

CS 770: Assignment 2

Ronghao Yang ID:20511820

October 8, 2017

1 Question 1

Algorithm 1 will explain the implementation of row changing in detail.

Data: $A \in R^n$

Result: L,U,P (LU = PA)

L=eye(n);

P=L;

U=A;

for $k = 1:n$ **do**

 ind \leftarrow index of the row that its first non-zero number has the largest magnitude;

if $ind \neq k$ **then**

 switch row k and row ind in U;

 switch row k and row ind in P;

if $k \geq 2$ **then**

 switch row k and row ind in L;

end

end

 L \leftarrow usual way to update L;

 U \leftarrow usual way to update U;

end

Algorithm 1: LU decomposition with row pivoting

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ -3 & 4 & 6 \\ 7 & -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

By running the algorithm,

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.7000 & 1.0000 & 0 \\ -0.3000 & 0.6552 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 10.0000 & -7.0000 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.9000 & 5.0000 \\ 0 & 0 & 2.7241 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L * U = P * A = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 7 & -2 & 5 \\ -3 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

2 Question 2 [NOT SURE]

2.1 Question 2a

$$M1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1000 & 1 & 0 \\ 2000 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M1 * A = A_2 = (1.0e + 03) * \begin{bmatrix} 0.000 & 0.002 & 0.003 \\ 0 & 2.004 & 3.005 \\ 0 & 4.001 & 6.006 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.000 & 0 \\ 0 & -1.997 & 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U = M2 * A_2 = A_3 = (1.0e + 03) * \begin{bmatrix} 0.000 & 0.002 & 0.003 \\ 0 & 2.004 & 3.005 \\ 0 & -0.001 & 0.005 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L = (1.0e + 03) * \begin{bmatrix} 0.001 & 0 & 0 \\ -1.000 & 0.001 & 0 \\ -2.000 & 0.002 & 0.001 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.2 Question 2b

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5000 & 1.0000 & 0 \\ -0.0005 & 0.6299 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} -2.0000 & 1.0720 & 5.6430 \\ 0 & 3.1760 & 1.8015 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.8681 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L * U = \begin{bmatrix} -2.0000 & 1.0720 & 5.6430 \\ -1.0000 & 3.7120 & 4.6230 \\ 0.0010 & 2.0000 & 3.0000 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P * A = \begin{bmatrix} -2.0000 & 1.0720 & 5.6430 \\ -1.0000 & 3.7120 & 4.6230 \\ 0.0010 & 2.0000 & 3.0000 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.3 Question 2c

Without pivoting,

3 Question 3

Partial Pivoting:

Partial pivoting requires $O(n^2)$ number of number comparisons to determine the largest pivot,

Full Pivoting:

Full pivoting requires $O(n^3)$ number of number comparisons.

4 Question 4

A has an LU factorization \leftarrow each upper left block $A_{1:k,1:k}$ is nonsingular:

If A has an LU factorization, the A can be written as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = LU$$

Where

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} \end{bmatrix} U = \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

As we can see here, A_{11} is a product of L_{11} and U_{11} , so $\det(A_{11}) = \det(L_{11})\det(U_{11})$, L_{11} and U_{11} are both triangular matrices, the determinant of a triangular matrix is the just the product of its diagonal entries. Since in both L_{11} and U_{11} , their diagonal entries are all non-zero, so $\det(L_{11})\det(U_{11})$ is non-zero, so $\det(A_{11})$ is also non-zero. Therefore, A_{11} is non-singular, so each upper left block $A_{1:k,1:k}$ is non-singular.

Each upper left block $A_{1:k,1:k}$ is nonsingular \leftarrow A has an LU factorization :
 This problem can be solved by induction:

Step 1:

When $k = 1$: $A_{11} = [1][a_{11}]$

Since A_{11} is non-singular, we know that a_{11} is not zero

Step 2:

Assume when $k = s$, $A_{1:s,1:s} = LU$

Step 3:

When $k = s+1$:

$$A_{1:s+1,1:s+1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1:s,1:s} & a \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{We can see that when } L_{new} = \begin{bmatrix} L & 0 \\ bU^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} U_{new} = \begin{bmatrix} L & L^{-1}a \\ 0 & c - bU^{-1}L^{-1}a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{1:s+1,1:s+1} = L_{new}U_{new}$$

If we want to show that $L_{new}U_{new}$ is the answer here, we need to show that $U_{22} \neq 0$

$$\text{As we have seen early, } \det(A_{1:s+1,1:s+1}) = \det(L_{new})\det(U_{new})$$

Since both L_{new} and U_{new} are triangular matrices

The determinant of a triangular matrix is just the product of its diagonal entries

$$\text{Since } \det(A_{1:s+1,1:s+1}) \neq 0$$

Then none of the diagonal entries of L_{new} and U_{new} is 0, so $U_{22} \neq 0$

Proof Done

5 Question 5

5.1 Question 5a

We can write A as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $A^{-1} =$

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \dots & b_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \dots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Such that:

$$AA^{-1} = I$$

To simplify this problem, we can write A^{-1} as

$$A^{-1} = [B_1 \ B_2 \ \dots \ B_n]$$

Where

$$B_i = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1i} \\ b_{2i} \\ \dots \\ b_{ni} \end{bmatrix}$$

We can also write I as:

$$I = [I_1 \ I_2 \ \dots \ I_n]$$

Where

$$I_i = \begin{bmatrix} I_{1i} \\ I_{2i} \\ \dots \\ I_{ni} \end{bmatrix}$$

To solve A^{-1} , we can do so by solving all the B_i s, for each B_i

$$AB_i = I_i$$

This algorithm solves A^{-1} by solving n systems of equations

5.2 Question 5b

To transform the matrix A to its echelon form, we need

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

divisions,

$$\frac{2n^3 + 3n^2 - 5n}{6}$$

multiplications and

$$\frac{2n^3 + 3n^2 - 5n}{6}$$

subtractions. Overall, the cost is $O(n^3)$.

Therefore, solving one linear system costs $O(n^3)$, solving n linear systems will cost $O(n^4)$, so it is preferably not be used.

5.3 Question 5c (NOT SURE)

To take the advantage of matrix sparsity, we use LU decomposition for inverting a matrix. In this question, we keep using the notations we denoted in Question 5a.

$$A = LU$$

For each B_i and I_i

$$LUB_i = I_i$$

This method is fast, because matrix I is sparse, forward-substitution and backward-substitution can be calculated efficiently.

6 Question 6 [NOT SURE]

When A is a triangular matrix, the inverse of A will be dense. If A is a large matrix, A^{-1} would have most of its entries being non-zero. Storing such a matrix could be really expensive.

7 Question 7

By LU factorization with pivoting, $LU = PA$, therefore

$$L_1 L_2 \dots L_{n-1} U = PA$$

$$U = L'_{n-1} L'_{n-2} \dots L'_1 PA$$

Since PA is just interchanging rows of A , $\|PA\|_{max} = \|A\|_{max}$

For each L'_s

$$\begin{aligned}
\|L'_s A\|_{max} &= \max_{i,j} |(L'_s A)_{i,j}| \\
&= \max_{i,j} |\sum_{a=1}^n (L'_s)_{ia} a_{ja}| \\
&\leq \max_{i,j} |\sum_{a=1}^n (L'_s)_{ia}| \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}| \\
&= \|L'_s\|_{\infty} \max(A) \\
&\leq 2 \max(A)
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Since there are $n - 1$ L , the growth factor ρ has an upper bound of 2^{n-1}

8 Question 8

More text.