

KKT Conditions

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions

Given general problem

$$\begin{array}{ll}\min_x & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & h_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & \ell_j(x) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, r\end{array}$$

The **Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions** or **KKT conditions** are:

- $0 \in \partial \left(f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i h_i(x) + \sum_{j=1}^r v_j \ell_j(x) \right)$ (stationarity)
- $u_i \cdot h_i(x) = 0$ for all i (complementary slackness)
- $h_i(x) \leq 0, \ell_j(x) = 0$ for all i, j (primal feasibility)
- $u_i \geq 0$ for all i (dual feasibility)

Necessity

Let x^* and u^*, v^* be primal and dual solutions with zero duality gap (strong duality holds, e.g., under Slater's condition). Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^*) &= g(u^*, v^*) \\ &= \min_x f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i^* h_i(x) + \sum_{j=1}^r v_j^* \ell_j(x) \\ &\leq f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i^* h_i(x^*) + \sum_{j=1}^r v_j^* \ell_j(x^*) \\ &\leq f(x^*) \end{aligned}$$

In other words, all these inequalities are actually equalities

Two things to learn from this:

- The point x^* minimizes $L(x, u^*, v^*)$ over $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence the subdifferential of $L(x, u^*, v^*)$ must contain 0 at $x = x^*$ —this is exactly the **stationarity** condition
- We must have $\sum_{i=1}^m u_i^* h_i(x^*) = 0$, and since each term here is ≤ 0 , this implies $u_i^* h_i(x^*) = 0$ for every i —this is exactly **complementary slackness**

Primal and dual feasibility hold by virtue of optimality. Therefore:

If x^* and u^*, v^* are primal and dual solutions, with zero duality gap, then x^*, u^*, v^* satisfy the KKT conditions

(Note that this statement assumes nothing a priori about convexity of our problem, i.e., of f, h_i, ℓ_j)

Sufficiency

If there exists x^*, u^*, v^* that satisfy the KKT conditions, then

$$\begin{aligned} g(u^*, v^*) &= f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i^* h_i(x^*) + \sum_{j=1}^r v_j^* \ell_j(x^*) \\ &= f(x^*) \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality holds from stationarity, and the second holds from complementary slackness

Therefore the duality gap is zero (and x^* and u^*, v^* are primal and dual feasible) so x^* and u^*, v^* are primal and dual optimal. Hence, we've shown:

If x^* and u^*, v^* satisfy the KKT conditions, then x^* and u^*, v^* are primal and dual solutions

Putting it together

In summary, KKT conditions:

- always sufficient
- necessary under strong duality

Putting it together:

For a problem with strong duality (e.g., assume Slater's condition: convex problem and there exists x strictly satisfying non-affine inequality constraints),

$$\begin{aligned} & x^* \text{ and } u^*, v^* \text{ are primal and dual solutions} \\ \iff & x^* \text{ and } u^*, v^* \text{ satisfy the KKT conditions} \end{aligned}$$

(Warning, concerning the stationarity condition: for a differentiable function f , we cannot use $\partial f(x) = \{\nabla f(x)\}$ unless f is convex!)

Back to duality

One of the most important uses of duality is that, under strong duality, we can **characterize primal solutions** from dual solutions

Recall that under strong duality, the KKT conditions are necessary for optimality. Given dual solutions u^*, v^* , any primal solution x^* satisfies the stationarity condition

$$0 \in \partial f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i^* \partial h_i(x^*) + \sum_{j=1}^r v_j^* \partial \ell_j(x^*)$$

In other words, x^* solves $\min_x L(x, u^*, v^*)$

- Generally, this reveals a characterization of primal solutions
- In particular, if this is satisfied uniquely (i.e., above problem has a unique minimizer), then the corresponding point must be the primal solution

Examples during class: linear and logarithmic