

11/17/2011

- To do:
- 1) talk bout TMLE being more efficient than a given estimator
  - 2) analyzing case control data.

Example: Given  $\mathcal{O} = (W, A, Y) \sim P$

$$\text{We want to est. } \psi(P) = E_P [E_P(Y|A=1, W) - E_P(Y|A=0, W)]$$

If we look at set of possible gradients in  $M(g_0)$ , where  $g_0$  known  
 it is given by  $\left(\frac{I(A=1)}{g} - \frac{I(A=0)}{g}\right)Y - \psi + \bar{Q}(1, W)\left(\frac{I(A=1)}{g} - 1\right) - \bar{Q}(0, W)\left(\frac{I(A=0)}{g} - 1\right)$

Wait!

↳ On second thought, lets make scenario simpler.

$$\psi(P) = E(E(Y|A=1, W))$$

$$\text{then } \Delta^*(Q, g_0) = \left( \frac{I(A=1)}{g_0} Y - \psi - \bar{Q}(1, W)\left(\frac{I(A=1)}{g_0} - 1\right), \bar{Q} \right)$$

Let  $\bar{Q}_P$  be a working model for  $\bar{Q}$   
 we can define  $\beta_n = \arg \min_{\beta} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{I(A_i=1)}{g_0} Y_i - \psi_n - \bar{Q}_{P_n}(1, W_i)\left(\frac{I(A_i=1)}{g_0} - 1\right) \right]^2 \right]$

$$(*) \quad \psi_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{I(A_i=1)}{g_0(I(W_i))} Y_i - \bar{Q}_{P_n}(1, W_i)\left(\frac{I(A_i=1)}{g_0} - 1\right)$$

choose the  $\beta$  that will  
 minimize the variance  
 of the IC.

variance of estimator  
 (missed name of this  
 estimator, but recorded)

\*In RCT, parametric models will be same as TMLE.

↳ if we run TMLE update, the  $\hat{\psi}$  estimated will be  $\psi$ .

We can define loss function as:

$$L_{g_0, \psi_0}(\bar{Q}) = [\Delta^*(\bar{Q}, g_0, \psi_0)]^2$$

we calculate  $\bar{Q}^* = \arg \min_{\bar{Q}} E_{\bar{Q}} [L_{g_0, \psi_0}(\bar{Q})]$

for candidate ests,  $\bar{Q}_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, K$

$$k_n = \arg \min_K E_{P_n} [A_{n, \beta_n} L_{g_0, \psi_n}(\bar{Q}_k(P_{n, \beta}))]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dissimilarity, } d(\bar{Q}, \bar{Q}_0) &= P_0 L_{g_0, \psi_0}(\bar{Q}) - L_{g_0, \psi_0}(\bar{Q}_0) \\ &= \text{var}(\Delta^*(\bar{Q}, g_0, \psi_0)) - \text{var}(\Delta^*(\bar{Q}_0, g_0, \psi_0)) \\ &= \int (\Delta^*(\bar{Q}, g_0, \psi_0) - \Delta^*(\bar{Q}_0, g_0, \psi_0))^2 dP_0(o) \end{aligned}$$

Going back to (\*), how do we verify that MLE is at least as good?

$\hookrightarrow D^*(\bar{Q}_{\beta_0}, g_0, \psi_0)$  is the IC to beat

$\uparrow \beta_0^*$  is the limit of  $\beta_n$

$$= D_{IPW}(g_0, \psi) - D_{CAR}(\bar{Q}_{\beta_0^*}, g_0)$$

we want to solve  
for this

Note: general result for EIC is  $D^*(\bar{Q}, g_0) = D_{IPW}(g_0, \psi_0) - D_{CAR}(\bar{Q}, g_0)$

for MLE, set calc Logit( $\bar{Q}_n(\epsilon)$ ) = Logit( $\bar{Q}_n^0$ ) +  $c_1 \frac{\mathbb{I}(A=1)}{g_n^0(1|W)}$

and Logit( $\bar{g}_n(\epsilon)$ ) = Logit( $\bar{g}_n^0$ ) +  $c_2 \frac{\bar{Q}_{\beta_n^*}(1|W)}{g_n^0(1|W)} + \epsilon_{22} \frac{\bar{Q}_{\beta_n^*}(0|W)}{g_n^0(0|W)}$

\* Notice how updated  $\bar{Q}_n(\epsilon)$  is dep on  $g_n$ .

$\downarrow \hookrightarrow$  keeps iterating until converges.  $\bar{Q}_{\beta_n}(1|W)$  isn't updated

$$P_n D^*(\bar{Q}_n^*, g_n^*) = 0 = P_n D_{IPW}(g_n^*, \psi(P_n^*)) - D_{CAR}(\bar{Q}_n^*, g_n^*)$$

$$P_n D_{CAR}(\bar{Q}_n^*, g_n^*) = 0 \quad \xrightarrow{=} 0$$

$$P_n D_{CAR}(\bar{Q}_{\beta_n^*}, g_n^*) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow P_n D_{IPW}(g_n^*, \psi(P_n^*)) = 0$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{MLE}} P_n D_{IPW}(g_n^*, \psi^*) + D_{CAR}(g_n^*, \bar{Q}_{\beta_n^*}) = 0$$

external estimator

So, MLE is at least as efficient as IPW (b/c it is IPW)

and is \_\_\_\_\_?