

Quicksort

Quicksort

Review: Quicksort

- Sorts in place
- Sorts $O(n \lg n)$ in the average case
- Sorts $O(n^2)$ in the worst case
 - But in practice, it's quick
 - And the worst case doesn't happen often (but more on this later...)

Quicksort

- Another divide-and-conquer algorithm
 - The array $A[p..r]$ is *partitioned* into two non-empty subarrays $A[p..q]$ and $A[q+1..r]$
 - ◆ Invariant: All elements in $A[p..q]$ are less than all elements in $A[q+1..r]$
 - The subarrays are recursively sorted by calls to quicksort
 - Unlike merge sort, no combining step: two subarrays form an already-sorted array

Quicksort Code

```
Quicksort(A, p, r)
{
    if (p < r)
    {
        q = Partition(A, p, r);
        Quicksort(A, p, q);
        Quicksort(A, q+1, r);
    }
}
```

Partition

- Clearly, all the action takes place in the **partition()** function
 - Rearranges the subarray in place
 - End result:
 - ◆ Two subarrays
 - ◆ All values in first subarray \leq all values in second
 - Returns the index of the “pivot” element separating the two subarrays
- *How do you suppose we implement this?*

Partition In Words

- Partition(A, p, r):
 - Select an element to act as the “pivot” (*which?*)
 - Grow two regions, A[p..i] and A[j..r]
 - ◆ All elements in A[p..i] \leq pivot
 - ◆ All elements in A[j..r] \geq pivot
 - Increment i until A[i] \geq pivot
 - Decrement j until A[j] \leq pivot
 - Swap A[i] and A[j]
 - Repeat until i \geq j
 - Return j

Note: slightly different from book's partition()

Partition Code

```
Partition(A, p, r)
  x = A[p];
  i = p - 1;
  j = r + 1;
  while (TRUE)
    repeat
      j--;
    until A[j] <= x;
    repeat
      i++;
    until A[i] >= x;
    if (i < j)
      Swap(A, i, j);
    else
      return j;
```

Illustrate on
A = {5, 3, 2, 6, 4, 1, 3, 7};

What is the running time of
partition()?

Partition Code

```
Partition(A, p, r)
  x = A[p];
  i = p - 1;
  j = r + 1;
  while (TRUE)
    repeat
      j--;
    until A[j] <= x;
    repeat
      i++;
    until A[i] >= x;
    if (i < j)
      Swap(A, i, j);
    else
      return j;
```

partition() runs in O(n) time

Analyzing Quicksort

- *What will be the worst case for the algorithm?*
 - Partition is always unbalanced
- *What will be the best case for the algorithm?*
 - Partition is perfectly balanced
- *Which is more likely?*
 - The latter, by far, except...
- *Will any particular input elicit the worst case?*
 - Yes: Already-sorted input

Analyzing Quicksort

- In the worst case:
 - $T(1) = \Theta(1)$
 - $T(n) = T(n - 1) + \Theta(n)$
- Works out to
 - $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$

Analyzing Quicksort

- In the best case:
$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$$
- What does this work out to?
$$T(n) = \Theta(n \lg n)$$

Improving Quicksort

- The real liability of quicksort is that it runs in $O(n^2)$ on already-sorted input
- Book discusses two solutions:
 - Randomize the input array, OR
 - *Pick a random pivot element*
- *How will these solve the problem?*
 - By insuring that no particular input can be chosen to make quicksort run in $O(n^2)$ time

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- For simplicity, assume:
 - All inputs distinct (no repeats)
 - Slightly different **partition()** procedure
 - ◆ partition around a random element, which is not included in subarrays
 - ◆ all splits (0:n-1, 1:n-2, 2:n-3, ..., n-1:0) equally likely
- *What is the probability of a particular split happening?*
- Answer: 1/n

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- So partition generates splits
(0:n-1, 1:n-2, 2:n-3, ..., n-2:1, n-1:0)
each with probability 1/n
- Let $T(n)$ be the expected running time.
$$T(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [T(k) + T(n-1-k)] + \Theta(n)$$
- *What is each term under the summation for?*
 - *Recursion*
- *What is the $\Theta(n)$ term for? Cost of partitioning.*

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- So...

$$T(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [T(k) + T(n-1-k)] + \Theta(n)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T(k) + \Theta(n)$$

- Note: this is just like the book's recurrence (p187), except that the summation starts with k=0
- We'll take care of that in a second

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- We can solve this recurrence using the dreaded substitution method
 - Guess the answer
 - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
 - Substitute it in for some value $< n$
 - Prove that it follows for n

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- We can solve this recurrence using the dreaded substitution method
 - Guess the answer
 - ◆ *What's the answer?*
 - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
 - Substitute it in for some value $< n$
 - Prove that it follows for n

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- We can solve this recurrence using the dreaded substitution method
 - Guess the answer
 - ◆ $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
 - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
 - Substitute it in for some value $< n$
 - Prove that it follows for n

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- We can solve this recurrence using the dreaded substitution method
 - Guess the answer
 - ◆ $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
 - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
 - ◆ *What's the inductive hypothesis?*
 - Substitute it in for some value $< n$
 - Prove that it follows for n

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- We can solve this recurrence using the dreaded substitution method
 - Guess the answer
 - ◆ $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
 - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
 - ◆ $T(n) \leq an \lg n + b$ for some constants a and b
 - Substitute it in for some value $< n$
 - Prove that it follows for n

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- We can solve this recurrence using the dreaded substitution method
 - Guess the answer
 - ◆ $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
 - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
 - ◆ $T(n) \leq an \lg n + b$ for some constants a and b
 - Substitute it in for some value $< n$
 - ◆ *What value?*
 - Prove that it follows for n

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- We can solve this recurrence using the dreaded substitution method
 - Guess the answer
 - ◆ $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
 - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
 - ◆ $T(n) \leq an \lg n + b$ for some constants a and b
 - Substitute it in for some value $< n$
 - ◆ The value k in the recurrence
 - Prove that it follows for n

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- We can solve this recurrence using the dreaded substitution method
 - Guess the answer
 - ◆ $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
 - Assume that the inductive hypothesis holds
 - ◆ $T(n) \leq an \lg n + b$ for some constants a and b
 - Substitute it in for some value $< n$
 - ◆ The value k in the recurrence
 - Prove that it follows for n
 - ◆ Grind through it...

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T(k) + \Theta(n) && \text{The recurrence to be solved} \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (ak \lg k + b) + \Theta(n) && \text{Plug in inductive hypothesis} \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{n} \left[b + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (ak \lg k + b) \right] + \Theta(n) && \text{Expand out the } k=0 \text{ case} \\
 &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (ak \lg k + b) + \frac{2b}{n} + \Theta(n) && \text{2b/n is just a constant, so fold it into } \Theta(n) \\
 &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (ak \lg k + b) + \Theta(n) && \text{Note: leaving the same recurrence as the book}
 \end{aligned}$$

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

$$T(n) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (ak \lg k + b) + \Theta(n) \quad \text{The recurrence to be solved}$$

$$= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ak \lg k + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b + \Theta(n) \quad \text{Distribute the summation}$$

$$= \frac{2a}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k + \frac{2b}{n} (n-1) + \Theta(n) \quad \text{Evaluate the summation: } b+b+\dots+b = b(n-1)$$

$$\leq \frac{2a}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k + 2b + \Theta(n) \quad \text{Since } n-1 < n, 2b(n-1)/n < 2b$$

This summation gets its own set of slides later

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

$$T(n) \leq \frac{2a}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k + 2b + \Theta(n) \quad \text{The recurrence to be solved}$$

$$\leq \frac{2a}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} n^2 \lg n - \frac{1}{8} n^2 \right) + 2b + \Theta(n) \quad \text{We'll prove this later}$$

$$= an \lg n - \frac{a}{4} n + 2b + \Theta(n) \quad \text{Distribute the } (2a/n) \text{ term}$$

$$= an \lg n + b + \left(\Theta(n) + b - \frac{a}{4} n \right) \quad \text{Remember, our goal is to get } T(n) \leq an \lg n + b$$

$$\leq an \lg n + b \quad \text{Pick a large enough that } an/4 \text{ dominates } \Theta(n)+b$$

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- So $T(n) \leq an \lg n + b$ for certain a and b
 - Thus the induction holds
 - Thus $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
 - Thus quicksort runs in $O(n \lg n)$ time on average (pewh!)
- Oh yeah, the summation...

Tightly Bounding The Key Summation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k \lg k + \sum_{k=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n-1} k \lg k && \text{Split the summation for a tighter bound} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k \lg k + \sum_{k=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n-1} k \lg n && \text{The } \lg k \text{ in the second term is bounded by } \lg n \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k \lg k + \lg n \sum_{k=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n-1} k && \text{Move the } \lg n \text{ outside the summation} \end{aligned}$$

Tightly Bounding The Key Summation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k \lg k + \lg n \sum_{k=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n-1} k && \text{The summation bound so far} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k \lg(n/2) + \lg n \sum_{k=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n-1} k && \text{The } \lg k \text{ in the first term is} \\
 &&& \text{bounded by } \lg n/2 \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k(\lg n - 1) + \lg n \sum_{k=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n-1} k && \lg n/2 = \lg n - 1 \\
 &= (\lg n - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k + \lg n \sum_{k=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n-1} k && \text{Move } (\lg n - 1) \text{ outside the} \\
 &&& \text{summation}
 \end{aligned}$$

Tightly Bounding The Key Summation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k &\leq (\lg n - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k + \lg n \sum_{k=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n-1} k && \text{The summation bound so far} \\
 &= \lg n \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k - \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k + \lg n \sum_{k=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n-1} k && \text{Distribute the } (\lg n - 1) \\
 &= \lg n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k - \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k && \text{The summations overlap in} \\
 &&& \text{range; combine them} \\
 &= \lg n \left(\frac{(n-1)n}{2} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k && \text{The Gaussian series}
 \end{aligned}$$

Tightly Bounding The Key Summation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k &\leq \left(\frac{(n-1)(n)}{2} \right) \lg n - \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} k && \text{The summation bound so far} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} [n(n-1)] \lg n - \sum_{k=1}^{n/2-1} k && \text{Rearrange first term, place upper bound on second} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} [n(n-1)] \lg n - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} \right) \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) && \text{X Guassian series} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (n^2 \lg n - n \lg n) - \frac{1}{8} n^2 + \frac{n}{4} && \text{Multiply it all out}
 \end{aligned}$$

Tightly Bounding The Key Summation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg k &\leq \frac{1}{2} (n^2 \lg n - n \lg n) - \frac{1}{8} n^2 + \frac{n}{4} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} n^2 \lg n - \frac{1}{8} n^2 \text{ when } n \geq 2
 \end{aligned}$$

Done!!!