

STAT201A – Sec. 102  
Homework #6.

Steven Pollack  
24112977

## #1.

*Proof.* So, given  $N_{(0,t)} = n$  and  $N_{(0,t)} \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , and the fact that cutting up  $(0, t)$  into  $(0, a) \sqcup (a, b) \sqcup (b, t)$  yields 3 independent Poisson processes with rates  $a\lambda/t$ ,  $(b-a)\lambda/t$  and  $(t-b)\lambda/t$  intuition immediately has that  $(N_{(0,a)}, N_{(a,b)}, N_{(b,t)}) \sim \text{Multinomial}$  with  $n$  trials, and 3 outcomes with probabilities  $p_1 = a/t$ ,  $p_2 = (b-a)/t$  and  $p_3 = (t-b)/t$ . Why?

$$\frac{P((N_{(0,a)}, N_{(a,b)}, N_{(b,t)}) = (x_1, x_2, x_3), N_{(0,t)} = n)}{P(N_{(0,t)} = n)} = P((N_{(0,a)}, N_{(a,b)}, N_{(b,t)}) = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid N_{(0,t)} = n)$$

and  $N_{(0,a)} + N_{(a,b)} + N_{(b,t)} = N_{(0,t)}$ , hence using this fact and the independence of these subprocesses, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P((N_{(0,a)}, N_{(a,b)}, N_{(b,t)}) = (x_1, x_2, x_3), N_{(0,t)} = n) &= P((N_{(0,a)}, N_{(a,b)}, N_{(b,t)}) = (x_1, x_2, n - (x_1 + x_2))) \\ &= P(N_{(0,a)} = x_1)P(N_{(a,b)} = x_2)P(N_{(b,t)} = n - (x_1 + x_2)) \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{t}\right)^{x_1} \frac{e^{-\frac{a\lambda}{t}} \lambda^{x_1}}{x_1!} \left(\frac{b-a}{t}\right)^{x_2} \frac{e^{-\frac{(b-a)\lambda}{t}} \lambda^{x_2}}{x_2!} \left(\frac{t-b}{t}\right)^{n-x_1-x_2} \frac{e^{-\frac{(t-b)\lambda}{t}} \lambda^{n-x_1-x_2}}{(n-x_1-x_2)!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda(\frac{a}{t} + \frac{b-a}{t} + \frac{t-b}{t})} \lambda^{x_1+x_2+n-x_1-x_2}}{x_1!x_2!(n-x_1-x_2)!} \left(\frac{a}{t}\right)^{x_1} \left(\frac{b-a}{t}\right)^{x_2} \left(\frac{t-b}{t}\right)^{n-x_1-x_2} \\ &= e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \binom{n}{x_1, x_2, n-x_1-x_2} \left(\frac{a}{t}\right)^{x_1} \left(\frac{b-a}{t}\right)^{x_2} \left(\frac{t-b}{t}\right)^{n-x_1-x_2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$P((N_{(0,a)}, N_{(a,b)}, N_{(b,t)}) = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid N_{(0,t)} = n) = \binom{n}{x_1, x_2, x_3} \left(\frac{a}{t}\right)^{x_1} \left(\frac{b-a}{t}\right)^{x_2} \left(\frac{t-b}{t}\right)^{x_3}$$

□

## #2.

*Proof.* Let  $\delta t$  denote a small neighborhood about  $t \in (0, 1)$  of width  $dt$ , and note that

$$P(T_r \in dt, N_{(0,1)} = n) = P(N_{(0,t)} = r-1, N_{\delta t} = 1, N_{(t,1)} = n-r)$$

Since the  $N_I$ 's are independent, this identity amounts to saying:

$$\begin{aligned} P(T_r \in dt, N_{(0,1)} = n) &= P(N_{(0,t)} = r-1)P(N_{\delta t} = 1)P(N_{(t,1)} = n-r) \\ &= \left(e^{-t\lambda} \frac{(t\lambda)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!}\right) (e^{-dt\lambda} \lambda dt) \left(e^{-(1-t)\lambda} \frac{((1-t)\lambda)^{n-r}}{(n-r)!}\right) \\ &= \frac{e^{-(1+dt)\lambda} \lambda^n}{n!} \left(\frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} t^{r-1} (1-t)^{n-r} dt\right) \\ &\approx P_n \frac{\Gamma(r+s)}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} t^{r-1} (1-t)^{s-1} dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $s = n - r + 1$ , and  $P_n = P(N_{(0,1)} = n)$ . Hence,

$$P(T_r \in dt \mid N_{(0,1)} = n) = \frac{P(T_r \in dt, N_{(0,1)} = n)}{P_n} = \frac{\Gamma(r+s)}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} t^{r-1} (1-t)^{s-1}$$

which is the density for a  $\beta$  random variable with parameters  $r$  and  $s$ .  $\square$

**#3.**

*Proof.* Using the same technique as in question #2 (and letting  $t < s$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} P(T_1 \in dt, T_n \in ds, N_{(0,1)} = n) &= P(N_{\delta t} = 1, N_{(t,s)} = n-2, N_{\delta s} = 1) \\ &= (e^{-dt} \lambda dt) \left( e^{-(s-t)\lambda} \frac{(s-t)^{n-2} \lambda^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \right) (e^{-ds} \lambda ds) \\ &= e^{-(s-t+dt+ds)\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \binom{n}{1, 1, n-2} (s-t)^{n-2} dt ds \\ &\approx P_n n(n-1) (s-t)^{n-2} dt ds \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$P(T_1 \in dt, T_n \in ds \mid N_{(0,1)} = n) = \frac{P(T_1 \in dt, T_n \in ds, N_{(0,1)} = n)}{P_n} = n(n-1) (s-t)^{n-2} dt ds$$

And this happens to be the joint density of  $(U_{(1)}, U_{(n)})$  the first and last order statistics for  $U_1, \dots, U_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Uniform}([0,1])$  random variables.  $\square$

**#4.**

*Proof.* Given that for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  we may express  $T_r = \sum_{i=1}^r W_i \sim \Gamma(r, \lambda)$ , where  $W_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ , we have that  $T_{r+s} = \sum_{i=1}^{r+s} W_i$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(T_r, T_{r+s}) &= \text{cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^r W_i, \sum_{i=1}^r W_i + \sum_{j=r+1}^s W_j\right) \\ &= \text{cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^r W_i, \sum_{i=1}^r W_i\right) + \text{cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^r W_i, \sum_{j=r+1}^s W_j\right) \\ &= \text{var}(T_r) + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=r+1}^s \text{cov}(W_i, W_j) \\ &= \frac{r}{\lambda^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $W_i \perp\!\!\!\perp W_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , and the variance of a  $\Gamma(r, \lambda)$  random variable is  $r/\lambda^2$ . Consequently,

$$\rho(T_r, T_{r+s}) = \frac{\text{cov}(T_r, T_{r+s})}{\sigma_r \sigma_{r+s}} = \frac{r/\lambda^2}{\sqrt{r/\lambda} \cdot \sqrt{r+s/\lambda}} = \sqrt{\frac{r}{r+s}}$$

This indicates that  $\rho(T_r, T_{r+s}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , which makes sense as the greater  $s - r$  becomes, the less it would make sense for information about  $T_r$  to help us determine anything about  $T_{r+s}$ .  $\square$