Toward a better algorithm (1)

- Prefix
 - ABCB is a prefix of ABCBDAB
 - BCB is not a prefix of ABCBDAB
- x = ABCBDAB
 - -x[1..4]: the first four symbols in x, a prefix of x
 - -x[1..4] = ABCB

Toward a better algorithm (2)

- Strategy
 - Consider the *length* of LCS first
 - Define |x| the length of a sequence x
 - Define c[i, j] = |LCS(x[1..i], y[1..j])|
 - Then, c[m, n] = |LCS(x, y)|

• Theorem

$$c[i,j] = \begin{cases} c[i-1,j-1] + 1 & \text{if } x[i] = y[j], \\ \max\{c[i-1,j], c[i,j-1]\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• Example: A B C B D A B

	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
В	0	10	۲1	11	1	11	11	۲.1
D	0	↑0	1	←1	11	₹2	←2	←2
С	0	↑0	1	₹2	←2	↑ 2	↑ 2	↑ 2
Α	0	۲1	1	† 2	↑ 2	† 2	3	←3
В	0	11	₹2	↑ 2	₹3	-3	↑ 3	₹4
Α	0	۲1	↑ 2	1 2	↑ 3	↑ 3	₹4	14
<i>n</i> +1								

length of LCS(B, ABCB)

length of LCS(BDCA, ABCBDA)

length of LCS(x, y)

```
LCS-LENGTH(X, Y)
1.let b[1..m, 1..n], c[0..m, 0..n] be new arrays
2.for i = 1 to m
3. c[i, 0] = 0
                              // initialize c
4.for i = 0 to n
5. c[0, j] = 0
6.for i = 1 to m
7. for j = 1 to n
8.
       if x_i == y_i
9.
            c[i, j] = c[i-1, j-1] + 1
             b[i, j] = 
10.
        elseif c[i-1, j] ≥ c[i, j-1]
11.
12.
              c[i,j] = c[i-1,j]
13.
              b[i, j] = \uparrow
14.
         else c[i, j] = c[i, j-1]
                                     Time? O(mn)
15.
              b[i, j] = \leftarrow
                                     Space? O(mn)
16.return c and b
```

see "↖", print!

		Α	В	С	В	D	Α	В
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
В	0	↑0	۲1	↑0	N 1	↑0	↑0	۲1
D	0	↑0	1	←1	11	₹2	←2	←2
С	0	↑0	1	₹2	←2	1 2	† 2	↑ 2
Α	0	۲1	1	† 2	↑ 2	† 2	∖3	←3
В	0	11	₹2	↑ 2	₹3	-3	↑ 3	5.4
Α	0	۲1	↑ 2	↑ 2	↑ 3	↑ 3	۲4	14

Output: B D A B

```
PRINT-LCS(b, X, i, j)

1.if i == 0 or j == 0

2. return

3.if b[i, j] == \\

4. PRINT-LCS(b, X, i-1, j-1)

5. print x_i

6.elseif b[i, j] == \\

7. PRINT-LCS(b, X, i-1, j)

8.else

9. PRINT-LCS(b, X, i, j-1)

Time? O(m+n)
```

Back to the Theorem (1)

$$c[i,j] = \begin{cases} c[i-1,j-1] + 1 & \text{if } x[i] = y[j], \\ \max\{c[i-1,j], c[i,j-1]\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem (Optimal substructure of an LCS)
 - Let $X = \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \rangle$ and $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \rangle$
 - $-Z = \langle z_1, z_2, ..., z_k \rangle$ be a LCS of X and Y
 - 1. if $x_m = y_{n,}$ then $z_k = x_m = y_n$ and Z_{k-1} is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1}
 - 2. if $x_m \neq y_{n,}$ then $z_k \neq x_m$ implies that Z is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y
 - 3. if $x_m \neq y_{n_k}$ then $z_k \neq y_n$ implies that Z is an LCS of X and Y_{n-1}

Improving the code

- Can we eliminate the b table?
 - Yes! Determine in O(1) time which of the three values was used to compute c[i, j].
- What's the time and space complexity if we need to compute only the length?
 - Time: O(mn)
 - Space: $O(\min(m, n))$