Math 579 class #17 10/21/2025

Argyris Error Estinates for Bihamoin Reobem

Interpolation Estimate:

11u-Thullym & Ch luly (2)

for any uet (SD), and m < 5. For the problem at hand, m = 2. Thus,

11u-TT, ull Hz ≤ Ch lul Ho (52).

Cea's lemma quarantees Hust

|| u-un|| Ho(sz) = min || u-v|| Ho(sz)

Now

$$H_{\rho}(\overline{u}) \longrightarrow C_{\rho-1-1}(\underline{u})$$

= C⁴(<u>\bar{ba}</u>)

Snee

$$6 = K > \frac{d}{2} = \frac{2}{2}$$
.

Thus Thu is well-defined for U+H. So

$$\|u-u_n\|_{H^2(\Omega)} = \min_{v \in V_n} \|u-v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$$

Combing with interpolation elever esitente, [[u-unll +2 (52) < Ch4 [w] +6(52). Assuming elliptic regulants, we can use a Nitroha-like trich to get 1/4-42/12(2) < Chi luly (2) //1 FEM for Parabolic Problems Diffusion Bulen: Find $U \in C^2(\overline{\Sigma} \times [0, \overline{\tau}])$ such that $\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = f(t), & \text{in } SL, \text{ oct} \leq T, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } SL \text{ oct} \leq T, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = v, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$ Definition (17.1): The dual space of $H'_{o}(\Omega)$ is denoted $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and we write $H^{-1}(\Omega) := (H'_{0}(\Omega))$ Recall that the dual space is the space of all bounded linear functionals acting on a Hibert (Banach) space

One com show that the dual space is also a Hilbert (Banach) space.

Usually the dual space is equipped with

$$||f||_{H} = \sup_{\phi \in H} \frac{|f(\phi)|}{||\phi||_{H}}$$

For the present case

$$||f||_{H^{-1}} = \sup_{0 \neq \phi \in H_0} |f(\phi)|$$

Defn (17.2): let H be a Hilbert space and let fe H' be arbitrary the notation

is called the dual paining and is defined as

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle := f(\phi) \quad \forall \phi \in H_o$$

let us write out the weak formulation of the diffusion problem (17.1).

Defn (17.3): Let $f \in L^2(0,T;L^2)$ and $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ be given. It is called a weak solution to the diffusion problem iff

2) U is wealshy differentiable in time and
$$\partial_{\pm}U \in L^{2}(0,T;H^{-1})$$

3) For almost every to [0,T] and for every
$$\phi \in H_0(S_1)$$

$$(17.2) \qquad \langle \partial_t u, \phi \rangle + (\nabla u, \nabla v) = (f, \phi)$$

What are these spaces

Defr. (17.4): let X be a Banach space with norm II. IIx. The function space $L^{P}(0,T;X)$

consists of all strongly measurable functions $\phi\colon \text{[O,T]} \to X$

Theorem (17.5) Suppose that u is a weak Solution to the diffusion problem. Then

```
u & c([0,T]; 12)
     max ||u(t)||2 < ||w||2 + C) ||f||2 dt
and $\frac{1}{5} ||u(t)||_{H_0}^2 dt \le ||v||_{L^2}^2 + C\frac{1}{5} ||f||_{L^2}^2 dt.
If u has additional regularities
       U& L2(0,T; HonH2) Deu & L2(0,T; L2)
with ve Ho (52), then
               W& C([o]]; Hb)
         max ||ult)||2 < ||v||2 + ||f||2 dt
      8 11 Dull 2 dt & ||v|| 2 + 5 || + || 2 dt
Proof: See Evans (2010) book on PDE for
The proof that U&C([o]T]; L2).
Now, in the weak formulation, set \phi = u. Then
         < 24 m, m> + (∇m, ∇m) = (f, m).
From Evans (2010) we have
            1 d | | | | | | | = < d + u, u >
```

u e 12 (0,T; Ho), du 6 [2 (0,T; H-1). Thus e.s. Uflzllulz AGMI Pomore < C | f | 2 | u | 2 $ab \leq \frac{1}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2}b^2$ AGNT C(= ||f||2+ + + ||u||2) $ab \leq \frac{2}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{28}b^2$ ¥ €>0 $=\frac{C}{2}||f||_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}||u||_{H_{2}}^{2}$ Rearranging terms and muttiplying by 2, we get # | u||2 + | u||2 \ C ||f||2 Interiture in t from 0 to SE[0,T], ||u(s)||2 - ||u(o)||2 + ||u(t)||2 dt < c | | | | | | | | | | 2 dt Thus || n(s) || 2 + | || u(t) || 2 t < || v || 2 + C || 11 f(t) || 2 ht.

This umplies that max ||u(t)||2+ ||u(t)||40 dt < 11 2 + 0 | 11 f (+) 1/2 dt. Again, we skup the proof that Ut C([0,7]; Ho') from its assumed better regularities. We refer again to Evans (2010). Since UEHonH2, we have, for all \$6Ho, $(\partial_t u, \phi) - (\Delta u, \phi) = (f, \phi)$ St $\phi = -\Delta u$: $-(\partial_t u, \Delta u) + (\Delta u, \Delta w) = -(f, \Delta w)$ Integrating by parts again, (d+ Vu, Vu) + || Dulliz = - (f, Dw) - d | | vull 2 + | Δull 2 = -(f, Δw) E.G. 11711;211 Dull2 AGMI 1 (15112+ 1 11 Dull 12 Thus

d ||u||2 + || Du||2 ≤ ||f||2

Integrating in to we get the others two estimates as well.

Special Case

Suppose that f=0. Then

mays || u(t) || 2 < || v||2 0 \(\) t \(\) T

max || ult)||2 \(|| \nu||_{\frac{2}{2}}

In this case, it is easy to show that

|| u(te)||_{L^{2}} \le || u(t_1)||_{L^{2}}

|| u(t_2)||_{L^{1}} \le || u(t_1)||_{L^{2}}

for all 0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T.

Continuous Dependence on Tintal Deta

Suppose that U, uz solve

Duli - Dui =f

in so ofter

Ui = 0

on do octst

 $U_{i}(0) = v_{i}$

in se

Suppose that both satisfies the diffusion problem in the weak sense. Then, e:= u,-ur is a weak solution to

 $\partial_t e - \Delta e = 0$, in $SZ \circ Ct \subseteq T$,

e = 0, on $\partial \Omega$ octit,

 $e(0) = v_2 - v_1$, in s_2 .

and

max || e || 2 = max || u_1(t) - u_1(t) || 2 0 \(\) \(

≤ \v2-v1\2.

If additional regularities hold,

max || ell_H' = max ||u₁(t)-u₁(t)||'
06t6t Detet

Seni - Discrete FEM for Defining Ruben

Suppose that I is a polygnal domain in 12? Vn = Mr, 0 = Ho (52),

with brois

 $B = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N; \}$

Defer (17.6): The Galerhin approximation of the of the weak form of the diffusion problem is defined as follows: Find Un: [0,T] -> Vn = Mar, in the form $Uh(\cdot,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{Nr^{\circ}} U_i(t) \phi_i$ such flut (17.3) $(\partial_t u_n, \Psi)_{l^2} + (\nabla u_n, \nabla \Psi) = (f, \Psi)$ for all 40 Vn, for all to(0,T], with (17.4) Unlo) = Vh := Phv & Vn. Here Ph is some projection operators from L^2 to V_h , i.e., $P_h: L^2 \longrightarrow V_h$ and $P_h(P_h v) = P_h v \quad \forall v \in L^2$. We will discuss some possibilities. The defer above is equivalent to (17.5) (2+ Un(t), \$\phi_i) + a(un(t), \$\phi_i) = (f(t), \$\phi_i),\$ for $i=1, \dots, N_r$, for all $t \in (0,T]$.

Proposition (17.7): Define $G = [g_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{N_r^o} \quad A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{N_r^o}$ $g_{i,j} := (\phi_i, \phi_i)_{i^2}$ $\alpha_{i,j} := \alpha(\phi_{i,j}, \phi_{i,j})_{i,j}$ for all 1= i, z \ Nr. Defie \(\varface \): [0,7] \rightarrow \(\mathbb{R}^{\nurangle} \) vin $\hat{f}(t) = \left[f_{i}(t)\right]_{i=1}^{N_{r}^{*}} \quad f_{i}(t) := \left[f(t), \phi_{i}\right]_{l^{2}}$ Finally, define v=[vi] & RNr vin $v_i := (v_h, \phi_i)_{i}$. let Un: [0,T] -> Mo, be a strong ODE solution to (17.3), subject to the initial conditions (17.4), then G W(t) + A W(t) = F(t) (17.6) $U_n(\cdot,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} U_i(t) \phi_i$ $\vec{u}(t) = [u; (t)] \in \mathbb{R}^{N_r^o}$ (17.8) Subject to the unitial condition G i(0) = v E 12 N° (17.9) Proof: let us start with (17.5). Iserting (17.7) into (17.5), we get, for $i=1,...,N_r$.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_r^o} (\phi_j, \phi_i) \frac{du_j}{dt} (t) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_r^o} a(\phi_j, \phi_i) u_j(t) = f_i(t).$$

In other words

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_r^o} g_{j,i} u_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_r^o} a_{j,i}u_j(t) = f_{i}(t),$$
which is the component form of (17.6).

Finally, using (17.4), for 1 = i = Nr,

This implies that

$$(v_n, \phi_i)_{i^2} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_r^o} u_j(0) \phi_j, \phi_i\right)_{i^2}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N_r^o} g_{i,j} u_j(0)$$