

On numerical modelling of atmospheric gas dispersion

Using computational fluid dynamics approach



Tran Le Vu

School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Nanyang Technological University

This dissertation is submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

College of engineering

August 2018

On numerical modelling of atmospheric gas dispersion

Tran Le Vu

Mostly all human activities are affected by Atmospheric boundary layer (ABL). This is also where most air pollution phenomena are occurred. Understanding of the processes taking place in the ABL has attracted various research study.

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) is increasingly being used in simulation of ABL flows. Ensuring accurate description of the ABL is an important task in any ABL flow study. This can be done by simulating the horizontally homogeneous ABL flow prior of dispersion study. Either the Reynolds Averaged Navier–Stokes (RANS) equations or Large Eddy Simulations (LES) are used for atmospheric turbulence modelling. The RANS turbulence models are still widely used in practical approach to overcome boundary conditions sensitivity and computational intensive of the LES. Two equation turbulence models: standard $k - \varepsilon$ and SST $k - \omega$ turbulence models are modified substantially using open source CFD tool OpenFOAM to validate its usage in simulating ABL flow.

Monin-Obukhov similarity theory, well validated for flow in ABL surface layer over homogeneous surface, is used to model the profiles of velocity, turbulent kinetic energy and turbulence dissipation rate at inlet of computational domain. Consistency of these profiles across the domain are ensured by deriving the relation between turbulence model constants for horizontally homogeneous constant shear stress flow. This verified turbulence model is then validated using simulated atmospheric dispersion flow of dense gas and field experiments of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) vapour dispersion. For simulation of LNG vapour dispersion, the proposed model also takes into accounts the heat transfer from the ground to the vapour cloud, the effect of variable temperature on gas properties. Statistical Performance Measures (SPM) are compared with LES code FDS (Fire Dynamics Simulator) and specified dispersion code FLACS (FLame

ACceleration Simulator) under Model Evaluation Protocol (MEP) proposed for LNG vapour dispersion.

Nomenclature

Acronyms

Symbol	Description
ABL	Atmospheric Boundary Layer
FAC2	Factor of 2
FDS	Fire Dynamics Simulator
FLACS	FLame ACceleration Simulator
LES	Large Eddy Simulations
LFL	Lower Flammability Limit
LLNL	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
MBSE	Mean Relative Square Error
MEP	Model Evaluation Protocol
MG	Geometric Mean Bias
MRB	Mean Relative Bias
NFPA	National Fire Protection Agency

RANS	Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes
SPM	Statistical Performance Measures
SST $k - \omega$	Menter's Shear Stress Transport $k - \omega$
VG	Geometric Variance

Dimensionless Numbers/Quantities

Symbol	Description	Definition
Pr	Prandlt number	ν/α
Sc	Schmidt number	ν/D

Greek Symbols

Symbol	Description	Units
α	Thermal diffusivity	m^2/s
α_p	Numerical under-relaxation factor	
ε	Turbulence dissipation rate	m^2/s^3
ε^+	Near wall scale of turbulence dissipation rate	
κ	von Karman constant	
μ	Dynamic viscosity	kg/ms
ν	Kinematic viscosity	m^2/s
ν^+	Near wall scale of kinematic viscosity	
ω	Turbulence specific dissipation rate	$1/\text{s}$
ω^+	Near wall scale of turbulence specific dissipation rate	

ϕ_h	Monin-Obukhov universal temperature similarity function	
ϕ_m	Monin-Obukhov universal momentum similarity function	
ρ	Fluid density	kg/m ³
τ, τ_{ij}	Viscous stress tensor	N/m ²
τ_s	Surface shear stress	N/m ²
θ	Potential temperature	K
θ_*	Friction temperature	K
δ	Kronecker symbol	

Roman Symbols

Symbol	Description	Units
\mathbf{g}	Gravitational acceleration vector	m/s
\mathbf{u}	Velocity vector	m/s
A	matrix of coefficients	
C_m	Experimental measured concentration	
C_p	Predicted concentration from simulation	
c_p	Specific heat	J/kgK
D	Mass diffusivity	m ² /s
E	Smooth wall constant	
h	Enthalpy per unit mass	J/kg
h_{ABL}	Height of ABL	m

k	Turbulence kinetic energy	m^2/s^2
k^+	Near wall scale of turbulence kinetic energy	
L_{MO}	Monin-Obukhov length	m
M	Specie molecular weight	kmol/kg
p	Fluid pressure	N/m^2
p'	Numerical pressure correction	N/m^2
p_{rgh}	Pressure defined without hydrostatic pressure	N/m^2
q_s	Surface heat flux	W/m^2
S_ε	Source term in turbulent dissipation rate equation	
S_k	Source term in turbulent kinetic energy equation	
T_s	Surface temperature	K
u'	Numerical velocity correction	m/s
u^+	Near wall region velocity scale	
u_*	Friction velocity	m/s
w_*	Convective velocity	m/s
Y	Specie mass fraction	
y^+	Near wall region length scale	
z_0	ABL roughness length	m

Subscripts

Symbol Description

α	Species index
eff	Sum of turbulence and laminar part of properties
t	Turbulence part of properties
P	Properties at cell point adjacent to wall
w, s	Properties value at wall/surface

References

- [1] Paolo Zannetti. *Air pollution modeling: theories, computational methods and available software*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013. ISBN: 147574465X.
- [2] Paul S Arya. *Introduction to micrometeorology*. Vol. 79. Elsevier, 2001. ISBN: 0080489265.
- [3] M Mohan. “Analysis of various schemes for the estimation of atmospheric stability classification”. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 32.21 (1998), pp. 3775–3781. ISSN: 13522310. DOI: [10.1016/S1352-2310\(98\)00109-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00109-5). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231098001095>.
- [4] Thomas Foken. “50 Years of the Monin–Obukhov Similarity Theory”. In: *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 119.3 (2006), pp. 431–447. ISSN: 1573-1472. DOI: [10.1007/s10546-006-9048-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-006-9048-6). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-006-9048-6>.
- [5] BUSINGER JA et al. “Flux- profile relationships in the atmospheric surface layer”. In: *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 28.2 (1971), pp. 181–189.
- [6] Ulf Högström. “Review of some basic characteristics of the atmospheric surface layer”. In: *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 78.3 (1996), pp. 215–246. ISSN: 1573-1472. DOI: [10.1007/BF00120937](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00120937). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00120937>.
- [7] Anay Luketa-Hanlin, Ronald P. Koopman, and Donald L. Ermak. “On the application of computational fluid dynamics codes for liquefied natural gas dispersion”. In: *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 140.3 (2007), pp. 504–517. ISSN: 03043894. DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.10.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.10.023).
- [8] J E Pieterse and T M Harms. “CFD investigation of the atmospheric boundary layer under different thermal stability conditions”. In: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 121 (2013), pp. 82–97. ISSN: 0167-6105. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2013.07.014>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167610513001591>.
- [9] Ronald P. Koopman and Donald L. Ermak. “Lessons learned from LNG safety research”. In: *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 140.3 (2007), pp. 412–428. ISSN: 03043894. DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.10.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.10.042).
- [10] M. J. Ivings et al. “A protocol for the evaluation of LNG vapour dispersion models”. In: *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 26.1 (2013), pp. 153–163. ISSN: 09504230. DOI: [10.1016/j.jlp.2012.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2012.10.005). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2012.10.005>.
- [11] Rex E Britter. “Atmospheric dispersion of dense gases”. In: *Annual review of fluid mechanics* 21.1 (1989), pp. 317–344. ISSN: 0066-4189.
- [12] Morten Nielsen. *A collection of data from dense gas experiments*. Tech. rep. 1996.

- [13] Donald L Ermak et al. *Heavy gas dispersion test summary report*. Tech. rep. 1989.
- [14] T C Brown et al. *Falcon series data report: 1987 LNG vapor barrier verification field trials*. Tech. rep. 1990.
- [15] Phil Cleaver, Mike Johnson, and Ben Ho. "A summary of some experimental data on LNG safety." In: *Journal of hazardous materials* 140.3 (2007), pp. 429–38. ISSN: 0304-3894. DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.10.047](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.10.047).
- [16] C Biloft. *Customer report for Mock Urban Setting Test. Rep. no.* Tech. rep. 2001.
- [17] K Jerry Allwine and Julia E Flaherty. "Urban dispersion program overview and MID05 field study summary". In: (2007).
- [18] Benjamin R. Cormier et al. "Application of computational fluid dynamics for LNG vapor dispersion modeling: A study of key parameters". In: *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 22.3 (2009), pp. 332–352. ISSN: 09504230. DOI: [10.1016/j.jlp.2008.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2008.12.004). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0950423008001629>.
- [19] Steven Hanna et al. "The Jack Rabbit chlorine release experiments: Implications of dense gas removal from a depression and downwind concentrations". In: *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 213-214.Supplement C (2012), pp. 406–412. ISSN: 0304-3894. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.02.013>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304389412001598>.
- [20] A M Schleder et al. "Experimental data and CFD performance for cloud dispersion analysis: The USP-UPC project". In: *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 38 (2015), pp. 125–138. ISSN: 0950-4230. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2015.09.003>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0950423015300280>.
- [21] R.P. Koopman et al. "Analysis of Burro series 40-m³ lng spill experiments". In: *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 6.1-2 (1982), pp. 43–83. ISSN: 03043894. DOI: [10.1016/0304-3894\(82\)80034-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3894(82)80034-4).
- [22] Filippo Gavelli, Edward Bullister, and Harri Kytomaa. "Application of CFD (Fluent) to LNG spills into geometrically complex environments." In: *Journal of hazardous materials* 159.1 (2008), pp. 158–68. ISSN: 0304-3894. DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.02.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.02.037).
- [23] P J Richards and R P Hoxey. "Appropriate boundary conditions for computational wind engineering models using the k- ϵ turbulence model". In: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 46-47.Supplement C (1993), pp. 145–153. ISSN: 0167-6105. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-6105\(93\)90124-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-6105(93)90124-7). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167610593901247>.
- [24] Yi Yang et al. "New inflow boundary conditions for modelling the neutral equilibrium atmospheric boundary layer in computational wind engineering". In: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 97.2 (2009), pp. 88–95. ISSN: 0167-6105. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2008.12.001>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167610508001815>.

- [25] Sumner Jonathon and Masson Christian. “k - ϵ simulations of the neutral atmospheric boundary layer: analysis and correction of discretization errors on practical grids”. In: *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids* 70.6 (2012), pp. 724–741. ISSN: 0271-2091. DOI: [doi:10.1002/fld.2709](https://doi.org/10.1002/fld.2709). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1002/fld.2709>.
- [26] A Parente et al. “Improved k- ϵ model and wall function formulation for the RANS simulation of ABL flows”. In: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 99.4 (2011), pp. 267–278. ISSN: 0167-6105. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2010.12.017>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016761051100002X>.
- [27] B. W. Yan et al. “RANS simulation of neutral atmospheric boundary layer flows over complex terrain by proper imposition of boundary conditions and modification on the k- ϵ model”. In: *Environmental Fluid Mechanics* 16.1 (2016), pp. 1–23. ISSN: 15731510. DOI: [10.1007/s10652-015-9408-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10652-015-9408-1).
- [28] M Pontiggia et al. “Hazardous gas dispersion: A CFD model accounting for atmospheric stability classes”. In: *Journal of hazardous materials* 171.1-3 (2009), pp. 739–747. ISSN: 1873-3336. DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.06.064](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.06.064). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304389409009844>.
- [29] P J Richards and S E Norris. “Appropriate boundary conditions for computational wind engineering models revisited”. In: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 99.4 (2011), pp. 257–266. ISSN: 0167-6105. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2010.12.008>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167610510001418>.
- [30] D.M. M Hargreaves and N.G. G Wright. “On the use of the k- model in commercial CFD software to model the neutral atmospheric boundary layer”. In: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 95.5 (2007), pp. 355–369. ISSN: 01676105. DOI: [10.1016/j.jweia.2006.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2006.08.002). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016761050600136X>.
- [31] M M Gibson and B E Launder. “Ground effects on pressure fluctuations in the atmospheric boundary layer”. In: *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 86.3 (1978), pp. 491–511. ISSN: 0022-1120. DOI: [DOI: 10.1017/S0022112078001251](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112078001251). URL: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/article/ground-effects-on-pressure-fluctuations-in-the-atmospheric-boundary-layer/D5FFE2AB889A67F31D2EDDD08D2EB006>.
- [32] W P Jones and B E Launder. “The prediction of laminarization with a two-equation model of turbulence”. In: *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* 15.2 (1972), pp. 301–314. ISSN: 0017-9310. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0017-9310\(72\)90076-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0017-9310(72)90076-2). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0017931072900762>.
- [33] B E Launder and D B Spalding. “The numerical computation of turbulent flows”. In: *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 3.2 (1974), pp. 269–289. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0045-7825\(74\)90029-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-7825(74)90029-2). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0045782574900292>.
- [34] Georgi Kalitzin et al. “Near-wall behavior of RANS turbulence models and implications for wall functions”. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 204.1 (2005), pp. 265–291. ISSN: 0021-9991. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2004.10.018>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021999104004164>.

- [35] J.-A. Bäckar and L Davidson. “Evaluation of numerical wall functions on the axisymmetric impinging jet using OpenFOAM”. In: *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow* 67 (2017), pp. 27–42. ISSN: 0142-727X. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatfluidflow.2017.07.004>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0142727X16308578>.
- [36] Chin-Hoh Moeng. “A Large-Eddy-Simulation Model for the Study of Planetary Boundary-Layer Turbulence”. EN. In: *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 41.13 (1984), pp. 2052–2062. ISSN: 0022-4928. DOI: [10.1175/1520-0469\(1984\)041<2052:ALESMF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1984)041<2052:ALESMF>2.0.CO;2). URL: <http://journals.ametsoc.org.ezlibproxy1.ntu.edu.sg/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469%7B%7D281984%7B%7D29041%7B%7D3C2052%7B%7D3AALESMF%7B%7D3E2.0.CO%7B%7D3B2>.
- [37] Eileen M. Saiki, Chin-Hoh Moeng, and Peter P. Sullivan. “Large-Eddy Simulation Of The Stably Stratified Planetary Boundary Layer”. en. In: *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 95.1 (2000), pp. 1–30. ISSN: 1573-1472. DOI: [10.1023/A:1002428223156](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1002428223156). URL: <http://link.springer.com.ezlibproxy1.ntu.edu.sg/article/10.1023/A%7B%7D3A1002428223156>.
- [38] Ce’dric Alinot and Christian Masson. “k-ε Model for the Atmospheric Boundary Layer Under Various Thermal Stratifications”. In: *Journal of Solar Energy Engineering* 127.4 (2005), p. 438. ISSN: 01996231. DOI: [10.1115/1.2035704](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2035704). URL: <https://solarenergyengineering.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/article.aspx?articleID=1457484>.
- [39] M Pontiggia et al. “Hazardous gas dispersion: A CFD model accounting for atmospheric stability classes”. In: *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 171.1-3 (2009), pp. 739–747. ISSN: 1873-3336. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.06.064](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.06.064). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304389409009844>.
- [40] Morten Nielsen and Søren Ott. “Heat transfer in large-scale heavy-gas dispersion”. In: *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 67.1 (1999), pp. 41–58. ISSN: 03043894. DOI: [10.1016/S0304-3894\(99\)00016-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894(99)00016-3). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304389499000163>.
- [41] I V Kovalets and V S Maderich. “Numerical Simulation of Interaction of the Heavy Gas Cloud with the Atmospheric Surface Layer”. In: *Environmental Fluid Mechanics* 6.4 (2006), p. 313. ISSN: 1573-1510. DOI: [10.1007/s10652-005-4288-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10652-005-4288-4). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10652-005-4288-4>.
- [42] Bert Blocken, Ted Stathopoulos, and Jan Carmeliet. “CFD simulation of the atmospheric boundary layer: wall function problems”. In: *Atmospheric Environment* 41.2 (2007), pp. 238–252. ISSN: 13522310. DOI: [10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.08.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.08.019). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S135223100600834X>.
- [43] Federico Flores, René Garreaud, and Ricardo C Muñoz. “CFD simulations of turbulent buoyant atmospheric flows over complex geometry: Solver development in OpenFOAM”. In: *Computers & Fluids* 82 (2013), pp. 1–13. ISSN: 0045-7930. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compfluid.2013.04.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compfluid.2013.04.029). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045793013001795>.

- [44] Andrew Riddle et al. "Comparisons between FLUENT and ADMS for atmospheric dispersion modelling". In: *Atmospheric Environment* 38.7 (2004), pp. 1029–1038. ISSN: 13522310. DOI: [10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.10.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.10.052). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231003009713>.
- [45] M R Mokhtarzadeh-Dehghan, A Akcayoglu, and A G Robins. "Numerical study and comparison with experiment of dispersion of a heavier-than-air gas in a simulated neutral atmospheric boundary layer". In: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 110 (2012), pp. 10–24. ISSN: 0167-6105. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2012.07.004>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167610512002085>.
- [46] Xiaobin Zhang et al. "Computational fluid dynamics study on liquefied natural gas dispersion with phase change of water". In: *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* 91 (2015), pp. 347–354. ISSN: 00179310. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2015.07.117](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2015.07.117).
- [47] Walter Chukwunonso Ikealumba and Hongwei Wu. "Modeling of Liquefied Natural Gas Release and Dispersion: Incorporating a Direct Computational Fluid Dynamics Simulation Method for LNG Spill and Pool Formation". EN. In: *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 55.6 (2016), pp. 1778–1787. ISSN: 0888-5885. DOI: [10.1021/acs.iecr.5b04490](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.5b04490).
- [48] Robert N. Meroney. "CFD modeling of dense gas cloud dispersion over irregular terrain". In: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 104-106 (2012), pp. 500–508. ISSN: 01676105. DOI: [10.1016/j.jweia.2012.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2012.01.001). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167610512000025>.
- [49] J. Labovský and L'. Jelemenský. "Verification of CFD pollution dispersion modelling based on experimental data". In: *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 24.2 (2011), pp. 166–177. ISSN: 09504230. DOI: [10.1016/j.jlp.2010.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2010.12.005). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0950423010001579>.
- [50] Spyros Sklavounos and Fotis Rigas. "Validation of turbulence models in heavy gas dispersion over obstacles". In: *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 108.1–2 (2004), pp. 9–20. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.01.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.01.005).
- [51] Steven R. Hanna, Olav R. Hansen, and Seshu Dharmavaram. "FLACS CFD air quality model performance evaluation with Kit Fox, MUST, Prairie Grass, and EMU observations". In: *Atmospheric Environment* 38.28 (2004), pp. 4675–4687. ISSN: 13522310. DOI: [10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.05.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.05.041).
- [52] Olav R. Hansen et al. "Validation of FLACS against experimental data sets from the model evaluation database for LNG vapor dispersion". In: *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 23.6 (2010), pp. 857–877. ISSN: 09504230. DOI: [10.1016/j.jlp.2010.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2010.08.005). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2010.08.005>.
- [53] A. Mack and M. P N Spruijt. "Validation of OpenFoam for heavy gas dispersion applications". In: *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 262 (2013), pp. 504–516. ISSN: 03043894. DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.08.065](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.08.065).

- [54] J Fiates et al. "An alternative CFD tool for gas dispersion modelling of heavy gas". In: *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 44 (2016), pp. 583–593. DOI: [10.1016/j.jlp.2016.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2016.08.002). URL: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84994155203{\&}doi=10.1016{\%}2Fj.jlp.2016.08.002{\&}partnerID=40{\&}md5=f15971c9c9332b320e618d86adf80351>.
- [55] Juliane Fiates and Sávio S V Vianna. "Numerical modelling of gas dispersion using OpenFOAM". In: *Process Safety and Environmental Protection* 104, Part (2016), pp. 277–293. ISSN: 0957-5820. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2016.09.011>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957582016302105>.
- [56] Yvon Mouilleau and Anousone Champassith. "CFD simulations of atmospheric gas dispersion using the Fire Dynamics Simulator (FDS)". In: *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 22.3 (2009), pp. 316–323. ISSN: 0950-4230. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2008.11.009>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0950423008001496>.
- [57] Noah L. Ryder et al. "Consequence modeling using the fire dynamics simulator". In: *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 115.1 (2004), pp. 149–154. ISSN: 03043894. DOI: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.06.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.06.018).
- [58] S.E. Gant et al. "Evaluation of multi-phase atmospheric dispersion models for application to Carbon Capture and Storage". In: *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 32 (2014), pp. 286–298. ISSN: 09504230. DOI: [10.1016/j.jlp.2014.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2014.09.014). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0950423014001570>.
- [59] S M Tauseef, D Rashtchian, and S A Abbasi. "CFD-based simulation of dense gas dispersion in presence of obstacles". In: *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 24 (2011), pp. 371–376. DOI: [10.1016/j.jlp.2011.01.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2011.01.014).
- [60] H G Weller and G Tabor. "A tensorial approach to computational continuum mechanics using object-oriented techniques". In: *Computers in Physics* 12.6 (1998), pp. 620–631. ISSN: 08941866. DOI: [10.1063/1.168744](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.168744).
- [61] Joel H Ferziger and Milovan Peric. *Computational methods for fluid dynamics*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012. ISBN: 3642560261.
- [62] Edwin N. Lightfoot R. Byron Bird, Warren E. Stewart. *Transport phenomena*. 2002. ISBN: 0-471-41077-2.
- [63] Fadl Moukalled, Luca Mangani, and Marwan Darwish. "Implementation of boundary conditions in the finite-volume pressure-based method—Part I: Segregated solvers". In: *Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B: Fundamentals* 69.6 (2016), pp. 534–562. ISSN: 1040-7790. DOI: [10.1080/10407790.2016.1138748](https://doi.org/10.1080/10407790.2016.1138748). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10407790.2016.1138748>.
- [64] Christopher J Greenshields. *OpenFOAM user guide version 5*. 2017. URL: <https://cfd.direct/openfoam/user-guide/>.
- [65] V. Busini. "Atmospheric Dispersion". In: *Reference Module in Chemistry, Molecular Sciences and Chemical Engineering*. 2016. ISBN: 9780124095472. DOI: [10.1016/B978-0-12-409547-2.11078-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409547-2.11078-9).

- [66] S.T. Chan. “Three-dimensional model for simulating atmospheric dispersion of heavy-gases over complex terrain”. English. In: *10th Joint Conference on the Applications of Air Pollution Meteorology with the Air and Waste Management Association*. 1997. URL: <http://www.osti.gov/scitech/biblio/646463>.
- [67] F R Menter, Matthias Kuntz, and R Langtry. “Ten Years of Industrial Experience with the SST Turbulence Model”. In: 2003.
- [68] Dalibor Cavar et al. “Comparison of OpenFOAM and EllipSys3D for neutral atmospheric flow over complex terrain”. In: *Wind Energy Science Discussions* 1 (2016), pp. 55–70. ISSN: 2366-7621. DOI: [10.5194/wes-2016-3](https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2016-3).
- [69] B E Launder, G J Reece, and W Rodi. “Progress in the development of a Reynolds-stress turbulence closure”. In: *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 68.3 (1975), pp. 537–566. DOI: [10.1017/S0022112075001814](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112075001814). URL: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/article/div-class-title-progress-in-the-development-of-a-reynolds-stress-turbulence-closure-div/796DDAC14EF54A84A36100565D3420D5>.
- [70] Catherine Gorié, Jeroen van Beeck, and Patrick Rambaud. “Dispersion in the Wake of a Rectangular Building: Validation of Two Reynolds-Averaged Navier–Stokes Modelling Approaches”. In: *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 137.1 (2010), pp. 115–133. ISSN: 1573-1472. DOI: [10.1007/s10546-010-9521-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-010-9521-0). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-010-9521-0>.
- [71] Kevin McGrattan et al. “Fire dynamics simulator, user’s guide”. In: *NIST special publication* 1019 (2013), p. 20.
- [72] G König-Langlo and M Schatzmann. “Wind tunnel modeling of heavy gas dispersion”. In: *Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics* 25.7 (1991), pp. 1189–1198. ISSN: 0960-1686. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686\(91\)90230-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90230-5). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0960168691902305>.
- [73] S G Giannissi et al. “Numerical simulation of LNG dispersion under two-phase release conditions”. In: *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 26.1 (2013), pp. 245–254. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2012.11.010>.
- [74] Ian H Bell et al. “Pure and Pseudo-pure Fluid Thermophysical Property Evaluation and the Open-Source Thermophysical Property Library CoolProp”. In: *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 53.6 (2014), pp. 2498–2508. ISSN: 0888-5885. DOI: [10.1021/ie4033999](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie4033999). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ie4033999>.