

Math 515 Essential Perturbation Theory and Asymptotic Analysis Chapter 02

Steven M. Wise

swise1@.utk.edu University of Tennessee

Spring 2024



Chapter 02, Part 1 of 2 Notation and Fundamental Definitions



Order Symbols

Big-Oh



In the following definitions, we will use the following common notation: for any numbers $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and r > 0,

$$I_r(a) := (a - r, a + r).$$

Definition (Big-Oh)

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f,g:D \times l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions. We say that f is big-oh of g at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, and we write $f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) = O(g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, iff there exists numbers $\delta_o = \delta_o(\mathbf{x}) \in (0,\delta)$ and $C = C(\mathbf{x}) \in (0,\infty)$, such that,

$$|f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| \le C |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)|, \quad \forall \, \varepsilon \in I_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$
 (1)

We say that f is big-oh of g uniformly in D, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$ iff there exist numbers $\delta_o \in (0, \delta)$ and $C \in (0, \infty)$, both independent of $\mathbf{x} \in D$, such that,

$$|f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| \le C |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)|, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in I_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$
 (2)



Consider the function

$$f(\varepsilon)=2\varepsilon^3-\varepsilon,$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$f(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon)$$
, as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

This is because the ε dominates the ε^3 term, for small values of $\varepsilon>0$. In particular, if $0<\varepsilon<1$, then

$$\varepsilon^3 \leq \varepsilon$$
.

Thus, if $0<\varepsilon\leq\delta_o=1$,

$$|f(\varepsilon)| \leq 2\varepsilon^3 + \varepsilon \leq 3\varepsilon.$$

The result holds with C = 3.

Big-Oh at Infinity

Order Symbols



Definition (Big-Oh at Inftinity)

Suppose that M>0, and assume that $f,g:D\times(M,\infty)\to\mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions. We say that f is big-oh of q at $x \in D$, as $\lambda \to \infty$, and we write $f(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = O(g(\mathbf{x}, \lambda))$, as $\lambda \to \infty$, iff there exist numbers $M_o = M_o(\mathbf{x}) \in [M, \infty)$ and $C = C(\mathbf{x}) \in (0, \infty)$, such that,

$$|f(\mathbf{x},\lambda)| \le C |g(\mathbf{x},\lambda)|, \quad \forall \lambda \in (M_o,\infty).$$
 (3)

Similarly, we say that f is big-oh of q uniformly in D, as $\lambda \to \infty$ iff there exist numbers $M_o \in [M, \infty)$ and $C \in (0, \infty)$, both independent of $\mathbf{x} \in D$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x},\lambda)| \le C |g(\mathbf{x},\lambda)| \quad \forall \lambda \in (M_o,\infty).$$
 (4)

Lemma



For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant $M_o = M_o(m) > 0$, such that, if $\lambda > M_o$, it follows that

$$e^{\lambda} \ge \lambda^m$$
. (5)

Proof.

Suppose that $\lambda > 0$. Using Taylor's Theorem,

$$e^{\lambda} = 1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda^3}{6} + \dots + \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} + \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} + \frac{\lambda^{m+2}}{(m+2)!}e^{\eta},$$

for some $\eta \in (0, \lambda)$. Since $e^{\eta} \geq 1$, it follows that

$$e^{\lambda} \geq 1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda^3}{6} + \cdots + \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} + \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} =: p(\lambda).$$

Since $p(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree m+1, there is an $M_o=M_o(m)>0$, such that, if $\lambda>M_o$,

$$p(\lambda) \geq \lambda^m$$
.





Corollary

For any r>0, there is an $M_o=M_o(r)>0$ such that, if $\lambda>M_o$, then

$$e^{\lambda} \ge \lambda^r$$
, (6)

and

$$e^{-\lambda} \le \frac{1}{\lambda^r}. (7)$$

Suppose that

$$g(\lambda) = -e^{-\lambda} + \frac{4}{\lambda}.$$

Then,

$$g(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$$
, as $\lambda \to \infty$.

To see this, observe that, for all $\lambda > 0$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \ge e^{-\lambda}$$
.

Hence, for, say, $\lambda > 1 =: M_o$,

$$|g(\lambda)| \le e^{-\lambda} + \frac{4}{\lambda}$$
$$\le \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{4}{\lambda}$$
$$= \frac{5}{\lambda}.$$

The result holds with C = 5 and $M_o = 1$.



In fact, we have the following more general result. Suppose that p > 0 and

$$g(\lambda) = C_1 e^{-x} + \frac{C_2}{\lambda^p},$$

where C_1 , $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants. Then

$$g(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^p}\right)$$
, as $\lambda \to \infty$.

The reader should provide the details.

T

Suppose that

$$a_n := a(n) = \frac{2}{3}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n^3.$$

Then,

$$a_n = O(n^3)$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

We want to show that there is an integer $N_o \ge 1$, such that, if $n \ge N_o$, then

$$|a_n| \leq C n^3$$
,

for some constant C>0. Let us prove this by finding $N_o\geq 1$ and C>0. Clearly, if $n\geq N_o=1$, it follows that

$$n^3 \geq n^2$$
.

So, if $n \geq N_o$,

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2}{3}n^2 + \frac{1}{2}n^3 \leq \frac{2}{3}n^3 + \frac{1}{2}n^3 \leq \frac{7}{6}n^3.$$

The result follows with $C = \frac{7}{6}$ and $N_o = 1$.

Little-Oh



Definition (Little-Oh)

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f,g:D \times l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions. We say that f is little-oh of g at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, and we write $f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) = o(g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, iff, for every $\alpha > 0$, there exists a number $\delta_o = \delta_o(\mathbf{x},\alpha) \in (0,\delta)$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| \le \alpha |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)|, \quad \forall \, \varepsilon \in I_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$
 (8)

We say that f is little-oh of g uniformly in D, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$ iff, for every $\alpha > 0$, there exists a number $\delta_o = \delta_o(\alpha) \in (0, \delta)$, independent of $\mathbf{x} \in D$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)| \leq \alpha |g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)|, \quad \forall \, \varepsilon \in I_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$
 (9)

Little-Oh at Infinity



Definition (Little-Oh at Infinity)

Suppose that M>0, and assume that $f,g:D\times (M,\infty)\to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions. We say that f is little-oh of g at $\mathbf{x}\in D$, as $\lambda\to\infty$, and we write $f(\mathbf{x},\lambda)=o(g(\mathbf{x},\lambda))$, as $\lambda\to\infty$, iff, for every $\alpha>0$, there exists a number $M_o=M_o(\mathbf{x},\alpha)\in [M,\infty)$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x},\lambda)| \leq \alpha |g(\mathbf{x},\lambda)|, \quad \forall \lambda \in (M_0,\infty).$$
 (10)

Similarly, we say that f is little-oh of g uniformly in D, as $\lambda \to \infty$ iff, for every $\alpha > 0$, there exists a number $M_o = M_o(\alpha) \in [M, \infty)$, independent of $\mathbf{x} \in D$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x},\lambda)| \le \alpha |g(\mathbf{x},\lambda)|, \quad \forall \lambda \in (M_0,\infty).$$
 (11)



Remark

To save needless writing, and reading as well, we will state and prove a few properties only involving our definitions for the case that $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, the finite limit case. However, the reader should be able to prove analogous results for the case that $\lambda \to \infty$, the infinite limit case, with only minor changes to the assumptions and arguments.

Proposition



Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f, g: D \times l_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions and $\mathbf{x} \in D$. Suppose that

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \neq 0, \quad \forall \, \varepsilon \in I_{\delta}^{\star}(\varepsilon_{o}) := I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_{o}) \setminus \{\varepsilon_{o}\},$$

and there exists some number $L=L(\mathbf{x})\in\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to\varepsilon_o}\frac{f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}=L.$$

Then, $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = O(g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Proof.

Using the definition of limit, for any $\varrho > 0$, there exists a number $\beta = \beta(\mathbf{x}, \varrho) > 0$, such that, if $\varepsilon \in I_{\delta}^{\star}(\varepsilon_{o}) \cap I_{\beta}(\varepsilon_{o})$, it follows that

$$\left|\frac{f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}-L\right|<\varrho.$$



Using the reverse triangle inequality, we find

$$|f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| - |L| \cdot |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| \le |f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - L \cdot g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| < \varrho \cdot |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)|,$$

which, in turn, implies that

$$|f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| < (|L| + \varrho) |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)|,$$

provided $\varepsilon \in I_{\delta}^{\star}(\varepsilon_{o}) \cap I_{\beta}(\varepsilon_{o})$. Take $\delta_{o} := \min(\delta, \beta)$ and $C = |L| + \varrho$.





Proposition (Little-Oh Implies Big-Oh)

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f, g: D \times l_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions and, for some $\mathbf{x} \in D$, $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = o(g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$. Then, it follows that $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = O(g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Proof.

Exercise.





Proposition

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f, g: D \times l_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous, $\mathbf{x} \in D$, and

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \neq 0$$
, $\forall \varepsilon \in I_{\delta}^{\star}(\varepsilon_{o}) := I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_{o}) \setminus \{\varepsilon_{o}\}.$

Then, $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = o(g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$ at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$ iff

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to\varepsilon_0}\frac{f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}=0.$$

Proof.

(\Longrightarrow): Suppose that $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = o(g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$ at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$. For every $\alpha > 0$, there exists a number $\delta_o = \delta_o(\mathbf{x}, \alpha) \in (0, \delta)$, such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| \leq \alpha |g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)|, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in I_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$



Thus, it follows that

$$\left|\frac{f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)}-0\right|\leq\alpha,\quad\forall\,\varepsilon\in I^{\star}_{\delta_o}(\varepsilon_o).$$

Since $\alpha > 0$ is arbitrary,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)} = 0.$$

 (\Leftarrow) : This direction is similarly straightforward.



Order Symbols

Suppose that p > 0. Then

$$e^{-1/arepsilon}=o\left(arepsilon^{
ho}
ight)$$
 , as $arepsilon\searrow0$,

for any . To establish this fact, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{e^{-1/\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon^p} = 0,$$

for any p > 0. This follows from a previous corollary, since

$$e^{-1/arepsilon} \leq arepsilon^{
ho+1}$$
 ,

for all $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_o$, for some $\varepsilon_o=\varepsilon(p+1)>0$. A similar argument shows that

$$e^{-\lambda} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^p}\right)$$
, as $\lambda \to \infty$.

Transcendentally Small Terms (TST)



Definition

Suppose that $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$, and $f : I_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. We say that f is a transcendentally small term, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, abbreviated TST, iff $f = o((\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^r)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, for all $r \ge 0$.

Definition

Suppose that M>0 and $f:(M,\infty)\to\mathbb{C}$ is continuous. We say that f is a transcendentally small term, as $\lambda\to\infty$, abbreviated TST, iff $f=o(\lambda^{-r})$, as $\lambda\to\infty$, for all $r\geq 0$.

Example

Consider the function

$$f(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda}$$
,

for $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$f(\lambda) = TST$$
, as $\lambda \to \infty$.



Definition (Asymptotic Approximation)

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f,g:D \times l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions. We say that g is an asymptotic approximation of f at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, and we write $f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) \sim g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, iff $f(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) - g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) = o(g(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

We immediately have the following: $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, iff

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)} = 0 \iff \lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)}{g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)} = 1.$$



Proposition

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f, g: D \times I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions and $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$. If

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \neq 0$$
, $g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \neq 0$, $\forall \varepsilon \in I_{\delta}^{\star}(\varepsilon_{o}) := I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_{o}) \setminus \{\varepsilon_{o}\}$,

then $g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Proof.

Exercise.





Asymptotic Sequences and Series

Asymptotic Sequences



Definition (Asymptotic Sequence)

Suppose that $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $\phi_k : l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The sequence $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is called an **asymptotic sequence, as** $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$ iff $\phi_{k+1}(\varepsilon) = o(\phi_k(\varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Example

Let us give two common examples. First, for $\varepsilon \to 0$, we commonly use

$$\phi_k = \varepsilon^k$$
, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For $\lambda \to \infty$, we will commonly use

$$\phi_k = \frac{1}{\lambda^k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

These are both asymptotic sequences, as is easy to check.



Definition (Asymptotic Series)

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Assume that $f: D \times l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, and $a_k: D \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, for each $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$. Suppose that $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is an asymptotic sequence, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, on $l_\delta(\varepsilon_o)$. We say that $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k(\cdot)\phi_k(\cdot)$ is a finite asymptotic series approximation of f at $\mathbf{x} \in D$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, and we write

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\varepsilon)$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$,

iff $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^m a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon) = o(\phi_m(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, for each $m \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. We say that $\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k(\cdot) \phi_k(\cdot)$ is an infinite asymptotic series approximation of f at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, and we write

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon)$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$,

iff $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon) = o(\phi_m(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.



The most common example that we will encounter involves approximations of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

The expansion $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k$ is called an asymptotic power series. We leave it to the reader to check that $\{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is an asymptotic sequence as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$. But, we will also encounter approximations of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^{\alpha \cdot k}$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$,

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is a given fraction. Common examples are $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$. The expansion $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^{\alpha \cdot k}$ is called a generalized asymptotic power series. The reader can check that $\{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^{\alpha \cdot k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is also an asymptotic sequence as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Uniqueness of the Coefficients



Proposition

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f: D \times l_\delta(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, and $a_k: D \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, for each $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$. Suppose that $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is an asymptotic sequence, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, on $l_\delta(\varepsilon_o)$, with $\phi_n(\varepsilon_o) \neq 0$. Assume that $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k(\mathbf{x})\phi(\varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$. Then,

$$a_0(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)}{\phi_0(\varepsilon)}$$
 (12)

and

$$a_{m}(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_{o}} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{k}(\mathbf{x}) \phi_{k}(\varepsilon)}{\phi_{m}(\varepsilon)}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (13)

Thus, for a given asymptotic sequence, the coefficient terms in the series expansion are uniquely determined.



Proof of the Last Proposition.

If $f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, then, by definition, this implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^m a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon)}{\phi_m(\varepsilon)} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon) - a_m(\mathbf{x}) \phi_m(\varepsilon)}{\phi_m(\varepsilon)} = 0,$$

which, in turn, is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi_k(\varepsilon)}{\phi_m(\varepsilon)} = a_m(\mathbf{x}),$$



Proposition

Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open set, $\varepsilon_o \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$. Assume that $f, g: D \times l_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions and $a_k, b_k: D \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous function, for each $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$. Suppose that $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is an asymptotic sequence, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, on $l_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o)$, with $\phi_n(\varepsilon_o) \neq 0$. Assume that

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\varepsilon)$$
 and $g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\varepsilon)$, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Then,

$$C \cdot f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C a_k(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\varepsilon), \quad as \quad \varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o,$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) + g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k(\mathbf{x}) + b_k(\mathbf{x})) \phi(\varepsilon), \quad as \quad \varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o,$$



Proposition (Cont.)

and

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)g(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(\mathbf{x})\phi(\varepsilon), \quad as \quad \varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o,$$

where

$$c_k = a_0b_k + a_1b_{k-1} + \cdots + a_{k-1}b_1 + a_kb_0.$$

Proof.

The proof is an exercise.



Suppose that $\varepsilon_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$, and $f : I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_o) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function satisfying

$$f(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

If $\phi: I_{\delta}(\varepsilon_{o}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a TST, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_{o}$, then

$$f(\varepsilon) + \phi(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$.

Proof.

This follows immediately from the fact that

$$\phi(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_o)^k$$
, as $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_o$, where $b_k = 0$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.





Two Motivating Problems Revisited



Proposition

$$\log(n!) \sim n \log(n) - n + 1, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{14}$$

Proof.

Set, as before, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$L(n) := \log(n!)$$

and

$$I(n) := \int_1^n \log(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \left[x \log(x) - x \right]_{x=1}^{x=n} = n \log(n) - n + 1.$$

By definition (or, better, a natural extension of the definition), $L(n) \sim I(n)$, as $n \to \infty$, iff

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{L(n)-I(n)}{I(n)}\to 0.$$



In Chapter 1, we showed, using an argument involving Riemann sums, that

$$0 \le \frac{L(n) - I(n)}{I(n)} \le \frac{\log(n)}{I(n)}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since l'Hôpital's rule guarantees that

$$\frac{\log(n)}{I(n)} \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$,

we can conclude the asymptotic result using the Squeeze Theorem.

Proposition



Define, for each $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$,

$$I(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{1+t} \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{15}$$

Then

$$I(\lambda) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{k!}{\lambda^{k+1}}, \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

Proof.

Here $a_k = (-1)^k k!$ and $\phi_k(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1}}$. First of all, let us confirm that $\{\phi_k(\lambda)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is an asymptotic sequence, as $\lambda \to \infty$. In particular, we must show that

$$\phi_{k+1}(\lambda) = o(\phi_k(\lambda)), \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{\phi_{k+1}(\lambda)}{\phi_k(\lambda)} = 0, \quad \forall \, k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$



This clearly follows, since

$$\frac{\phi_{k+1}(\lambda)}{\phi_k(\lambda)} = \frac{\lambda^{k+1}}{\lambda^{k+2}} = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Next, recall that, in the construction of our approximation in Chapter 1, we showed that, precisely,

$$I(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \frac{k!}{\lambda^{k+1}} + R_n(\lambda),$$

where

$$R_n(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty (-1)^n \frac{t^n}{1+t} e^{-\lambda t} dt.$$



Now.

$$I(\lambda) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \phi_k(\lambda), \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty,$$

iff

$$I(\lambda) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k \phi_k(\lambda) = o(\phi_{m-1}(x)), \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty,$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that

$$R_m(\lambda) = I(\lambda) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k \phi_k(\lambda).$$

Therefore, we only need to show that

$$R_m(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty (-1)^m \frac{t^m}{1+t} e^{-\lambda t} dt = o(\phi_{m-1}(\lambda)) = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^m}\right), \quad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty.$$



But, we know that

$$R_m(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty (-1)^m \frac{t^m}{1+t} e^{-\lambda t} \, \mathrm{d}t = o(\phi_{m-1}(\lambda)) = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^m}\right), \quad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty,$$

is true, by definition, iff

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda^m \int_0^\infty (-1)^m \frac{t^m}{1+t} e^{-\lambda t} \, \mathrm{d}t = 0. \tag{16}$$

Since, as we have already shown in Chapter 1,

$$|R_m(\lambda)| \leq \frac{m!}{\lambda^{m+1}},$$

(16) must be satisfied, and the result follows.