

Alfieri, Samuel <alfsam28@evergreen.edu>

Wed 2/10/2021 3:36 PM

口脏 Stevens, Jens T <jtstevens@usgs.gov>; Friedman, Jonathan M <friedmanj@usgs.gov>; Tillery, Anne C <atillery@usgs.gov>; Shafroth, Patrick B <shafrothp@usgs.gov>



Hi all-

I went back to the original DEM dataset to get the northernmost bit of Frijoles from the 2016 DEMs that I was missing and the available data had changed so I needed to re-run things. Before, there were two sets of overlapping files with minimal differences, one set was named with "1m" in the file names and in the other it was written as "one_meter" (ex: USGS_1m_x37y397_NM_North_Central_B2_2016.tif). I had compared them and found small differences although both seemed to show the same elevation data, and arbitrarily decided to use the numeral named one. Now, only the alpha named files are available.

When I performed the resampling, I wasn't sure which arithmetic makes the most sense for going from the 1/3 resolution to the 1 m, so I included both the bilinear resampling as well as the cubic for you to look at, Jens.

I've uploaded the watershed shapefiles and the rasters clipped to the buffered Frijoles watershed as well as the buffered Frijoles01 portion in "Frijoles Watershed clipped DEMs" in the Bandelier Extreme Events team.

Hopefully this gives something to look at and get us closer to finalizing.

Sam

1 of 1 3/1/21, 9:38 AM