

# Math 673

# Multigrid Methods: A Mostly Matrix-Based Approach

Chapter 09: Additive Preconditioners Based on Subspace Decompositions

Abner J. Salgado and Steven M. Wise

asalgad1@utk.edu swise1@.utk.edu University of Tennessee

Fall 2024



# Chapter 09, Part 2 of 2 Additive Preconditioners Based on Subspace Decompositions



# Hierarchical Basis Preconditioner



Now, we need to connect the spaces  $W_j$  to  $V_\ell$  where  $0 \le j \le \ell$ . In so doing, we will have the tools to build a preconditioner based on the hierarchical basis. Be careful, the number of indices in this section can get a little overwhelming.

# Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{j}^{W}=\{\phi_{j,i}\}_{i=1}^{m_{j}}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{V}=\{\psi_{\ell,i}\}_{i=1}^{n_{\ell}}$  be the usual bases for  $W_{j}$  and  $V_{\ell}$ , respectively. For each  $0\leq j\leq \ell$ , there are unique numbers

$$q_{j,k,i}^{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n_{\ell}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m_{j},$$

such that

$$\phi_{j,i} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\ell} q_{j,k,i}^\ell \psi_{\ell,k}. \tag{1}$$

### Proof.

Exercise.





# Definition (Hierarchical Prolongation Matrix)

Define the matrix  $\mathsf{Q}_j^\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n_\ell imes m_j}$  via

$$\left[Q_j^\ell\right]_{i,k}:=q_{j,k,i}^\ell,\quad 1\leq k\leq n_\ell,\quad 1\leq i\leq m_j.$$

 $Q_j^{\ell}$  is called a **hierarchical prolongation matrix**.



### Lemma

Suppose that  $Q_j^\ell$  is a hierarchical prolongation matrix and  $\mathbf{w}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{m_j}$  is the coordinate vector of the function  $\mathbf{w}_j \in W_j$  with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}_j^W$ . Then,

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathsf{Q}_j^\ell)=m_j,$$

and the coordinate vector of  $\mathbf{w}_j \in V_\ell$  in the basis  $\mathcal{B}_\ell^V$  is simply

$$Q_j^{\ell} \mathbf{w}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\ell}}$$
.

# Proof.

Exercise.



# Remark

Note that the family of spaces  $W_j$  are hierarchical, but are not nested

$$W_0 \not\subset W_1 \not\subset W_2 \cdots$$
.

Furthermore, it makes no sense to stack the prolongation matrices as we did in the past:

$$\mathsf{Q}_j^\ell \neq \mathsf{Q}_k^\ell \mathsf{Q}_j^k,$$

for  $j < k < \ell$ . In fact, the product on the right hand side is not usually defined.

# T

### Definition

Define the bilinear form  $C_j:W_j\times W_j\to\mathbb{R}$  via

$$C_{j}\left(w_{j},v_{j}\right) \coloneqq \sum_{r=1}^{m_{j}} w_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{j,r}^{W}\right) v_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{j,r}^{W}\right), \quad \forall \ w_{j},v_{j} \in W_{j}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}^W_j=\{\phi_{j,i}\}_{i=1}^{m_j}$  be the usual basis for  $W_j$ . Define the matrix  $\mathsf{C}_j\in\mathbb{R}^{m_j\times m_j}$  via

$$[C_{j}]_{i,k} := C_{j} (\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{j,k})$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{m_{j}} \phi_{j,i} (\mathbf{N}_{j,r}^{W}) \phi_{j,k} (\mathbf{N}_{j,r}^{W})$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{m_{j}} \delta_{ir} \delta_{kr}$$

$$= \delta_{ik}. \tag{2}$$



# Definition (Hierarchical Basis Preconditioner)

Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}_{\ell}^V = \{\psi_{\ell,i}\}_{i=1}^{n_\ell}$  is the usual basis for the finite element space  $V_\ell$ . Let  $A_L \in \mathbb{R}^{n_L \times n_L}$  be the SPD matrix defined via

$$[\mathsf{A}_L]_{i,j} = \mathsf{a}(\psi_{L,j},\psi_{L,i}), \quad 1 \leq i,j \leq \mathsf{n}_L,$$

where

$$a(u,v) = (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{L^2}, \quad \forall \ u,v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

The hierarchical basis preconditioner for  $A_L$  is defined as

$$C_{H} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} Q_{\ell}^{L} C_{\ell}^{-1} Z_{\ell}^{L} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} Q_{\ell}^{L} Z_{\ell}^{L}, \tag{3}$$

where  $C_\ell$  is as in (2),  $Q_\ell^L \in \mathbb{R}^{n_L \times m_\ell}$  is the hierarchical prolongation matrix from a previous definition and

$$\mathsf{Z}_\ell^{\mathit{L}} = \left(\mathsf{Q}_\ell^{\mathit{L}}\right)^{ op}$$
 .

### Lemma



Assumption (SS1) holds for the hierarchical basis decomposition. In particular, for any object

$$u_L \in \mathbb{R}^{n_L} \overset{\mathcal{B}_L^V}{\leftrightarrow} u_L \in V_L$$

there exist unique objects

$$\mathbf{w}_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{\ell}} \overset{\mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{W}}{\leftrightarrow} \mathbf{w}_{\ell} \in W_{\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq L,$$

such that

$$\mathbf{u} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \mathsf{Q}_{\ell}^{L} \mathbf{w}_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L}} \overset{\mathcal{B}_{L}^{V}}{\leftrightarrow} u_{L} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} w_{\ell} \in V_{L}.$$

Furthermore, the hierarchical basis preconditioner, B<sub>H</sub>, defined in (3), is SPD.

### Proof.

This follows from the lemmas on the last slide deck. The details are left for an exercise.

### Remark

Our goal is now to show that

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathsf{C}_{H}\mathsf{A}_{L}) \geq C_{1}\left(1+\left|\mathsf{log}(\mathit{h}_{L})\right|^{2}\right)^{-1},$$

and

$$\lambda_{\max}(C_HA_L) \leq C_2$$
,

where  $C_1$ ,  $C_2 > 0$  are independent of L. If this is the case

$$\frac{\lambda_{\mathsf{max}}}{\lambda_{\mathsf{min}}} =: \kappa(\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{H}}\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{L}}) \leq \frac{C_2}{C_1} \left(1 + \left| \mathsf{log}(\mathit{h}_{\mathsf{L}}) \right|^2 \right).$$

This estimate is quite useful, since the logarithmic dependence on  $h_L$  is quite weak. For example, suppose

$$h_L=\frac{1}{2^L},$$

which is entirely reasonable. Then

$$|\log(h_L)|^2 = L^2 |\log(1/2)|^2$$
.

Our analysis that follows will only work for d = 2.





Now, we need some technical lemmas. For more details, see the book by Brenner and Scott.

# Theorem (Mean-Zero Poincaré)

Suppose that  $\Omega$  is an open polyhedral set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, for every  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$\|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$
 (4)

for some constant C>0 that is independent of u by dependent upon  $\Omega$ , where  $\bar{u}$  is the average of u:

$$\bar{u} := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx.$$

As a consequence, for every  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$||u - \bar{u}||_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \le C |u - \bar{u}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} = C |u|_{H^{1}(\Omega)},$$
 (5)

for some constant C > 0 that is independent of u by dependent upon  $\Omega$ .



# Theorem (Inverse inequality)

Suppose that  $\Omega$  is an open polygonal domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_\ell$ ,  $0 \leq \ell \leq L$  is a nested family of triangulations of  $\Omega$ , and  $S_\ell$ ,  $0 \leq \ell \leq L$ , are the associated piecewise-linear finite element spaces. Assume that  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . Then, for all  $v \in S_\ell$  and all  $K \in \mathcal{T}_\ell$ ,

$$||v||_{H^1(K)} \le Ch_{\ell}^{-1+d/2-d/q} ||v||_{L^q(K)},$$
 (6)

for some constant C>0 that is independent of  $\ell$  but depends on the shape of K.

### Proof.

See Section 5.3 in the book by Brenner and Scott.

In two space dimensions  $H^1\hookrightarrow L^p$ , for any  $1\leq p<\infty$ . We cannot quite get control for  $p=\infty$ . But, if the function space is finite dimensional we can almost get control of the  $p=\infty$  case. Here is the result from Section 4.9 in the book by Brenner and Scott.



### Theorem

Suppose that  $\Omega$  is an open polygonal domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathcal{T}_\ell$ ,  $0 \leq \ell \leq L$  is a nested family of triangulations of  $\Omega$ . Then, for any  $v_\ell \in V_\ell$ ,

$$\|v_\ell\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{1+\left|\log(h_\ell)\right|}\left|v_\ell\right|_{H^1(\Omega)},$$

for some constant C>0 that is independent of  $\ell$  but depends upon the shape of  $\Omega$ . Further, for all  $v_{\ell} \in S_{\ell}$  and any  $K \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}$ ,

$$\|v_{\ell} - \overline{v}_{\ell}\|_{L^{\infty}(K)} \leq C\sqrt{1 + \left|\log(h_{\ell})\right|} \left|v_{\ell}\right|_{H^{1}(K)},$$

for some constant C>0 that is independent of  $\ell$  but depends upon the shape of the triangle  $K\in \mathcal{T}_\ell$ , where

$$\bar{v}_{\ell} = \frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} v_{\ell}(x) dx.$$



### Lemma

Suppose that  $0 \le j < \ell$ . For any  $v_{\ell} \in S_{\ell}$ ,

$$\|v_{\ell} - \bar{v}_{j,\ell}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}_{j})} \leq C\sqrt{1 + \left|\log\left(\frac{h_{j}}{h_{\ell}}\right)\right|} |v_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\mathcal{K}_{j})}, \tag{7}$$

for some constant C>0 that is independent of j and  $\ell$  but depends upon the shape of the triangle  $K_j\in\mathcal{T}_j$ , where

$$\bar{v}_{j,\ell} = \frac{1}{|K_j|} \int_{K_j} v_\ell(x) dx.$$

### Proof.

Exercise.





### Lemma

Assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a polygonal domain. Suppose that  $\mathcal{I}_\ell : C(\Omega) \to V_\ell$ ,  $0 \le \ell \le L$ , is the Lagrange nodal interpolation operator, and  $\mathcal{I}_{-1} \equiv 0$ . Then, for all  $u_L \in V_L$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{I}_{\ell}u_{L} - \mathcal{I}_{\ell-1}u_{L}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq Ch_{\ell}\left(1 + \sqrt{L - \ell}\right)|u_{L}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}.$$
 (8)

for some constant C>0 that is independent of but depends upon the shape of  $\Omega$ .

### Proof.

Define the piecewise constant function  $\bar{u}_L^\ell$  such that

$$ar{u}_L^\ell|_K := rac{1}{|K|} \int_K u_L(x) dx, \quad \forall \ K \in \mathcal{T}_\ell.$$

T

Then,

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{I}_{\ell} u_{L} - \mathcal{I}_{\ell-1} u_{L}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} &= \|\mathcal{I}_{\ell} u_{L} - \mathcal{I}_{\ell-1} \left[\mathcal{I}_{\ell} [u_{L}]\right]\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \\ &\leq C h_{\ell}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}} |\mathcal{I}_{\ell} [u_{L}]|_{H^{1}(K)}^{2} \\ &= C h_{\ell}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}} \left|\mathcal{I}_{\ell} u_{L} - \bar{u}_{L}^{\ell}\right|_{H^{1}(K)}^{2} \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{\leq} C h_{\ell}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}} \left\|\mathcal{I}_{\ell} u_{L} - \bar{u}_{L}^{\ell}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(K)}^{2} \\ &\leq C h_{\ell}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}} \left\|u_{L} - \bar{u}_{L}^{\ell}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(K)}^{2} \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{\leq} C h_{\ell}^{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}} \left(1 + \left|\log\left(\frac{h_{\ell}}{h_{L}}\right)\right|\right) |u_{L}|_{H^{1}(K)}^{2} \\ &= C h_{\ell}^{2} \left(1 + \left|\log\left(\frac{h_{\ell}}{h_{L}}\right)\right|\right) |u_{L}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \,. \end{split}$$



Now, notice that

$$h_\ell = h_0 2^{-\ell} \quad 1 \le \ell \le L.$$

So,

$$\log(h_\ell/h_L) = \log(2^{L-\ell}) = (L-\ell)\log(2).$$

The result follows.



### Lemma

There is some constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathsf{B}_H\mathsf{A}_L) \ge \frac{C_1}{1 + |\log(h_L)|^2}.$$
 (9)

### Proof.

By definition, for any  $w_{\ell,1}, w_{\ell,2} \in W_{\ell}$ 

$$C_{\ell}(w_{\ell,1},w_{\ell,2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\ell}} w_{\ell,1}(N_{\ell,i}^{W})w_{\ell,2}(N_{\ell,i}^{W}).$$

Let

$$\mathbf{w}_{\ell,\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{\ell}} \overset{\mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{W}}{\leftrightarrow} \mathbf{w}_{\ell,\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2.$$



Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathsf{C}_{\ell} \mathbf{w}_{\ell,1}, \mathbf{w}_{\ell,2}\right)_{\ell} &= \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\ell}} \left[\mathbf{w}_{\ell,1}\right]_{i} \left[\mathbf{w}_{\ell,2}\right]_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\ell}} w_{\ell,1} (\mathbf{N}_{\ell,i}^{W}) w_{\ell,2} (\mathbf{N}_{\ell,i}^{W}) \\ &= C_{\ell} \left(w_{\ell,1}, w_{\ell,2}\right) \\ &= C_{\ell} \left(w_{\ell,2}, w_{\ell,1}\right) \\ &=: \left\langle w_{\ell,1}, w_{\ell,2} \right\rangle_{\mathsf{C}_{\ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

This last object is like a mass-lumping inner product. All that is missing is a factor of  $h_{\ell}^2$ .

There are constants  $C_3 > 0$ ,  $C_4 > 0$  such that, for all  $0 \le \ell \le L$ ,

$$C_3 h_\ell^2 \langle w_\ell, w_\ell \rangle_{\mathsf{C}_\ell} \le \|w_\ell\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \le C_4 h_\ell^2 \langle w_\ell, w_\ell \rangle_{\mathsf{C}_\ell}, \tag{10}$$

for all  $w_{\ell} \in W_{\ell}$ .



Therefore, for any  $w_{\ell} \in W_{\ell} \overset{\mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{W}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbf{w}_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{\ell}}$ ,

$$(C_{\ell} \mathbf{w}_{\ell}, \mathbf{w}_{\ell})_{\ell} = h_{\ell}^{-2} h_{\ell}^{2} \langle w_{\ell}, w_{\ell} \rangle_{C_{\ell}}$$

$$\stackrel{(10)}{\leq} C_{3}^{-1} h_{\ell}^{-2} \| w_{\ell} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$= C_{3}^{-1} h_{\ell}^{-2} \| w_{\ell} - \mathcal{I}_{\ell-1} w_{\ell} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$(interp. err.)$$

$$\stackrel{(6)}{\leq} C_{3}^{-1} C \| w_{\ell} \|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(6)}{\leq} C_{3}^{-1} C h_{\ell}^{-2} \| w_{\ell} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(10)}{\leq} C_{3}^{-1} C C_{4} (C_{\ell} \mathbf{w}_{\ell}, \mathbf{w}_{\ell})_{\ell}. \tag{11}$$



Therefore, there are constants  $C_5 > 0$ ,  $C_6 > 0$ , such that we have the equivalence

$$C_5 \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} |w_{\ell}|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} (C_{\ell} \mathbf{w}_{\ell}, \mathbf{w}_{\ell})_{\ell} \leq C_6 \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} |w_{\ell}|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2,$$
 (12)

for any collection  $(w_\ell)$ , with  $w_\ell \in W_\ell$ , in general. Now, let  $u_L \in V_L$  be given and

$$u_L = \sum_{\ell=0}^L w_\ell, \quad \exists ! \ w_\ell \in W_\ell, \quad 0 \le \ell \le L.$$

Recall that

$$w_{\ell} = \mathcal{I}_{\ell} u_L - \mathcal{I}_{\ell-1} u_L, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq L,$$

and

$$w_0 = \mathcal{I}_0 u_L$$
.

We make the usual identification  $w_\ell \in W_\ell \overset{\mathcal{B}_\ell^W}{\leftrightarrow} w_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{m_\ell}$ , and we observe that

$$(\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell})_{\ell=0}^{L} \in \mathsf{Q}[\boldsymbol{u}_{L}].$$



Then, from (11)

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \left( \mathsf{C}_{\ell} \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell} \right)_{\ell} & \leq & C_{3}^{-1} C \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} h_{\ell}^{-2} \left\| w_{\ell} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \\ & \leq & C \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \left( 1 + \sqrt{L - \ell} \right)^{2} \left| u_{L} \right|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \\ & \leq & C \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \left( 1 + L - \ell \right) \left| u_{L} \right|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \\ & \leq & C \left( 1 + L + L^{2} \right) \left| u_{L} \right|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \\ & \leq & C L^{2} \left| u_{L} \right|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}. \end{split}$$



But

$$|u_L|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 = a(u_L, u_L) = (A_L u_L, u_L)_L,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\log(h_L)|^2 &= \left|\log(h_0 2^{-L})\right|^2 \\ &= |\log(h_0) - L \log(2)|^2 \\ &= \log^2(h_0) - 2 \log(h_0) L \log(2) + L^2 \log^2(2). \end{aligned}$$

So.

$$L^2 \leq C \left(1 + |\log(h_L)|^2\right), \quad \exists C > 0.$$



Thus,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \left( \mathsf{C}_{\ell} \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell} \right) \leq C \left( 1 + \left| \mathsf{log}(h_{L}) \right|^{2} \right) \left( \mathsf{A} \boldsymbol{u}_{L}, \boldsymbol{u}_{L} \right)_{L},$$

and it follows from the big theorem of the last slide deck that

$$\lambda_{\mathsf{min}}(\mathsf{C}_{H}\mathsf{A}_{L}) \geq \mathit{C}_{1}\left(1 + \left|\mathsf{log}(\mathit{h}_{L})\right|^{2}\right)^{-1}.$$



For reference, here is that "big" theorem.

# Theorem (Eigenvalues of CA)

Suppose that Assumption (SS1) holds for the set of prolongation matrices  $\{Q_j\}_{j=0}^L$  and C is an additive subspace preconditioner with respect to  $\{Q_j\}_{j=0}^L$ . The eigenvalues of CA are positive, provided A is SPD with respect to  $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)$ . Moreover

$$\lambda_{\max}(\mathsf{CA}) = \max_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}_{\pi}^{n}} \frac{(\mathsf{A}\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u})}{\min_{(\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}) \in \mathsf{Q}[\boldsymbol{u}]} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} (\mathsf{C}_{\ell}\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell})_{\ell}},$$
(13)

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathsf{CA}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}_{\star}^{n}} \frac{(\mathsf{A}\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u})}{\min_{(\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}) \in \mathsf{Q}[\boldsymbol{u}]} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} (\mathsf{C}_{\ell}\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell})_{\ell}}.$$
 (14)

Next, we need a little technical lemma, a kind of convolution result.



### Lemma

Let  $a_i, b_i \geq 0, -\infty < j < \infty$ , with

$$s_1:=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}a_j\leq\infty,$$

and

$$s_2:=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}b_j\leq\infty.$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{j-k} b_k \right)^2 \le s_1^2 s_2. \tag{15}$$

### Proof.

Exercise.



### Lemma

For any  $v_{\ell} \in V_{\ell}$  and  $v_{k} \in V_{k}$ ,  $0 \le \ell \le k \le L$ , and d=2, there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{\ell} \cdot \nabla v_{k} dx \leq 2^{(\ell-k)/2} C |v_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \left( h_{k}^{-1} \|v_{k}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right). \tag{16}$$



### Proof.

For any  $K \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}$ , since  $\Delta v_{\ell}|_{K} \equiv 0$ ,

$$\int_{K} \nabla v_{\ell} \cdot \nabla v_{k} dx = \int_{\partial K} \frac{\partial v_{\ell}}{\partial n} v_{k} ds$$

$$\leq C h_{\ell}^{-1} |v_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(K)} \int_{\partial K} |v_{k}| ds$$

$$\leq C h_{\ell}^{-1} |v_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(K)} \left( h_{k} \sum_{\mathbf{N}_{k} \in \partial K} |v_{k}(\mathbf{N}_{k})| \right)$$

$$\overset{\text{c.s.}}{\leq} C h_{\ell}^{-1} |v_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(K)} \left( h_{k} \left( \frac{h_{\ell}}{h_{k}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{N}_{k} \in \partial K} |v_{k}(\mathbf{N}_{k})|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right)$$

$$\leq C \left( \frac{h_{k}}{h_{\ell}} \right)^{1/2} |v_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(K)} h_{k}^{-1} ||v_{k}||_{L^{2}(K)}.$$



Thus,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{\ell} \cdot \nabla v_{k} dx &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}} \int_{K} \nabla v_{\ell} \cdot \nabla v_{k} dx \\ &\leq C2^{(\ell-k)/2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}} |v_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(K)} \, h_{k}^{-1} \, \|v_{k}\|_{L^{2}(K)} \\ &\overset{\text{C.s.}}{\leq} C2^{(\ell-k)/2} \, |v_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \, h_{k}^{-1} \, \|v_{k}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \, . \end{split}$$



# Lemma (Strengthened Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality)

For any  $w_\ell \in W_\ell$  and  $w_k \in W_k$ ,  $0 \le \ell \le k \le L$ , there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla w_{\ell} \cdot \nabla w_{k} d\mathbf{x} \leq 2^{(\ell-k)/2} C \left| w_{\ell} \right|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \left| w_{k} \right|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}. \tag{17}$$



## Proof.

Observe that

$$w_k = w_k - \mathcal{I}_{\ell-1}(w_k).$$

We use the interpolation error estimate

$$\|w_k - \mathcal{I}_{k-1}(w_k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le Ch_k |w_k|_{H^1(\Omega)},$$

to conclude that

$$\|w_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch_k |w_k|_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

Now, we use the last result. Since  $w_{\ell} \in V_{\ell}$  and  $w_k \in V_k$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla w_{\ell} \cdot \nabla w_{k} dx \leq C2^{(\ell-k)/2} |w_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} h_{k}^{-1} ||w_{k}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} 
\leq 2^{(\ell-k)/2} C |w_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} |w_{k}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}$$



### Lemma

There is a constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\lambda_{\max}(\mathsf{B}_H\mathsf{A}_L) \leq C_2,$$

independent of L.

### Proof.

Let  $v_L \in V_L$  be arbitrary.

$$v_L \in V_L \stackrel{\mathcal{B}_L}{\leftrightarrow} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
.

There exist unique  $w_\ell \in W_\ell \overset{\mathcal{B}_\ell^W}{\overset{\ell}{\leftarrow}} \mathbf{w}_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{m_\ell}$  such that

$$v_L = \sum_{\ell=0}^L w_\ell \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{v} = \sum_{\ell=0}^L Q_\ell^L \boldsymbol{w}_\ell.$$

Then

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})_{A_{L}} = (\mathbf{v}, A_{L}\mathbf{v})$$

$$= a(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$$

$$= a\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{L} w_{\ell}, \sum_{k=0}^{L} w_{k}\right)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} w_{\ell}\right) \cdot \left(\nabla \sum_{k=0}^{L} w_{k}\right) dx$$



$$= \sum_{\ell,k=0}^{L} \int_{\Omega} \nabla w_{\ell} \cdot \nabla w_{k} dx$$

$$\stackrel{(17)}{\leq} C \sum_{\ell,k=0}^{L} 2^{-|\ell-k|/2} |w_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} |w_{k}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{L} 2^{-|\ell-k|/2} |w_{k}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \right) |w_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}$$

$$\stackrel{C.s.}{\leq} C \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{L} 2^{-|\ell-k|/2} |w_{k}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \right)^{2} \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} |w_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$\stackrel{(15)}{\leq} C \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} |w_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} |w_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$= C \sum_{k=0}^{L} |w_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq C_{2} \sum_{k=0}^{L} (w_{\ell}, w_{\ell})_{C_{\ell}}.$$



Recall that, since decomposition are unique

$$\lambda_{\max}(\mathsf{B}_{H}\mathsf{A}_{L}) \stackrel{\text{(13)}}{=} \max_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}_{*}^{n}} \frac{(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u})_{\mathsf{A}_{L}}}{\sum_{\ell=0}^{L} (\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell})_{\mathsf{C}_{\ell}}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(18)}}{=} \max_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}_{*}^{n}} \frac{\mathbb{C}_{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} (\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell})_{\mathsf{C}_{\ell}}}{\sum_{\ell=0}^{L} (\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell})_{\mathsf{C}_{\ell}}}$$

$$\leq C_{2}.$$



### Theorem

There is a constant C > 0 independent of L, such that

$$\kappa(\mathsf{B}_{H}\mathsf{A}_{L}) = \frac{\lambda_{\mathsf{max}}(\mathsf{B}_{H}\mathsf{A}_{L})}{\lambda_{\mathsf{min}}(\mathsf{B}_{H}\mathsf{A}_{L})} \le C\left(1 + |\mathsf{log}(h_{L})|^{2}\right). \tag{19}$$

independent of L.

## Proof.

Exercise.





## The BPX Preconditioner

## The BPX Preconditioner



The BPX preconditioner has a slightly better perforance than the hierarchical basis preconditioner, in the sense that the logarithmic dependence on  $h_L$  can be removed. For this method we choose

$$W_{\ell} := V_{\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq L.$$

Thus  $W_L = V_L$  and

$$m_\ell = n_\ell, \quad 0 \le \ell \le L.$$

## T

### Definition

Define the operator  $\mathsf{C}_\ell:V_\ell o V'_\ell$  via

$$C_{\ell}[v_{\ell,1}](v_{\ell,2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\ell}} v_{\ell,1}(N_{\ell,i}^{W})v_{\ell,2}(N_{\ell,i}^{W}).$$

The matrix  $C_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{\ell} \times m_{\ell}}$  is defined as

$$\left[\mathsf{C}_{\ell}\right]_{j,k} = \mathsf{C}_{\ell}\left[\phi_{\ell,j}\right]\left(\phi_{\ell,k}\right) = \delta_{j,k}, \quad 1 \leq j,k \leq \mathsf{n}_{\ell},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_\ell=\{\phi_{\ell,j}\}_{j=1}^{n_\ell}$  is the Lagrange nodal basis for the piecewise linear FE space  $V_\ell, 0 \leq \ell \leq L$ . The BPX preconditioner is

$$C_{BPX} := \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \mathsf{P}_{\ell}^{L} \mathsf{C}_{\ell}^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{\ell}^{L} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \mathsf{P}_{\ell}^{L} \mathcal{R}_{\ell}^{L}, \tag{20}$$

where  $P_\ell^L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n_\ell}$  is the standard prolongation matrix from Chapter 6 and  $\mathcal{R}_\ell^L = \left(P_\ell^L\right)^T$ .



Assumption (SS1) holds for the BPX framework, i.e., for every  $u_L \in V_L$ , there exists  $v_\ell \in V_\ell, 0 \le \ell \le L$ , such that

$$u_L = \sum_{\ell=0}^L v_\ell,$$

or, equivalently

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \mathsf{P}_{\ell}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}_{\ell},$$

with

$$V_{\ell} \ni v_{\ell} \stackrel{\mathcal{B}_{\ell}}{\leftrightarrow} v_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},$$

and

$$V_L \ni u_L \overset{\mathcal{B}_\ell}{\leftrightarrow} \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
.



## Proof.

This is trivial because of the nestedness of the the spaces

$$V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_{L-1} \subset V_I$$
.

## Remark

Note that the decomposition is no longer unique.



For any  $v_j \in V_j, v_\ell \in V_\ell$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{j} \cdot \nabla v_{\ell} dx \leq C 2^{-|j-\ell|/2} \left( h_{j}^{-1} \| v_{j} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right) \left( h_{\ell}^{-1} \| v_{\ell} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right), \tag{21}$$

for some C > 0.

#### Proof.

This is follows from (16) and the inverse inequality

$$|v_j|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le ch_j^{-1} \|v_j\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$
.



For some  $C_2 > 0$  that is independent of L,

$$\lambda_{\max}\left(B_{BPX}A_{L}\right)\leq C_{2}.$$

for some C > 0.



## Proof.

Let  $u_L \in V_L$  be arbitrary. There exists  $v_\ell \in V_\ell, 0 \le \ell \le L$ , such that

$$u_L = \sum_{\ell=0}^L v_\ell,$$

or

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \mathsf{P}_{\ell}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}_{\ell}.$$



The decomposition is not unique, however. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u})_{\mathsf{A}_{L}} &= & (\boldsymbol{u}, A_{L} \boldsymbol{u}) \\ &= & a(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}) \\ &= & a\left(\sum_{j=0}^{L} v_{j}, \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} v_{\ell}\right) \\ &= & \sum_{\ell,j=0}^{L} a(v_{j}, v_{\ell}) \\ &\stackrel{(21)}{\leq} & C \sum_{\ell,j=0}^{L} 2^{-|j-\ell|/2} h_{j}^{-1} \|v_{\ell}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} h_{\ell} \|v_{k}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{\leq} & C \sum_{j=0}^{L} h_{j}^{-2} \|v_{j}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ &\stackrel{\mathsf{MG Norm Equiv.}}{\leq} & C_{2} \sum_{i=0}^{L} (\boldsymbol{v}_{j}, \boldsymbol{v}_{j})_{C_{j}} = C_{2} \sum_{i=0}^{L} (C_{j} \boldsymbol{v}_{j}, \boldsymbol{v}_{j})_{j} \end{aligned}$$



Now,

$$\lambda_{\max}(C_{BPX}A_L) \stackrel{\text{Eigenvalues of CA}}{=} \max_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n_*} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}\right)_{A_L}}{\min_{\boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{\ell=0}^L \mathsf{P}_\ell^L \boldsymbol{v}_\ell^\ell} \sum_{\ell=0}^L \left(\boldsymbol{u}_\ell^\ell, \boldsymbol{u}_\ell^\ell\right)_{C_\ell}}$$

$$\leq \max_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n_*} \frac{C_2 \sum_{\ell=0}^L \left(\mathsf{C}_\ell \boldsymbol{w}_\ell, \boldsymbol{w}_\ell\right)_\ell}{\min_{\boldsymbol{v}_\ell^\prime} \sum_{\ell=0}^L \left(\mathsf{C}_\ell \boldsymbol{w}_\ell, \boldsymbol{w}_\ell\right)}$$

$$\leq C_2.$$

Recall that the minimum was achievable, so we could take  $\mathbf{v}_\ell = \mathbf{v}_\ell'$ .



There is a constant  $C_1 > 0$  that is independent of L, such that

$$\lambda_{min}\left(B_{BPX}A_{L}\right)\geq C_{1}.$$

for some C > 0.

#### Proof.



Let  $u_L \in V_L$  be arbitrary. Set

$$v_{\ell} =: \mathcal{R}_{\ell} u_L - R_{\ell-1} u_L, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq L,$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell}: \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \to V_{\ell}$  is the Ritz projection for  $0 \leq \ell \leq L$  and  $R_{-1} \equiv 0$ . Since

$$\mathcal{R}_{\ell}u_{L}=u_{L},$$

it follows that

$$u_L = \sum_{\ell=0}^L v_\ell \overset{\mathcal{B}_\ell}{\leftrightarrow} \boldsymbol{u}_\ell = \sum_{\ell=0}^L \mathsf{P}_\ell^L v_\ell.$$

Moreover,

$$a(v_j, v_\ell) = 0, \quad 0 \le j \ne \ell \le L. \tag{22}$$

To see this, recall that, in general,

$$a(R_j u_L, v_i') = a(u_L, v_i'), \quad \forall v_i' \in V_j.$$



Suppose  $j < \ell$ , for definiteness. Then

$$a(R_j u_L, v'_\ell) = a(u_L, v'_\ell), \quad \forall v'_\ell \in V_\ell.$$

In particular, since

$$v_j:=R_ju_L-R_{j-1}u_L\in V_j\subset V_\ell,$$

and

$$a(\mathcal{R}_{\ell}u_L,v_j)=a(u_L,v_j),$$

likewise

$$a(R_{\ell-1}u_L,v_j)=a(u_L,v_j),$$

Subtracting, we have

$$a(\mathcal{R}_{\ell}u_L - R_{\ell-1}u_L, v_j) = 0$$

## T

## Proof (Cont.)

To make further progress, let us assume that  $\Omega$  is convex. Then the standard regularity condition holds. And, for  $1 \le \ell \le L$ ,

$$h_{\ell}^{-2} \|v_{\ell}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} = h_{\ell}^{-2} \|\mathcal{R}_{\ell} u_{L} - R_{\ell-1} u_{L}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$= h_{\ell}^{-2} \|\mathcal{R}_{\ell} u_{L} - R_{\ell-1} \mathcal{R}_{\ell} u_{L}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(??)}{\leq} C h_{\ell}^{-2} h_{\ell}^{2} |\mathcal{R}_{\ell} u_{L} - R_{\ell-1} \mathcal{R}_{\ell} u_{L}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$= C |\mathcal{R}_{\ell} u_{L} - R_{\ell-1} \mathcal{R}_{\ell} u_{L}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$= C |v_{\ell}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}.$$
(23)

To see that  $R_{\ell-1}=R_{\ell-1}\mathcal{R}_{\ell}$ , let  $u\in H^1_0(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$a(R_{\ell-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\ell}u), v'_{\ell-1}) = a(\mathcal{R}_{\ell}u, v'_{\ell-1}), \quad \forall v'_{\ell-1} \in V_{\ell-1}.$$

But,

$$a(\mathcal{R}_{\ell}u, v'_{\ell-1}) = a(u, v'_{\ell-1}), \quad \forall v'_{\ell-1} \in V_{\ell-1}.$$



Since

$$a(\mathcal{R}_{\ell}u, v'_{\ell}) = a(u, v'_{\ell}), \quad \forall v'_{\ell} \in V_{\ell},$$

and

$$V_{\ell-1} \subset V_{\ell}$$
.

But

$$a(R_{\ell-1}u,v'_{\ell-1})=a(u,v'_{\ell-1}), \quad \forall v'_{\ell-1} \in V_{\ell-1}.$$

Hence

$$a(R_{\ell-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\ell}u), v'_{\ell-1}) = a(R_{\ell-1}u, v'_{\ell-1}), \quad \forall v'_{\ell-1} \in V_{\ell-1}.$$

And we conclude that  $R_{\ell-1}=R_{\ell-1}\mathcal{R}_\ell$  since

$$R_{\ell-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\ell}u), R_{\ell-1}u \in V_{\ell-1}.$$

Estimate (22) holds trivially for  $\ell = 0$ .



Finally,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{L} (C_{\ell} \mathbf{v}_{\ell}, \mathbf{v}_{\ell})_{\ell} \stackrel{\text{MG Norm Equiv.}}{\leq} C \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} h_{\ell}^{-2} \| \mathbf{v}_{\ell} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(23)}{\leq} C_{1}^{-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} | \mathbf{v}_{\ell} |_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(22)}{=} C_{1}^{-1} | \mathbf{u}_{L} |_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}.$$
(24)

# T

## Proof (Cont.)

Also,

$$\lambda_{\min}(C_{BPX}A_{L}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}} \frac{(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u})_{A_{L}}}{\min_{\boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} P_{\ell}^{L} \boldsymbol{v}_{\ell}'} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} (\boldsymbol{u}_{\ell}', \boldsymbol{u}_{\ell}')_{C_{\ell}}}$$

$$\geq \min_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}} \frac{(A_{L}\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u})_{L}}{\min_{\boldsymbol{v}_{\ell}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} (C_{\ell}\boldsymbol{v}_{\ell}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\ell})}$$

$$\geq \min_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}} \frac{(A_{L}\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u})_{L}}{C_{1}^{-1} |\boldsymbol{u}_{L}|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}}$$

$$= C_{1}.$$



#### **Theorem**

$$\kappa\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathit{BPX}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathit{L}}\right) = \frac{\lambda_{\mathsf{max}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathit{BPX}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathit{L}}\right)}{\lambda_{\mathsf{min}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathit{BPX}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathit{L}}\right)} \leq \frac{C_2}{C_1}.$$

## Proof.

Follows from previous Lemmas. The details are left for an exercise.