefficients



Proposition

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f: D \times l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, and $a_k: D \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, for each $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$. Suppose that $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is an asymptotic sequence, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, on $l_\delta(\varepsilon_o)$, with $\phi_n(\varepsilon_o) \neq 0$. Assume that $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k(\mathbf{x})\phi(\varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$. Then,

$$a_0(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)}{\phi_0(\varepsilon)}$$
 (12)

and

$$a_{m}(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_{o}} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{k}(\mathbf{x}) \phi_{k}(\varepsilon)}{\phi_{m}(\varepsilon)}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (13)

Thus, for a given asymptotic sequence, the coefficient terms in the series expansion are uniquely determined.



Proof of the Last Proposition.

If $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, then, by definition, this implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^m a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon)}{\phi_m(\varepsilon)} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon) - a_m(\mathbf{x}) \phi_m(\varepsilon)}{\phi_m(\varepsilon)} = 0,$$

which, in turn, is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon)}{\phi_m(\varepsilon)} = a_m(\mathbf{x}),$$



Proposition

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f, g: D \times I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions and $a_k, b_k: D \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous function, for each $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$. Suppose that $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is an asymptotic sequence, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, on $I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o)$, with $\phi_n(\varepsilon_o) \neq 0$. Assume that

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\varepsilon)$$
 and $g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Then,

$$C \cdot f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Ca_k(\mathbf{x})\phi(\varepsilon), \quad as \quad \varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o,$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) + g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k(\mathbf{x}) + b_k(\mathbf{x}))\phi(\varepsilon), \quad as \quad \varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o,$$



Proposition (Cont.)

and

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(\mathbf{x})\phi(\varepsilon), \quad as \quad \varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o,$$

where

$$c_k = a_0b_k + a_1b_{k-1} + \cdots + a_{k-1}b_1 + a_kb_0.$$

Proof.

The proof is an exercise.



Proposition



Suppose that $\varepsilon_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$, and $f : I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function satisfying

$$f(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

If $\phi: I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_{o}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a TST, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_{o}$, then

$$f(\varepsilon) + \phi(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Proof.

This follows immediately from the fact that

$$\phi(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, where $b_k = 0$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.





Two Motivating Problems Revisited



Proposition

$$\log(n!) \sim n \log(n) - n + 1, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{14}$$

Proof.

Set, as before, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$L(n) := \log(n!)$$

and

$$I(n) := \int_{1}^{n} \log(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \left[x \log(x) - x \right]_{x=1}^{x=n} = n \log(n) - n + 1.$$

By definition (or, better, a natural extension of the definition), $L(n) \sim I(n)$, as $n \to \infty$, iff

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{L(n)-I(n)}{I(n)}\to 0.$$



In Chapter 1, we showed, using an argument involving Riemann sums, that

$$0 \le \frac{L(n) - I(n)}{I(n)} \le \frac{\log(n)}{I(n)}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since l'Hôpital's rule guarantees that

$$\frac{\log(n)}{I(n)} \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$,

we can conclude the asymptotic result using the Squeeze Theorem.

Proposition

T

Define, for each $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$,

$$I(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{1+t} \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{15}$$

Then

$$I(\lambda) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{k!}{\lambda^{k+1}}, \quad as \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

Proof.

Here $a_k = (-1)^k k!$ and $\phi_k(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1}}$. First of all, let us confirm that $\{\phi_k(\lambda)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is an asymptotic sequence, as $\lambda \to \infty$. In particular, we must show that

$$\phi_{k+1}(\lambda) = o(\phi_k(\lambda)), \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{\phi_{k+1}(\lambda)}{\phi_k(\lambda)} = 0, \quad \forall \, k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$



This clearly follows, since

$$\frac{\phi_{k+1}(\lambda)}{\phi_k(\lambda)} = \frac{\lambda^{k+1}}{\lambda^{k+2}} = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Next, recall that, in the construction of our approximation in Chapter 1, we showed that, precisely,

$$I(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \frac{k!}{\lambda^{k+1}} + R_n(\lambda),$$

where

$$R_n(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty (-1)^n \frac{t^n}{1+t} e^{-\lambda t} dt.$$



Now,

$$I(\lambda) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \phi_k(\lambda), \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty,$$

iff

$$I(\lambda) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k \phi_k(\lambda) = o(\phi_{m-1}(x)), \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty,$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that

$$R_m(\lambda) = I(\lambda) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k \phi_k(\lambda).$$

Therefore, we only need to show that

$$R_m(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty (-1)^m \frac{t^m}{1+t} e^{-\lambda t} dt = o(\phi_{m-1}(\lambda)) = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^m}\right), \quad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty.$$



But, we know that

$$R_m(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty (-1)^m \frac{t^m}{1+t} e^{-\lambda t} \, \mathrm{d}t = o(\phi_{m-1}(\lambda)) = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^m}\right), \quad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty,$$

is true, by definition, iff

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda^m \int_0^\infty (-1)^m \frac{t^m}{1+t} e^{-\lambda t} \, \mathrm{d}t = 0. \tag{16}$$

Since, as we have already shown in Chapter 1,

$$|R_m(\lambda)| \leq \frac{m!}{\lambda^{m+1}},$$

(16) must be satisfied, and the result follows.

Mathematica



The Mathematica software package has functions that make various asymptotic approximation in the analysis of integral, or differential equations. For instance, we can obtain a five-term approximation of the integral (15) for large values of $\lambda=L$ using the following code:

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \text{In[1]:= AsymptoticIntegrate[Exp[-x*t]/(1 + t),} \\ & \text{Out[1]=} \ \frac{24}{L^5} - \frac{6}{L^4} + \frac{2}{L^3} - \frac{1}{L^2} + \frac{1}{L} \end{array}$$

Without breaking a sweat, Mathematica can obtain a nine-term approximation via the code

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \text{In[2]:= AsymptoticIntegrate[Exp[-x*t]/(1 + t),} \\ & \text{Out[2]=} & \frac{40320}{L^9} - \frac{5040}{L^8} + \frac{720}{L^7} - \frac{120}{L^6} + \frac{24}{L^5} - \frac{6}{L^4} + \frac{2}{L^3} - \frac{1}{L^2} + \frac{1}{L} \end{array}$$