- Extracted UNPD census counts, these are available in 1975, 1985, 1996 and 2006
 - why in Mark's *PopReconstruct* package the census data for Burkina Faso females are in 1975, 1985 1995 and 2006? Are the data WPP estimates instead of raw census counts?
 - For census rounds in 1985, 1996 and 2006, there are multiple entries that correspond to slightly different time within the year, e.g. there are 2 records for the number of age 10-14 in 1985, one at 1985.946, and the other one at 1985.955
 - Used De-jure population counts as De-facto is only available in 1996 and 2006
 - Used the WPP population estimates for 1960 as baseline population counts
 - Are these counts adjusted for possible biases and undernumeration already?
 - Tried to extract population counts for single year of age but it is not available for 1975 (thats I got no data for 1975 the other day when you shared your query)
- Moved to doing 5 ages x 1 year instead of 5x5 as census data are not always 5 years apart
- Used IGME $_5q_0$ estimates as priors for the parameter in LogQuad Model in 2 ways (below)
- Estimated g_x are more extreme and unreasonable \odot , will try to fit only from 1975-2006 and see if anything changes
- will compare estimated $_5q_0$ with the IGME estimates, but based on a quick skim shows that the 2nd prior gives estimates closer to the IGME input

1. AR(1) around IGME estimates

Let \hat{q}_t be the IGME estimates for ${}_5q_0$ in the t-th year. Then the prior on h in the LogQuad Model is

$$h_1 = \log(\hat{q}_1) + y_1$$

 $h_2 = \log(\hat{q}_2) + y_2$
:

and

$$y_1 = \varepsilon_1$$

$$y_2 = \rho y_1 + \sqrt{(1 - \rho^2)} \,\varepsilon_2$$

$$\vdots$$

where $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, i.e. $h_t - \log(\hat{q}_t) \sim AR(1)$.

2. Pulled towards IGME estimates

$$h_1 = \log(\hat{q}_1) + \varepsilon_1$$

$$h_2 = (1 - \rho) \log(\hat{q}_2) + \rho h_1 + \sqrt{(1 - \rho^2)} \varepsilon_2$$

$$\vdots$$

Future h_t depend on previous h_{t-1} drawn towards the corresponding IGME estimates in the t-th year, however, prior expectations of h_t are not exactly the IGME estimates anymore.