

# Assignment 1

CIS 621: Algorithms and Complexity

**Problem 1 (2 points)** Prove the NP-completeness of the following problem by reduction: given  $n$  positive integers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , and another positive integer  $w$ , is there a subset of the  $n$  integers that add up to exactly  $w$ ?

**Solution 1** This is the subset sum problem. To prove its NP-completeness, we just need to demonstrate that an existing NP-complete problem can reduce to the subset sum problem. Here, we choose the knapsack problem which is a known NP-complete problem. The knapsack problem says the following: given  $n$  objects with their values  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  and corresponding weights  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  (where all values and weights are positive integers), is there a subset of the objects such that the total value of the objects in this subset is no less than  $V$  and the total weight of the objects in this subset is no greater than  $W$  (where  $V$  and  $W$  are positive integers)?

To exhibit that the subset sum problem contains the knapsack problem as a special case, we set  $x_i = v_i = w_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and set  $w = V = W$ . That is, for any subset sum problem, we can always construct a corresponding knapsack problem; if one can find a solution to the original subset sum problem, then one also finds a solution to the constructed knapsack problem. Thus, solving subset sum is (at least) as hard as solving knapsack.

**Problem 2** Given  $a, x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , where  $a = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

- **2.1 (1 point)** prove  $a^T x = \|a\| \|x\| \cos \theta$  using the law of cosine, where  $\theta$  is the angle between  $a$  and  $x$ ;
- **2.2 (1 point)** calculate the distance between the two parallel hyperplanes  $\{x | a^T x = b_1\}$  and  $\{x | a^T x = b_2\}$ .

**Solution 2.1** Note  $a^T x = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2$ ,  $\|a\| \|x\| \cos \theta = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2} \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \frac{(a_1^2 + a_2^2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2) - ((a_1 - x_1)^2 + (a_2 - x_2)^2)}{2\sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2} \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}} = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2$ . Therefore we complete the proof. We use the law of cosine to calculate  $\cos \theta$ .

**Solution 2.2** The distance from  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  to any hyperplane  $\{x | a^T x = b\}$  is  $\frac{b}{\|a\|}$ . Therefore, the distance between the two hyperplanes  $\{x | a^T x = b_1\}$  and  $\{x | a^T x = b_2\}$  is  $\frac{|b_1 - b_2|}{\|a\|}$ .

**Problem 3 (2 points)** Given  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the set of points that are closer to  $x_0$  than any other  $x_i$ , i.e.,  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \|x - x_0\| \leq \|x - x_i\|, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Is  $S$  a polyhedron? If so, express it in the form of  $S = \{x | Ax \preceq b\}$ . If not, explain why.

**Solution** The set  $S_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \|x - x_0\| \leq \|x - x_i\|\}$  is a halfspace, and can be written as  $(x_i - x_0)^T (x - x_0) \leq \frac{\|x_i - x_0\|^2}{2}, \forall i$ . Thus,  $A = \begin{bmatrix} (x_1 - x_0)^T \\ (x_2 - x_0)^T \\ \vdots \\ (x_k - x_0)^T \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $b = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\|x_1 - x_0\|^2}{2} + (x_1 - x_0)^T x_0 \\ \frac{\|x_2 - x_0\|^2}{2} + (x_2 - x_0)^T x_0 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\|x_k - x_0\|^2}{2} + (x_k - x_0)^T x_0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Problem 4 (2 points)** Let  $f$  be a twice differentiable function, with  $\text{dom}(f)$  convex. Prove  $f$  is convex if and only if  $(\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y))^T (x - y) \geq 0$ .

**Solution** Necessary condition: If  $x \leq y$ , by the definition of convex functions, we have  $\nabla f(x)^T (y - x) \leq f(y) - f(x)$ . If  $y \leq x$ , by the definition of convex functions, we have  $\nabla f(y)^T (x - y) \leq f(x) - f(y)$ . Summing up these two inequalities, we complete the proof. (Note this step does not actually require  $f$  to be twice differentiable.)

Sufficient condition:  $(\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y))^T (x - y) \geq 0$  means  $\nabla^2 f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow y} \frac{\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)}{x - y} \geq 0$ . Thus  $f$  is convex.

**Problem 5** Prove the following functions are convex:

- **5.1 (1 point)**  $f(x) = \max\{f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_m(x)\}$ , where  $f_i(x), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  are convex;

- **5.2 (1 point)**  $f(x) = \min_{y \in C} g(x, y)$ , where  $g(x, y)$  is convex in both  $x$  and  $y$ , and  $C$  is a convex set;
- **5.3 (2 points)**  $f(x, y) = \frac{|x_1|^p + |x_2|^p + \dots + |x_n|^p}{y^{p-1}}$ , where  $p > 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ;
- **5.4 (2 points)**  $f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mn}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n ((x_{ij} + 1) \ln(x_{ij} + 1) - x_{ij})$ , where  $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Solution 5.1** Consider  $x, y, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we need to show  $f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$ . Assume for some  $n$ , where  $1 \leq n \leq m$ , we have  $\max\{f_1(tx + (1-t)y), f_2(tx + (1-t)y), \dots, f_m(tx + (1-t)y)\} = f_n(tx + (1-t)y)$ . Then, we can derive the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(tx + (1-t)y) \\
&= \max\{f_1(tx + (1-t)y), f_2(tx + (1-t)y), \dots, f_m(tx + (1-t)y)\} \\
&= f_n(tx + (1-t)y) \\
&\leq tf_n(x) + (1-t)f_n(y) \\
&\leq t \max\{f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_m(x)\} + (1-t) \max\{f_1(y), f_2(y), \dots, f_m(y)\} \\
&= tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)
\end{aligned}$$

**Solution 5.2** For any  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ , and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we have

$$g(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2, ty_1 + (1-t)y_2) \leq tg(x_1, y_1) + (1-t)g(x_2, y_2).$$

That is, for any  $y_1, y_2$ , if we let  $x_1 \triangleq \arg \min_x g(x, y_1)$  and let  $x_2 \triangleq \arg \min_x g(x, y_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min_x g(x, ty_1 + (1-t)y_2) \\
&\leq g(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2, ty_1 + (1-t)y_2) \\
&\leq tg(x_1, y_1) + (1-t)g(x_2, y_2) \\
&= t \min_x g(x, y_1) + (1-t) \min_x g(x, y_2).
\end{aligned}$$

**Solution 5.3** Note  $f(x, y) = \frac{\|x\|_p^p}{y^{p-1}}$ . Consider  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we need to show  $f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2, ty_1 + (1-t)y_2) \leq tf(x_1, y_1) + (1-t)f(x_2, y_2)$ . We can have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|tx_1 + (1-t)x_2\|_p^p \\
&\leq t^p \|x_1\|_p^p + (1-t)^p \|x_2\|_p^p \\
&\leq t \|x_1\|_p^p (t + (1-t) \frac{y_2}{y_1})^{p-1} + (1-t) \|x_2\|_p^p (t \frac{y_1}{y_2} + (1-t))^{p-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides of the inequality by  $(ty_1 + (1-t)y_2)^{p-1}$ , we get the following and also complete the proof:

$$\frac{\|tx_1 + (1-t)x_2\|_p^p}{(ty_1 + (1-t)y_2)^{p-1}} \leq t \frac{\|x_1\|_p^p}{y_1^{p-1}} + (1-t) \frac{\|x_2\|_p^p}{y_2^{p-1}}.$$

**Solution 5.4** Note that  $f$  is twice differentiable. We have  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{ij}^2} = \frac{1}{x_{ij}+1} > 0, \forall i, j$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{ij} \partial x_{kh}} = 0, \forall i, j, k, h$ , where  $i \neq k$  and  $j \neq h$ . That said,

$$\nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_{11}+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{x_{12}+1} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{x_{mn}+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can verify that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}, x^T \nabla^2 f x \geq 0$ , i.e.,  $\nabla^2 f \succeq 0$ . Thus  $f$  is convex.

**Problem 6 (1 point)** Consider the function  $f(x) = \max\{|a^T x + b|, \ln \frac{1}{c^T x + d}\}$ , where  $a, c, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $b, d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Is this a convex function? Explain why.

**Solution** We know that  $|x|$  and  $\ln \frac{1}{x}$  are convex functions. We also know that for any convex function  $f(x)$ ,  $f(a^T x + b)$  is also a convex function, and thus  $|a^T x + b|$  and  $\ln \frac{1}{c^T x + d}$  are convex. Besides, we know that  $f(x) = \max\{f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_m(x)\}$  is convex if  $f_i(x), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  are all convex, and thus  $\max\{|a^T x + b|, \ln \frac{1}{c^T x + d}\}$  is convex.