

- restricted population data likelihood to only up to 70-74
- given the hypervariance for the old age mortality parameters (AB term) a tighter prior
- used the estimated hump component from the LQ model in the initial year (1960) as prior mean for the initial states of the hump component
- re-centered priors for the  $\rho$  to approximately around 0.5, i.e.  $\text{logit}(\rho) \sim N(1.1, 3.0)$ 
  - converged for all countries without manual tweaking except for Angola (1 Census), Congo Democratic Republic (1 Census), Gabon (Census period mis-labelling)
  - results are much more sensible in terms of mortality schedules
  - estimated epsilon are much more sensible
- Also tried to have  $A_m = A_f + A_d$ 
  - hard to converge, a lot failed
  - for those that converged, estimates are similar to above
  - Kenya AB term, Malawi insensible A, Mozambique AB term, Senegal AB, Uganda AB term
- correlated RW walks between male and female hump components?
- maybe overall prior for hypervariance still too loose, will investigate tightening up all together
- produce variance estimates

## Angola:

- only 1 census (in 2014) from DYB after 1960 (also one in 1960 but at the moment using WPP 1960 for generality)

## Benin:

- much sensible mortality schedules compared to before
- female  $m_x >$  male  $m_x$  at young ages in the earliest years
- migration across ages too extreme?

## Burkina Faso:

- requires a init lambda = 0.005
- male B term
- female  $m_x >$  male  $m_x$  at young ages in the earliest years
- female migration insensible across time

## Burundi

- cannot converge with the initial values in 1960 given by the LogQuad
- requires a flatter hump in 1960 as initial values to converge ( $\lambda = 0.005$ ,  $\delta = 0.3$ )

## Cameroon

- I still think some of the trend in  $\lambda$  shifted to B

## Chad

- 

## Congo

- check what happened around 1995-1999
- some of the trend in  $\lambda$  shifted to B?
- male migration over time insensible

## Congo Democratic Republic

- only 1 census from DYB after 1960
- some DDHarmony error that I have yet to look into

## Cote d'Ivoire

- some of the trend in  $\lambda$  shifted to B?

## Eswatini

- female  $m_x >$  male  $m_x$  in te most recent years at some ages
- migration across age too un-smooth?

## Gabon

- inconsistency in census period labeling, need to go back to it

## Gambia

- estimated B kink at 2010, possibly due to DHS data
- estimated  ${}_{45}q_{15}$  crossing over at early years

## Guinea

- wiggly estimated lambda
- female  $m_x >$  male  $m_x$  at young ages

## Kenya

- messy estimated B

## Lesotho

- female mort schedule too sharp at 15?

## Liberia

- female  $m_x >$  male  $m_x$  at some ages in the most recent years

## Madagascar

- cannot converge with the initial values in 1960 given by the LogQuad
- requires a flatter hump in 1960 as initial values to converge (lambda = 0.003, delta = 0.3)
- even when converged results are still insensible in pre-DHS and pre-census periods
- maybe migration?

## Malawi

- unrealistic female A >>> male A
- $_{45}q_{15}$  crossing over coz of A

## Mali

- estimated male U5MR lower than WPP/IGME estimates in all years
- $_{45}q_{15}$  crossing over in early years coz of later years

## Mozambique

- messy estimated B
- (coz of the spike in 1985 also seen in GBD estimates?)
- need to double check spline estimates whether wiggly

## Namibia

- 

## Niger

- estimated  ${}_{45}q_{15}$  crossing over at early years
- estimated male B too flat?
- female  $m_x >$  male  $m_x$  at most ages

## Nigeria

- some of the trend in lambda shifted to B?
- wiggly male migration over time

## Rwanda

- some of the trend in lambda shifted to B? (genocide)

## Senegal

- 

## Sierra Leone

- wiggly B
- wiggly migration
- double check with spline estimates, see if wiggly over time as well?

## South Africa

- migration wonky
- deviation from initial fertility values are large
- ${}_{45}q_{15}$  consistently lower than GBD/WPP

## Tanzania

- some of the trend in lambda shifted to B?

## **Togo**

- cannot converge with the initial values in 1960 given by the LogQuad
- requires a flatter hump in 1960 as initial values to converge ( $\lambda = 0.003$ ,  $\delta = 0.3$ )
- some of the trend in  $\lambda$  shifted to B?
- over-smoothed humps? coz of the flat hump initial values

## **Uganda**

- wiggly male migration over time

## **Zambia**

- some of the trend in  $\lambda$  shifted to B?

## **Zimbabwe**

- some of the trend in  $\lambda$  shifted to B?